

Retraction: Office cervicoscopy versus stationary colposcopy in cases with suspicious cervix: a randomized controlled trial

The original article titled “Office cervicoscopy versus stationary colposcopy in cases with suspicious cervix: a randomized controlled trial” published in Journal of Current Medical Research and Practice, on pages 355-361, Issue 3, Volume 4, 2019 [1] is being retracted because the same article was simultaneously published with title “Office cervicoscopy versus stationary colposcopy in suspicious cervix: A randomized controlled trial” in Journal of Mid-life Health, on pages 115-122, Issue 3, Volume 10, 2019. [2] It was brought to the attention of JCMRP’s editorial board that there is substantial overlap of content in article published in JMH.

Plagiarism, fabrication, unethical or redundant publication violates the editorial policy of Journal of Current Medical Research and Practice, which follows best practice guidelines given by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) and Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) mentioned on the Information for Authors and as codified in the signed statements made by the authors regarding the copyright of their work.

This article has been retracted on request of the Editor-in-Chief and editorial board of the journal.

Editor-in-Chief:

Journal of Current Medical Research and Practice

References

- 1 Kamel MA, Darwish AM, Zahran K, AboulEla M. Office cervicoscopy versus stationary colposcopy in cases with suspicious cervix: a randomized controlled trial. J Curr Med Res Pract 2019;4:355-61.
- 2 Darwish AM, Kamel MA, Zahran K, Aboulela M. Office cervicoscopy versus stationary colposcopy in suspicious cervix: A randomized controlled trial. J Mid-life Health 2019;10:115-22.