

## History of Medical Records-From Data Collection to Enhanced Patient Outcomes

MOHAMMED FARHAN ALDHAFIRI, ALMATRAFI JABER SADI, ALIMUTALEQ ALSUBAIEI, ABDULKARIM SHUNAYN ALANAZI, MOHAMMED MESFER MUSAED AL KHATHAMI, LILA MUTEB ALMUTAIRI, AMANIABDULMOHSEN ALSHAMMARI AND MAHAMOSLEH ALBUGAMI AND AMANI MOHAMMAD AL MUBARAK AND IMAN OLAYAN AL MUTAIRI AND FAHAD HUSAYYAN AL ENAZI AND SALIM KHALAF ALHOWAIMEL AND ABDULLAH SAAD ABUNAIAAN

MEDICAL RECORDS KSA

### Abstract

*Aims:* This study provides a comprehensive overview of the historical evolution and contemporary state of medical records, tracing their development from ancient civilizations to modern digital systems. From the point of data collection to patient services and enhanced patient outcomes. The aim of this research is to elucidate the pivotal role of medical documentation in shaping healthcare practices and improving patient outcomes. Methodologically, a thorough examination of historical texts, scholarly literature, and contemporary case studies is conducted to identify key milestones and trends in medical record-keeping. The results highlight the progression from rudimentary cave paintings and ancient manuscripts to sophisticated electronic health record (EHR) systems, emphasizing the transformative impact of technological advancements on healthcare documentation. Additionally, the study explores the emergence of digital healthcare infrastructures, such as Estonia's eHealth record system, and their implications for healthcare delivery and epidemiological surveillance. Through a comparative analysis of historical precedents and current practices, this research underscores the enduring importance of accurate, accessible, and secure medical records in optimizing patient care and advancing medical knowledge. In conclusion, the study advocates for continued innovation and investment in medical record technologies, emphasizing the potential of digital systems to enhance healthcare efficiency, effectiveness, and equity in the face of evolving societal and epidemiological challenges.

**Key Words:** *Medical records – Electronic health records – Healthcare documentation – Historical evolution – Digital healthcare – EHR systems – Epidemiological surveillance – Technological advancements – Patient care – Healthcare delivery.*

**Correspondence to:** Mohammed Farhan Aldhafiri,  
Medical Records KSA

### Introduction

**ONE** characteristic of Homo sapiens that has stood out throughout human history is their purposeful tendency to leave behind traces of their activities. One example of this characteristic is the finding of cave paintings at the Lascaux cave complex in the Nouvelle-Aquitaine region of southwest France. These paintings date to the early Magdalenian period, which is thought to have occurred between 17,000 and 15,000 years ago. The image of a man injured by an animal assault is one of these representations; it may reflect what is thought to be the earliest known medical record showing what is probably a multi-organ injury. With the emergence of civilizations, writing made communication easier and made it possible to record people's knowledge. Around 5500 to 4000 years ago, the Vinča culture established the first proto-writing systems. Later, in the period between 4000 and 3000 B.C., ancient civilizations including the Egyptians, Elamites, and Sumerians developed more complex logographic letters. The use of hieroglyphics in the earliest known form of informed cuneiform writing is thought to have begun in southern Mesopotamia, specifically in Egypt and Sumer, circa 3000 B.C. These early systems, which combined written language with visual aids, were quickly implemented to document illness-related incidents during the early stages of the development of the notion of health [1].

Scholars from a variety of disciplines have attempted to identify the beginnings of medical records through the investigation of their historical development. Medical records are defined here as complete documentation that includes patient identification, health history, clinical manifestations, diagnostic and therapeutic interventions, medication administration, and follow-up continuity. Although

the precise date of the first medical records in antiquity is still unknown, research studies highlight the critical significance that disease narratives played in forming medical knowledge throughout history. There is evidence to imply that medical papyri from ancient Egypt, used for teaching reasons, resemble medical records from today. Notably, American Egyptologist Edwin Smith acquired the Edwin Smith Papyrus in 1862. This document, which dates from 1600–1700 B.C., describes techniques for examining, diagnosing, and treating injuries. In a similar vein, the “Papyrus Ebers,” acquired in 1873 by German Egyptologist Georg Ebers, and dating to around 1550 B.C., functioned as a storehouse of medical knowledge including cures, surgical methods, and herbal remedies [2].

The development of medicine was significantly impacted by the legacy of Hippocrates of Kos (460–370 B.C.). His theories established the foundation for modern medicine and are preserved in the *Corpus Hippocraticum*, an anthology of about seventy medical treatises. These documents are mostly philosophical in origin, but they also contain clinical observations, treatment plans, and ethical guidelines, much like modern medical records. However, until their translation and distribution in Europe in the 16th century, a thorough knowledge of ancient medical records was impeded by the loss of several Hellenic manuscripts, especially those from the Library of Alexandria. Prominent Roman physician Galen of Pergamon (d. 130–200 A.D.) expanded the application of Hippocratic principles, albeit he was limited by Roman legislation that forbade post-mortem examinations. Galen’s contributions persisted in spite of this obstacle, influencing medical practice and education for decades [3].

Early Medieval Islamic civilization produced some of the greatest medical scholars, including Rhazes (865–925 A.D.) and Ibn Sina (980–1037 A.D.). With his groundbreaking book “*Al-Kitab al-Hawi*,” Rhazes synthesized medical knowledge from Greece and Arabia and offered insights into medical record-keeping techniques. The greatest work of Ibn Sina, “*Kanun fi’t-tibb*,” helped to organize medical information in a way that is similar to modern medical records and allowed it to be passed down through the generations. Maimonides, also known as Moses ben Maimon (1138–1204), promoted comprehensive patient care by fusing intellectual and theological ideas with medical practice. His publications, such as the “*Aphorisms of Moses*,” emphasized the value of caring doctor-patient relationships and preventive healthcare. Hospitals became sites of care during the Middle Ages in Europe, albeit mostly for the impoverished. Modern medical records from the *Schola Medica Salernitana* demonstrated advances in the treatment of illness. These records, which were frequently kept by religious organizations, represented a change from earlier customs by placing a strong empha-

sis on recording medical observations and interventions. In conclusion, medical records’ narrative quality endured throughout history, even though their form and purpose changed over time. They acted as priceless archives of medical knowledge, influencing the course of healthcare over time [4].

#### *Medical Records in Modern Times:*

Significant changes in the way that medical records were seen occurred throughout the Renaissance, thanks in large part to the influence of the writings of Andreas Vesalius (1514–1564) and Leonardo da Vinci (1452–1519). Up until the turn of the 20th century, Da Vinci’s painstaking anatomical drawings served as teaching tools as well as a means of furthering scientific understanding in subjects like orthopedics. But as eHealth records developed, hand-drawn designs could no longer match modern requirements. The use of post-mortem drawings in Vesalius’ ground-breaking book “*De Humani Corporis Fabrica*” (On the Fabric of the Human Body), which was published in 1534, transformed medicine. Jan van Calcar, a Titian student, was particularly notable for his work. Anatomical images were incorporated into medical records, which was a major change from earlier procedures [5].

Despite the small number of intellectuals throughout the Renaissance, there was a symbiotic link between academia and the arts that encouraged cooperation and communication. Planning and carrying out post-mortem exams led to the growth of anatomical knowledge and exposed many of the contradictions in Galen’s once-highly regarded works. Galen’s medical paradigm began to gradually fade away as new findings were made. Curiosity sparked by the Renaissance led to an unparalleled European scientific investigation boom in the 17th century. Scientific advancement was fueled by the abundance of material for medical records that resulted from the widespread use of post-mortem investigations. Philip Verheyen (1648–1710), who made significant contributions to the field of medicine with his detailed anatomical studies and his revolutionary account of phantom pain after amputation, epitomized the era’s commitment to medical investigation. In spite of his personal sorrow, Verheyen was well-liked in European universities for his contributions to medical knowledge, which included the textbook “*Corporis Humani Anatomia*” and the publication “*Letters to My Amputated Leg*.” But as science progressed, flaws in his representations became apparent, and his contributions lost some of their appeal [6].

Estimating the quantity of medical records, encompassing both sketches and descriptive accounts, produced until the early 18th century proves challenging due to significant losses incurred during the Thirty Years’ War and the Great Northern War. The widespread destruction, which affected crucial documents such as parish registers, impedes pre-

cise quantification. Notably, physicians in Western Europe displayed limited diligence in maintaining medical records until the mid-18<sup>th</sup> century, with only a fraction of surviving records having undergone scholarly scrutiny. Benjamin Rush (1745–1813), an eminent American physician trained in Edinburgh, stands out for his meticulous documentation of patient cases, revered today as a cornerstone of medical history. The late 18<sup>th</sup> century witnessed transformative shifts in the nature of hospitals, transitioning from charitable refuges to medical centers, alongside evolving doctor-patient dynamics. This period heralded the emergence of modern medical record systems, notably recorded in national languages rather than Latin. Military surgical courses, relocated to universities in Berlin and Paris at the turn of the 18<sup>th</sup> century, laid the groundwork for formalizing medical education and methodologies, including those pertaining to medical records [7].

In Berlin, the restructuring of a garrison hospital into the surgical collegium in 1724 under Johann Theodor Eller (1689–1760) exemplifies this paradigm shift. Eller championed a hierarchical system wherein junior surgeons meticulously documented patient conditions and treatment histories in journals, fostering communication and skill development among medical practitioners. This innovative approach, consonant with enlightened absolutism—a Prussian iteration of Enlightenment ideals—embodied centralized political authority’s support for institutional advancement, exemplified by Charité—Berlin University of Medicine. Paris’ Hôtel-Dieu hospital emerged as a focal point for medical education advancements in the 18<sup>th</sup> century, spearheaded by Pierre Foubert (1696–1766) and Pierre-Joseph Desault (1744–1795). Daily patient check-ups, mandated by Desault, yielded invaluable data for medical research. Desault’s establishment of the *Journal de Chirurgie* in 1791 marked a pivotal moment, as it provided a platform for documenting and analyzing compelling medical cases. While a standardized method for patient registration had yet to materialize, Paris and Berlin emerged as vanguards in advancing the concept of comprehensive medical records, laying the groundwork for future developments in medical documentation and research [8].

#### *Recent Medical Records:*

The United States began keeping patient case records in the late eighteenth century, establishing its own system separate from that of Europe in 1793 when the Governor Council of the State of New York ordered the establishment of the Book of Admissions and the Book of Discharges at the New York Hospital. The Council then approved the suggestion made by doctors David Hosack and Alexander Hamilton to register all medical cases handled by home doctors in order to preserve information for medical education. But rather than being clinical observations at the bedside, the first entries in the

registers were scant and retrospective, frequently reflecting personal remarks and moral sentiments toward patients. Physicians were free to add their own personal touches to their entries because of the lax bureaucracy, which produced a wide range of record lengths and structures. The Governor Council, under the direction of conservators, tried to standardize record-keeping procedures, but the entries did not meet contemporary standards and frequently reflected personal beliefs, cultural biases, and even mocking attitudes toward patients. As hospital boards realized the necessity for professional, regulated documentation, they started to create guidelines for medical record creation in the 19<sup>th</sup> century, in line with organizational objectives. The recognition that current procedures were insufficient or incorrect, requiring adherence to specific standards and the creation of databases for acronyms and abbreviations to promote efficient communication among medical professionals, was what spurred this change [9].

Similar changes were taking place throughout Europe. Some 19<sup>th</sup>-century medical documents resembled modern procedures, according to historical examinations. Prioritizing patient examinations, Dominique-Jean Larrey (1766–1842) changed the paradigm of diagnostic techniques, which had previously relied mostly on patient histories. Medical records evolved as a result of German viewpoints on laboratory medicine, which stressed the value of gathering and evaluating empirical data. Patient registers were established in Berlin and Paris, which made statistical analyses possible and laid the foundation for clinical research, epidemiology, and evidence-based medicine. According to Barbara L. Craig’s analysis of hospital databases in Ontario and London between 1850 and 1950, this period was crucial for the creation of contemporary medical record systems. During the mid-1800s, there was a notable transition towards the registration of full patient data and the development of universal record templates to improve the clarity of medical case presentations at conferences. The growing specialization in healthcare in the latter part of the 1800s led to considerable modifications in hospital layouts and medical record forms. As the amount of documents increased, a methodical copying and archiving of them in libraries for use in study and teaching was started in 1908. In the Anglosphere, thorough disease histories were exemplified by casuistry, which addressed clinical uncertainty through reasoning, diagnostic suggestions, analytical case evaluations (epicrisis), and referral letters. Document stamps helped formalize medical records, and by the turn of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, administrative practices had moved from loose files to bound paperwork kept in folders after patient discharge [10].

By 1898, bedside records were acknowledged as complete medical records in the United States, and Walter Bradford Cannon (1871–1945) was the first

to use them in teaching at Harvard Medical School. Though data was scattered among wards and clinics, record content remained restricted, frequently consisting of family interviews, medical histories, physical examinations, and treatment details. The circumstances were similar to those found in private and public healthcare environments. The New York Hospital's record numbers for atony and palsy increased steadily between 1810 and 1932, according to Ryann L. Engle's research on the condition. By the late 19th and early 20th centuries, US and European medical records began to resemble modern formats, making it easier to retrieve specific patient information. In the 1910 Abraham Flexner Report, the Rockefeller Foundation recognized the importance of medical records and emphasized their role in improving healthcare quality. In order to improve data accessibility, St. Mary's Hospital and the Mayo Clinic implemented single patient records in 1907, thanks to the pioneering work of Henry S. Plummer (1874–1937). Even with these developments, records were still insufficient by today's standards and lacked thorough analytical analyses (epicrisis) [11].

The 1860s saw the widespread use of hand-written charts recording vital factors, and the early 1900s saw the widespread use of standardized disease histories, charts, and forms. Medical record practices have been using visual information presentation more and more for manageability, taking cues from commercial models. Medical records became important in insurance and court cases in the US and Europe starting around 1880. Color-coded cards and envelopes were used to standardize medical record-keeping for social insurance users in the UK, according to legislation passed in 1911. A complicated interplay between continents is suggested by the effect of European cultural supremacy, even though the origins of established medical record practices are still unknown. 1916 saw the emergence of written guidelines for uniform disease documentation in the US, which predated the current ICD 10 codes. Despite being hampered by unreadable records, the American College of Surgeons' 1918 effort to register every patient was intended to monitor therapy and compare results. As the workforce grew and diagnostic and treatment complexity increased, professionalization efforts grew in recognition of the growing significance of medical records. Driven by the 1919 standardization push of the American College of Surgeons, coordinated registries and expert data administration enabled comparable efforts in Europe [12].

#### *Digital and Electronic Medical Records:*

The introduction of electronic health records (eHealth records) in the 1960s caused a major disruption in the healthcare system as it replaced paper-based data. Punch card systems were used in the early implementations, which were arduous but provided better efficiency in collecting data from

diagnostic procedures. This allowed for easier analysis and use of the data later on in a variety of fields, such as economics, education, therapy, research, and administration. However, medical institutions were sluggish to implement large-scale computer systems; prior to 2009, only 10% of them had such systems, meaning they continued to rely on paper records. Despite persistent worries about efficacy, the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH) Act of 2009 required the integration of eHealth record systems, which led to a boom in adoption rates among US physicians by 2011. This was attributed to cost reductions and software simplification. These days, eHealth systems are used by about 80% of hospitals and doctor's offices. They make use of large databases for research, treatment planning, procedure clearance, and cost control. Modern information technology and artificial intelligence are frequently incorporated into sophisticated search and analysis systems that improve database functionality, enabling evidence-based medicine, assisting in diagnosis and treatment, forecasting pandemics, and lowering medical errors. Though eHealth records offer numerous advantages, 20% of medical professionals questioned expressed reluctance about them, citing compatibility problems, limited user-friendliness, expensive deployment, and the perception of lower standards when compared to business equivalents. These worries highlight the continuous difficulties in eHealth system optimization to satisfy the various demands and expectations of stakeholders in the healthcare industry [13].

eHealth records are becoming more and more common throughout Europe, however different countries rely on paper-based components to varied degrees. One prominent example is Estonia, which has put in place an extensive electronic health record system backed by the X-Road network. This system enables digitalized document management in more than 150 businesses, including hospitals. The Estonian National Health Information System, which provides a variety of health-related data available via an ID card inserted into a computer, has essentially superseded conventional paper-based sources. The decentralized, secure access to medical records provided by this blockchain-based system contributes to Estonia's nearly paperless society and generates significant cost savings [14].

Similar electronic health record systems, which offer consolidated patient data repositories available to authorized experts and healthcare providers, are in place in the Netherlands and Scandinavia. Even though this kind of national integration provides a comprehensive picture of patient history and encourages cost-effectiveness, issues with interoperability, fiscal participation, organizational coordination, and data consistency still exist and may prevent widespread use. The adoption of electronic health records is a promising opportunity to

improve hospital coordination and epidemiological surveillance in light of the COVID-19 pandemic. Large-scale patient data analysis may help discover frequent trends given the similarities in symptoms between COVID-19 and influenza, especially in areas where access to specialized testing is limited. Moreover, using eHealth records to monitor illness histories may help with more precise epidemiological modeling by providing insights into the true scope of the pandemic. Medical histories of patients can also help with risk evaluations for mortality or sequelae following infections. Reducing the use of paper-based documentation not only improves efficiency in healthcare operations but also lowers the chance of virus transmission by surface contact, in line with pandemic infection control protocols [15].

#### Conclusion:

The evolution of medical records from ancient times to the modern era reflects a dynamic interplay between technological advancements, societal needs, and healthcare practices. From the rudimentary cave paintings depicting injuries to the sophisticated eHealth record systems of today, the journey has been marked by significant milestones and transformative shifts. Throughout history, medical records have served as essential tools for documenting patient care, advancing medical knowledge, and improving healthcare delivery. Ancient civilizations pioneered early forms of medical documentation, laying the groundwork for the systematic recording of diagnoses, treatments, and outcomes. The contributions of figures like Hippocrates, Galen, and Rhazes shaped medical practices and established enduring principles of patient care. The Renaissance period witnessed a convergence of science and art, with anatomical sketches and post-mortem examinations revolutionizing medical understanding. The enlightenment era further refined medical documentation, emphasizing the importance of accurate record-keeping in clinical practice and research. In the 20th century, the advent of electronic health records (EHRs) ushered in a new era of healthcare digitization, streamlining data management and enhancing accessibility. Countries like Estonia have embraced cutting-edge technologies such as blockchain to create comprehensive eHealth record systems, setting a precedent for digital healthcare infrastructure. Amidst the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, the role of eHealth records in facilitating efficient healthcare delivery and epidemiological surveillance has become increasingly apparent. These systems offer invaluable insights into disease patterns, treatment outcomes, and population health trends, enabling proactive interventions and informed decision-making. Looking ahead, the future of medical records lies in harnessing emerging technologies like artificial intelligence and interoperable data systems to drive innovation and improve patient care. As healthcare systems continue to evolve, the impor-

tance of robust, secure, and user-friendly medical record systems cannot be overstated. By leveraging the lessons of the past and embracing the possibilities of the future, we can ensure that medical records remain indispensable tools in the pursuit of optimal health outcomes for all.

#### References

- 1- AUJOUAT N.: Lascaux: Movement, Space and Time; Harry N. Abrams: New York, NY, USA, 2005.
- 2- MONTINARI M.R.; MINELLI S. and DE CATERINA R.: The first 3500 years of aspirin history from its roots?—A concise summary. *Vascul. Pharmacol.*, 113: 1–8, 2019.
- 3- CONTI A.A.: Historical evolution of the concept of health in Western medicine. *Acta Biomed.*, 89: 352–354, 2018.
- 4- MOOSAVI J.: The place of Avicenna in the history of medicine. *Avicenna J. Med. Biotechnol.*, 1: 3–8, 2009.
- 5- AFSHARA., STEENSMA, D.P. and KYLE R.A.: Andreas Vesalius and De Fabrica. *Mayo Clin. Proc.*, 94: e67–e68, 2019.
- 6- MIDDELKOOP N., NOBLE P., WADUM J. and BROOS B.: Rembrandt under the Scalpel. The Anatomy Lesson of Dr Nicolaes Tulp Dissected. The Hague Mauritshuis: Hague, The Netherlands, 1998.
- 7- HOORNAERT L.: Philip Verheyens Verheerlijking (1863); Kessinger Publishing Co.: Whitefish, MT, USA, 2010.
- 8- KAISER W.: *Ars medica Anhaltina: On the 225<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the death of Johann Theodor Eller (1689–1760).* *Z. Gesamte Inn. Med.*, 41: 202–208, 1986.
- 9- ENGLE R.L., Jr.: The evolution, uses, and present problems of the patient's medical record as exemplified by the records of the New York Hospital from 1793 to the present. *Trans. Am. Clin. Climatol. Assoc.*, 102: 182–189, 1991.
- 10- SIEGLER E.L.: The evolving medical record. *Ann. Intern. Med.*, 153: 671–677, 2010.
- 11- REISER S.J.: The clinical record in medicine. Part 2: Reforming content and purpose. *Ann. Intern. Med.*, 114: 980–985, 1991.
- 12- KAHN A.P.: From tent medicine to early state hospitals. *Med. Rec. News*, 41: 16–29, 1970.
13. HAHN K.A., OHMAN-STRICKLAND P.A., COHEN D.J., PIASECKI A.K., CROSSON J.C., CLARK, E.C. and CRABTREE B.F.: Electronic medical records are not associated with improved documentation in community primary care practices. *Am. J. Med. Qual.*, 26: 272–277, 2011.
- 14- FRY J. and BLAKE P.: Keeping records in general practice. *Br. Med. J.*, 1 (Suppl. S2681): 339–341, 1956.
- 15- WHO. Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19): Similarities and Differences between COVID-19 and Influenza. Available online: <https://www.who.int/news-room/q-a-detail/q-a-similarities-and-differences-covid-19-and-influenza>

### الملخص العربي

الهدف: تقدم هذه الدراسة نظرة شاملة على التطور التاريخي والحالي لسجلات الطبية، حيث تتبع تطورها من الحضارات القديمة إلى الأنظمة الرقمية الحديثة. بداية من جمع البيانات وحتى خدمات المرضى وزيادة نتائج العلاجات. الهدف من هذا البحث هو توضيح الدور الحاسم لتوثيق الرعاية الصحية في تشكيل ممارسات الرعاية الصحية وتحسين نتائج المرضى.

منهج الدراسة: منهجياً، يتم إجراء فحص شامل للنصوص التاريخية والأدب العلمي والدراسات الحالية لتحديد الأحداث المهمة والاتجاهات في حفظ سجلات الرعاية الصحية.

النتائج: تسلط النتائج الضوء على التقدم من الرسومات الكهفية البدائية والمخطوطات القديمة إلى أنظمة السجلات الصحية الإلكترونية المعقدة، مؤكدة الأثر الجوهري للتطورات التكنولوجية على توثيق الرعاية الصحية. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، تستكشف الدراسة ظهور البنية التحتية للرعاية الصحية الرقمية، مثل نظام السجلات الصحية الإلكترونية في إستونيا، وأثارها على تقديم الرعاية الصحية والرصد الوبائي. من خلال التحليل المقارن بين السابقات التاريخية والممارسات الحالية.

الخلاصة: تؤكد هذه الدراسة على أهمية استمرار تطوير واستثمار تقنيات السجلات الطبية، مؤكدة على إمكانية أنظمة الرقمية في تعزيز كفاءة الرعاية الصحية وفعاليتها وعدالتها في مواجهة التحديات المتغيرة في المجتمع والوبائية.