Vet.Med.J., Giza. Vol.48, No.2. (2000): 179-184.

# EFFECT OF DIFFERENT PERCENTAGES OF SEWAGE WATER ON CONVERSION OF NILE TILAPIA (OREOCHROMIS NILOTICUS) GROWTH PERFORMANCE, SURVIVAL RATE AND FOOD

M. A. SWEILUM.

National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries

Received: 13.6.1999.

Accepted: 23.8.1999.

### SUMMARY

### The effect of sewage water pollution on growth performance, survival rate and food conversion of O. niloticus (8.0 cm for total length and 12.0 The fish were stocked at a density of one fish / 5 liters in glass aquaria (150 liters each). It was gm for body weight) was studied for 91 days. observed that the maximum values of final and normalized 6.14 (75 respectively) were observed in aquarium 2 and % freshwater and 25 % pollutant water). 3.2 biomass index (15.4 gm, weight, specific growth rate

The survival rate of rearing fish was gradually decreased with the increasing of sewage water pollution, while the food conversion and condition factor increased. It was also noticed that the fish production reached its highest value (0.95 kg) in the second pollutant level.

## INTRODUCTION

main poog been considered as one of the main goals of the food considered among the most important resources of different fish species in Egypt. However, they wastes, sewage security. River Nile and its branches materials. In Egypt fish production has Due to the population explosion, the sources of objective of developing countries today water and various kinds of pollutants. human develop new often exposed to and improve

The sewage pollution effected directly on the growth rate of fish species (Draz et al., 1993) or indirectly on chemical composition of water (Nessim & Tadros, 1988) and natural food organisms for fishes (Ibrahim, 1987). This work was conducted to study the effect of sewage water pollution on the growth performance, survival rate and food conversion of *O. niloticus*.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out in 10 glass aquaria (80 x 50 x 50 cm) at a laboratory belonging to El-Kanater El-Khyeria Research Station. Aquaria Nos. 2.3 and 4 were filled with different percentages of fresh and sewage water as been presented in table (1). Aquarium No. 1 is control for the experimentcontain 100 % fresh water and No. 5 contain 100 % pollutant water. Each aquarium was duplicated with the same previous conditions.

The sampling was done weekly from April, first to the end of June, 1998 (91 days). The water temperature and pH value were measured daily before feeding. Dissolved oxygen, alkalinity, ammonia, nitrate, phosphate and silicate were determined using the standard methods described by Arnold et al. (1980).

The total length (Ln) and weight (wt) of *O. niloticus* (15 fish at least) in each aquarium were measured to the nearest cm and gm respectively. The specific growth rate (SGR) was estimated using the formula of Weatherly and Rogers (1978), while the normalized biomass index (NBI) was calculated according to Beck (1979) equation.

 $SGR=(Ln final wt - Ln initial wt) \times 100 / Time (days).$ 

NBI = (Final wt x final No. of fish -  $I_{nitial w_{1}}$  initial No.) / 100.

The survival rate, food conversion and condition factor were also determined biweekly for reared fish in each aquarium. The experimental results were analysed statistically using the student's 7 test according to Berly and Lindgren (1990).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As shown in table (1) the mean weight of 0 niloticus fish in aquarium No. 2 (75 % fresh water and 25 % pollutant water) was increased from 12.0 gm to 51.4 gm at the end of experiment. While in other aquaria the weight was decreased with increasing of sewage water percent. Stastical analysis of the data present table (1) revealed a high significance increased the mean weight of O. niloticus fish reared aquarium No. 2 (p < 0.01) at the end of experiment. While the increase in the mean weight of fish in other aquaria was not significance (P > 0.05).

The results obtained from the previous study must be attributed to that in aquarium No. 2, the chemical compounds and organic matter were suitable to water fertility which lead to elevate of the growth rate of rearing fish than aquarium No. 1 (control group). While in additional additional to the values of the values of the values of the values of the compounds and organic matter were higher than the compounds and organic matter were higher than the values of the values of

Vet.Med.J., Glza. Vol. 48. No. 2(2000)

180

Table 1: Variation of mean body weight value (gm) of O. niloticus reared in different levels of sewage water pollution during a period of 13 weeks.

|                      | Body | Aqua. (1)      | Aqua. (2)      | Aqua. (3)      | Aqua. (4)      | · Aqua. (5)    |
|----------------------|------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Month                | wet  | Control        | 75 % F. W      | 50 % F. W      | 25 % F. W      | 100 % P. W     |
|                      |      | (100 % F. W)   | 25 % P. W      | 50 % P. W      | 75 % P. W      |                |
|                      | Weck | M ± SD         |
| April                | 0    | 12.0 ± 1.2     | 12.0 ± 1.2     | 12.0 ± 1.2     | 12.0 ± 1.2     | 12.0 ± 1.2     |
|                      | 1    | 17.6 ± 2.5     | $21.0 \pm 2.5$ | $13.9 \pm 2.4$ | $12.3 \pm 1.9$ | $12.3 \pm 1.4$ |
|                      | 2 3  | $18.9 \pm 2.7$ | $26.9 \pm 3.0$ | 15.1 ± 2.1     | 14.1 ± 1.9     | 13.2 ± 1.5     |
|                      | 3    | $19.8 \pm 3.0$ | $29.6 \pm 2.8$ | $16.8 \pm 1.8$ | $15.9 \pm 2.4$ | 14.6 ± 1.3     |
|                      | 4    | $22.5 \pm 3.2$ | $31.8 \pm 3.2$ | 18.0± 2.2      | $18.5 \pm 2.3$ |                |
| May                  | 5    | $26.6 \pm 3.6$ | $34.5 \pm 4.7$ | $21.3 \pm 3.1$ | $20.0 \pm 1.0$ |                |
|                      | 6    | $28.6 \pm 4.4$ | $39.0 \pm 2.1$ | $25.8 \pm 3.7$ | $25.4 \pm 3.0$ |                |
|                      | 7    | $30.8 \pm 3.9$ | $41.8 \pm 3.3$ | $29.4 \pm 3.2$ | $28.2 \pm 3.0$ |                |
|                      | 8 9  | $35.9 \pm 4.8$ | $44.7 \pm 3.4$ | $31.4 \pm 4.9$ | $30.4 \pm 1.6$ |                |
|                      |      | $36.7 \pm 2.4$ | $45.7 \pm 1.9$ | $33.9 \pm 4.6$ | $33.8 \pm 3.9$ |                |
| Junc                 | 10   | $39.1 \pm 1.6$ | $46.7 \pm 1.7$ | $34.8 \pm 3.0$ | $35.6 \pm 3.2$ |                |
|                      | 1.1  | $40.0 \pm 1.4$ | $47.0 \pm 0.8$ | $37.0 \pm 4.4$ | $36.2 \pm 2.3$ |                |
| 1                    | 12   | $42.8 \pm 3.8$ | $50.1 \pm 1.4$ | $38.0 \pm 2.7$ | $37.3 \pm 2.9$ |                |
|                      | 13   | 43.0 ± 2.2     | 51.4 ± 1.2     | $39.0 \pm 2.6$ | $38.2 \pm 2.3$ | 44             |
| Statistical analysis |      | s              | ***            | *              | *              | *              |

F.W = Fresh water

P.W = Pollutant water.

\*\*\* high significance.

\* non significance.

Table 2: Specific growth rate (SGR) and normalized biomass index (NBI) of O. niloticus reared in different levels of sewage water pollution.

|              | Body<br>wct<br>Wcck | Aqua. (1)  Control (100 % F. W) |               | Aqua. (2)<br>75 % F. W<br>25 % P. W |                | Aqua. (3)<br>50 % F. W<br>50 % P. W |                | Aqua. (4)<br>25 % F. W<br>75 % P. W |                | Aqua. (5)<br>100 % P. W |       |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Month        |                     |                                 |               |                                     |                |                                     |                |                                     |                |                         |       |
|              |                     | SGR                             | NBI           | SGR                                 | NBI            | SGR                                 | NBI            | SGR                                 | NBI            | SGR                     | NBI   |
| April<br>May |                     | 5.54                            | 1.50          | 8.08                                | 2.28           | 2.17                                | 200            |                                     | -0.40          | 0.42                    | -0.89 |
|              | 2                   | 3.28                            | 1.69          | 5.80                                | 3.66           | 1.68                                | 0.18           | 1.17                                | -0.22          | 0.72                    | -2.02 |
|              | 2 3                 | 2.41                            | 1.94          | 4.32                                | 4.10           | 1.63                                | 0.43           | 1.36                                | 0.06           | 0.96                    | -3.4  |
|              |                     | 2.26                            | 2.48          | 3.50                                | 4.35           | 1.47                                | 0.54           | 1.56                                | 0.47           |                         |       |
|              | 5                   | 2.29                            | 3.58          | 3.01                                | 5.03           | 1.65                                | 1.09           | 1.50                                | 0.64           |                         | 1 47  |
|              | 6                   | 2.08                            | 4.12          | 2.82                                | 6.15           | 1.83                                | 2.08           | 1.80                                | 1.48           |                         |       |
|              | 1 7                 | 1.93                            | 4.72          | 2.56                                | 6.85           | 1.84                                | 2.87           | 1.75                                | 2.00           |                         |       |
|              | 8                   | 1.97                            | 6.09          | 2.36                                | 7.59           | 1.73                                | 3.31           | 1.67                                | 2.48           |                         |       |
|              | 8 9                 | 178                             | 6.31          | 2.13                                | 7.37           | 1.66                                | 3.86           | 1.65                                | 2.82           |                         |       |
|              | 10                  | 1.69                            | 6.96          | 1.95                                | 7.61           | 1.53                                | 4.06           | 1.56                                | 3.16           |                         |       |
| Junc         | 61.76               | 1.57                            | 7.20          | 1.78                                | 7.68           | 1.89                                | 4.17           | 1.44                                | 2.92           |                         |       |
|              | 12                  | 1.52                            | 7.96          | 1.71                                | 8.42           | 1.38                                | 4.00           | 1.36                                | 2.74           |                         |       |
|              | 13                  | 1.41                            | 8.01          | 1.60                                | 8.74           | 1.30                                | 4.20           | 1.28                                | 2.51           | •-                      |       |
|              | Mean ± SD           | 2.29±<br>1.03                   | 4.81±<br>2.43 | 3.20±*<br>1.88                      | 6.14±*<br>2.05 | 1.67±<br>0.23                       | 2.39±*<br>1.67 | 0.35                                | 1.56±*<br>1.36 |                         |       |

F.W = Fresh water

P.W = Pollutant water.

\* The differences in this results are non significance.



Table 3: Chemical analysis of aquaria water and growth performance of fish reared in different levels of sewage pollution.

| ,                             | Aqua 1                 | Aqua 2             | Aqua 3             | Aqua 4              | Aqua 5   |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------|
| Item                          | Control<br>(100% F. W) | 75% F.W<br>25% P.W | 50% F.W<br>50% P.W | 25% F.W<br>75% P.W  | 100% P.W |
| Chemical analysis:            |                        |                    |                    | Control of the last |          |
| Water temp. (C)               | 20.0                   | 20.5               | 20.0               | 19.5                | 20.0     |
| D. oxygen (mg/L)              | 5.0                    | 4.0                | 3.0                | 2.5                 | 1.5      |
| Alkalinty (mg/L)              | 190.3                  | 194.7              | 186.5              | 180                 | 163.4    |
| Ammonia (mg/L)                | 0.5                    | 2.0                | 5.5                | 8.0                 | 8.7      |
| Nitrate (mg/L)                | 0.3                    | 2.0                | 3.0                | 3.5                 | 3.4      |
| Phosphate (mg/L)              | 1.5                    | 5.0                | 8.0                | 8.5                 | 8.6      |
| Silicate (mg/L)               | 16.0                   | 20.5               | 21.6               | 22.5                | 22.4     |
| PH value                      | 6.4                    | 7.0                | 7.3                | 8.0                 | 8.5      |
| Growth performance:           |                        |                    |                    | ,                   |          |
| Gain in weight/fish/day (gm)  | 0.40                   | 0.51               | 0.35               | 0.34                |          |
| Total food consumed (kg)      | 18.07                  | 22.82              | 13.29              | 11.89               |          |
| Food conversion               | 2.16                   | 2.41               | 2.46               | 2.84                |          |
| Fish production/aquarium (kg) | 0.84                   | 0.95               | 0.54               | 0.42                | - **     |
| Condition factor              | 1.96                   | 1.87               | 2.93               | 2.87                |          |
| Number of fish/aquarium       | 30                     | 30                 | 30                 | 30                  | 30       |
| Number of fish loss           | 3                      | 6                  | 10                 | 14                  | 30       |
| Percent of survival           | 90                     | 80                 | 67                 | 53                  |          |

F.W= Fresh water

P.W= Pollutant water.

the optimum level and subsequently were harmful for fish. This explanation is similar to these of Stickney et al. (1979) who reared O. niloticus with different histories of organic fertilization and mentioned that, the purpose of suitable organic fertilization is to increase of pond productivity, especially that of phytoplankton and Seymour (1980) who reported that, the production of fish ponds can be increased by adding suitable fertilizer compounds which encourages growth of phytoplankton and in turn the amount of food available to the fish. On the other hand, zooplankton organisms which are considered by second food item for rearing fish also varied will different levels of organic or inorganic fertilize compounds as has been reported by (Groenews & Schluter, 1981 and Geiger, 1983).

Results presented in table (2) clearly indicate that the mean value of the specific growth rate that the mean value of the specific growth of the specific growth

Vct.Mcd.J., Giza. Vol. 48, No. 2(2000)

Shephard (1988) for common carp. It was also noticed that, the highest mean value of normalized biomass index of O. niloticus (6.14 $\pm$  2.05) was obtained also in aquarium No. 2, while the lowest one (1.56  $\pm$  1.36) was recoreded in aquarium No. 4. This may be attributed to increasing of weight gain of fish at this water pollution than others. The same results were also reported by Carlos (1988) at his study on growth of bighead carp in Saudi Arabia.

The survival rate was also decreased with increasing of sewage water in the aquaria (Table 3). The fish in aquarium No. 5 (100 % pollutant water) were totaly lossed after three weeks of rearing period. This may be due to increasing of pollutant compounds in aquarium water specially total ammonia which has a values of 8.0 and 8.7 mg / L in aquaria Nos. 4, 5. Also to decreasing of dissolved oxygen concentration (2.5 mg / L in aquarium No. 4 and 1.5 mg L in No. 5). These lead to falling of water quality and fertility in the previous rearing aquaria. Miltz & Giesy (1985) reported that, the increasing of mortality of catfish reared under effects of sewage water was due to excessive of toxic compounds in this pollutant water. Similarly Abou El-Gheit et al. (1995) mentioned that, the sewage pollution with high level of ammonia and organic matter has influence the fish life and the bacterial infection in fish.

#### REFERENCES

- Abou El-Gheit, E. N.; Moustafa, M. and Siliem, T. A. (1995): Effect of sewage pollution on bacterial infections among tilapia fish. J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Assoc., 55: 828-841.
- Arnold, E. O.; Joseph, J. C. and David, J. (1980): Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water 15<sup>th</sup> ed. American. Public Health Association Washington, DC. 20005.
- Beck, A. (1979): Panel discussion on live food versus artificial food in fish fry. Cultivation of fish fry and its level food. EMS Special Publ., 4: 517-527.
- Berly, D. A. and Lindgren, B. W. (1990): Statistics, Theory and Methods. Brook / Cole Publishing Company Pacfic Grove, California. pp. 93-121, 186-242, 364-396.
- Carlos, M. H. (1988): Growth and survival of bighead carp (Aristichthys nobilis) fry fed at different intake levels and feeding frequencies. Aquacult., 68: 267-276.
- Draz, A. A.; Samara, I. A.; El-Telbany, M. M. and El-Sarha, A. I. (1993): Effects of chronic exposures on production and total resides among tilapia species. Bull. Nat. Inst. Ocn. & Fish., 19: 351-367.
- Geiger, J. G. (1983): Zooplankton production and manipulation in striped bass rearing ponds. Aquacult., 35: 331-351.
- Groeneweg, J. and Schluter, M. (1981): Mass production of fresh water rotifers on liquid wastes. 11. Mass production of *Brachionus rubens* in the effluent of high rate algal ponds used for the treatment of piggery waste., Aquacult., 25: 23-33.

- Ibrahim, E. A. (1987): Effect of Baylucide on growth and metabolic activities of some freshwater phytoplankers. Bull. Inst. Ocean. and Fish., 13: 89-101.
- Lam, S. W. and Shephard, K. I. (1988): Some effects of natural food levels and high protein supplement on the growth of carp. Aquacult., 72: 131-138.
- Mitz, S. V. and Gicsy, J. P. (1985): Sewage effluent biomonitroing, survival, growth and histopathological effects in channel catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus*. Ecotoxicol. Environ. SAF, 10: 22-39.
- Nessim, R. B. and Tadros, A. B. (1988): Effect of pollution on the chemical composition of the western harbour waters (Alexandria). Bull. Nat. Inst. Ocean. and Fish., 14: 173-181.

- Ofojckwu P. C. and Ejike, C. (1984): Growth respunse and feed utilization in the tropical cichled Oreochromis niloticus fed on cotton seed-hased a artificial diets. Aquacult., 42: 27-36.
- Seymour, E. A. (1980): The effects and control of alg blooms in fish ponds. Auacult., 19: 55-74.
- Stickney, R.R.; Hesby, J.H. Mc Geachin, R.B. and Islace W.A. (1979): Growth of *Tilapia nilotica* in pond wid differeing histories of organic fertilization. Aquacul 17: 189-194.
- Weatherly, A.H. and Rogers, S.C. (1978): Some aspects age and growth. Exology of freah water for production. Blackwell Scientific publication. Oxfo pp: 52-74.