



## Original Article

# A generalization of a half-discrete Hilbert's inequality

Waleed Abuelela <sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Mathematics Department, Deanery of Academic Services, Taibah University, Al-Madinah Al-Munawwarah, Saudi Arabia

<sup>b</sup> Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Nasr City 11884, Cairo, Egypt

Received 3 December 2014; revised 6 May 2015; accepted 30 July 2015

Available online 9 September 2015

**Keywords**

Hilbert inequality;  
Hölder inequality;  
Hadamard's inequality;  
Beta function

**Abstract** Considering different parameters and by means of Hadamard's inequality, we obtain new and more general half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities. Then we extract from our results some special cases that have been proved previously by other authors.

**2010 Mathematical Subject Classification:** 26D15; 26D07; 26D10

Copyright 2015, Egyptian Mathematical Society. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

**1. Introduction**

We study advanced variants of the following classical discrete Hilbert-type inequality [1]: if  $a_m, b_n \geq 0$ ,  $0 < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^2 < \infty$  and  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 < \infty$ , then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q \right)^{1/q}, \quad (1)$$

\* Tel.: +201141308592.

E-mail address: [w\\_abuelela@yahoo.com](mailto:w_abuelela@yahoo.com),

[waleed\\_abu\\_elela@hotmail.com](mailto:waleed_abu_elela@hotmail.com)

Peer review under responsibility of Egyptian Mathematical Society.



Production and hosting by Elsevier

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Inequality (1) has the following integral analogous:

$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left( \int_0^{\infty} f^p(x) dx \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_0^{\infty} g^q(x) dx \right)^{1/q}, \quad (2)$$

unless  $f(x) \equiv 0$  or  $g(x) \equiv 0$ , where  $p > 1$ ,  $q = p/(p-1)$ . The constant  $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ , in (1) and (2), is the best possible, see [1].

Inequalities (1) and (2), which have many generalizations see for example [2,3] and references therein, with their improvements have played fundamental roles in the development of many mathematical branches, see for instance [2,4,5] and references therein. A few results on the half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities with non-homogeneous kernel can be found in [6]. Recently [7–10] gave some new half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities. For example in [8] we find the following inequality

with a non-homogeneous kernel: if  $0 < \int_0^\infty f^2(x)dx < \infty$  and  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n^2 < \infty$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{x+n} dx < \pi \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n^2 \int_0^\infty f^2(x) \right)^{1/2}, \tag{3}$$

where the constant  $\pi$  is the best possible. Then in [10], by using the way of weight coefficients and the idea of introducing parameters and by means of Hadamard’s inequality, the authors gave the following more accurate inequality of (3):

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^\infty \frac{f(x)}{x+n} dx < \pi \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n^2 \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^\infty f^2(x) \right)^{1/2}. \tag{4}$$

Inequalities (3) and (4) have many generalizations concerning the denominator of the left hand side, see for example [11–14].

Our main goal is to obtain a new generalization of the half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality (3). Before proving the main theorem of this paper, Theorem 2.1, let us state and prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.1.** For  $0 < b < x < c$ ,  $\alpha, r, \lambda_2\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , with  $\alpha > r$ ,  $\lambda_1 \in (0, \infty)$ , and  $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$  with  $\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} > p(\frac{\alpha}{r} - 1) \geq \frac{2}{\lambda_2r} - 1$  define

$$w(n) := n^{\lambda_2\alpha} \int_b^c \frac{x^{\lambda_1\alpha-1}}{(x^\alpha + n^r)^\lambda} dx, \tag{5}$$

and

$$\bar{w}(x) := x^{\lambda_1\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{n^{p\lambda_2\alpha+(1-p)\lambda_2r-1}}{(x^\alpha + n^r)^\lambda}. \tag{6}$$

Then

$$w(n) = \frac{n^{\lambda_2(\alpha-r)}}{\alpha} (\beta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) - \Psi(n)), \tag{7}$$

and

$$\bar{w}(x) < \frac{x^{p\lambda_2\alpha(\frac{\alpha}{r}-1)}}{r} \beta(\xi, \zeta), \tag{8}$$

where  $\Psi(n) = \int_0^{\frac{nc}{n^r}} \frac{u^{\lambda_1-1}}{(1+u)^\lambda} du + \int_0^{\frac{n^r}{c}} \frac{u^{\lambda_2-1}}{(1+u)^\lambda} du$ , and  $\beta(\xi, \zeta)$  is the  $\beta$ -function with  $\xi = \lambda_1 - p\lambda_2(\frac{\alpha}{r} - 1)$  and  $\zeta = \lambda_2 + p\lambda_2(\frac{\alpha}{r} - 1)$ .

**Proof.** Putting  $u = \frac{x^\alpha}{n^r}$  in (5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} w(n) &= \frac{n^{\lambda_2(\alpha-r)}}{\alpha} \int_{\frac{b^\alpha}{n^r}}^{\frac{c^\alpha}{n^r}} \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{1-\lambda_1} du \\ &= \frac{n^{\lambda_2(\alpha-r)}}{\alpha} \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{1-\lambda_1} du \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^{\frac{b^\alpha}{n^r}} \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{1-\lambda_1} du - \int_{\frac{c^\alpha}{n^r}}^\infty \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{1-\lambda_1} du \right). \end{aligned}$$

Use the definition of the Beta function ( $\beta(\theta, \gamma) = \int_0^\infty \frac{z^{\theta-1}}{(1+z)^{\theta+\gamma}} dz$ ) in the first integral and the substitution  $u = \frac{1}{v}$  in

the third integral to have

$$\begin{aligned} w(n) &= \frac{n^{\lambda_2(\alpha-r)}}{\alpha} \left( \beta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) - \int_0^{\frac{b^\alpha}{n^r}} \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{1-\lambda_1} du \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^{\frac{n^r}{c^\alpha}} \frac{1}{(1+v)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{v}\right)^{1-\lambda_2} dv \right), \end{aligned}$$

as stated in (7).

In order to prove (8), for fixed  $x \in (b, c)$ , putting

$$f(t) = \frac{x^{\lambda_1\alpha} t^{p\lambda_2\alpha+(1-p)\lambda_2r-1}}{(x^\alpha + t^r)^\lambda}, \quad t \in (0, \infty), \tag{9}$$

leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} f(t) &= x^{\lambda_1\alpha} \left( \frac{-r\lambda t^{p\lambda_2\alpha+(1-p)\lambda_2r+r-2}}{(x^\alpha + t^r)^{\lambda+1}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(p\lambda_2\alpha + (1-p)\lambda_2r - 1) t^{p\lambda_2\alpha+(1-p)\lambda_2r-2}}{(x^\alpha + t^r)^\lambda} \right) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} f(t) &= -\lambda r x^{\lambda_1\alpha} \left( \frac{-r(\lambda+1) t^{p\lambda_2\alpha+(1-p)\lambda_2r+2r-3}}{(x^\alpha + t^r)^{\lambda+2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(p\lambda_2\alpha + (1-p)\lambda_2r + r - 2) t^{p\lambda_2\alpha+(1-p)\lambda_2r+r-3}}{(x^\alpha + t^r)^{\lambda+1}} \right) \\ &\quad + (p\lambda_2\alpha + (1-p)\lambda_2r - 1) x^{\lambda_1\alpha} \\ &\quad \left( \frac{-r\lambda t^{p\lambda_2\alpha+(1-p)\lambda_2r+r-3}}{(x^\alpha + t^r)^{\lambda+1}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(p\lambda_2\alpha + (1-p)\lambda_2r - 2) t^{p\lambda_2\alpha+(1-p)\lambda_2r-3}}{(x^\alpha + t^r)^\lambda} \right) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Hadamard’s inequality

$$f(n) < \int_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} f(t) dt, \quad n \in \mathcal{N},$$

and (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{w}(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty f(n) < \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} f(t) dt = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^\infty f(t) dt \\ &< \int_0^\infty f(t) dt = x^{\lambda_1\alpha} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{p\lambda_2\alpha+(1-p)\lambda_2r-1}}{(x^\alpha + t^r)^\lambda} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $u = \frac{t^r}{x^\alpha}$  in the above inequality leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{w}(x) &< \frac{1}{r} x^{p\lambda_2\alpha(\frac{\alpha}{r}-1)} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{1-(p\lambda_2\frac{\alpha}{r}+(1-p)\lambda_2)} du \\ &= \frac{1}{r} x^{p\lambda_2\alpha(\frac{\alpha}{r}-1)} \beta\left(\lambda_1 - p\lambda_2\left(\frac{\alpha}{r} - 1\right), \lambda_2 + p\lambda_2\left(\frac{\alpha}{r} - 1\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (8).  $\square$

In the following section we state the main result of this paper of which many special cases can be obtained.

## 2. Main results and discussion

In this section we state and discuss our main theorem together with its special cases. For three different parameters  $\alpha, r, \lambda$  we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that  $0 < b < c$ ,  $0 < \alpha$ ,  $0 < r \leq 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  ( $p \neq 0, 1$ ),  $\lambda_1 > 0$ ,  $p\lambda_2\alpha + (1-p)\lambda_2r \leq 1$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ ,  $a_n \geq 0$ , and  $f(x) \geq 0$  is a real measurable function in  $(b, c)$ . Then for  $p > 1$ , the following half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities hold:

$$J := \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p\lambda_2\alpha-1} \left[ \int_b^c \frac{f(x)}{(x^\alpha + n^r)^\lambda} dx \right]^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{10}$$

$$\leq \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_b^c f^p(x) \bar{w}(x) \Phi_{\frac{p}{q}}^{\frac{p}{q}}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, n) x^{p(1-\lambda_1\alpha)-1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

$$I := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \int_b^c \frac{f(x)}{(x^\alpha + n^r)^\lambda} dx \tag{11}$$

$$\leq \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_b^c f^p(x) \bar{w}(x) \Phi_{\frac{p}{q}}^{\frac{p}{q}}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, n) x^{p(1-\lambda_1\alpha)-1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\times \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\lambda_2\alpha)-1} a_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where  $\Phi(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, n) = \beta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) - \Psi(n)$ ,  $\beta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  is the  $\beta$ -function,  $\Psi(n)$  and  $\bar{w}(x)$  are as defined in Lemma 1.1.

**Proof.** Using Hölder's inequality produces

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \int_b^c \frac{f(x)}{(x^\alpha + n^r)^\lambda} dx \right]^p \\ &= \left[ \int_b^c \frac{1}{(x^\alpha + n^r)^\lambda} \left( \frac{x^{(1-\alpha\lambda_1)/q}}{n^{(1-\alpha\lambda_2)/p}} f(x) \right) \left( \frac{n^{(1-\alpha\lambda_2)/p}}{x^{(1-\alpha\lambda_1)/q}} \right) dx \right]^p \\ &\leq \int_b^c \frac{1}{(x^\alpha + n^r)^\lambda} \frac{x^{(1-\alpha\lambda_1)(p-1)}}{n^{(1-\alpha\lambda_2)}} f^p(x) dx \\ &\quad \times \left[ \int_b^c \frac{1}{(x^\alpha + n^r)^\lambda} \frac{n^{(1-\alpha\lambda_2)(q-1)}}{x^{(1-\alpha\lambda_1)}} dx \right]^{p-1} \\ &= \int_b^c \frac{x^{(1-\alpha\lambda_1)(p-1)}}{n^{(1-\alpha\lambda_2)}(x^\alpha + n^r)^\lambda} f^p(x) dx \left[ n^{q(1-\alpha\lambda_2)-1} w(n) \right]^{p-1} \\ &= n^{1-p\lambda_2\alpha} w^{p-1}(n) \int_b^c \frac{f^p(x)}{(x^\alpha + n^r)^\lambda} \frac{x^{(1-\alpha\lambda_1)(p-1)}}{n^{(1-\alpha\lambda_2)}} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Using Lebesgue term-by-term integration theorem (see [15]) and (12), then the left hand side of (10) can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} J^p &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p\lambda_2\alpha-1} n^{1-p\lambda_2\alpha} w^{p-1}(n) \int_b^c \frac{f^p(x)}{(x^\alpha + n^r)^\lambda} \frac{x^{(1-\alpha\lambda_1)(p-1)}}{n^{(1-\alpha\lambda_2)}} dx \\ &= \int_b^c f^p(x) x^{\lambda_1\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{\lambda_2\alpha-1}}{(x^\alpha + n^r)^\lambda} w^{\frac{p}{q}}(n) x^{p(1-\lambda_1\alpha)-1} dx \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_b^c f^p(x) x^{\lambda_1\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{\lambda_2\alpha-1}}{(x^\alpha + n^r)^\lambda} n^{\lambda_2(\alpha-r)\frac{p}{q}} \\ &\quad \times (\beta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) - \Psi(n))^{\frac{p}{q}} x^{p(1-\lambda_1\alpha)-1} dx, \end{aligned}$$

which gives that

$$J \leq \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_b^c f^p(x) \bar{w}(x) \Phi_{\frac{p}{q}}^{\frac{p}{q}}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, n) x^{p(1-\lambda_1\alpha)-1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

This completes the proof of (10). To prove (11), by Hölder's inequality and (10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \int_b^c \frac{f(x)}{(x^\alpha + n^r)^\lambda} dx \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( n^{\frac{1}{p}-\lambda_2\alpha} a_n \right) \left( n^{\lambda_2\alpha-\frac{1}{p}} \int_b^c \frac{f(x)}{(x^\alpha + n^r)^\lambda} dx \right) \\ &\leq \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(\lambda_2\alpha-\frac{1}{p})p} \left( \int_b^c \frac{f(x)}{(x^\alpha + n^r)^\lambda} dx \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(\frac{1}{p}-\lambda_2\alpha)q} a_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= J \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{(\frac{1}{p}-\lambda_2\alpha)q} a_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_b^c f^p(x) \bar{w}(x) \Phi_{\frac{p}{q}}^{\frac{p}{q}}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, n) x^{p(1-\lambda_1\alpha)-1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\lambda_2\alpha)-1} a_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

As a special case of Theorem 2.1, focusing only on (11), when  $c \rightarrow \infty$  and  $b \rightarrow 0$  with  $n < \infty$ , which means that  $\Psi(n) \equiv 0$ , we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.2.** Suppose that  $0 < \alpha$ ,  $0 < r \leq 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  ( $p \neq 0, 1$ ),  $\lambda_1 > 0$ ,  $p\lambda_2\alpha + (1-p)\lambda_2r \leq 1$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ ,  $a_n \geq 0$ , and  $f(x) \geq 0$  is a real measurable function in  $(0, \infty)$ . Then for  $p > 1$ , the following half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{(x^\alpha + n^r)^\lambda} dx \tag{13} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \beta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \frac{1}{r} \beta(\xi, \zeta) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_0^{\infty} x^{p\lambda_2\alpha(\frac{\alpha}{r}-1)+p(1-\lambda_1\alpha)-1} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\lambda_2\alpha)-1} a_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi = \lambda_1 - p\lambda_2(\frac{\alpha}{r} - 1)$  and  $\zeta = \lambda_2 + p\lambda_2(\frac{\alpha}{r} - 1)$ .

Another special case is of Corollary 2.2 that is when  $r = \alpha$ , this leads to the following corollary (which has been proved in [10]).

**Corollary 2.3.** Suppose that  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  ( $p \neq 0, 1$ ),  $\lambda_1 > 0$ ,  $\lambda_2\alpha \leq 1$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ ,  $a_n \geq 0$ , and  $f(x) \geq 0$  is a real measurable function in  $(0, \infty)$ . Then for  $p > 1$ , the following half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{(x^\alpha + n^\alpha)^\lambda} dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \beta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \left( \int_0^{\infty} x^{p(1-\lambda_1\alpha)-1} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\lambda_2\alpha)-1} a_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

**Remark 2.4.** Putting  $p = q = 2$ ,  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $\alpha = 1$  in (14) produces (3).

#### Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank the referees for their valuable comments and careful review.

#### References

- [1] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, 1934.
- [2] B. Yang, L. Debnath, On a new generalization of Hardy–Hilbert’s inequality and its applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 233 (1999) 484–497.
- [3] A. Waleed, Short note on Hilbert’s inequality, *J. Egypt. Math. Soc.* 22 (2014) 174–176.
- [4] K. Jichang, L. Debnath, On new generalization of Hilbert’s inequality and their applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 245 (2000) 248–265.
- [5] M. Gao, On Hilbert’s inequality and its applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 212 (1997) 316–323.
- [6] B. Yang, A mixed Hilbert-type inequality with a best constant factor, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 20 (3) (2005) 319–328.
- [7] B. Yang, A half-discrete reverse Hilbert-type inequality with a homogeneous kernel of positive degree, *J. Zhanjiang Norm. Coll.* 32 (3) (2011b) 5–9.
- [8] B. Yang, A half-discrete Hilbert’s inequality, *J. Guangdong Univ. Educ.* 31 (3) (2011a) 1–8.
- [9] B. Yang, On a half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality, *J. Shantou Univ. (Nat. Sci.)* 26 (4) (2011c) 5–10.
- [10] Q. Huang, B. Yang, On a more accurate half-discrete Hilbert’s inequality, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 106 (2012).
- [11] W. Aizhen, B. Yang, A new more accurate half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 260 (2012).
- [12] B. Yang, C. Qiang, A half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality with a homogeneous kernel and an extension, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 124 (2011).
- [13] X. Dongmei, B. Yang, A half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality with the non-monotone kernel and a best constant factor, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 184 (2012).
- [14] H. Zhenxiao, B. Yang, On a half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality similar to Mulholland’s inequality, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 290 (2013).
- [15] David M. Bressoud, *A Radical Approach to Lebesgue’s Theory of Integration*, first ed., Cambridge University Press, 2008.