

## **IMPACT OF FEED REGIMES AND MICROORGANISM STRAINS ON EGG QUALITY, FERTILITY, HATCHABILITY PARAMETERS AND ECONOMICAL EFFICIENCY OF LAYING HENS.**

**Hamdy I. S. Abd Elaziz<sup>1</sup>; Mostafa I. Tawfeek I.M<sup>1\*</sup>; Aly . Rashwan <sup>1</sup>; Elmasry, M. E<sup>2</sup> and Ahmed M. Bealish<sup>2</sup>**

*1 Animal & Poultry Production Department, Faculty of Technology & Development, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt.*

*2 Animal Production Research Institute, Agriculture Research Centre, Ministry of Agriculture, Giza, Egypt.*

*\*email: mostawms@hotmail.com, ahmedb4477@gmail.com, rashwanali@hotmail.com*

---

### **ABSTRACT:**

*This study was conducted to investigate the effects of dietary feed regimes (FR) and microorganism strain (MS) on egg quality traits, semen quality, fertility and hatchability per total or fertile eggs as well as economic efficiency of Inshas hens (Egyptian local developed strain) during laying period.*

*A total number of 240 laying pullets + 24 cockerals, 24 weeks old were randomly taken to be similar in body weights (1463.15±5.57), which were randomly divided into eight experimental groups, (30 pullets + 3 cockerals in each). Each group was contained three replicates (10 pullets +1 cockeral in each). The experimental groups involved a 2x4 factorial arrangement, 2 diet groups feed regimes ( ad -libitum, mash diets and restricted mash diets (110g diet/bird/day) and 4 microorganism Bacillus strains as feed additives, which were 0.5 % Bacillus subtilus (10<sup>9</sup>CFU/gm), 0.5 % Bacillus licheniformis (10<sup>9</sup>CFU/gm) and 0.5 % Bacillus amyloliquefaciens (10<sup>9</sup>CFU/gm)), respectively, during the experimental period lasted four month from 24 to 40 weeks of age.*

*The obtained results showed that feeding regimes in laying hens' significantly (P<0.05 and P<0.01) caused to improve in Haugh unite and shell weight percentage and fertility percentage and significantly (P<0.01) decrease of albumin index when compared to ad libitum group. While, egg weight, shape index, sell thickens, yolk index, yolk weight %, albumen weight % and hatchability of egg set and fertile eggs % were not significant effected. However, the effect of differences between FR show at 110g diet/bird/day an increase of net revenue (NR) and economic efficiency than the fed ad libitum. Concerning effect of microorganism Bacillus strains supplementation in layer diets caused to increase improved (P≤0.01) significantly of yolk index, Haugh units, yolk weight and albumen weight percentages, sperm motility, dead spermatozoa, sperm abnormalities, sperm cell concentration and fertility values*

when compared to control group. However, the effect of MS supplementation showed the best of (EEF) when treated with *B. subtilis*.

The interaction effects between FR and MS supplementation were significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) and ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) in egg shape index, albumen index and albumen weight and shell weight percentage percentages were significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) while, semen quality fertility and hatchability per total or fertile eggs values were insignificantly effect. The higher average of relative EEF (41.52, %) was found in the interaction between 110g dietary consumed and dietary supplementation *B. subtilis*, whereas, the lower one (14.00%) was shown in the interaction between *ad-libitum* dietary consumed without any additives,

**Conclusively**, it can be concluded that, feeding layer diets at 110g with supplementation MS at level 0.5% were more effective for improving of yolk index, Haugh units, albumen index, shell weight (%), semen quality, fertility and hatchability per total or fertile eggs values as well as recorded the highest (EEF) when compared to other treatment groups of Inshas laying hens.

**Keywords:** Feed regimes, microorganism strain, egg quality, fertility, hatchability parameters, economical efficiency laying hens.

---

## INTRODUCTION

Feed management practices aiming to improve poultry industry without increasing production cost (Mateos *et al.*, 2012) Quantitative feed restriction is one of the possible ways to control body weight of hens during laying period and metabolic rate to some extent as well as improving feed conversion and reducing feed cost. Therefore, hatching egg producers use feed restriction programs to prevent birds from getting over weighed, to delay sexual maturity, to avoid reproductive dysfunction, and to increase the production of settable eggs (Renema and Robinson, 2004).

Recently, published results have reported that the 75% feed restriction program employed during the rearing stage provides the best performance and reproductive traits response of broiler breeder hens reared on floor pens (Carneiro *et al.*, 2019). Moreover, Moreira *et al.* (2012) observed that laying hens can be submitted to 5% feed restriction with the supply of hay *ad-libitum* without significant changes on the performance of the hens and egg quality. In the metabolism of chickens either to produce more desirable end-products (Leesons and Summers, 2008).

Feed restriction is a widely used method in the poultry industry for reasons including controlling body weight (BW), flock uniformity and performance (Lu *et al.*, 2021; Scott *et al.*, 1999), and improving egg quality (Tolkamp *et al.*, 2005), feed efficiency, increased profitability (Ewa *et al.*, 2008; Olawumi, 2014) and disease management (Han and Smyth, 1972).

There are many ways to restrict feed, including quantitative measures such as reducing the feed allowance provided several times a day (**Taherkhani et al., 2010**), non-daily feeding (**Wilson et al., 2018**), and time-restricted feeding (**Saibaba et al., 2021**), in addition to specific methods that allow birds to access different allocations of nutrients (protein, energy or amino acids) in diets (**Ghazanfari et al., 2010**) or dilute feed with components of low nutritional value (**Rezaei and Hajati, 2009; Röhe et al., 2018**).

Recently, **Carneiro et al., (2019)** evaluated the effect of different feed restriction programs applied, during rearing period on performance and reproductive traits of broiler breeder pullets reared on floor pens and found that 3/4 program could be more efficient than 2/5 program in fertility and hatchability percentages.

**Wesam Ibrahim et al (2021) & Wesam Ibrahim (2024)** reported that feed restriction had significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) on hatchability of set and fertile eggs, which were significantly increased at *ad libitum* as compared to consumption of 90% and 80% *ad libitum* during the different experimental.

There are many definitions of probiotics, the most important of which is the joint report between the Food and Agriculture Organization. In 2002, the World Health Organization published that probiotics is a farmer of microorganisms that may be unilateral or mix. It has positive effects on the health of the host. There are many studies dealt with this aspect, as it emphasized the role of probiotics in improving production efficiency from the rate of body weight gain, the rate of nutritional conversion and immune responses, as well as the rate of mortality and many positive effects within the body of the bird (**Higgins et al., 2007; Mountzouris et al., 2007; Awad et al., 2009; Blajman et al., 2015**).

Certainly, egg quality characteristics considered one of the most important measurements that used in the evaluation of bird response to feed additives. In this context, a lot of research has confirmed the positive effects of the use of probiotic as additions to feed, especially the white chicken, which was evident in improving the shell thickness, albumin, and yolk well as the Haugh units (**Ashayerizadeh et al., 2011; Ray, 2018 (Panda et al., 2003; Panda et al., 2008)**

**Xu et al., (2006)** studied supplemented dried 500, 1, 000, or 1, 500 mg of *B. subtilis* culture that affect egg quality of 25-wk-old Lohmann Brown laying hens. They conveyed that increases in eggshell thickness, yolk color, and Haugh unit, and decreases in yolk cholesterol concentration ( $P < 0.05$ ). In addition, **Li et al., (2006)** found that dried *B. subtilis* cultures supplementation on laying hen increased eggshell thickness.

Different types of bacteria are present in the intestines of birds, which may negatively affect the quality and fertility of semen (**Triplett et al., 2016;**

**dos Santos *et al.*, 2018a, b**). In contrast, feeding diets containing *B. subtilis* KATMIRA1933 and *B. amyloliquefaciens* B-1895 to roosters resulted in increased sperm concentration and reduced abnormal sperm counts, as well as increased fertility (**Mazanko *et al.*, 2018**).

Therefore, the aim of this study to evaluate feeding regimes (FR) and supplementation of microorganism *Bacillus* strains in the diet on some productivity, egg production, egg quality traits, fertility and hatchability per total or fertile eggs and economic parameters of Inshas (Egyptian local developed strain) laying hens..

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### ***Birds, management and experimental design:***

This study was carried out at Sakha Animal Production Research Station, Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt.

A total number of 240 laying pullets + 24 cockerals, 24 weeks old were randomly taken to be similar in body weights ( $1463.15 \pm 5.57$ ), which were randomly divided into eight experimental groups, (30 pullets + 3 cockerals in each). Each group was contained three replicates (10 pullets +1 cockeral in each). The experimental groups involved a 2x4 factorial arrangement, 2 diet groups feed regimes (*ad-libitum*, mash diets and restricted mash diets (110g diet/bird/day) and 4 microorganism *Bacillus* strains as feed additives, which were 0.5 % *Bacillus subtilis* ( $10^9$ CFU/gm), 0.5 % *Bacillus licheniformis* ( $10^9$ CFU/gm) and 0.5 % *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* ( $10^9$ CFU/gm)), respectively, during the experimental period lasted four month from 24 to 40 weeks of age.

All birds were housed individually in layer's rooms and maintaining in similar managerial and conditions environment with a photoperiod length of 17 h daily. Feed and water were provided *ad libitum* throughout, the experimental period (24-40 weeks of age). Experimental diets were formulated to be *is nitrogenous* and *iso-caloric* to cover the nutrients requirements as recommended by **Agriculture Ministry Decree (1996)** as shown in Table 1.

### ***Preparation of Bacillus strains as dietary probiotic bio-additives in layer feed.***

The three selected *Bacillus* strains were isolated from different sources according to their National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) accession number (**El-Masry, 1997**) as shown in Table 2.

### ***Measurements studied:***

Total feed intake (TFE) Kg were recorded weekly in each replicate, during the experimental periods (24 - 40 weeks of age). Egg quality parameters

**Table (1):** Composition and calculated chemical analysis of the experimental diets

Ingredients	Basal diet, %
Yellow corn	66
Soybean meal (44%)	24
Limestone	7.59
Di-calcium phosphate	1.71
Sodium chloride	0.3
Vit.& Min. Mixture***	0.3
DL.Methionine	0.1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Calculated analysis</b>	
Metabolizable energy (kcal/kg)	<b>2750</b>
Crude Protein, %	<b>16.43</b>
Crude fiber, %	<b>3.20</b>
Ether extract, %	<b>2.70</b>
Calcium, %	<b>3.33</b>
Available phosphate, %	<b>0.45</b>
Lysine, %	<b>0.86</b>
Methionine, %	<b>0.39</b>

**Each 3 kg of Vitamins and Minerals mixture \* contains:** vit.A, 10000 IU; D<sub>3</sub>, 2000 IU; Vit.E, 10mg; Vit.K<sub>3</sub>,1mg; vit.B<sub>1</sub>, 1mg; vit. B<sub>2</sub>, 5mg; vit.B<sub>6</sub>, 1.5mg; vit. B<sub>12</sub>, 10mcg; Niacin, 30mg; Pantothenic acid, 10mg; Folic acid, 1mg; Biotin, 50µg; Choline, 260mg; Copper, 4mg; Iron; 30mg; Manganese, 60mg; Zinc, 50mg; Iodine, 1.3mg; Selenium, 0.1mg; Cobalt, 0.1mg.

\*\* According To Egyptian feed composition Tables (2001)

\*\*\*According To AOAC (1998)

\*\*\*\*According To NRC (1994).

**Table (2):** Method of isolating selected Bacillus strains

Bacillus strain	Source	Accession number
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> MASRY R strain	isolated from soil	KY952907
<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i> MASRY R strain	isolated from the cecum of a healthy rabbit	OP764001
<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> MSRY F strain	isolated from buffalo dung	OP762997

were determined at 32, 36 and 40wk of age. Six eggs in each experimental group (2 in each replicate) were randomly taken to measure egg quality traits. Egg dimensions (width and length) were measured using digital vernier caliper for shape index (%). Eggs were broken on a flat glass plate for measuring yolk, albumen indices according to **Amer (1972)**. Albumen and yolk heights were measured to the nearest millimeter by triple micrometer for Haugh units and albumen and yolk indices according to **Ismail (2009)**. Shell membrane thickness was obtained after measured shell thickness and then after washed and cleaned carefully from membranes. Shell membrane is the difference between shell thickness with membrane and shell thickness without membrane. Relative weights of each egg component to whole egg weight (shell, yolk and albumen weight) were then calculated according to **Amer (1972)**.

Fertility (%) was calculated as the percentage of the number of fertile eggs relative to the number of total eggs. Hatchability (%) per total set eggs and fertile eggs was estimated, while chick weight produced at hatching was measured at 32, 36 and 40 weeks of age.

#### ***Semen characteristics:***

At 32 weeks of age semen samples collected using massage technique according to **Lake and Stewart (1978)**, and the ejaculated volume were measured by graded tube then samples of each treatment were mixed before applying artificial insemination. Both motility % and dead sperm % were determined according to **Hackett and Macpherson (1965)**, while the percentage of abnormal morphological characterizations sperms was determined as described by **Blom (1983)**. Sperm cell concentration ( $\times 10^9/\text{ml}$ ) was determined according to **Lake and Stewart (1978)**. Acrosomal damage (%) was determined according to **Watson (1975)**. Total sperm output ( $\times 10^9/\text{ejaculate}$ ) was calculated by multiplying both the ejaculated volume sperm cell concentration.

#### ***Statistical analysis.***

The experiment data were statistically examined by analysis of variance according to **Snedecor and Cochran (1982)** using ANOVA procedures of **SAS (SAS, 2011)**. The statistical model was used as follows:

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + S_i + F_j + (SF)_{ij} + e_{ijk}$$

**Where:**,  $Y_{ij}$  = an observation,  $\mu$  = overall mean,  $S_i$  = Effect of the feed additives groups, ( $i= 1, 2, 3$  and  $4$ ), Feeding regimes ( $j=1, 2$ ),  $(SF)_{ij}$  = Interaction effect ( $ij= 1, 2 \dots +8$ ),  $e_{ij}$  = residual "random error".

Mean treatment differences were obtained by Duncan's multiple range tests (**Duncan, 1955**) and values are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM. All the analyses were considered to be statistically significant at  $P < 0.05$ . The percentage values were subjected to be arcsine transformation before

performing the analysis of variance. Means were presented after recalculated from the transformed value to percentages.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### ***Egg quality parameters:***

The effect of dietary feeding regimes (FR) and dietary supplementation of different microorganism types (MS) and their interaction on egg quality parameters (external egg quality, internal egg quality indices and component of egg weight percentages) of laying hens for the whole experimental period (24-40 weeks of age) are shown in Table 3.

Feeding regimes with 110g was significantly ( $P < 0.05$  and  $P < 0.01$ ) caused an improve in Haugh units and shell weight percentage and significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) decrease of albumen index when compared to *ad libitum* group. Egg weight, shape index, sell thickens, yolk index, yolk weight %, albumen weight% were not significant effect (Table 3).

This finding agree with **Olawuni et al, (2014)** who stated that treatments 90, 80 or 70 *ad libitum* feeding caused significant linear ( $P < 0.05$ ) increases in albumen index and Haugh unit values. **Baloch et al. (2001)** found no significant differences in egg weight as a result of starvation or feeding regime. **Ukachukwu and Akpan, (2007)** reported that the egg quality was not significantly affected by the different feeding regimes in chickens. **Zukiwsky et al. (2021)** reported that, EW of feed-restricted birds increased up to 29 wk of age, which coincided with this time BW began to increase. EW may have been similar across feed-restricted of broiler breeders because of frequent meals that provided a sufficient amount of nutrients throughout the day. **Hasnath (2002)** found insignificant differences in yolk index, albumen index and shell thickness, of fayomi hens between laying hens fed *ad libitum* and 80% of *ad libitum*. **Weesam Ibrahim et al. (2021) & Weesam Ibrahim (2024)** illustrated that egg weight quality (g) shape index and yolk index while, significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) effect of on albumen % and egg shell thickness of Mandarah laying hens had insignificantly affected by feed restriction during the different ages.

**Ukachukwu and Akpan, (2007)** reported that the egg quality was not significantly affected by the different feeding regimes in chickens.

These results agree with the result of **Olawumi, (2014)**. who reported that albumen and egg Haugh units (HU) with Brown laying hen had higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) mean values than with Black laying hens. Haugh unit is a measure of internal egg quality, the higher value, meaning higher nutritive value of an egg.

Data present in Table 3 show that egg weight, sell thickness, yolk index, albumen index, and cell weight percentage were insignificantly affected by MS as compared to control group. But, egg shape index, Haugh units and yolk

weight and albumen weight percentages were significantly ( $P < 0.05$  and  $P < 0.01$ ). These results agree with **Bothina El-Kheshin *et al.* (2021) & Bothina El-Kheshin (2024)** reported that synbiotic supplementation in egg weight quality were not significantly.

Regarding the effect of interaction between FR and MT in egg weight quality, shell thickness, yolk index, Haugh units and yolk weight percentage were insignificantly affected by MT as compared to control group. But, egg shape index, albumen index and albumen weight and shell weight percentage percentages were significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) are present in Table 3.

In confirmation to our findings several researchers also observed no significant difference in shape index due to supplementation of either probiotics or prebiotics in diet of layers (**Zarei *et al.*, 2011 and Yosefi and Karkoodi (2007)**). In contrast to the present results, **Swain *et al.*, (2011)** reported that shape index was increased ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) due to probiotic and yeast supplementation 0.5 or 1.5 or 2.0g/Kg of diet.

These results agree with **Obianwuna *et al.* (2023)** showed that; eggshell quality was increased by PRO ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) and albumen indices (Haugh units, thick albumen content, and albumen height) were enhanced by PRO, PRE and SYN ( $P \leq 0.05$ ). **Bothina El-Kheshin *et al.* (2021) & Bothina El-Kheshin (2024)** indicated that the difference synbiotic supplementation in (AI) were significantly ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) improved by increasing levels as compared with control group during all the experimental periods.

The results indicated that the difference MS supplementation in shell thickness were not significantly during the experimental period when compared to control grouping (Table 4). **Mahdavi *et al.*, (2005)**, and **Mohebbifar *et al.*, (2013)**, found no considerable effects from inclusion of probiotic in the layers' diet on egg quality whereas **Sheoran *et al.*, (2017)**, reported increase in eggshell thickness from 0.348 to 0.374 mm when feed was supplemented with probiotics and prebiotics. It was assumed that the beneficial effect on eggshell quality was associated with the stimulating effect of pro- and prebiotics on metabolic events and utilization of calcium (**Abdelqader *et al.*, (2013 and Li *et al.*, 2017)**).

These results are in the same line with those obtained by **Neijat *et al.* (2019)** who reported that *B. subtilis* supplementation led to an improvement in albumen quality and nesting units of laying hens. **Bothina El-Kheshin (2024)** reported that the difference between synbiotic were not significantly in AW%, during all the experimental periods.

The egg is known to normally consist of water (74%), proteins (12%), lipids (12%), carbohydrate (<1%) as well as vitamins and minerals (**Li-Chan and Kim, 2008**).



**Table (3):** Effect of feed regimes and Microorganism strain as feed additives on egg quality parameters of Inshas layers.

Parameters		External egg quality			Internal egg quality indices			Component of egg weight (%)		
		Egg weight (g)	Shape index (%)	Shell thickness (mm)	Yolk index (%)	Albumen index (%)	Haugh unit (score)	Yolk weight (%)	Albumen weight (%)	Shell weight (%)
<b>Feeding regimes (FR)</b>										
<i>ad libitum</i>		49.41	76.99	36.48	42.22	72.8 <sup>a</sup>	87.9 <sup>b</sup>	31.57	56.51	11.9 <sup>b</sup>
<b>110g/hen/day</b>		48.04	76.84	36.33	42.75	69.9 <sup>b</sup>	89.8 <sup>a</sup>	31.38	56.38	12.2 <sup>a</sup>
MSE		<b>0.51</b>	<b>0.53</b>	<b>0.23</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>0.36</b>	<b>0.58</b>	<b>0.25</b>	<b>0.29</b>	<b>0.9</b>
Sig. test		NS	NS	NS	NS	**	*	NS	NS	**
<b>Microorganism types (MT)</b>										
Non-supplemented		48.50	76.92 <sup>ab</sup>	36.32	42.71	71.35	87.82 <sup>bc</sup>	30.87 <sup>a</sup>	57.26 <sup>a</sup>	11.89
<i>B. subtilis</i>		48.82	78.25 <sup>a</sup>	36.23	43.40	71.88	91.51 <sup>a</sup>	32.49 <sup>a</sup>	55.48 <sup>b</sup>	12.05
<i>B. licheniformis</i>		49.00	76.50 <sup>b</sup>	36.67	41.09	70.67	90.05 <sup>ab</sup>	31.91 <sup>a</sup>	55.82 <sup>b</sup>	12.29
<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i>		48.56	76.00 <sup>b</sup>	36.40	42.73	71.64	86.15 <sup>c</sup>	30.57 <sup>b</sup>	57.21 <sup>a</sup>	12.17
MSE		<b>0.71</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>0.32</b>	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.82</b>	<b>0.76</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>0.38</b>	<b>0.12</b>
Sig. test		NS	*	NS	NS	NS	**	**	**	NS
<b>interactions</b>										
FR	MT									
<i>ad libitum</i>	Non-supplemented	49.09	77.45 <sup>ab</sup>	36.00	42.54	70.39 <sup>bc</sup>	86.62	31.28	56.83 <sup>ab</sup>	11.90 <sup>b</sup>
	<i>B. subtilis</i>	49.12	77.43 <sup>ab</sup>	36.47	43.76	75.05 <sup>a</sup>	90.75	32.80	55.17 <sup>cd</sup>	12.04 <sup>b</sup>
	<i>B. licheniformis</i>	49.51	77.47 <sup>ab</sup>	36.42	40.78	72.52 <sup>abc</sup>	89.65	31.41	56.75 <sup>abc</sup>	11.86 <sup>b</sup>
	<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i>	49.91	75.60 <sup>b</sup>	37.05	41.80	73.46 <sup>ab</sup>	84.61	30.78	57.28 <sup>ab</sup>	11.96 <sup>b</sup>
<b>110g/hen/day</b>	Non-supplemented	47.91	76.39 <sup>b</sup>	36.64	42.88	72.32 <sup>abc</sup>	89.07	30.45	57.69 <sup>a</sup>	11.87 <sup>b</sup>
	<i>B. subtilis</i>	48.52	79.06 <sup>a</sup>	36.04	43.04	68.71 <sup>c</sup>	92.27	32.18	55.78 <sup>bcd</sup>	12.06 <sup>b</sup>
	<i>B. licheniformis</i>	48.49	75.53 <sup>b</sup>	36.93	41.39	68.81 <sup>c</sup>	90.44	32.41	54.88 <sup>d</sup>	12.72 <sup>a</sup>
	<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i>	47.22	76.39 <sup>b</sup>	35.75	43.67	69.81 <sup>bc</sup>	87.69	30.50	57.14 <sup>ab</sup>	12.37 <sup>ab</sup>
MSE		<b>1.03</b>	<b>0.47</b>	<b>0.46</b>	<b>0.63</b>	<b>1.32</b>	<b>1.02</b>	<b>0.49</b>	<b>0.56</b>	<b>0.17</b>
Sig. test		NS	*	NS		*	NS	NS	*	*

<sup>a,b</sup> Means having different letters in the same column differ significantly (P ≤ 0.05).  
 NS= Not significant ; \* = (P ≤ 0.05);

Probiotic supplements to poultry feed improve egg and qualities especially eggshell. The increase in eggshell thickness is associated with the production of short sequential fatty acids due to fermentation later reduces the lymphine pH (Scholz-ahrens *et al.*, 2007). In this connection, Mazanko *et al.* (2018) reported that inclusions of *B. subtilis* KATMIRA1933 and Bacillus

*amyloliquefaciens* B-1895 as a nutritional supplement resulted in an increase in egg quality.

### ***Semen quality***

Data of semen quality are presented in Table (4). It could be noted that feed restricted at 110g per cock/ day had significantly ( $p \leq 0.01$ ) higher values for sperm abnormality percent and decrease of sperm cell concentration) when compared with *ad-libitum* of Inshas cocks. On the other hand, ejaculate volume, hydrogen-ion concentration, sperm motility, dead spermatozoa percent's were not significantly differed between the two FR (Table 4).

This result shows that adequate nutritional environment is essential to maintain the breeding flock in good reproductive condition. **Pana *et al* (2000)** reported that Cornish broiler cocks whose daily feed onsumoion Limited to 130 g produced ejuclates whose concentration did not differ significantly from their full- feed counterparts. Reducing the daily feed intake by (30 to 50%) was found not adversely affect semen production and semen quality attributes of Rod Island cocks (**Kabir *et al* 2007**)

Regarding the effect of microorganism strain (MS) supplementation of *B subtilis*, *B licheniformis* or *B amyloliquefaciens* (0.5%) in cocks diets improved significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) in sperm motility, dead spermatozoa, sperm abnormalities percent's and sperm cell concentration as compared to non-supplemented group. While, the ejaculate volume and hydrogen-ion concentration were not significantly differed between the MT groups (Table 4).

Different types of bacteria present in the intestines of birds may negatively affect the quality of semen (**Triplett *et al.*, 2016; dos Santos *et al.*, 2018a, b**). On the contrary, and in line with the current study, many studies have recorded the positive effect of probiotics on the semen quality of roosters (**Mazanko *et al.*, 2018; dos Santos *et al.*, 2018a, b; Prazdnova *et al.*, 2019**).

When feeding roosters with *Bacillus subtilis* KATMIRA1933 and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* B-1895 supplements, semen concentration and fertility increased while the number of abnormal sperm decreased (**Mazanko *et al.*, 2018**).

Also, adding *Bacillus subtilis* KATMIRA1933 and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* B-1895 to the diet of roosters' improved testicular development and antioxidant status by raising vitamin E and A concentrations as well as reducing DNA damage, thus reducing the percentage of abnormal sperm and the percentage of abnormal sperm. Promote sperm concentration and fertility (**Prazdnova *et al.*, 2019**).

**Table (4):** Evaluation of semen quality strain of Inshas layers as affected by feeding regimes (FR) and dietary supplementation of different microorganism types (MT), at 32 weeks of age.

Parameters		Ejaculate volume (ml)	Hydrogen-ion concentration (pH)	Sperm motility (%)	Dead spermatozoa (%)	Sperm abnormalities (%)	Sperm cell concentration (X10 <sup>9</sup> /ml)
<b>Feeding regimes (FR)</b>							
<i>ad libitum</i>		0.26	7.26	88.34	11.75	9.00 <sup>b</sup>	3.11 <sup>a</sup>
<i>110g/hen/day</i>		0.26	7.22	85.00	13.75	12.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.74 <sup>b</sup>
<b>MSE</b>		<b>0.02</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>0.87</b>	<b>0.69</b>	<b>1.05</b>	<b>0.13</b>
<b>Sig. test</b>		NS	NS	NS	NS	*	*
<b>Microorganism types (MT)</b>							
<i>Non-supplemented</i>		0.26	7.17	83.34 <sup>bc</sup>	14.50 <sup>a</sup>	14.17 <sup>a</sup>	2.76 <sup>b</sup>
<i>B. subtilis</i>		0.26	7.25	91.677 <sup>a</sup>	12.34 <sup>ab</sup>	11.50 <sup>ab</sup>	2.85 <sup>b</sup>
<i>B. licheniformis</i>		0.25	7.24	90.00 <sup>ab</sup>	10.00 <sup>b</sup>	7.50 <sup>c</sup>	3.37 <sup>a</sup>
<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i>		0.26	7.30	81.67 <sup>c</sup>	14.17 <sup>a</sup>	8.84 <sup>bc</sup>	2.73 <sup>b</sup>
<b>MSE</b>		<b>0.08</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>0.61</b>	<b>0.97</b>	<b>0.98</b>	<b>0.19</b>
<b>Sig. test</b>		NS	NS	*	*	*	*
<b>Interactions</b>							
FR	MT						
<i>Ad libitum</i>	<i>Non-supplemented</i>	0.27	7.20	85.00	14.00	13.00	2.89
	<i>B. subtilis</i>	0.25	7.14	81.67	15.00	15.34	2.63
	<i>B. licheniformis</i>	0.25	7.24	93.34	11.67	9.34	3.08
	<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i>	0.27	7.27	90.00	13.00	13.67	2.61
<i>110g/hen/day</i>	<i>Non-supplemented</i>	0.25	7.27	91.67	9.67	7.67	3.3
	<i>B. subtilis</i>	0.25	7.20	88.34	10.34	7.34	3.43
	<i>B. licheniformis</i>	0.25	7.34	83.34	11.67	6.00	3.16
	<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i>	0.27	7.27	80.00	16.67	11.67	2.30
<b>MSE</b>		<b>0.05</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>3.32</b>	<b>1.68</b>	<b>1.44</b>	<b>0.21</b>
<b>Sig. test</b>		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

<sup>a,b</sup> Means having different letters in the same column differ significantly (P≤ 0.05).  
 NS= Not significant ; \* = (P≤ 0.05); \*\* = (P≤ 0.01)., MSE; means of the standard error.

Concerning the effect of interaction between feeding regimes and dietary supplementation of different microorganism types showed insignificant effect on semen quality of cocks at period studied (Table 4).

**2. Fertility and hatchability traits**

Feed regimes had significantly (P<0.01) effect on fertility percentages, while hatchability of total egg sett and fertile eggs which had not affected

significantly by feed regimes which, was increased by feeding *ad libitum* as compared with those consumed 110g/ day feeding during the different experimental ages, (Table 5). These results agree with **Carneiro *et al.*, (2019)** who evaluated the effect of different feed restriction programs applied during rearing on the performance and reproductive traits of broiler breeder pullets reared on floor pens and found that  $\frac{3}{4}$  of *ad libitum* could be more efficient than  $\frac{2}{5}$  of *ad libitum* for fertility and hatchability percentages. The obtained results agree with those indicated by **Crouch *et al.* (2002)** who showed that cumulative mean hatchability of fertile eggs was significantly greater in turkeys that were restricted feed during the rearing phase than in turkeys that were fed *ad libitum* during the same rearing period. The same authors found turkeys that were shifted from restricted feeding during the rearing phase to *ad libitum* feeding during the laying phase had a significantly higher embryonic mortality and hence a lower hatching percentage compared with other treatments. **Hassan *et al.* (2003)** reported that feed restriction at 70 and 85% of *ad libitum* intake did not significantly decrease fertility between 6 and 13 wks of quail age.

Regarding the effect of microorganism strain (MS) supplementation of *B subtilis*, *B licheniformis* or *B amyloliquefaciens* (0.5%) in layer diets improved insignificantly hatchability traits, except fertility percentage which, was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) by supplementation of *B subtilis*, *B licheniformis* as compared with un-supplemented and supplemented of *B amyloliquefaciens*. These results are agreement with **Elham Darsi and Zaghari (2021)** reported that the *Bacillus subtilis* PB6 supplementation in the broiler breeder diet increased eggs hatchability.

Age and diet can influence embryogenesis and hatchability of broiler breeder eggs (**Peebles *et al.* 2000**). Our results were in accordance with those of **Mojgani *et al.* (2020)** who reported that quails fed probiotics ( $10^8$  colony forming units /ml *B. megaterium*) significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) improved H% by about 12% and reduced embryonic mortality by about 10% compared with the control. Furthermore, **Beck *et al.* (2019)** observed that the Bifido bacterium animalis treatment significantly reduced the percentage of piped eggs compared to the control. This indicates the possibility of injecting *B. animalis* into the amnion of an embryo at the 18th of embryonic development with a potential to improve hatching performance.

On the contrary, **Ayasan (2013)** found that dietary supplementation of commercial probiotics (protexin) with levels of 0.05 and 0.10%/kg diet did not affect F% and H% from the fertile eggs of Japanese quail layers compared to the control.

**Table (5):** Fertility and hatchability traits of Inshas layers as affected by feeding regimes (FR) and dietary supplementation of different microorganism strain (MS), during the experimental periods

Parameters		Fertility (%)	Hatchability of total egg (%)	Hatchability of fertile eggs (%)
<b>Treatments</b>				
<i>Feeding regimes (FR)</i>				
	<i>ad libitum</i>	86.37 <sup>a</sup>	72.18	83.59
	<b>110g/hen/day</b>	83.19 <sup>b</sup>	70.69	85.07
	<b>MSE</b>	<b>0.73</b>	<b>1.15</b>	<b>1.23</b>
	<b>Sig. test</b>	<b>**</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>
<i>Microorganism types (MT)</i>				
	<b>Non-supplemented</b>	83.46 <sup>bc</sup>	68.65	82.33
	<i>B. subtilus</i>	85.93 <sup>ab</sup>	74.58	86.77
	<i>B. licheniformis</i>	86.76 <sup>a</sup>	74.38	85.82
	<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i>	82.97 <sup>c</sup>	68.15	82.38
	<b>MSE</b>	<b>1.08</b>	<b>1.52</b>	<b>1.66</b>
	<b>Sig. test</b>	<b>*</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>
<b>Interactions</b>				
<b>FR</b>	<b>MT</b>			
<b>ad libitum</b>	<b>Non-supplemented</b>	75.56	62.23	74.05
	<i>B. subtilus</i>	82.97	68.15	82.38
	<i>B. licheniformis</i>	88.44	77.61	87.78
	<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i>	83.42	71.54	85.77
<b>110g/hen/day</b>	<b>Non-supplemented</b>	88.15	69.14	84.91
	<i>B. subtilus</i>	85.38	73.94	86.72
	<i>Ba. licheniformis</i>	84.94	67.17	79.37
	<i>B. myloliquefaciens</i>	80.99	74.82	85.40
	<b>MSE</b>	<b>2.23</b>	<b>2.61</b>	<b>2.94</b>
	<b>Sig. test</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>

a,b,c: Means in each classification in the same column with different superscripts, differ significantly (P<0.05).

N.S: Not Significant, \* P < 0.05, \*\* P< 0.01. MSE: Mean of standard error.

The interaction between feeding regimes and dietary supplementation of different microorganism types showed insignificant effect in fertility, hatchability of set and fertile eggs (%) of Inshas laying hens at period studied (Table 5).

#### 4. Economic efficiency

Economic efficiency of egg production of Inshas laying hens as affected by FR, MS and their interactions, during period from 24 to 40 weeks of age are shown in Table 6. Results obtained revealed that the 110g dietary consumed recorded the higher (best) relative Eef percentage being 46.32 % as compared with the group fed *ad libitum* which was recorded 31.65%. These results agreement with **Olawumi, (2014)** found that 90% *ad libitum* was better and efficient than *ad libitum* and 80% *ad libitum* recorded the highest net returns and economic efficiency of laying hens. **Hassan *et al* (2020)**. reported that the quantitative feed restriction (100 or 110g /hen /day) was employed to control growth by feeding predetermined amount of balanced diet in order to achieve a good production during laying period as well as, enhance the economic efficiency of laying hens. **Wesam Ibrahim *et al* (2021) & Wesam Ibrahim (2024)** reported that the 90 % dietary consumed recorded the higher (best) relative Eef percentage when compared with 80% dietary and *ad libitum*.

The diet supplemented with *B. subtilis* attained the higher relative Eef value, being 33.20 %.but the lowest relative Eef value 17.17% in control group (Table 6). **Cristina *et al.* (2010)** reported that, synbiotic feed additive usage in laying hens feeding also influenced certain economic factors, through feeding expenses and value of produced eggs. Thus, feed expenses across the entire experimental period reached 114.12 RON in control group and 116.81 RON in E group. These were influenced by the overall feed intake values and by feed price/kg, which was different and related to additive inclusion proportion. **Riad *et al.*, (2010)** who indicated that both net revenue and economic efficiency increased in probiotic additives treatments than control ones. However, dietary supplementation at a level of 1g probiotics (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) +1g prebiotic/kg diet showed an improvement by about 14.70 % in relative economic efficiency% more than control group on broiler chicks at 0-42 day of age.

In addition, **Patel *et al.*, (2015)** reported that the return over feed cost was highly significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) for dietary supplementation probiotic 100 mg/kg diet as compared to 50 mg/kg and control group of Broilers. **Mohammed *et al.*, (2016)** reported that probiotics, prebiotic, synbiotic, organic acids and enzymes had a positive effect on economic performance of broiler chick. **Bothina El Kheshin *et al* (2023) & Bothina El Kheshin (2024)** reported that the effect of synbiotic levels supplementation showed the best of (EEF) recorded, when treated with 0.2% synbiotic but the lowest value recorded in control group.

The higher average of relative Eef (41.52, %) was found in the interaction between 110g dietary consumed and dietary supplementation *B. subtilis*, whereas,

**Table (6):** Economic efficiency % of *Mandarah* hens as affected by feed restriction, different microorganism strain (MS), and the interactions between them, during period from 24 to 48 week at age.

Treatments	Egg number	Price/egg (LE)	Total revenue eggs (LE)1	Total feed intake (kg)	Price /Kg feed (LE)	Total Feed cost (LE)2	Fixed cost (LE)	Total cost (LE)3	Net revenue (LE)4	(EEF)5 (%)
<b>Feeding regimes (FR)</b>										
<i>ad libitum</i>	52.84	4.20	221.93	13.80	12.00	165.57	3.00	168.57	53.36	31.65
110g/hen/day	52.44	4.20	220.25	12.29	12.00	147.52	3.00	150.52	69.73	46.32
<b>Microorganism types (MT)</b>										
Non-supplemented	49.04	4.20	205.97	13.04	13.25	172.78	3.00	175.78	30.19	17.17
<i>B. subtilis</i>	55.40	4.20	232.68	12.96	13.25	171.69	3.00	174.69	57.99	33.20
<i>B. licheniformis</i>	53.96	4.20	226.63	12.97	13.25	171.80	3.00	174.80	51.83	29.65
<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i>	52.20	4.20	219.24	13.08	13.25	173.36	3.00	176.36	42.88	24.31
<b>Interactions</b>										
<i>ad libitum</i>	Non-supplemented	50.40	211.68	13.79	13.25	182.69	3.00	185.69	25.99	14.00
	<i>B. subtilis</i>	54.88	230.50	13.65	13.25	180.86	3.00	183.86	46.64	25.36
	<i>B. licheniformis</i>	53.52	224.78	13.92	13.25	184.42	3.00	187.42	37.36	19.94
	<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i>	52.56	220.75	13.88	13.25	183.86	3.00	186.86	33.89	18.14
110g/hen/day	Non-supplemented	47.68	200.26	12.30	13.25	163.01	3.00	166.01	34.24	20.63
	<i>B. subtilis</i>	55.88	234.70	12.29	13.25	162.84	3.00	165.84	68.85	41.52
	<i>B. licheniformis</i>	54.40	228.48	12.29	13.25	162.90	3.00	165.90	62.58	37.72
	<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i>	51.84	217.73	12.29	13.25	162.86	3.00	165.86	51.87	31.27

1. Total revenue hen (LE) = Egg Number \* Price of one egg (LE)
2. Total feed cost / hen (LE) = Total feed intake / hen (Kg) \* Total feed cost / hen (LE)
3. Total cost hen (LE) = Total feed cost / hen (LE) + fixed hen (LE)
4. Net revenue / hen (LE) = Total revenue hen (LE) - Total cost hen (LE), Economic efficiency (EEF) = Net revenue/hen.

the lower one (14.00%) was shown in the interaction between *add- libitum* dietary consumed without any additives as shown in Table 6.

**Conclusively**, it can be concluded that, feeding layer diets at 110g with supplementation MS at level 0.5% were more effective for improving of yolk index, Haugh units, albumen index, shell weight (%), semen quality, fertility and hatchability per total or fertile eggs values as well as recorded the highest (EEF) when compared to other treatment groups of Inshas laying hens.

## REFERENCES

- Abdelqader A.; Al-Fataftah A. R.; and Das G. (2013).** Effects of dietary *Bacillus subtilis* and inulin supplementation on performance, eggshell quality, intestinal morphology and microflora composition of laying hens in the late phase of production. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 179, (1-4), pp. 103-111.
- Agriculture Ministry Decree (1996).** The standard properties for ingredients, feed additives and feed manufactured for animal and poultry. EL Wakae EL-Masria, No. 192 (1997) P 95, Amirria Press Cairo, Egypt.
- Amer, M.F., (1972).** Egg quality of Rhode Island Red, Fayoumi and Dandarawi. *Journal Poultry Science*, 51: 232-238.
- AOAC (1998).** Official methods of Analysis Assoc. of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington DC USA.
- Ashayerizadeh A, N Dabiri, KH Mirzadeh and MR Ghorbani (2011).** Effect of dietary supplementation of probiotic and prebiotic on growth indices and serum biochemical parameters of broiler chickens. *Journal of Cell and Animal Biology* 5:152-156.
- Awad W.A. ; K. Ghareeb , S. Abdel-Raheem and J. Böhm (2009).** Effects of dietary inclusion of probiotic and synbiotic on growth performance, organ weights, and intestinal histomorphology of broiler chickens. *Poultry Science* 88:49–55 doi:10.3382/ps.2008-00244
- Ayasan, T., Ozcan, B.D., Baylan, M., Canogullari, S., 2006.** The effects of dietary inclusion of probiotic protexin on egg yield parameters of Japanese quails (*Coturnix japonica*). *Int. J. Poultry Sci.* 5, 776–779. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2006.776.779>.
- Baloch, WA; Suzuki, H; and Onoue, Y. (2001).** Effect of different fasting and feeding regimes on body weight and productive performance of layers after first induced molt. *Pakistan J. Zool.* 32 (3):275-277.
- Beck, C.N., McDaniel, C.D., Wamsley, K.G.S., Kiess, A.S., 2019.** The potential for inoculating *Lactobacillus animalis* and *Enterococcus faecium* alone or in combination using commercial *in ovo* technology without negatively impacting hatch and post-hatch performance. *Poult. Sci.* 98, 7050–7062. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pez441>.



- Blajman, J.E., Zbrun, M.V., Astesana, D.M., Berisvil, A.P., Romero Scharpen, A., Fusari, M.L., Soto, L.P., Signorini, M.L., Rosmini, M.R., and Frizzo, L.S. 2015.** Probióticos en pollos parrilleros: una estrategia para los modelos productivos intensivos [Probiotics in broilers' rearing: A strategy for intensive production models]. *Revista Argentina de Microbiologia*, 47, 360-367. [https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ram.2015.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ram.2015.08.002)
- Blom, A. (1983).** The task performance of the scientist and how it affects an information service. *Mousaion*,3(1), 3-26.
- Bothina H. Ahmed; Tawfeek I.M; D.E. Abou-Kassem and A.M.A. Bealish (2023).** Impact of some of natural feed additives on some productive performance, egg quality, fertility, hatchability parameters and economical efficiency of laying hens. *J. Product. & Dev.*, 28(4): 263- 285 (2023)
- Bothina H. Ahmed El- Khesin (2024).** Effect of dietary zeolite and synbiotic supplementation on productive, physiological and immunological performance of developed laying hens. MSc. Department of Animal and Poultry Production Faculty of Technology and Development Zagazig University, Egypt.
- Carneiro, P. R. O.; Lunedo, R.,; Fernandez-Alarcon, M. F.; Baldissera, G.; Freitas, G. G. and Macari, M. (2019).**Effect of different feed restriction programs on the performance and reproductive traits of broiler breeders. *Poultry Science*, 98:4705–4715.
- Cristina G. R., I.M.and P., D. Simeanu (2010).** Effect of a synbiotic feed additive supplementation on laying hen's performance and eggs quality. *Lucrări Științifice - Vol. 53, Seria Zootehnie*.
- Crouch A.N. ; Grimes J.L. ; Christensen V.L.; Krueger K.K. ‡(2002).** Effect of Physical Feed Restriction During Rearing on Large White Turkey Breeder Hens: 2. Reproductive Performance' *Poultry Science*, 81:16–22
- dos Santos, M. N., R. Ramachandran, A. S. Kiess, K. G. S. Wamsley, and C. D. McDaniel. 2018a.** The impact of dietary yeast fermentation product derived from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on semen quality and semen microbiota of aged white Leghorn roosters. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* 27:488–498.
- dos Santos, M. N., R. Ramachandran, A. S. Kiess, K. G. S. Wamsley, and C. D. McDaniel. 2018b.** Impact of in vitro inoculation and dietary supplementation with *Bacillus subtilis* on sperm quality of aged White Leghorn roosters. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* 27:304–315.
- Dumitru, D., Bigu, D., Elen, J., Jiang, L., Railienè, A., Penkauskienè, D., et al. (2018).** A European Collection of the Critical Thinking Skills and Dispositions Needed in Different Professional Fields for the 21st Century. UTAD: Vila Real, Portugal.
- Duncan D.B. (1955).** Multiple range and multiple F tests. *Biometrics*, 11 (1) 1-42.

- Feed Composition Tables For Animal and Poultry Feedstuffs Used In Egypt, 2001.** Technical bulletin No.1, central lab for Feed and food; *Ministry of Agric., Egypt.*
- El- Masry, M. E., (1997).** Effect of feeding wheat bran treated with cellulolytic microbes on broiler performance and carcass characteristics. Ph.D. Thesis in Agricultural Microbiology, Kafrelsheikh University, Egypt.
- Elham Darsi and Mojtaba Zhaghari (2021).** Effects of *Bacillus subtilis* PB6 supplementation on productive performance, egg quality and hatchability in broiler breeder hens under commercial farm condition. *Journal Of Applied Animal Research*, VOL. 49, NO. 1, 109–117 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09712119.2021.1893738>
- Ewa VU, Nwakpu PE and M. Otuma (2008).** Effect of feed restriction on growth performance and economy of production of broiler chicks. *Animal Research International* 2008;3(3):513e5. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ari.v3i3.40781>
- Ghazanfari S, Kermanshahi H, Nassiry MR, Golian A, Moussavi ARH and A. Salehi (2010).** Effect of feed restriction and different energy and protein levels of the diet on growth performance and growth hormone in broiler chickens. *J Biol Sci* 2010;10(1): 25e30. <https://doi.org/10.3923/jbs.2010.25.30>.
- Hackett AJ, Macpherson JW, 1965.** A method for differential staining of bovine spermatozoa after extension in sterile milk. *Can Vet J* 6:117-20.
- Han PF and Smyth (1972).** The influence of restricted feed intake on the response of chickens to Marek's disease. *Poultry Sci* 1972;51(3):986e91. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.0510986>.
- Hasnath, R., (2002).** Effect of feeding systems on the egg production of Fayoumi hens of model breeding units under PLDP programme in Bangladesh. M. Sc. Thesis, Department of Animal Science and Animal Health and Network for Smallholder Poultry Development, Bangladesh.
- Hassan S.M., Mady M.E., Cartwright A.L., Sabri H.M., Mobarak M.S. (2003 ).** Effect of feeding time on the reproductive performance of Japanese quail (*Coturnix coturnix japonica*). *Poultry Sci.*, 82: 1188–1192.
- Hassan, M. S, A. M. Bealish , H. A. Abdel- Haleem , S. M. H. Mebarez and Hanan ,S.M. (2020).** Effect of interaction between feed restriction and dietary energy level on Productive, physiological immunological performance and economic efficiency of two strains of laying hens. *Egyptian Poultry Science Journal*, 40(2), 555-575.
- Higgins JP, SE Higgins, JL Vicente, AD Wolfenden, G Tellez and BM Hargis 2007.** Temporal effects of lactic acid bacteria probiotic culture on *Salmonella* in neonatal broilers. *Poultry Science* 86:1662-1666.
- Ismail E.I. (2009).** Physiological and reproductive studies on laying hens. Ph. D. Thesis, Department of Poultry Faculty of Agriculture Zagazig University, Egypt.

- Kabir, M., Oni, O. O., Akpa, G. N., Adeyinka, I. A., & Rekwot, P. I. (2007).** Effects of underfeeding on semen quality of Rhode Island cocks. *Pakistan journal of biological sciences: PJBS*, 10(6), 986-
- Lake P.E. , J.M. Stewart (1978).** Artificial insemination in poultry Ministry of *Agriculture, Fisheries and Food*, London Page 213 in Bulletin
- Leeson S. and JD Summers (2008).** Nutrition of the chicken. *4th ed. Ontario: University Books*; 2001. 413p
- Li D. D., Ding X. M., Zhang K. Y., Bai S. P., Wang J. P., Zeng Q. F., Su Z. W., and Kang L. (2017).** Effects of dietary xylooligosaccharides on the performance, egg quality, nutrient digestibility and plasma parameters of laying hens *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 225, pp. 20-26.
- Li, L., Xu, C. L., Ji, C., Ma, Q., Hao, K., Jin, Z. Y., & Li, K. 2006.** Effects of a dried *Bacillus subtilis* culture on egg quality. *Poultry Sci.* 2006; 85(2), 364–368. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/85.2.364>
- Li-Chan, E.C.Y. and H.O. Kim (2008).** Structure and chemical composition of eggs. In Y. Mine (Ed.), *Egg bioscience and biotechnology* (pp. 1–96). New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Lu J, Li YF, Qu L, Ma M, Yang XD, Shen MM, Wang XG, Guo J, Hu YP, Dou TC, Li SM, Yang Z, Gao F, Wang KH.( 2021).** Effects of energy-restricted feeding during rearing on sexual maturation and reproductive performance of Rugao layer breeders. *Poultry Sci.*, 2021;100(8). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2021.101225>
- Mahdavi A. H., Rahmani H. R., and Pourreza J. (2005).** Effect of probiotic supplements on egg quality and laying hens performance. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 4, (7), pp. 488-492.
- Mateos, G. G., Jimenez-Moreno,E., Serrano,M. P.and\_azaró,R. L.2012.** Poultry response to high levels of dietary fiber sources varying in physical and chemical characteristics. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* 21:156–174.
- Mazanko MS, Gorlov IF, Prazdnova EV, Makarenko MS, Usatov AV, Bren AB, Chistyakov VA, Tutelyan AV, Komarova ZV, Mosolova NI, Pilipenko DN, Krotova OE, Struk AN, Lin A and Chikindas ML, 2018.** Bacillus probiotic supplementations improve laying performance, egg quality, hatching of laying hens, and sperm quality of roosters. *Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins* 10: 367-373
- Mohammed, L. S., Kamel, E. R., Abo-Salem, M., ST, A. and RM, E. S. (2016).** Effect of probiotics, prebiotics, synbiotics, organic acids and enzymes supplementation on broiler Chick' I i y i l i h Ecic Performance. *Benha Veterinary Medical Journal*, 30(2), 34-44.
- Mohebbifar A., Kashani H., Afsari M., and Torki M. (2013).** Effects of commercial prebiotics and probiotics on performance of laying hens, egg traits and some blood parameters. *Annual Review and Research in Biology*, 3, (4), pp. 921-934.

- Mojgani, N., Razmgah, N., Torshizi, M.A.K., Sanjabi, M.R., 2020.** Effects of three *Bacillus* species on hatchability, growth performance and serum biochemistry in Japanese quails fed diet contaminated with aflatoxin B1. *Acta Sci. Anim. Sci.* 42,e50184. <https://doi.org/10.4025/actascianimsci.v42i1.50184>.
- Moreira, R.F., Freitas,E.R.,Sueupira,F.S.,FreitasDiogenes,A.L.S., Abe,M.S and Araujo,F.W.S. 2012.**Effect of feed restriction with voluntary hay intake on the performance and quality of laying hen eggs.*Acta Scientiarum. Animal Science*, Maringa, 34 (2): 149-154.
- Mountzouris, K.C.; Tsirtsikos, P.; Kalamara, E.; Nitsch, S.; Schatzmayr, G. and Fegeros, K. (2007).** Evaluation of the efficacy of a probiotic containing lactobacillus, bifidobacterium, enterococcus, and pediococcus strains in promoting broiler performance and modulating cecal micro flora composition and metabolic activities. *Poultry Science*, 86: 309-317
- Neijat R., B. Shirley, J. Barton, P. Thiery, A. Welsher, and E. Kiarie (2019).** Effect of dietary supplementation of *Bacillus subtilis* DSM29784 on hen performance, egg quality indices, and apparent retention of dietary components in laying hens from 19 to 48 weeks of age. *Poultry Science*. 98:5622–5635 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps/pez324>.
- NRC. 1994.** *Nutrient Requirements of Domestic Animals*. Nutrient Requirements of Poultry (9th ed). National Academic Press, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Obianwuna UE, Qiu K, Wang J, Zhang H-j, Qi G-h, Huang L-l and Wu S-G. (2023).** Effects of dietary *Clostridium butyricum* and fructooligo saccharides, alone or in combination, on performance, egg quality, amino acid digestibility, jejunal morphology, immune function, and antioxidant capacity of laying hens. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1125897. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1125897.
- Olawumi, S. O. (2014).**Effect of short-term feed restriction on production traits of brown and black plumage commercial layer strains at late phase of egg production. *American Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, 2(2): 33-38. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajaf.20140202.13>.
- Pana, C., Stoica, I., Dregotoiu, D., Misclosanu, E.P. and Stanescu, M. (2000).** Influence of quantitative and qualitative feed restriction on sperm quality and fecundity of broiler breeder males. *Book of Abstracts of the 14<sup>th</sup> International Congress, Animal Reproduction Stockholm Sweden*, 1: 89.
- Panda AK, MR Reddy, SV Rama and NK Praharaj (2003).** Production performances, serum/yolk cholesterol and immune competence of White Leghorn layers as influenced by dietary supplementation of probiotic. *Tropical Animal Production*, 35:85-94.

- Panda, A. K., S. S. Rama Rao, M. V. L. N. Raju, & S. S. Sharma. 2008.** Effect of probiotic (*Lactobacillus sporogenes*) feeding on egg production and quality, yolk cholesterol and humoral immune response of White Leghorn layer breeders. *J. Sci. of Food and Agr.*; 88(1), 43–47. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2921>
- Patel, S. G., Raval, A. P., Bhagwat, S. R., Sadrasaniya, D. A., Patel, A. P. and Joshi, S. S. (2015).** Effects of probiotics supplementation on growth performance, feed conversion ratio and economics of broilers. *Journal of Animal Research*, 5(1), 155. -160.
- Peebles E, Zumwalt C, Doyle S, Gerrard PD, Latour M, Boyle C, Smith T. 2000.** Effects of breeder age and dietary fat source and level on broiler hatching egg characteristics. *Poultry Sci.*, 79:698–704. doi:10.1093/ps/79.5.698.
- Prazdnova, E. V., M. S. Mazanko, V. A. Chistyakov, Y. V. Denisenko, M. S. Makarenko, A. V. Usatov, A. B. Bren, A. V. Tutelyan, Z. B. Komarova, I. F. Gorlov, and R. Weeks. 2019.** Effect of *Bacillus subtilis* KATMIRA1933 and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* B- 1895 on the productivity, reproductive aging, and physiological characteristics of hens and roosters. *Benef. Microbes*, 10:395–412.
- Ray BC (2018).** Effects of single and multi-strain probiotics on laying performance and egg quality of commercial layers. MS Thesis, Department of Poultry Science, Bangladesh agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh.
- Renema, R. A., and Robinson, F. E. 2004.** Defining normal: comparison of feed restriction and full feeding of female broiler breeders. *World Poultry. Sci. J.*, 60:508–522.
- Rezaei M and H. Hajati (2009).** Effect of diet dilution at early age on performance, carcass characteristics and blood parameters of broiler chicks. *Ital J Anim Sci*, 9(1): 93e100. <https://doi.org/10.4081/ijas.2010.e19>
- Riad, S. A., Safaa, H. M., and Mohamed, F. R. (2010).** Influence of probiotic, prebiotic and/or yeast supplementation in broiler diets on the productivity, immune response and slaughter traits. *J. of Animal and Poultry Production*, Vol. 1 (2): 45 – 60
- Röhe I, Urban J, Dijkslag A, Paske J te, Zentek J. (2018).** Impact of an energy- and nutrient-reduced diet containing 10% lignocellulose on animal performance, body composition and egg quality of dual purpose laying hens. *Anim Nutr*;73(1):1e7. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1745039X.2018.1551950>.
- Saibaba G, Ruzal M, Shinder D, Yosefi S, Druyan S, Arazi H, Froy O, Sagi D, Friedman- Einat M. (2021).** Time-restricted feeding in commercial layer chickens improves egg quality in old age and points to lack of a dip stat activity in chickens. *Front Physiology* 2021;12(651725):1e8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.651738>.

- SAS. (2011).** Base SAS 9.3 *Procedure Guide: Statistical Procedure*. Cary, NC, USA.
- Scholz-ahrens K.E., Ade P., Marten B. and P. Weber (2007).** Timm. Prebiotics and probiotics and synbiotics affect mineral absorption, bone minerals content and bone structure. *J Nutr.* 137:838-846.
- Scott TA, Silversides FG, Tietge D. and ML. Swift (1999).** Effect of feed form, formulation, and restriction on the performance of laying hens. *Can J Anim Sci* 1999;79(2):171e8. <https://doi.org/10.4141/A98-077>.
- Sheoran N.; Vinus, Bishnoi S.; Shunthwal J.; and Maan N. S. (2017).** Effect of dietary inclusion of probiotics and prebiotics on external egg quality traits in White Leghorn layers. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 6, (11), pp. 08-13.
- Snedecor G.W., W.G. Cochran. (1982).** *Statistical Methods*. 8th ed. Iowa State Univ., Press Ames, Iowa, USA.
- Swain B.K.; Naik P.K.; Chakurkar E.B. and N.P. Singh (2011).** Effect of probiotic and yeast supplementation on performance, egg quality characteristics and economics of production in Vanaraja layers. *Indian J Poultr. Sci.* , 46(3):313-315.
- Taherkhani R, Zaghari M, Shivazad M and AZ. Shahneh (2010).** A twice-a-day feeding regimen optimizes performance in broiler breeder hens. *Poultry Sci*, 2010;89(8): 1692e702. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2009-00488>.
- Tolkamp BJ, Sandilands V and I. Kyriazakis (2005).** Effects of qualitative feed restriction during rearing on the performance of broiler breeders during rearing and lay. *Poultry Sci.*, 84(8):1286e93. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/84.8.1286>.
- Triplett, M. D., H. M. Parker, C. D. McDaniel, and A. S. Kiess. 2016.** Influence of 6 different intestinal bacteria on Beltsville Small White turkey semen. *Poultry. Sci.*, 95:1918–1926.
- Ukachukwu, S.N; and U.O. Akpan.; (2007).** Influence of level and duration of quantitative feed restriction on post-restriction egg-laying characteristics and egg quality of pullets. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 6: 567 – 572.
- Watson, P.F. 1975:** Use of a Giemsa stain to detect changes in acrosomes of frozen ram spermatozoa. *Vet. Rec.*, (97): 12-15.
- Wesam A. Ibrahim; Tawfeek I.M.; Rashwan A.A.; Abd- M.I.Rahim and A.M.A. Bealish (2021).** Impact of feed restricted system and zinc forms on some productive performance traits, egg quality parameters and economic efficiency of Mandarrah laying hens. *J. Product. & Dev.*, 26(4): 1017- 1031.
- Wesam A. Ibrahim(2024).** Effect of dietary addition of different Forms of zinc and feed restriction on productive, reproductive and physiological performance of developed local laying hens. Phd. Department of Animal and Poultry Production Faculty of Technology and Development Zagazig University, Egypt

- Wilson KM, Bourassa DV, McLendon BL, Wilson JL and R.J. Buhr (2018).** Impact of skip-a-day and every-day feeding programs for broiler breeder pullets on the recovery of Salmonella and Campylobacter following challenge. *Poultry Sci*, 2018;97(8): 2775e84. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pey150>.
- Xu CL, C Ji, Q Ma, K Hao, ZY Jin and K Li (2006).** Effects of a dried Bacillus subtilis culture on egg quality. *Poultry Science*, 85(2):364–368.
- Yosefi M. and Karkoodi K. (2007).** Effect of probiotic Thepax® and Saccharomyces cerevisiae supplementation on performance and egg quality of laying hens. *Int J Poult. Sci.* 6(1):52-54.
- Zarei, M.; Ehsani, M. and Torki, M. (2011).** Dietary inclusion of probiotics, prebiotics and synbiotics and evaluating the performance of laying hens. *Am. J. Agric. Biol. Sci.*, 6(2): 249-255.
- Zukiwsky N. M.; M. Afrouziyeh ; F. E. Robinson, and M. J. Zuidhof (2021).** Feeding, feed-seeking behavior, and reproductive performance of broiler breeders under conditions of relaxed feed restriction. *Poultry Science*, 100:119–128 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2020.09.081>

## تأثير أنظمة التغذية وسلالات الكائنات الحية الدقيقة على جودة البيض والخصوبة ومعايير الفقس والكفاءة الاقتصادية للدجاج البياض

حمدي إبراهيم عبد العزيز ١- مصطفى إبراهيم توفيق إبراهيم \*١- علي رشوان ١- محمد المصري ع ٢ أحمد محمد بعيلش ٢

١- قسم الإنتاج الحيواني والداخلي، كلية التكنولوجيا والتنمية، جامعة الزقازيق، الزقازيق، مصر.

٢- معهد بحوث الإنتاج الحيواني، مركز بحوث الزراعة، وزارة الزراعة، الجيزة، مصر.

أجريت هذه الدراسة للتحقيق في تأثير أنظمة التغذية الغذائية (FR) وسلالة الكائنات الحية الدقيقة (MS) على صفات جودة البيض وجودة السائل المنوي والخصوبة ومعدل الفقس لكل بيضة إجمالية أو مخصبة وكذلك الكفاءة الاقتصادية لدجاج إنشاص (سلالة محلية مصرية) خلال فترة وضع البيض (٢٤-٤٠ اسبوع). تم أخذ إجمالي عدد ٢٤٠ دجاجة بياضة + ٢٤ ديك، بعمر ٢٤ أسبوعًا بشكل عشوائي لتكون متشابهة في أوزان الجسم (١٥.٦٣ ± ٠.٥٧)، والتي تم تقسيمها عشوائيًا إلى ثماني مجموعات تجريبية (٣٠ دجاجة + ٣ ديك في كل منها). احتوت كل مجموعة على ثلاث مكررات (١٠ دجاجات + ديك واحد في كل منها). شملت المجموعات التجريبية ترتيب عاملي ٢ x ٤، ومجموعتين غذائيتين من أنظمة التغذية

(علائق غذاء حتى الشبع، واخرى علائق محددة التغذية ( ١١٠ جرام علف/طائر/يوم) و٤ سلالات من الكائنات الحية الدقيقة *Bacillus* كمضافات غذائية، والتي كانت ٠.٥% *Bacillus subtilis* ( $10^9$ CFU/جم)، ٠.٥% *Bacillus licheniformis* ( $10^9$ CFU/جم) و ٠.٥% *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* ( $10^9$ CFU/جم))، على التوالي، خلال فترة التجربة التي استمرت أربعة أشهر من ٢٤ إلى ٤٠ أسبوعاً من العمر.

أظهرت النتائج المتحصل عليها أن أنظمة التغذية في الدجاج البياض أدت بشكل ملحوظ ( $P < 0.05$ ) و ( $P < 0.01$ ) إلى تحسن في نسبة وزن الوحدة وقشرة هاوج ونسبة الخصوبة وانخفاض ملحوظ ( $P < 0.01$ ) في مؤشر الألبومين عند مقارنتها بالمجموعة حسب الطلب. في حين لم يكن هناك تأثير معنوي لوزن البيضة ومؤشر الشكل وسماكة البع ومؤشر الصفار ونسبة وزن الصفار ونسبة وزن البياض ونسبة فقس البيض ونسبة البيض المخصب. ومع ذلك، فإن تأثير الاختلافات بين FR يظهر عند ١١٠ جرام من العليقة / طائر / يوم زيادة في صافي العائد (NR) والكفاءة الاقتصادية مقارنة بالتغذية حسب الرغبة. فيما يتعلق بتأثير مكملات سلالات الكائنات الحية الدقيقة *Bacillus* في علائق البياض تسبب في زيادة تحسن كبير ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) في مؤشر الصفار ووحدة Haugh ونسبة وزن الصفار ووزن البياض وحركة الحيوانات المنوية والحيوانات المنوية الميتة وتشوهات الحيوانات المنوية وتركيز الخلايا المنوية وقيم الخصوبة عند مقارنتها بمجموعة التحكم. ومع ذلك، أظهر تأثير مكملات MS أفضل (EEF) عند المعاملة بـ *B. subtilis*.

كان تأثير التفاعل بين مكملات FR و MS معنويًا ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) و ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) في مؤشر شكل البيض ومؤشر الألبومين ونسبة وزن الألبومين ووزن القشرة معنويًا ( $P < 0.05$ ) في حين كانت جودة السائل المنوي والخصوبة ونسبة الفقس لكل إجمالي أو قيم البيض المخصب غير معنوية. وقد وجد أعلى متوسط لـ EEF النسبي (٤١.٥٢%) في التفاعل بين ١١٠ جرام من الغذاء المستهلك والمكملات الغذائية من نوع *B. subtilis* ، في حين ظهر المتوسط الأدنى (١٤.٠٠%) في التفاعل بين الغذاء المستهلك حسب الرغبة بدون أي إضافات،

**التوصية:**، يمكن أن نستنتج أن تغذية الدجاج البياض على علائق بوزن ١١٠ جرام مع مكملات MS عند مستوى ٠.٥% كانت أكثر فعالية في تحسين مؤشر الصفار ووحدة هاوج ومؤشر البياض ووزن القشرة (%) وجودة السائل المنوي والخصوبة وقابلية الفقس لكل إجمالي أو قيم البيض المخصب وكذلك سجلت أعلى (EEF) عند مقارنتها بمجموعات المعاملة الأخرى لدجاج إنشاص البياض.

**الكلمات المفتاحية:** نظم التغذية، سلالة الكائنات الحية الدقيقة، جودة البيض، الخصوبة، معايير قابلية الفقس، الكفاءة الاقتصادية لدجاج البياض.