

Research Article

Egyptian Society of Anesthesiologists

Egyptian Journal of Anaesthesia

www.elsevier.com/locate/egja www.sciencedirect.com



Attenuation of hemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation with two different doses of labetalol in hypertensive patients



Rajender Kumar^{*}, Ritika Gandhi, Indira Mallick, Rachna Wadhwa, Nandita Adlakha, Meenaxi Bose

Department of Anaesthesia & Intensive Care, Dr. Baba Saheb Ambedkar Medical College & Hospital, Sector-6, Rohini, New Delhi 110085, India

Received 2 October 2015; accepted 24 April 2016 Available online 21 May 2016

KEYWORDS

Labetalol; Hemodynamic response; Laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation **Abstract** *Purpose:* The present study compared the efficacy of two different doses of labetalol, for attenuation of hemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and intubation in hypertensive patients. *Patients and methods:* 75 hypertensive patients, aged 18–60 years undergoing elective surgical procedures, require general anesthesia and orotracheal intubation. Patients were allocated to any of the three groups (25 each), Group C (control) 5 ml 0.9% saline. Group L1 (labetalol) 0.15 mg/kg diluted with 0.9% saline to 5 ml. Group L2 (labetalol) 0.3 mg/kg diluted with 0.9% saline to 5 ml. Group L2 (labetalol) 0.3 mg/kg diluted with 0.9% saline to 5 ml. In the control group 5 ml of 0.9% saline was given i.v. 5 min prior to intubation. In the L1 group 0.15 mg/kg of labetalol was given i.v. 5 min prior to intubation. In the L2 group 0.3 mg/ kg of labetalol was given i.v. 5 min prior to intubation. In the L2 group 0.3 mg/ kg of labetalol was given i.v. 5 min prior to intubation. In the L3 group 0.15 mg/kg of labetalol was given i.v. 5 min prior to intubation. In the L2 group 0.3 mg/ kg of labetalol was given i.v. 5 min prior to intubation. In the L2 group 0.3 mg/ kg of labetalol was given i.v. 5 min prior to intubation. In the L2 group 0.3 mg/ kg of labetalol was given i.v. 5 min prior to intubation. In the L2 group 0.3 mg/ kg of labetalol was given i.v. 5 min prior to intubation. In the L2 group 0.3 mg/ kg of labetalol was given i.v. 5 min prior to intubation. In the L2 group 0.3 mg/ kg of labetalol was given i.v. 5 min prior to intubation. Meta anesthetic technique. Heart rate (HR), systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were recorded prior to induction, at time of intubation and 1, 3, 5, and 10 min after intubation. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) and rate pressure product (RPP) were calculated. *Results:* Compared to placebo both the doses of labetalol (0.15 mg/kg) and (0.3 mg/kg) significantly attenuated the rise in heart rate, systolic blood pressure, and RPP during laryngoscopy and intubation.

and intubation. However, the difference was not statistically significant between both doses of labetalol at intubation, 1 min, 3 min and 10 min post-intubation. *Conclusion:* Both doses of labetalol (0.15 mg/kg and 0.3 mg/kg) attenuate hemodynamic response

to laryngoscopy and intubation in dose dependent manner.

© 2016 Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Egyptian Society of Anesthesiologists. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Increases in heart rate and blood pressure are the principal changes in the cardiovascular system during laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation. Stimulus of the laryngeal and tracheal

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.egja.2016.04.004

^{*} Corresponding author at: A-22, Sai Apartment, Plot No. 47, Sector-13, Rohini, New Delhi 110085, India. Tel.: +91 9968679739.

E-mail address: drrbarua@gmail.com (R. Kumar).

Peer review under responsibility of Egyptian Society of Anesthesiologists.

^{1110-1849 © 2016} Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Egyptian Society of Anesthesiologists.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

tissues may also cause increases in both sympathetic and sympatho-adrenal reflex activities [1,2]. Hemodynamic changes are generally temporary without any sequelae. However, these changes can facilitate and accelerate the development of myocardial ischemia, arrhythmia, infarction and cerebral hemorrhage in patients with coronary artery disease, hypertension or cerebrovascular disease [3,4]. Different pharmacologic agents such as lidocaine, vasodilator agents inhibiting sympatho-adrenal response, α - and β -adrenergic blockers, opioids and calcium channel blockers can be administered prior to tracheal intubation in order to prevent hemodynamic responses [5–10].

Labetalol is an unique oral and parenteral antihypertensive drug that is α 1- and nonselective β 1- and β 2-adrenergic antagonist. It reaches its peak effect at 5–15 min after intravenous (IV) injection and rapidly redistributes (5.9 min redistribution half-life). It lowers BP by decreasing systemic vascular resistance (α 1-blockade), whereas reflex tachycardia triggered by vasodilatation is attenuated by simultaneous β -blockade. Cardiac output remains unchanged [11–19]. The aim of the present study was to compare the efficacy of two different doses of labetalol for controlling these hemodynamic responses to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation under the same anesthetic techniques in hypertensive patients.

2. Patients and methods

This study was a prospective, randomized, placebo controlled, double-blinded trial comparing two different doses of labetalol in decreasing the hemodynamic response during rigid laryngoscopy and intubation. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board and was in accordance with International Conference on Harmonization; Good Clinical Practice (ICH-GCP) standards.

Sample size was calculated by power analysis, using a twosample t test, with a two-sided type I error of 5% ($\alpha = 0.05$) and power at 80.37 ($\alpha = 0.19$). Therefore, 75 patients, ASA physical status I and II, aged 18-60 years, undergoing elective surgical procedures, requiring general anesthesia and orotracheal intubation were included in the study. Informed consent was obtained from all the patients. According to the diagnostic criteria of the Joint National Committee on Hypertension (JNC-8), hypertension was defined if systolic blood pressure was >140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressures were >90 mmHg. During pre-anesthetic evaluation patients were identified who are hypertensive but their hypertension was controlled by antihypertensive drugs such as calcium channel antagonists (e.g., nifedipine, nicardipine, diltiazem) and reninangiotensin inhibitors (e.g., captopril) for varying periods of time. None had a history of myocardial ischemia or infarction, nor had an abnormal ECG on admission to the hospital. Patients with cardiovascular, pulmonary, hepatic, and renal disease; those on B blockers; patients with difficult airway; laryngoscopy and intubation time more than 20 s, or requiring more than two attempts were excluded from the study.

The patients were randomly (computer generated randomization schedule) allocated into one of the three groups, of 25 each. Blinding was done using the sequentially numbered opaque sealed envelope (SNOSE) technique. Patients were kept nil orally for 8 h prior to surgery and morning dose of antihypertensive drugs was given at 6 am with sips of water on the day of the surgery. All patients were premedicated intravenously 10 min prior to induction with inj. ondansetron 0.1 mg/kg, and inj. midazolam 0.05 mg/kg. In a double blind manner, one 5 ml syringe was prepared for each patient.

Group L1 – Syringe contained Labetalol (0.15 mg/kg) diluted with 0.9% saline to 5 ml). Group L2 – Syringe contained Labetalol (0.3 mg/kg diluted with 0.9% saline to 5 ml). Group C – Syringe contained 5 ml of 0.9% saline.

After recording the baseline parameters, patients were preoxygenated with 100% O₂ by a face mask for 3 min and then study drug was administered *iv* five minutes before intubation. Anesthesia was induced with 5 mg kg^{-1} thiopentone *iv*, and loss of the eyelash reflex was confirmed followed by 0.1 mg kg^{-1} vecuronium *iv*. Direct laryngoscopy with a standard Macintosh laryngoscope blade for tracheal intubation was initiated five minute after administration of study drug. None received topical lidocaine and opioids before laryngoscopy for tracheal intubation. All intubations were performed by the first author, and were accomplished within 20 s. Tracheal tubes of ID 7.0 mm and 8.0 mm were used for female and male patients, respectively. After tracheal intubation, anesthesia was maintained with 4 L min⁻¹ nitrous oxide, 2 L min⁻¹ oxygen and isoflurane 1.0% and intermittent boluses of I mg vecuronium bromide. Manual ventilation of the lungs was adjusted to maintain an end-tidal CO₂ tensions between 35 mmHg and 40 mmHg as measured by an anesthetic/respiratory gas analyzer (AS/3™, Datex, Helsinki, Finland). At the end of surgery, neuromuscular blockade was reversed with inj. neostigmine (40 µg/kg) and inj. glycopyrrolate (10 µg/kg). Heart rate, systolic blood pressure (SBP), and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were recorded prior to induction, at time of intubation and 1, 3, 5, and 10 min after intubation. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) and rate pressure product (RPP) were calculated for the same time stations. Abnormal ECG changes were also recorded.

2.1. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS software version 20 (Chicago, IL, USA). Patient demographics were compared with analysis of variance (ANOVA). The study data were analyzed using statistical methods of mean, standard deviation, paired students "t" test (for values within the group at different time stations) and independent samples "t" test (for comparison of intergroup values). All values were expressed as mean \pm SD. P < 0.05 was considered as significant (S) and P > 0.05 as statistically non-significant (NS).

3. Results

The patients in the three groups were comparable with respect to age, weight, sex, and duration of surgery or anesthesia (Table 1).

The pre-induction values of heart rate (HR) were comparable between groups with no significant difference (Table 2). There was statistically significant difference in HR throughout study time between the L1 and control group (P < 0.001), and L2 and control group (P < 0.001). At intubation, 1 min, 3 min

Table 1 Demographic data.							
	Group L1 $(n = 25)$	Group L2 $(n = 25)$	Group C (n = 25)				
Age (yr)	$42~\pm~10$	$41~\pm~12$	$42~\pm~12$				
Sex (female/male)	18/7	19/6	19/6				
Height (cm)	155 ± 10	156 ± 12	154 ± 11				
Weight (kg)	$52~\pm~10$	51 ± 11	50 ± 11				
Antihypertensive med	ication						
- Calcium channel	13	10	11				
blocker							
- Renin-angioten-	12	15	14				
sin inhibitor							

Mean values \pm SD or number. L1 = labetalol (0.15 mg/kg), L2 = labetalol (0.3 mg/kg) C = control.

and 10th minute post intubation HR was not statistically significantly different in the L1 and L2 group (P > 0.05). At 5 min post intubation, there was significant difference in HR between L1 and L2 groups (P < 0.001).

The pre-induction values of SBP were comparable between groups with no significant difference (Table 3). Compared with the control group values SBP was significantly lower at all time stations in the L1 (P < 0.001) and L2 group (P < 0.001). There were no significant difference in SBP between L1 and L2 at intubation and 1 min post-intubation (P > 0.05). However, there was statistically significant difference in SBP between L1 and L2 group at 3 min, 5 min and 10 min post intubation (P < 0.001).

The pre-induction values of DBP were comparable between groups with no significant difference (Table 3). Compared with the control group values DBP was significantly lower at all time stations in the L1 (P < 0.001) and L2 group (P < 0.001). There was no significant difference in DBP between L1 and L2 at intubation and 1 min post-intubation. However, there was statistically significant difference in DBP between L1 and L2 group at 3 min, 5 min and 10 min post intubation (P < 0.001) (see Table 4).

The pre-induction values of MAP were comparable between groups with no significant difference (Table 5). MAP was significantly high at the time of intubation in the control group compared with L1 (P < 0.001) and L2 group (P < 0.001). Intubation and 1 min post intubation values were comparable between the L1 and L2 group and not statistically significant (P > 0.05). However, there were statistically significant difference in MAP values between L1 and L2 group at 3 min, 5 min and 10 min post intubation (P < 0.001).

The pre-induction values of RPP were comparable between groups with no significant difference (Table 6). RPP was significantly less at the time of intubation in the L1 and L2 group (P < 0.001) as compared to the control group. Intubation and 1 min post intubation values were comparable between the L1 and L2 group and not statistically significant (P > 0.05). However, there was statistically significant difference in RPP values between L1 and L2 group at 3 min, 5 min and 10 min post intubation (P < 0.00).

4. Discussion

Hypertensive patients are more prone to greater cardiovascular responses to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation than are normotensive patients. Fox et al. reported two hypertensive patients in whom complications, including pulmonary edema, cardiac failure and cerebrovascular hemorrhage, followed hypertensive episodes directly related to tracheal intubation. Thus, transitory increases in AP and HR are probably of no consequence in healthy individuals, but either or both may be dangerous to those with hypertension, myocardial insufficiency, or cerebrovascular disease. Therefore, the prevention of these hemodynamic changes following tracheal intubation is of particular importance in hypertensive patients [3,9-11].

Hemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and intubation begins immediately after tracheal intubation and reaches maximum value within one minute. Therefore, timing of drug administration and their peak effect, used for attenuation of hemodynamic response, should correspond to those of hemodynamic response. The onset of action of labetalol 2-3 min and peak effect reaches at 5-15 min [14]. We studied the hemodynamic response to larvngoscopy and intubation for a period of 10 min as this is the average period for which hemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and intubation are believed to last [14,16].

The adverse cardiovascular changes and catecholamine discharge seen during laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation appear in two phases. The effects of laryngoscopy should be distinguished from effects seen while the endotracheal tube is placed through the trachea. Shribman et al. showed the differences between these two events. Even with stable anesthesia, laryngoscopy alone without intubation can cause a supraglottic stimulus. As a result, both systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) increase in contrast to the measurements before induction. However, no significant increase in HR occurs during laryngoscopy. Increase in BP is due to norepinephrine, while increase in HR is due to epinephrine discharge. Infraglottic stimulus caused by placing

Table 2 Heart rate.						
HR	Group C	Group L1	Group L2	P value C & L1	P value C & L2	P value L2 & L1
Pre-induction	83.64 ± 6.0	$82.44~\pm~6.3$	$84.24~\pm~6.4$	(P > 0.05)	(P > 0.05)	(P > 0.05)
At intubation	109.40 ± 6.3	$96.20~\pm~7.0$	$93.72~\pm~5.6$	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	(P > 0.05)
I min post-intubation	104.20 ± 6.1	96.24 ± 6.5	$94.40~\pm~5.2$	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	(P > 0.05)
3 min post-intubation	$93.64~\pm~4.4$	$88.08~\pm~6.2$	$86.68~\pm~5.3$	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	(P > 0.05)
5 min post-intubation	$86.36~\pm~3.4$	81.60 ± 6.3	75.04 ± 10.9	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001
10 min post-intubation	$78.60~\pm~4.5$	$72.16~\pm~6.8$	$69.04~\pm~9.9$	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	(P > 0.05)

Mean value \pm SD.

Table 3Systolic blood pressure.

SBP	Group C	Group L1	Group L2	P value C & L1	P value C & L2	P value L2 & L1
Pre-induction	$132~\pm~5.5$	131.44 ± 1.5	133.04 ± 5.0	(P > 0.05)	(P > 0.05)	(P > 0.05)
At intubation	162.16 ± 13.0	145.88 ± 6.7	144.88 ± 6.0	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	(P > 0.05)
I min post intubation	152.72 ± 12.0	139.96 ± 5.4	136.80 ± 5.8	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	(P > 0.05)
3 min post-intubation	140.92 ± 8.3	131.68 ± 5.2	121.80 ± 9.4	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001
5 min post-intubation	136.28 ± 5.6	123.24 ± 8.6	111.92 ± 11.6	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001
10 min post-intubation	129.64 ± 6.6	111.60 ± 8.2	$104.20~\pm~7.0$	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001

Mean value \pm SD.

Table 4	Diastolic	blood	pressure.
---------	-----------	-------	-----------

DBP	Group C	Group L1	Group L2	P value C & L1	P value C & L2	<i>P</i> value L2 & L1
Pre-induction	82.60 ± 5.2	82.40 ± 5.0	81.72 ± 4.9	(P > 0.05)	(P > 0.05)	(P > 0.05)
At intubation	102.56 ± 3.8	93.0 ± 5.1	91.0 ± 5.2	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	(P > 0.05)
I min post-intubation	104.40 ± 7.9	89.48 ± 8.4	86.40 ± 11.4	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	(P > 0.05)
3 min post-intubation	114.01 ± 4.1	100.56 ± 86.8	93.21 ± 7.0	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001
5 min post-intubation	$94.28~\pm~5.6$	81.88 ± 4.4	71.36 ± 9.3	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001
10 min post-intubation	$82.20~\pm~7.5$	$72.84~\pm~5.2$	$67.88~\pm~7.5$	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001

Mean value \pm SD.

Table 5 Mean arterial pressure.

MAP	Group C	Group L1	Group L2	P value C & L1	P value C & L2	<i>P</i> value L2 & L1
Pre-induction	99.25 ± 4.6	98.75 ± 4.5	$98.83~\pm~4.4$	(P > 0.05)	(P > 0.05)	(P > 0.05)
At intubation	122.43 ± 6.0	110.63 ± 4.9	108.96 ± 4.4	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	(P > 0.05)
I min post-intubation	120.51 ± 7.6	106.31 ± 5.4	103.20 ± 8.4	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	(P > 0.05)
3 min post-intubation	114.01 ± 4.1	100.19 ± 8.6	93.21 ± 7.0	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001
5 min post-intubation	108.28 ± 4.7	95.67 ± 4.0	$84.88~\pm~8.8$	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001
10 min post-intubation	$98.00~\pm~6.0$	$85.64~\pm~4.4$	$80.00~\pm~6.3$	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001
Mana antra I SD						

Mean value \pm SD.

Table 6Rate pressure product (RPP).

RPP	Group C	Group L1	Group L2	P value C & L1	P value C & L2	<i>P</i> value L2 & L1
Pre-induction	$11097.12\ \pm\ 993.9$	10835.12 ± 921.5	11200.88 ± 878.0	(P > 0.05)	(P > 0.05)	(P > 0.05)
At intubation	17756.48 ± 1948.2	14037.28 ± 1279.0	13571.20 ± 895.4	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	(P > 0.05)
I min post-intubation	15936.16 ± 1766.5	3455.92 ± 861.94	12911.20 ± 875.3	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	(P > 0.05)
3 min post-intubation	13210.44 ± 1179.0	11596.32 ± 927.3	10565.44 ± 1116.9	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001
5 min post-intubation	11771.36 ± 717.7	10062.40 ± 1125.8	8481.20 ± 1787.5	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001
10 min post-	$10185.04~\pm~741.1$	8054.24 ± 974.4	7219.68 ± 1290.5	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P < 0.001
intubation						
Mean value \pm SD.						

the endotracheal tube occurs in phase two. In this situation, an extra cardiovascular response and catecholamine discharge occur. Stress response increases at this stage and both SBP and DBP measurements increase by 36–40% in contrast to control levels. HR levels increase more than 20% with tracheal intubation in contrast to laryngoscopy [20–21].

The present study has demonstrated that *iv* administration of labetalol in two different doses attenuates the increases in

MAP and RPP after laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation. Levels of RPP > 20,000 are more commonly associated with angina and myocardial ischemia [9]. In the present study, the RPP after tracheal intubation was 17756.48 in Group C (Table 6), but these critical increases in RPP were avoided in Groups L1 and L2. Furthermore, the changes from baseline values in RPP immediately after tracheal intubation in Group L1 and L2 were significantly less than those in Group C. The differences in these changes of RPP following tracheal intubation may be attributed to the differences in those of HR. Tachycardia causes more stress effect on the heart than increases in BP. This effect can be due to the increase in myocardial oxygen requirement, decreased diastolic filling, and reduction in the time needed for effective coronary circulation. Tachycardia accompanied with hypertension increases the existing ischemia risk in patients with coronary artery disease [9].

Values of group L1 and L2 when compared with their preoperative values (Tables 2 and 5) show insignificant rise (P > 0.05) in heart rate and MAP at the time of intubation as compared to placebo group. Increases in HR and MAP at intubation in the placebo group were 30% and 23%, respectively, in the L1 group, 16% and 12% and L2 group 11% and 11% respectively. Our results corroborate well with the finding of Amar et al. who administered 0.15 mg/kg of labetalol for induction and 0.25-0.3 mg/kg for maintenance of anesthesia in a study investigating its effects on perioperative stress. Increases in HR and MAP at intubation in the placebo group were 33% and 52%, respectively, and in the labetalol group. 7.3% and 21.3%, respectively [22]. Kim et al. reported that a single dose of labetalol of dosage 0.25 mg/kg given preoperatively 5 min before intubation decreases HR significantly after intubation up to 10 min [17]. Roelofse et al. found that labetalol of dosage 1 mg/kg given as an IV bolus 1 min before laryngoscopy was not effective in the attenuation of HR. This failure of the study can be explained by the different time of administration of the study drug because labetalol has peak effect after 5-10 min [23].

There was statistically significant difference in HR between L1 and L2 group at 5 min post intubation (P < 0.00). Similarly, there was statistically significant difference in SBP, DBP, MAP and RPP between L1 and L2 group at 3 min, 5 min and 10 min post intubation (P < 0.00). These may because of higher dose of labetalol used in L2 (0.3 mg/kg) group as compared to L1 (0.15 mg/kg) group.

The only side effect observed was that of group L2 (0.3 mg/ kg) in form of bradycardia, intraoperatively. Seven patients (28%) developed bradycardia (pulse rate < 50 beats per minute) after the study period of 10 min and atropine in 0.2 mg increments (max. 0.01 mg/kg) was given. All the patients responded to atropine treatment. There were no recurrent episodes of bradycardia. Transient premature ventricular contractions appeared immediately after tracheal intubation in two patients who received placebo saline. These arrhythmias did not need any treatment. Thus, there were no serious complications after laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation in patients who had received labetalol at both the doses. To conclude, Labetalol in both the doses 0.15 mg/kg and 0.3 mg/kg iv is effective in reducing the hemodynamic responses to direct laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation in dose dependent manner in hypertensive patients. However bradycardia is more common in patients who are receiving labetalol in dose of 0.3 mg/kg. Further studies are needed to elucidate the comparative effects of both doses of labetalol in large number of patients.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- Kovac AL. Controlling the hemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation. J Clin Anesth 1996;8:63–79.
- [2] Prys-Roberts C, Greene LT, Meloche R, Foex P. Studies of anaesthesia in relation to hypertension. II: Hemodynamic consequences of induction and endotracheal intubation. Br J Anaesth 1998;80:106–22.
- [3] Fox EJ, Sklar GS, Hill CH, Villanueva R, King BD. Complications related to the hemodynamic response to endotracheal intubation. Anesthesiology 1977;47:524–5.
- [4] Lev R, Rosen P. Prophylactic lidocaine use preintubation: a review. J Emerg Med 1994;12:499–506.
- [5] Helfman SM, Gold MI, DeLisser EA, Herrington CA. Which drug prevents tachycardia and hypertension associated with tracheal intubation: lidocaine, fentanyl or esmolol? Anesth Analg 1991;72:482–6.
- [6] Mikawa K, Ikegaki J, Maekawa N, Goto R, Kaetsu H, Obara H. The effects of diltiazem on the cardiovascular response to tracheal intubation. Anaesthesia 1990;45:289–93.
- [7] Kindler CH, Schumacher PG, Schneider MC, Urwyler A. Effects of intravenous lidocaine and/or esmolol on hemodynamic responses to laryngoscopy and intubation: a double-blind, controlled clinical trial. J Clin Anesth 1996;8:491–6.
- [8] Stoelting RK, Hillier SC. Pharmacology & physiology in anesthetic practice. In: Handbook of pharmacology and physiology in anesthetic practice, vol. 347. Philadelphia, USA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2006. p. 87–342.
- [9] Fujii T, Tanaka H, Saitoh T, Toyooka H. Effects of calcium channel blockers on circulatory response to tracheal intubation in hypertensive patients: nicardipine versus diltiazem. Can J Anaesth 1995;42:785–8.
- [10] Fujii T, Tanaka H, Saitoh T, Toyooka H. Diltiazem–Lidocaine combination for the attenuation of cardiovascular response to tracheal intubation in hypertensive patients. Can J Anaesth 1998;45:933–7.
- [11] Ramanathan J, Sibai BM, Madie WC, Chauhan D, Ruiz AG. The use of labetalol for attenuation of hypertensive response to endotracheal intubation in preeclampsia. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1988;159:550–4.
- [12] Bernstein JS, Ebert TJ, Stowe DF, Schmeling WT, Nelson MA, Woods MP. Partial attenuation of hemodynamic responses to rapid sequence induction and intubation with labetalol. J Clin Anesth 1989;1(6):444–51.
- [13] Ramakrishna V, Wig J, Singh H, Sapru RP. Can labetalol be used to attenuate the cardiovascular responses to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation? J Anaesth Clin Pharmacol 1988;4 (4):227–32.
- [14] Singh S, Quadi A, Malhotra P. Comparison of esmolol and labetalol, in low doses, for attenuation of sympathomimetic response to laryngoscopy and intubation. Saudi J Anaesth 2010;4(3).
- [15] Leslie JB, Kalayjian RW, McLoughlin TM, Plachetka JR. Attenuation of the hemodynamic responses to endotracheal intubation with preinduction intravenous labetalol. J Clin Anesth 1989;1(3):194–200.
- [16] Lakshmi BS, Sree MS, Prasad PK, Rao V. To evaluate effect of iv esmolol (1 mg/kg) compared to i.v. labetalol (0.5 mg/kg) in attenuating pressor response during laryngoscopy & intubation in general anesthesia. J Evol Med Dental Sci 2014;31:9371–8.
- [17] Kim SS, Kim JY, Lee JR, Song HS. The effects of verapamil, labetalol, or fentanyl on hemodynamic responses to endotracheal intubation. Korean J Anesthesiol 1994;27:143–54.
- [18] Babita, Singh B, Saiyed A, Meena R, Verma I, Vyas CK. A comparative study of labetalol and fentanyl on the

sympathomimetic response to laryngoscopy and intubation in vascular surgeries. Karnataka Anaesth J 2015;1:64–8.

- [19] Richards DA, Prichard BNC. Clinical pharmacology of labetalol. Br J Clin Pharm 1979;8:89S–93S.
- [20] Forbes AM, Dally FC. Acute hypertension during induction of anaesthesia and endotracheal intubation in normotensive man. Br J Anaesth 1970;42:618–24.
- [21] Shribman AJ, Smith G, Achola KJ. Cardiovascular and catecholamine responses to laryngoscopy with and without tracheal intubation. Br J Anaesth 1987;59:295–9.
- [22] Amar D, Shamoon H, Frishman WH, Lazar EJ, Salama MD. Effect of labetalol on perioperative stress markers and isoflurane requirements. Br J Anaesth 1991;67:296–301.
- [23] Roelofse JA, Shipton EA, Joubert JJ, Grotepass FW. A comparison of labetalol, acebutolol, and lidocaine for controlling the cardiovascular responses to endotracheal intubation for oral surgical procedures. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1987;45:835–41.