



## Research article

# Patient-controlled fascia iliaca compartment block versus fentanyl patient-controlled intravenous analgesia in patients undergoing femur fracture surgery<sup>☆</sup>,

Shaimaa F. Mostafa\*, Gehan M. Eid, Rehab S. Elkalla

Department of Anesthesia and Surgical ICU, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University, Egypt

## ARTICLE INFO

## Keywords:

PCA  
Fascia  
Postoperative pain

## ABSTRACT

**Background and objectives:** Postoperative pain relief is crucial in elderly, however, the use of opioids is limited owing to their potential side effects. We studied the effects of patient-controlled ultrasound guided fascia iliaca compartment block (FICB) with Levobupivacaine versus patient-controlled intravenous fentanyl on postoperative pain score in patients scheduled for fixation of femur fractures under general anesthesia.

**Methods:** 60 patients ASA physical status I and II undergoing elective fixation of fracture femur were enrolled in this randomized study into two groups. **Patient-controlled IV fentanyl group (PC-IVF):** patients received fentanyl 20 µg/ml solutions through a PCA pump programmed to give a basal infusion of 10 µg/h and bolus doses of 2 ml/dose with a 15 min lockout interval. **Patient-controlled fascia iliaca compartment analgesia (PC-FICA):** PCA was adjusted to deliver a continuous basal infusion of 4 ml/h levobupivacaine 0.125% and 2 ml demand boluses with a lockout interval of 15 min. Visual analogue score (VAS) and total postoperative rescue analgesic consumption were assessed.

**Results:** VAS scores were significantly lower in PC-FICA group compared to PC-IVF group at 1 h, 3 h and 6 h postoperative. 7 patients requested post-operative rescue analgesia in PC-FICA group compared to 19 patients in PC-IVF group. Total consumption of rescue analgesia was significantly decreased in PC-FICA group ( $31.4 \pm 10.7$  mg) compared to PC-IVF group ( $70.5 \pm 20.4$  mg) ( $P < .05$ ).

**Conclusion:** PC-FICA provided a better quality of analgesia and decreased postoperative rescue analgesic requirement without increased side effects compared to PCA IV fentanyl.

Pan African Clinical Trial Registry: PACTR201512001367158

## 1. Introduction

Postoperative pain may not be managed properly in the elderly due to the potential adverse effects of opioid analgesics [1]. Effective pain management is essential for early mobility and hence subsequent hospital discharge [2].

Patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) allows patients to self-titrate required doses of analgesics according to their desired level of pain control using a programmable infusion pump. This adjusts the dose in order to maintain adequate analgesia individualized to the patient's needs [3]. PCA has been advocated an effective and safe technique for postoperative analgesia and is considered the “gold standard” for pain relief following major surgery [4].

The use of regional anesthetic techniques alleviates postoperative

pain and avoids complications of opioids [5].

Fascia iliaca compartment block (FICB) is a regional block of lumbar plexus that involves the anterior thigh [6], if the injected local anesthetics are positioned posterior to the fascia iliaca, it diffuses to its internal layers then to the femoral, genitofemoral, lateral femoral cutaneous, and obturator nerves [7] which was radiologically confirmed later on [8].

It is an alternative to central neural block and can provide adequate unilateral analgesia with fewer adverse-effects than epidural analgesia [9]. FICB provided effective rapid onset analgesia following traumatic hip fractures in the elderly [10].

The aim of the current study was to compare the effects of patient-controlled ultrasound (US) guided fascia iliaca compartment analgesia (PC-FICA) with Levobupivacaine versus patient-controlled intravenous

<sup>☆</sup> The work was attributed at Tanta University Hospital, Egypt.

Peer review under responsibility of Egyptian Society of Anesthesiologists.

\* Corresponding author at: Moheb Street, Almahalla Alkobra, Egypt.

E-mail address: [shaimaafarouk7777@yahoo.com](mailto:shaimaafarouk7777@yahoo.com) (S.F. Mostafa).

fentanyl (PC-IVF) on postoperative pain score in patients undergoing fixation of femur fractures under general anesthesia.

## 2. Patients and methods

After obtaining approval from the Institutional Review Board (code number: 30594/11/15), registration in the Pan African Clinical Trial Registry (PACTR201512001367158) and patients' informed written consent, a prospective randomized study was carried out in Orthopedic surgery department, Tanta University hospital on 60 adult patients aged 50–70 years, of either gender, with American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status (ASA) I–II undergoing elective fixation of fracture femur. The study duration was 6 months.

The study methodology, instructions for use of PCA device and the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) of pain, where zero score corresponds to no pain and 10 to the maximum or worst pain, were cleared to participants preoperatively.

Exclusion criteria: Patients were excluded if they suffered from any other concomitant fractures, neurological disease (Alzheimer, dementia), any contraindication to regional anesthesia (e.g. patient refusal, local infection or coagulopathy) or known allergy to the studied drugs.

Computer-generated randomization numbers were used to allocate patients into two groups using sealed opaque envelopes. The envelope was chosen by each patient which determined his group.

**Group I: patient-controlled IV fentanyl group (PC-IVF):** patients received fentanyl 20 µg/ml solutions through PCA pump programmed to deliver a basal infusion of 10 µ/h and bolus doses of 2 ml/dose with a 15 min lockout interval and a 4 h limit of 400–800 µ.

**Group II: patient-controlled fascia iliaca compartment analgesia (PC-FICA):** the PCA was adjusted to deliver a continuous basal infusion of 4 ml/h levobupivacaine 0.125% and demand boluses of 2 ml with a lockout interval of 15 min [11].

Patients were premedicated with 0.1 mg/kg oral midazolam, 60–90 min before surgery. Monitoring included 5 leads ECG, pulse oximetry, non-invasive blood pressure and capnography.

General anesthesia technique was standardized in all patients. Induction was done using IV propofol 2 mg/kg, fentanyl 1 µg/kg, cisatracurium 0.15 mg/kg, endotracheal intubation was performed, anesthesia was maintained with 1:1 O<sub>2</sub>: air, 1.2–1.5% isoflurane and mechanical controlled ventilation was initiated with ventilator parameters set to maintain end-tidal carbon dioxide at 35–40 mmHg. IV fentanyl 0.5 µg/kg was administered to all patients every hour and if there is > 20% increase in heart rate or mean arterial blood pressure that may indicate inadequate analgesia. Intraoperative fentanyl consumption was recorded.

At the end of the surgical procedure, group II patients received an US-guided FICB [12]. The block was performed using a high-frequency 5–10 MHz linear transducer Sonosite Micromaxx (SonoSite, Inc. Bothell, WA). Firstly, sonographic visualization of the two fascial planes, the fascia lata and the fascia iliaca was performed as two hyperechoic lines, with the probe positioned on the thigh just inferior to the inguinal ligament in a transverse orientation and one-third of the distance between the pubic tubercle and the anterior superior iliac spine. A Tuohy needle (PERIFIX, B. BRAUN, Melsungen, Germany) was introduced percutaneously from lateral-to-medial then directed parallel to the transducer to allow continuous visualization of full needle length. The needle tip was visualized penetrating firstly the fascia lata and then the fascia iliaca and a 20 G catheter was introduced for about 15 cm past the needle tip then tunneled through the skin. A loading dose of 35 ml levobupivacaine 0.125% was injected. The catheter was removed after 48 h.

Perfalgan 1 g IV was administered to all patients before recovery. Basal infusion of PCA levobupivacaine and fentanyl were started in group I and II respectively before the patient woke up.

After extubation patients were admitted to Post Anesthesia Care

Unit (PACU). Sensory and motor block were assessed in group II patients to verify successful FICB and to instruct patients against fall if quadriceps weakness occurred. Sensory block was assessed using pin-prick over the sensory distribution of the femoral and lateral femoral cutaneous nerves (anterior and lateral aspect of the thigh respectively), and obturator nerve (medial and posterior aspect of the knee). Motor blockade was assessed using modified Bromage scale.

All patients with modified Aldrete-Kroulik recovery score [13] of more than 10 were instructed to start using the PCA pump (CADD-Legacy-PCA Pump, Model 6300, Smiths Medical, USA).

The postoperative pain was assessed over 24 h using VAS score at the time of PACU admission, 1 h, 3 h, 6 h, 12 h and 24 h post-operatively.

Rescue analgesia of 20 mg intravenous pethidine was given to patients in both groups if VAS ≥ 4 at rest, despite three consecutive PCA boluses.

Our primary outcome was the postoperative VAS score reduction. The secondary outcome was the total 24 h rescue analgesic consumption.

The occurrence of any adverse events including nausea, vomiting, hypotension, shivering, pruritus or depression of respiration was recorded. Local adverse effects at the site of the block such as hematoma were recorded. Nausea and vomiting were treated with ondansetron 4 mg IV. Hypotension (defined as a decrease in mean arterial pressure more than 20% of the baseline value) was treated with intravenous fluids and intravenous ephedrine 10 mg boluses as needed. Bradycardia (defined as a heart rate less than 50 beat/min) was treated with atropine 0.01 mg/kg IV. Depression of respiration (defined as respiratory rate less than 10 breath/min or O<sub>2</sub> saturation less than 92% on room air) was treated by oxygenation, a trial for arousal and naloxone 0.1 mg if needed.

Ramsay score was used to assess postoperative sedation [14]. Patient satisfaction was also recorded.

### 2.1. Statistical analysis

Calculation of the sample size was based on the reduction of post-operative VAS score. Based on the results of our pilot study carried on 10 patients divided into two groups, at least 27 patients were needed to detect a 20% difference at an  $\alpha$  error of 0.05 and 80% power of the study. We used SPSS 16 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for statistical analysis. Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was performed for verification of the assumption of normality. Quantitative data were described as mean  $\pm$  SD and independent sample *t*-test was used for comparison between both groups. Categorical data were described as number or frequencies (%) and Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test were used as appropriate for comparison between both groups. Mann-Whitney test was used for analysis of sedation score. *P*-value < .05 was considered significant.

## 3. Results

68 patients were evaluated for enrollment in the study, 5 patients didn't meet the inclusion criteria and 3 patients refused to be involved in the study. 60 patients were enrolled and randomly divided into two groups (Fig. 1).

Demographic data including age, weight, gender and surgical duration were comparable among the two studied groups (*P* > .05) (Table 1).

VAS score was comparable in both groups on admission to PACU (*P* value > .05). VAS score significantly decreased in PC-FICA group compared to PC-IVF group at 1 h, 3 h and 6 h postoperative (*P* < .05) with confidence interval (CI) of (–1.340 to –0.460), (–1.489 to –0.577) and (–1.607 to –0.860) respectively. VAS scores were comparable between groups at 12 h and 24 h (*P* > .05) (Fig. 2).

Intraoperative fentanyl consumption was comparable in the studied




Fig. 1. CONSORT flow diagram of participants through each stage of the randomized trial.

Table 1 Demographic data and duration of surgery in the studied groups.

	PC-IVF	PC-FICA	P value
Age (y)	58.43 ± 4.90	59.70 ± 5.90	0.369
Gender (M/F)	20/10	22/8	0.77
Body weight (kg)	84.00 ± 8.63	81.07 ± 9.78	0.223
Duration of surgery (min)	133.2 ± 28.1	130.5 ± 27.8	0.713

Data are expressed as mean ± SD or patient number.

Table 2 Perioperative analgesic consumption in the studied groups.

	PC-IVF	PC-FICA	P value	95% CI
Intraoperative fentanyl consumption (µg)	72.5 ± 24.4	65.7 ± 22.0	0.260	(-18.85, 5.18)
Number of patients required rescue analgesia	19/30	7/30	0.003*	
Consumption of rescue analgesia (mg)	70.5 ± 20.4	31.4 ± 10.7	0.000*	(26.20, 52.00)

Data are expressed as mean ± SD and patient number.

CI: confidence interval.

\* P < .05 denotes statistical significance.




Fig. 2. Visual analogue scale in the studied groups.

groups (P > .05, CI -18.85, 5.18) (Table 2).

The number of patients who requested post-operative rescue analgesia was significantly lower in PC-FICA group (7 patients) compared to PC-IVF group (19 patients) (P value < .05) (Table 2).

Total consumption of postoperative analgesic consumption was significantly decreased in PC-FICA group (31.4 ± 10.7 mg) compared

to PC-IVF group (70.5 ± 20.4 mg) (P < .05, CI 26.20–52.00) (Table 2).

Regarding postoperative complications, one patient developed postoperative nausea in PC-FICA group compared to 2 patients in PC-IVF group. Two patients suffered from postoperative vomiting in both groups. All patients were treated with ondansetron 4 mg IV. One patient developed hypotension and one patient had bradycardia in PC-IVF group and were treated with IV crystalloids and IV atropine 0.01 mg/kg respectively compared to no patients in PC-FICA group. Postoperative complications were statistically comparable in both studied groups (Table 3).

Patient's satisfaction and sedation scores were also comparable in both groups (Tables 3 and 4).

#### 4. Discussion

The results of our study showed that PC-FICA provided more

**Table 3**  
Postoperative complications and patients' satisfaction.

		PC-IVF	PC-FICA	P value
Nausea		2 (6.66%)	1 (3.33%)	1.00
Vomiting		2 (6.66%)	2 (6.66%)	1.38
Hypotension		1 (3.33%)	0 (0%)	1.00
Bradycardia		1 (3.33%)	0 (0%)	1.00
Satisfaction	Satisfied	24 (80%)	27 (90%)	0.55
	Fair	4 (13.33%)	2 (6.66%)	
	Unsatisfied	2 (6.66%)	1 (3.33%)	

Data are presented as number (%).

**Table 4**  
Sedation score in studied groups:

	PC-IVF	PC-FICA	P value
Admission to PACU	1 (0.00–2.00)	1 (1–2)	0.704
30 min	0 (0.00–1.00)	1 (1–1.250)	0.180
1 h	0 (0.00–1.00)	0 (0.00–0.00)	0.208
3 h	0 (0.00–0.00)	0 (0.00–0.00)	0.994
6 h	0 (0.00–0.00)	0 (0.00–0.00)	NA
12 h	0 (0.00–0.00)	0 (0.00–0.00)	NA
24 h	0 (0.00–0.00)	0 (0.00–0.00)	NA

Data presented as median (interquartile range).

adequate analgesia with less VAS scores at 1 h, 3 h and 6 h postoperative and less postoperative rescue analgesic consumption compared to PC-IVF without increased side effects.

Anatomically, the FICB is an anterior regional anesthetic block of the lumbar plexus [15]. If injected local anesthetics are positioned posterior to the fascia iliaca, it diffuses into its internal layers then to the femoral, genitofemoral, lateral femoral cutaneous, and obturator nerves [7].

Consistent with our results as regards the effectiveness of FICB on reducing postoperative pain, Wathen et al. [16] reported that FICB analgesia was superior compared with that elicited with intravenous morphine following pediatric femur fractures.

Dalens et al. [17] reported that the FICB successfully blocks the femoral, obturator nerves, genitofemoral, and lateral femoral cutaneous nerve better than the 3-in-1 block in children.

In addition, Abdelmawgoud et al. [18] stated that continuous FICB provided good quality analgesia and better patient satisfaction during the first postoperative 24 h after hip surgery as elicited by lower VAS scores as well as less postoperative meperidine consumptions. They reported that the block started to fade mainly at 12 h postoperative and explained that by the possible lower volume of the local anesthetic infusion than the loading dose. This is also in agreement with the findings of Biboulet et al. [19].

Ecoffey [20] also reported that the FICB provides analgesia for areas innervated by the branches of the lumbar plexus, and hence are useful for pediatric procedures involving the skin, muscles, periosteum as well as hip, thigh, and knee joints.

Capdevila et al. [21] compared three-in-1 and FICB in 100 patients scheduled for lower limb surgery and reported more consistent and faster block of the femoral and lateral femoral cutaneous nerves with the FICB.

In our results, VAS scores during the first postoperative hour were significantly less in PC-FICA group compared to PC-IV group. Moawad et al. [22] demonstrated that in the first hour postoperatively, the Numerical Pain Rating Scale (NPRS) in Patient Controlled Intravenous Analgesia (PCIA) group was significantly lower than that elicited in Patient Controlled Epidural Analgesia (PCEA) group possibly due to of the relatively rapid onset of intravenous fentanyl compared to that administered epidurally. They suggested two possible explanations for this. Firstly, the peak effect of intravenous fentanyl is usually elicited

2–5 min following an intravenous bolus administration [23], while that of epidural fentanyl is delayed for 10–20 min [24] possibly due to the time taken for fentanyl to traverse the dura and cerebrospinal fluid and bind to opiate receptors in the spinal cord neuraxis. An alternative theory may be that the analgesic effects of epidural fentanyl are mainly mediated by systemic absorption [25].

After the first hour postoperative, they stated that the analgesia provided by the epidural fentanyl–bupivacaine combination was significantly better than that of intravenous fentanyl as the local anesthetic exerts its effect on nerve roots and the plasma concentration of fentanyl was attained the threshold level to control pain [22].

Consistent with Moawad et al. [22] other studies also reported that, after the first postoperative hour, the pain was less severe following epidural fentanyl administration than that observed after intravenous route, despite the similar or even lower plasma fentanyl concentrations in epidural fentanyl group [26].

The difference between our results and those of other studies [22,26] regarding pain scores during the first hour postoperative may be explained by the different timing we started the PCA basal infusion (before the patient woke up) as well as the different route of administration, type of surgery and age groups included in other studies as they compared PCIA to PCEA in 20–60 year old patients scheduled for major abdominal surgery.

Adverse events especially hemodynamic complications were comparable between studied groups. This is consistent with previous studies [11,27,28].

#### 4.1. Limitations

Limitations to our study included firstly the limited number of investigated patients. Also, blinding of the study was not feasible due to the evident site of catheter insertion.

#### 5. Conclusion

PC-FICA provided better quality of analgesia and decreased postoperative rescue analgesic requirement without increased side effects compared to PCA IV fentanyl.

#### Funding

The study was funded by departmental resources.

#### Conflict of interest

The authors declared that there is no conflict of interest.

#### References

- [1] Morrison RS, Magaziner J, McLaughlin MA, Orosz G, Silberzweig SB, Koval KJ, et al. The impact of post-operative pain on outcomes following hip fracture. *Pain* 2003;103:303–11.
- [2] Lee AR, Choi DH, Ko JS, Choi SJ, Hahm TS, Kim GH, et al. Effect of combined single-injection femoral nerve block and patient controlled epidural analgesia in patients undergoing total knee replacement. *Med J* 2011;52:145–50.
- [3] Craft J. Patient-controlled analgesia: is it worth the painful prescribing process? *Bayl Univ Med Cent Proc* 2010;23:434–8.
- [4] Hazem EM, Ehab MM. Postoperative analgesia after major abdominal surgery: fentanyl–bupivacaine patient controlled epidural analgesia versus fentanyl patient controlled intravenous analgesia. *EGJA* 2014;30:393–7.
- [5] Stephen R, Longo Daniel P, Williams DO. Bilateral fascia iliaca catheters for postoperative pain control after bilateral total knee arthroplasty: a case report and description of a catheter technique. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 1997;22:372–7.
- [6] Mouzopoulos G, Vasiliadis G, Lasanianos N, Nikolaras G, Morakis E, Kaminaris M. Fascia iliaca block prophylaxis for hip fracture patients at risk for delirium: a randomized placebo-controlled study. *J Orthop Traumatol* 2009;10:127–33.
- [7] Morau D, Lopez S, Biboulet P, Bernard N, Amar J, Capdevila X. Comparison of continuous 3-in-1 and fascia iliaca compartment blocks for postoperative analgesia: feasibility, catheter migration, distribution of sensory block, and analgesic efficacy. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2003;28:309–14.
- [8] Capdevila X, Biboulet P, Bouregba M, Barthelet Y, Rubenovitch J, d'Athis F.

- Comparison of the three-in-one and fascia iliaca compartment blocks in adults: clinical and radiographic analysis. *Anesth Analg* 1998;86:1039–44.
- [9] Calum RK, Matthew G, Checketts R. Analgesia for primary hip and knee arthroplasty: the role of regional anaesthesia. *Contin Educ Anaesth Crit Care Pain* 2008;8:56–61.
- [10] Monzón DG, Vazquez J, Jauregui JR, Iserson KV. Pain treatment in post-traumatic hip fracture in the elderly: regional block vs. systemic non-steroidal analgesics. *Int J Emerg Med* 2010;3:321–5.
- [11] Rashwan D. Levobupivacaine patient controlled analgesia: Epidural versus blind fascia iliaca compartment analgesia – a comparative study. *EGJA* 2013;29:155–9.
- [12] Haines L, Dickman E, Ayvazyan S, Pearl M, Wu S, Rosenblum D, et al. Ultrasound-guided fascia iliaca compartment block for hip fractures in the emergency department. *J Emerg Med* 2012;43:692–7.
- [13] Aldrete JA. The post-anesthesia recovery score revisited. *J Clin Anesth* 1995;7:89–91.
- [14] Ramsay MA, Savege TM, Simpson BR, Goodwin R. Controlled sedation with alfaxalone-alphadolone. *Br Med J* 1974;2:656–9.
- [15] Monzon DG, Iserson KV, Vazquez JA. Single fascia iliaca compartment block for post-hip fracture pain relief. *J Emerg Med* 2007;32:257–62.
- [16] Wathen JE, Gao D, Merritt G, Georgopoulos G, Battan FK. A randomized controlled trial comparing a fascia iliaca compartment nerve block to a traditional systemic analgesic for femur fractures in a pediatric emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med* 2007;50:162–71.
- [17] Dalens B, Vanneville G, Tanguy A. Comparison of the fascia iliaca compartment block with the 3-in-1 block in children. *Anesth Analg* 1989;69:705–13.
- [18] Abdelmawgoud A, Rashwan S. The analgesic efficacy of continuous fascia iliaca block vs. continuous psoas compartment block after hip surgery: a comparative study. *EGJA* 2012;28:183–7.
- [19] Biboulet P, Morau D, Aubas P, Bringuier-Branchereau S, Capdevila X. Postoperative analgesia after total-hip arthroplasty: comparison of intravenous patient-controlled analgesia with morphine and single injection of femoral nerve or psoas compartment block: a prospective, randomized, double-blind study. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2004;29:102–9.
- [20] Ecoffey C. Regional anesthesia in children. In: Prithvi Raj P, editor. *Textbook of regional anesthesia*. 1st ed. London: Churchill Livingstone; 2002. p. 379–96.
- [21] Capdevila X, Biboulet P, Bouregba M, Barthelet Y, Rubenovitch J, d'Athis F. Comparison of the three-in-one and fascial iliaca compartment blocks in adults: clinical and radiographic analysis. *Anesth Analg* 1998;86:1039–44.
- [22] Moawad HE, Mokbel EM. Postoperative analgesia after major abdominal surgery: fentanyl–bupivacaine patient controlled epidural analgesia versus fentanyl patient controlled intravenous analgesia. *EGJA* 2014;30:393–7.
- [23] Shafer SL, Varvel JR. Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics and rational opioid selection. *Anesthesiology* 1991;74:53–63.
- [24] Rostaing S, Bonnet F, Levron JC, Vodinh J, Pluskwa F, Saada M. Effect of epidural clonidine on analgesia and pharmacokinetics of epidural fentanyl in postoperative patients. *Anesthesiology* 1991;75:420–5.
- [25] Gourlay GK, Cherry DA, Cousins MJ. Cephalad migration of morphine in CSF following lumbar epidural administration in patients with cancer pain. *Pain* 1985;23:317–26.
- [26] Privado MS, Issy AM, Lanchote VL, Garcia JBS, Sakata RK. Epidural versus intravenous fentanyl for postoperative analgesia following orthopedic surgery: randomized controlled trial. *Sao Paulo Med J* 2010;128:5–9.
- [27] Obideyi A, Srikantharajah I, Grigg L, Randall A. Nurse administered fascia iliaca compartment block for pre-operative pain relief in adult fractured neck of femur. *Acute Pain* 2008;10:145–9.
- [28] Gallardo J, Contreras-Domínguez V, Begazo H, Chávez J, Rodríguez R, Monardes A. Efficacy of the fascia iliaca compartment block vs continuous epidural infusion for analgesia following total knee replacement surgery. *Rev Esp Anestesiol Reanim* 2011;58:493–8.