Impact of Postharvest application with Nano chitosan and Nano salicylic acid on reducing chilling injury and Quality maintenance of Sweet Pepper Fruits during cold storage

Safaa Zakaria¹, Khaled Yehia Farroh² and Mohamed A. A. Abdullah¹

¹Postharvest of Vegetable Crops Department, Horticulture Research Institute, Agriculture Research Centre. ²Nanotechnology and Advanced Materials Central Lab.,Regional Center for Food and Feed, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

The current study was carried out during 2020 and 2021 seasons on sweet pepper variety: Taison. Its objective was to assess the impact of coating fruits with a solution of nano-salicylic acid (Nano-SA) at 100 and 200 ppm, nano-chitosan (Nano-SC) at 125 and 500 ppm, salicylic acid (SA) at 200 ppm and chitosan at 500 ppm for 5 min, in addition to untreated fruits (control) during storage at 5°C for 35 days plus 2 days at 20°C (shelf life) on alleviating chilling injury and preserve fruit quality attributes. Results indicate that sweet pepper fruits treated with all postharvest treatments had significantly less fruit weight loss, retained their firmness, L* value, and ascorbic acid, alleviated chilling injury retained total antioxidants and total phenol and the overall appearance of fruits. Also, the lowest accumulation of carotenoids content during all storage period as compared with control. Fruits treated with Nano-SA at 100 ppm or Nano-SC at 125 ppm treatments were the most effective treatments in preserving all the quality attributes of fruits, and gave good appearance of fruits for 35 days without any decay or chilling injury, while Nano-SA at 200 ppm and Nano-SC at 500 ppm treatments gave a good appearance of fruits for 28 days at 5°C plus 2 days at 20°C, but Bulk-SA and SC treatments gave a good appearance of fruits for only 14 days at the same storage condition.

Keywords: Chilling injury-Nanotechnology- Sweet Pepper- Salicylic Acid- Chitosan.

INTRODUCTION

One of the most popular methods for the post-harvest period of prolonging horticultural products is cold storage, which slows down respiration and other metabolic activities. Due to their tropical origin, sweet pepper fruits are susceptible to chilling damage if they are kept for longer than three days at a temperature below 7°C (Fallik et al., 2009). The optimum storage temperature from 7 to 10°C is recommended for fresh sweet pepper during postharvest to avoid chilling injury (Kader, 2002). chilling injury symptoms in sweet pepper fruits include pitting, poor ripening, surface discoloration, collapse of structural integrity, development of off-flavors, the formation of sunken, calyx darkening, seed browning and darkening and increased susceptibility to decay and fungal growth (Aghdam et al., 2011). These symptoms often develop after transfer of the chilled sweet pepper fruit to non-chilling

temperature (Ge et al., 2020). Therefore, it is necessary to use some techniques to alleviate chilling injury and preserve the quality of sweet pepper fruits during stored in the cold. As a result, it is vital to employ specific strategies to reduce chilling harm and preserve the quality of sweet pepper fruits during cold storage. With the widespread use of cold chain storage during the transit of horticultural products post-harvest, novel strategies to prevent chilling injury are continually in demand. The recent use of innovative techniques, such as nanotechnology, in the post-harvest of fruits and vegetables warrants exploration. additional Nanotechnology, which improves a material's physical and chemical properties, also possesses strong antifungal and antiviral effects (Babalar, nanotechnology 2007). Generally, is concerned with the characterization and utilization of nanoparticles (NPs) with sizes

between 1 and 100 nm. Edible nano-coatings could be used on fruit and vegetables to create a barrier to moisture and gas exchange, as well as a vehicle for colors, tastes, antioxidants, and enzymes, and can also raise the shelf life of produced foods (Azeredo et al., 2009). This might be due to nanoparticles having been found to zigzag in the new film and protecting against the transmission of oxygen as a barrier. In other words, the oxygen for entrance into film should during a longer path, and because of the longer route for oxygen molecules, food may spoil later. Chitosan nanoparticles act as both biopolymers and nanoparticles, with quantum size effects, and have numerous applications in antimicrobial therapies (Kalaivani et al., 2020). But more recently, chitosan has been applied on a nanometric scale, which has increased the surface area of interaction and proven to be even more effective (Duan et al., 2019). Chitosan has been dubbed the most significant agricultural nanotechnology polymer in because of its qualities, which include its antibacterial qualities, nontoxicity, economic accessibility, and biodegradability (Saharan et al., 2015). Because of its higher dispersion capacity, chitosan is said to be far more effective when present in nanostructures than when it is in macro-size (Sampathkumar et al., 2020). To improve the performance of edible coatings, some can be transformed to nanoscale. Because of the presence of amino and hydroxyl groups on the chitosan polymeric network, it is easily converted to

Sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum L*.) variety: Taison. were harvested at 3/4 red color stage of the fruit surface on 16^{th} and 19^{th} of January in 2020 and 2021 seasons, respectively, from a private farm in Abo sultan 1 - Ismailia Governorate – Egypt, during winter seasons and then the fruits were transported the laboratory of Vegetable Handling Research Department, Horticultural Research Institute, Agricultural Research

chitosan nano particles (CSNPs). CSNPs are

also an edible coating used to extend the shelflife period and maintain the quality of banana fruits (Lustriane et al., 2018). Moreover, postharvest application of CSNPs was highly in cadence and maintains quality of cold storage banana fruit at 20 days (Elbagoury et al., 2022). Edible chitosan-based coatings were able to reduce the chilling injury and maintaining the quality characteristics of sweet pepper during storage. The chitosan coating could reduce the cell damage, intracellular compartmentation disruption, and release of the phenolic substrates from vacuoles during the storage days of the fruits (Emadifar et al., 2024). Salicylic acid (SA), has potential postharvest applications be alleviating chilling injury, maintain quality, reducing decay and increasing fruit resistance to pathogens (Chan et al., 2007), As a result, SA plays a significant role in fruit quality attributes like firmness, taste, aroma, and color, inhibiting the production of ethylene, respiration and senescence (Siriamornpun and Niwat, 2017).

A few studies have found that exogenous SA can induce resistance to chilling injury in post-harvest horticultural crops, including sweet peppers (Mohamed, 2020). Therefore, the current study's goal was to evaluate the impact postharvest Therefore, chitosan and salicylic without and with acid nanotechnology application on reducing the chilling injury and maintaining the overall quality attributes of sweet pepper fruits during cold storage at 5°C (chilling temperature) plus 2 days at 20° C (shelf life).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Center, Giza, Egypt. Only fruits of uniform size, weight, and color with a short calyx (1cm) that were sound, healthy and free from any visible defects were selected for the storage experiment.

1. Chitosan nanoparticle preparation: Based on the ionic gelation of chitosan with TPP anions, chitosan nanoparticles were created. The method used was described by



Calvo et al. (1997), with some changes by Mohamed (2017).

- 2. Preparation protocol of salicylic acid nanoparticles: Salicylic acid-loaded chitosan nanoparticles were synthesized in accordance with Taghizadeh and Raveled (2010).
- 3. Laboratory Characterization and identification of nanoscale chitosan and salicylic acid particles
- **3.1. High-resolution transmission electron microscope (TEM):** The diameter of chitosan and salicylic acid nanoparticles was measured using a TEM (200 kV, Tecnai G2 S-Twin model FEL Company, Netherlands).
- **3.2. Diffraction of X-rays (XRD):** The chemical determine of the nanomaterials was ascertained using X-ray diffraction (x'pert pro model Panalytical Company Netherland).
- **3.3. Zeta Sizer (ZS):** Dynamic light scattering (DLS) was used to determine particle size distribution using Nano ZS equipment (Malvern Instruments. U.K). Measurements were taken at 25 °C and began 2 minutes after the cuvette was placed in the apparatus to allow for temperature equilibration. Electrophoretic light scattering experiments were carried out in aqueous solution to evaluate the zeta potential. The Agricultural Research Center in Egypt's Nanotechnology and Materials Advanced Central Lab (NAMCL) was the site of all the preparation and characterization procedures.

The experiment contained seven treatments which applied as follows: Sweet pepper fruits were dipped for 5 minutes on the following treatments chitosan (SC) at 500 ppm, Nano-Chitosan (Nano-SC) at 500 ppm and 125 ppm, salicylic acid (SA) at 200 ppm, Nano-salicylic acid (Nano-SA) at 200 ppm and100 ppm, Untreated fruits dipped (in distilled water) control.

All fruit treatments were dipped in different solutions at room temperature $(20\pm$

 5° C) allowed to air dry, and then packed in carton boxes $(30 \times 20 \times 15 \text{ cm})$. Each box contains three fruits represented as experimental units (EU). Eighteen EU were prepared for each treatment and stored at 5°C and 95% relative humidity (RH). Samples were taken randomly in three replicates EU and were arranged in complete randomized design. Measurements were examined immediately after harvest and at 7 days intervals (0, 7, 14, 21, 28 and 35 days) of storage at 5° C (chilling injury conditions) plus, two days at 20°C and RH 85% (shelf-life conditions).

The following characters in the storage experiment were examined:

1. Weight loss percentage: Equation was used to determine it: Weight loss% = $[(A - B) / A]^*100$.

Where: A = the initial weight, B = Weight at inspection date

- 2. General appearance: General appearance was evaluated using a scale from (1-9) with 9=excellent, 7=good, 5=fair, 3=poor, 1=unsalable and fruits rating (5) or below were considered unmarketable according to Kader *et al.* (2002).
- **3. The decay score** was calculated as follows: 1=no decay, 2=slight, 3=moderate, 4=sever and 5=extreme (**Wang and Qi, 1997**).
- 4. Chilling injury was determined based on a five scale: 0 = no injury; 1 = <10%; 2 =11v to 25%; 3 = 26-40% and 4 = > 40%. The severity of the symptoms was assessed visually according to Vega-García et al. (2010), 5 parameters: surface pitting, shriveling, water-soaked areas, uneven ripening and color development and decay, calyx darkening, seed browning and darkening.
- **5. External surface color: it** was evaluated by using a Minolta CR-400 Chroma Meter (Minolta Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) to measure the L* describes lightness (L*=0 for black, L*=100 for white) according to McGuire (1992).
- **6. Fruit firmness:** Fruit firmness was measured in Lp/inch² by a hand pressure

tester (Italian model) with an 8 mm plunger expressed in kg/cm2 (Abbott, 1999).

- **7.** Ascorbic acid content (V.C.): vitamin (C) was determined as (mg/100g fruit fresh weight) by titration method using 2, 6 dichloro-phenol-indo-phenol the dye as described in A.O.A.C (1990).
- **8.** Total carotenoids content: it was determined according to A.O.A.C (1990), as (mg/100g fresh weight).
- **9. Total phenolic content:** it was measured by the Folin Ciocalteu method, according to Singleton et al., (1999), as (mg/100g fresh weight).
- a. Characterization of synthesized chitosan nanoparticles:

Hydrodynamic diameter and surface charge were measured in the nanoscale range using the particle size (DLS) and zeta potential. Nano-CS was measured to have a size of 36.45 nm **Fig. (1)** and a zeta of + 43.7 mV **Fig. (2)**.

The size of particles plays an important role in the determination of antimicrobial activity of nanoparticles as they enter the cell walls of microbes through carrier proteins or ion channel. Therefore, the **10.** Antioxidant activity (%): it was measured by determining of the free radical scavenging activity evaluated by 2.2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), according to Sánchez-Moreno et al. (2003).

The Statistical design: The experiments were conducted completely randomized in factorial design with three replicates.

11. Statistical analysis: All data were statistically analyzed as described by Snedecor and Cochran (1980), and the Duncan's multiple range test method was used to compare the means.

RESULTS DISCUSSION

smaller particle size will result in a better uptake of nanoparticles into a microbial cell as mentioned by Sharma et al. (2010). Zeta potential of CSNPs is equal to + 44.7 mV, which due to excess positive charge of chitosan molecules after interaction with sodium tripolyphosphate (TPP). Chitosan is a polycationic electrolyte with amino groups, while TPP is a polyanionic electrolyte with phosphate groups. The zeta potentials between +20 and +60mV for chitosan nanoparticles as reported by Shukla et al. (2013).







Fig. (2): the Zeta potential of prepared Nano-CS showing surface charge, zeta-potential





Fig 3. Characterization of Salicylic acid-loaded chitosan nanoparticles (SA/CS NPs). (A): XRD pattern analysis indicating the formation of SA/CS NPs (B): HR-TEM image showing nearly spherical shape of prepared SA/CS NPs with average size 14.7 nm. (C): Particle size distribution of prepared SA/CS NPs showing the average size of 15.7 nm. (D): Zeta potential of prepared SA/CS NPs CS nanoparticles showing surface charge, zeta potential, +18.5 mV.

1. Weight loss percentage:

The data shown in **Table** (1) indicates that all samples experienced a gradual increase in weight loss (%) as the storage period and shelf life lengthened during the two seasons. These findings are consistent with Mohamed (2020). Weight loss during storage may be caused by respiration, transpiration, and other senescence-related metabolic activities (Amarante et al., 2001).



Results show also that all postharvest treatments decreased the percentage of weight loss when compared to the untreated control during storage period and shelf life. After 35 days of storage at 5°C plus 2 days at 20°C. Sweet pepper fruits treated with Nano-SA at 100 ppm and Nano-CS at 125 ppm were the most effective treatment in reducing weight loss percentage of fruits, with non-significant deference between them. The other treatments were less effective in these concerns. Highest value of weight loss was seen in control treatment. These results and agree with (Safitri et al., 2021 and Abdelkader et al., 2022).

The nanoparticles in the coatings are responsible for forming a zigzag in the film structure (raising surface area), as well as a crosslinked-like structure that acts as a barrier to the transfer of oxygen. In other

words, oxygen should enter the film via a longer path than water vapor (Harnkarnsujarit and Li, 2017). This slows down the rate of all vital processes and activities that take place inside the fruits, as well as the rate of respiration, resulting in a lower weight loss percentage during storage and a slower rate of respiration and decay (Heena et al., 2013). Fruit preservation coating technology has been improved using nanotechnology and a variety of nanosystems, including nanoparticles. According to Algarni et al. (2022). CSNP treatment increased the fruit's shelf life and decreased fresh apricot fruit weight loss during cold storage. Salicylic acid improved fruit firmness by maintaining cell membrane integrity, resulting in decreased water loss and shriveling (Yanthan et al., 2019).

	Weight loss (%)								
Treatments (ppm)	Storage period (days)								
the state of the state of the state of	0+2	7+2	14+2	21+2	28+2	35+2			
			and the second second	2020					
Control	0.16 rs	2.25 e-h	3.58 d	5.27 c	6.26 b	7.43 a	4.16 A		
CS 500	0.05 s	0.39 q-s	0.97 m-p	1.90 g-j	2.39 e-g	3.58 d	1.55 B		
Nano-CS 500	0.04 s	0.56 p-r	1.17 l-o	2.08 e-i	2.45 ef	3.15 d	1.58 B		
Nano-CS 125	0.04 s	0.48 p-s	0.81 n-q	1.42 j-m	1.80 h-k	2.35 e-g	1.15 C		
SA 200	0.04 s	0.63 p-r	1.33 k-m	1.94 g-i	2.47 e	3.34 d	1.62 B		
Nano-SA 200	0.05 s	0.71 o-q	1.30 k-n	1.89 g-j	2.35 e-g	3.53 d	1.64 B		
Nano-SA 100	0.05 s	0.41 q-s	0.79 o-q	1.62 i-1	1.96 f-i	2.27 e-h	1.18 C		
Mean	0.06 F	0.77 E	1.42 D	2.30 C	2.81 B	3.66 A			
1.1.200	110 and	100	1.2.2.1	2021			100		
Control	0.25 uv	1.37 n-s	2.89 e-h	4.95 c	6.23 b	8.02 a	3.95 A		
CS 500	0.12 v	0.59 s-v	1.18 o-t	2.05 i-n	3.30 d-g	3.98 d	1.87 B		
Nano-CS 500	0.10 v	0.42 t-v	0.95 q-u	1.78 j-p	2.53 g-k	3.52 de	1.55 BC		
Nano-CS 125	0.11 v	0.42 t-v	1.36 n-s	1.65 l-q	2.25 h-m	2.56 f-j	1.39 CI		
SA 200	0.11 v	0.65 s-v	1.02 p-u	1.74 k-q	2.57 f-j	3.45 de	1.59 BC		
Nano-SA 200	0.09 v	0.46 t-v	1.13 o-t	1.82 j-o	2.62 f-i	3.34 d-f	1.58 BC		
Nano-SA 100	0.11 v	0.41 t-v	0.81 r-v	1.48 m-r	2.00 i-n	2.34 h-l	1.19 D		
Mean	0.13 F	0.62 E	1 33 D	2 21 C	3 07 B	3 80 4			

Table (1): Effect of edible coating chitosan and salicylic acid nanoparticles on weight loss percentage of

Values with letters in the same column, the same row and interaction are not statistically different, at 0.05 level according to Duncan's multiple range test. Control = Distilled water, spraying, Nano-CS = chitosan nanoparticles, Nano-SA = Salicylic acid nanoparticles.

2. General appearance (GA):

The results in Table (2) showed that (GA) of sweet pepper fruits decreased with the prolongation of storage period plus shelf life. This might be due to shriveling, pitting, color change of fruits and decay (Mohamed, 2020). However, all postharvest treatments had significantly the highest score of



The nanoscale coatings have additional advantages, such as a decrease in moisture

loss and consequent preservation of texture. flavor, and appearance. Because of their smaller size and greater surface area, NPs have different properties from bulk materials. This means that they are more soluble and have a higher surface reactivity. SA treatment may be used as an efficient biomolecule for protecting tomato fruits encountered with frost or chilling and/or any other stress condition affect cell architecture and membrane (Aghdam et al., 2012) and increase resistance to postharvest diseases and chilling injury in horticultural crops. including sweet pepper (Mohamed, 2020). Chitosan as a coating preserved greater firmness, inhibited weight loss, reduced the increase in lipid peroxidation (MDA concentration), and relieved symptoms of CI in cucumbers. (Hashim et al., 2017).

Table (2): Effect of edible coating chitosan and salicylic acid nanoparticles on general appearance (score) of sweet pepper fruits stored at 5°C and RH 90-95% plus 2 days at 20 °C (shelf life) during 2020 & 2021 seasons.

	General appearance (%)								
Treatments (ppm)	82	Storage period (days)							
	0+2	7+2	14+2	21+2	28+2	35+2			
10 M M 10 M	- 295	10000	111	2020	100				
Control	9.00 a	7.00 b-d	5.67 d-f	4.33 f	2.33 g	1.00 g	4.89 D		
CS 500	9.00 a	8.33 ab	7.00 b-d	6.33 с-е	5.67 d-f	4.33 f	6.78 C		
Nano-CS 500	9.00 a	9.00 a	8.33 ab	7.67 a-c	6.33 с-е	5.00 ef	7.56 B		
Nano-CS 125	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	8.33 ab	7.67 a-c	7.00 b-d	8.33 A		
SA 200	9.00 a	8.33 ab	7.67 a-c	6.33 с-е	5.67 d-f	5.00 ef	7.00 BC		
Nano-SA 200	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	7.67 a-c	7.67 a-c	7.00 b-d	8.22 A		
Nano-SA 100	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	8.33 ab	7.67 a-c	8.67 A		
Mean	9.00 A	8.52 A	7.95 B	7.10 C	6.24 D	5.29 E	30 T S 15 19 15 1		
	111-12-12	Manager and Korak	Allocation and	2021	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	AND THEY			
Control	9.00 a	7.00 b-d	6.33 с-е	4.33 f	2.33 g	1.00 g	5.00 D		
CS 500	9.00 a	8.33 ab	7.67 a-c	5.67 d-f	5.67 d-f	5.00 ef	6.89 C		
Nano-CS 500	9.00 a	9.00 a	8.33 ab	7.67 a-c	7.00 b-d	5.67 d-f	7.78 B		
Nano-CS 125	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	8.33 ab	7.67 a-c	7.00 b-d	8.33 AF		
SA 200	9.00 a	8.33 ab	7.67 a-c	6.33 с-е	5.67 d-f	5.00 ef	7.00 C		
Nano-SA 200	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	8.33 ab	7.67 a-c	7.00 b-d	8.33 AF		
Nano-SA 100	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	9.00 a	8.33 ab	7.67 a-c	8.67 A		
Mean	9.00 A	8.52 AB	8.14 B	7.10 C	6.33 D	5.48 E			

Values with letters in the same column, the same row and interaction are not statistically different, at 0.05 level according to Duncan's multiple range test. Control = Distilled water, spraying, Nano-CS = chitosan nanoparticles, Nano-SA = Salicylic acid nanoparticles.

3. Decay Score:

The decay score of sweet pepper fruits significantly increased as the storage period and shelf life were extended during the two seasons, as shown in **Table. (3).** Certain reactions could be brought on by the ongoing biochemical and chemical alterations that fruits go through, such as the breakdown of complex chemicals into simpler ones and a faster rate of ripening



that increases their susceptibility to fungus. At the end of storage period and shelf life, no decay was observed in sweet peppers treated with Nano-SC at 125 and Nano-SA at 100 or 200 ppm while Nano-SC 500 ppm and Nano-SA at 200 ppm gave a slight decay at the same period. The highest value of decay were observes from untreated control. These results agree with Mohamed (2020) and Algarni et al. (2022). Regarding Nano-CS, Nanoscale materials have surfaced as innovative antimicrobial agents, with chitosan nanoparticles demonstrating efficacy against pathogenic microorganisms. Apricots coated with Nano-CS after harvest control decay, preserve quality and prolong fruit shelf life. SA can improve disease resistance and is an endogenous signal that triggers certain plant defense responses (Yao and Tian, 2005). The decrease in PPO Valencia orange activity during cold storage and the rise in POX enzyme activity could be the causes of the decline in decaying fruits treated with SA.

Table (3): Effect	of edible coating chitosan and salicylic acid nanoparticles on decay (score) of sweet
peppe	fruits stored at 5°C and RH 90-95% plus 2 days at 20 °C (shelf life) during 2020 &
2021	easons.

Treatments (ppm)	3		Storage p	eriod (days)		Mean
机过度测试器机	0+2	7+2	14+2	21+2	28+2	35+2	
LINO AL SCIENCE	and the second second second	- 0-10-0-10-0-1	Monthly Indiana	2020	104040	A MARKANA AND	PARTY AND A DESCRIPTION OF
Control	1.00 f	1.33 ef	1.33 ef	2.33 c	3.33 b	4.00 a	2.22 A
CS 500	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.33 ef	1.67 de	2.33 c	1.39 B
Nano-CS 500	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.33 ef	2.00 cd	1.22 B
Nano-CS 125	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 C
SA 200	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.33 ef	1.67 de	2.00 cd	1.33 B
Nano-SA 200	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 0
Nano-SA 100	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 0
Mean	1.00 D	1.05 D	1.05 D	1.29 C	1.57 B	1.91 A	
				2021			
Control	1.00 f	1.33 ef	1.33 ef	2.33 c	3.33 b	4.00 a	2.22 A
CS 500	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.67 de	1.67 de	2.00 cd	1.39 E
Nano-CS 500	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.67 de	1.11 0
Nano-CS 125	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 0
SA 200	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.33 ef	1.67 de	2.00 cd	1.33 E
Nano-SA 200	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 0
Nano-SA 100	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 f	1.00 0
Mean	1.00 D	1.05 D	1.05 D	1.33 C	1.52 B	1.81 A	

Values with letters in the same column, the same row and interaction are not statistically different, at 0.05 level according to Duncan's multiple range test. Control = Distilled water, spraying, Nano-CS = chitosan nanoparticles, Nano-SA = Salicylic acid nanoparticles.

4. Chilling injury (CI):

CI symptoms in sweet pepper fruits include pitting, poor ripening, surface discoloration, collapse of structural integrity, development of off-flavors, the formation of sunken, calyx darkening, seed browning and darkening. Data in **Table (4)** show that CI severity increased gradually with the prolongation of storage duration in the two seasons and in agreement with (Mohamed, 2020). At the end storage plus shelf-life, all postharvest treatments had significantly the lowest score of CI as compared with untreated control. However, sweet pepper fruits treated with Nano-SA at 100 ppm appeared normal without any symptoms of CI throughout all storage times and recorded low score 0 the average of the two seasons after 35 days at 5° C plus 2 days at 20° C, followed by Nano-SC at 125 ppm and Nano-SA at 200 ppm with no significant between them, while untreated control records the highest of the two seasons at the same duration. The application of CSNP coatings



may protect banana fruits from membrane damage, lowering CI in fruits by increasing the activity of the phenylalanine ammonialyase (PAL) enzyme, total phenolic content (TPC), and total antioxidant activity (TAA) in the flavedo tissue (Elbagoury et al., 2022). Chitosan's effect on chilling resistance in fruits could be attributed to its ability to protect tissue membranes from oxidative damage by producing higher CAT and APX activities (Wang et al., 2021). A therapy prevented CI-induced membrane degradation, as evidenced by reduced electrolyte leakage and malondialdehyde

concentration. Furthermore. low fieldnuclear magnetic resonance and proline content analysis suggested that SA treatment reduced CI via improving water retention in pepper fruits. Our findings may help optimize low-temperature storage conditions for post-harvest peppers during cold stress at 4 °C for 25 days (Ge et al., 2020). Luo et al. (2011) discovered that SA treatment dramatically reduced the CI impact in plum which related fruits. to increased endogenous polyamine accumulation and a reduction in MDA, which is associated with improved cell membrane integrity.

Table (4): Effect of edible coating chitosan and salicylic acid nanoparticles on chilling injury (score) of sweet pepper fruits stored at 5°C and RH 90-95% plus 2 days at 20 °C (shelf life) during 2020 & 2021 seasons.

	Chilling injury (CI)								
Treatments (ppm)			Storage per	iod (days)			Mean		
	0+2	7+2	14+2	21+2	28+2	35+2			
00001 000 000			the same of the	2020					
Control	0.00 f	0.67 de	1.00 cd	1.33 c	2.33 b	4.00 a	1.56 A		
CS 500	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.33 ef	0.67 de	1.33 c	0.39 B		
Nano-CS 500	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.33 ef	1.00 cd	0.22 BC		
Nano-CS 125	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.33 ef	0.06 CD		
SA 200	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.33 ef	0.67 de	1.00 cd	0.33 B		
Nano-SA 200	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.33 ef	0.06 CD		
Nano-SA 100	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 D		
Mean	0.00 D	0.10 CD	0.14 CD	0.29 C	0.57 B	1.14 A			
11.11	20110-00	- Aleren		2021	12.0	100			
Control	0.00 f	0.33 ef	0.33 ef	1.33 c	2.00 b	3.67 a	1.28 A		
CS 500	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.67 de	1.00 cd	1.33 c	0.50 B		
Nano-CS 500	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.67 de	0.11 C		
Nano-CS 125	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 C		
SA 200	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.33 ef	0.67 de	1.33 c	0.39 B		
Nano-SA 200	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.33 ef	0.06 CD		
Nano-SA 100	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 f	0.00 C		
Mean	0.00 C	0.05 C	0.05 C	0.33 B	0.52 B	1.05 A			

Values with letters in the same column, the same row and interaction are not statistically different, at 0.05 level according to Duncan's multiple range test. Control = Distilled water, spraying, Nano-CS = chitosan nanoparticles, Nano-SA = Salicylic acid nanoparticles.

5. Color (L* value):

Data in **Table (5)** indicate that there was a significant decrease in L* value with an increasing storage period of sweet pepper fruits in the two seasons. These results agree with Abou-Zaid et al. (2020). The rate of respiration and transpiration could be the cause of this (Safitri et al., 2021). All postharvest treatments, however, considerably decreased the L value loss

when compared to the untreated control fruits. Moreover, after 35 days at storage period at 5° C + 2 day at 15° C, data reversed that sweet pepper fruits dipped in Nano-SC at 125 ppm and Nano-SA at 100 ppm treatments had considerably increased fruit lightness as compared with the other treatments or untreated control in the season 2020. According to Tareen et al (2012), peach fruits showed that submerging them in



SA solution prevented color changes and preserved their lightness during cold storage. Moreover, the delayed color shift of chitosan-coated fruit is mediated by metabolic activity inhibition (Kumar et al., 2017). Carotenoids will be degraded by oxidation during storage. Since nano chitosan is selectively permeable to oxygen, it inhibits the carotenoid breakdown process (Zambrano-Zaragoza et al., 2014).

 Table (5): Effect of edible coating chitosan and salicylic acid nanoparticles on L* Value of sweet pepper fruits stored at 5°C and RH 90-95% plus 2 days at 20°C (shelf life) during 2020 and 2021 seasons.

	101		L* 1	alue			Mean
Treatments (ppm)	22		Storage pe	riod (days)			
	0+2	7+2	14+2	21+2	28+2	35+2	
N 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			1.1	2020	11 March 1997		
Control	50.71 a-h	48.99 b-j	47.20 h-j	42.13 kl	38.99 lm	37.80 m	44.30 E
CS 500	51.41 a-f	48.46 d-j	46.07 i-k	49.64 a-i	48.56 c-j	47.38 g-j	48.59 D
Nano-CS 500	51.67 a-e	51.42 a-f	51.20 a-g	48.19 d-j	47.62 f-j	47.83 e-j	49.66 B-I
Nano-CS 125	51.81 a-d	51.26 a-g	51.46 a-f	50.57 a-h	51.77 a-e	49.98 a-i	51.14 AB
SA 200	51.47 a-f	50.70 a-h	49.01 b-j	48.29 d-j	47.59 f-j	45.28 jk	48.72 CD
Nano-SA 200	52.44 a-c	50.77 a-h	50.61 a-h	49.56 a-i	49.88 a-i	48.48 c-j	50.29 A-C
Nano-SA 100	53.14 a	52.84 ab	51.79 a-e	51.21 a-g	50.25 a-h	49.55 a-i	51.46 A
Mean	51.81 A	50.64 AB	49.62 BC	48.51 CD	47.81 DE	46.62 E	
NAME OF A DECISION	and a second second	CONTRACT AND A	Land Street	2021	INCOME ADDRESS OF	1000	A MARK REAL PROV
Control	50.78 a-e	48.66 a-g	46.53 c-g	41.13 h-j	39.99 ij	38.80 j	44.32 D
CS 500	51.11 a-d	47.93 a-g	45.77 e-h	49.64 a-f	45.23 f-i	44.05 g-j	47.29 C
Nano-CS 500	51.81 ab	50.96 a-e	51.72 a-c	50.57 a-e	49.11 a-g	46.31 d-h	50.08 AE
Nano-CS 125	51.67 a-c	51.39 a-d	50.87 a-e	48.19 a-g	47.62 a-g	47.83 a-g	49.60 AB
SA 200	51.14 a-d	50.03 a-f	48.68 a-g	47.62 a-g	47.49 b-g	44.28 g-i	48.21 BC
Nano-SA 200	52.11 ab	50.21 a-f	50.48 a-f	49.29 a-g	49.82 a-f	47.82 a-g	49.95 AB
Nano-SA 100	52.81 a	52.68 ab	51.45 a-d	51.04 a-d	49.92 a-f	49.22 a-g	51.19 A
Mean	51.63 A	50.26 AB	49.36 BC	48.21 CD	47.02 DE	45.47 E	

Values with letters in the same column, the same row and interaction are not statistically different, at 0.05 level according to Duncan's multiple range test. Control = Distilled water, spraying, Nano-CS = chitosan nanoparticles, Nano-SA = Salicylic acid nanoparticles.

6. Fruit firmness:

The data in **Table (6)** showed that fruit firmness continually declined over the course of the storage period, reaching its lowest point at its conclusion. These findings corroborate those of Abdullah and Srour (2019) and were accurate in both seasons. Changes in the mechanical strength of the fruit's cell wall during storage are linked to the loss of firmness (Valero and Serrano, 2010). Additionally, fruit softened because of the breakdown of the middle lamellae, which is closely linked to the hydrolytic enzyme that converts insoluble protopectin into water soluble pectin. This process decreased the rigidity of the cell walls (Luo et al., 2015). In comparison to the untreated control group, all postharvest treatments significantly impacted the firmness of the fruit during storage and shelf

life. However, sweet pepper fruits treated with Nano-SA at 100 ppm Nano-SC at 125 ppm were the most effective treatments in reducing the loss of firmness during storage plus shelf-life with no significant differences between them until the end of the storage period in the two seasons, followed by Nano-CS at 500 ppm and Nano-SA at 200 ppm lowest values of fruit firmness was obtained in control treatment at the same duration. According to (Elbagoury et al., 2022), banana fruits coated with CS or CSNPs showed noticeably more firmness than those of the control during low and ideal temperature storage, as well as after moving fruits on days 5, 10, 15 and 20 from cold storage to ripening at 22 ± 2 °C. A substantial difference was observed between CS or CSNP treatments during ripening intervals where CSNPs had higher firmness



in fruits moved from days 15 at 10 ± 2 °C and day 5 of optimal temperature storage at 14 ± 2 °C. The CSNP treatment extended the storage life and preserved higher firmness values of apricot fruits during cold storage (Kamil et al., 2019), and strawberry fruits (Eshghi et al., 2014). The reduction of

ethylene production by salicylic acid, which in turn decreased the activity of cell wall degrading enzymes and helped to keep the firmness, may be responsible for the higher degree of firmness in the salicylic acidtreated sweet pepper (Fung et al., 2004).

Table (6): Effect of edible coating chitosan and salicylic acid nanoparticles on firmness (kg/cm²) of sweet pepper fruits stored at 5°C and RH 90-95% plus 2 days at 20°C (shelf life) during 2020 & 2021 seasons

	16	Firmness (kg/cm ²)								
Treatments (ppm)	140	Storage period (days)								
	0+2	7+2	14+2	21+2	28+2	35+2				
	0.10			2020	1.1.1		- A1000			
Control	4.05 h-m	3.79 m-o	3.57 n-p	3.38 o-q	3.35 pg	3.14 q	3.55 D			
CS 500	4.15 f-m	4.09 g-m	4.04 i-m	4.04 i-m	4.02 i-m	4.01 i-m	4.06 C			
Nano-CS 500	4.25 c-1	4.20 d-m	4.10 g-m	4.17 f-m	3.98 j-n	3.88 l-n	4.10 BC			
Nano-CS 125	4.90 a	4.65 a-c	4.63 a-c	4.61 a-d	4.59 a-e	4.50 a-g	4.65 A			
SA 200	4.43 b-i	4.33 b-k	4.18 e-m	4.15 f-m	4.02 i-m	3.93 k-n	4.17 BC			
Nano-SA 200	4.55 a-f	4.35 b-j	4.33 b-k	4.17 f-m	4.16 f-m	4.03 i-m	4.27 B			
Nano-SA 100	4.75 ab	4.75 ab	4.66 a-c	4.47 b-h	4.38 b-j	4.20 d-lm	4.54 A			
Mean	4.44 A	4.31 AB	4.22 BC	4.14 C	4.07 CD	3.96 D				
81 J. 3084	1000	(Jespiral)	and the second sec	2021	Particular Inc.	10000	NAME AND ADDRESS OF			
Control	4.05 h-m	3.79 m-o	3.57 n-p	3.38 o-q	3.35 pq	3.14 q	3.55 D			
CS 500	4.15 f-m	4.09 g-m	4.04 i-m	4.04 i-m	4.02 i-m	4.01 i-m	4.06 C			
Nano-CS 500	4.25 c-l	4.20 d-m	4.10 g-m	4.17 f-m	3.98 j-n	3.88 l-n	4.10 BC			
Nano-CS 125	4.75 ab	4.75 ab	4.66 a-c	4.47 b-h	4.38 b-j	4.20 d-m	4.54 A			
SA 200	4.43 b-i	4.33 b-k	4.18 e-m	4.15 f-m	4.02 i-m	3.93 k-n	4.17 BC			
Nano-SA 200	4.55 a-f	4.35 b-j	4.33 b-k	4.17 f-m	4.16 f-m	4.03 i-m	4.27 B			
Nano-SA 100	4.90 a	4.65 a-c	4.63 a-c	4.61 a-d	4.59 а-е	4.50 a-g	4.65 A			
Mean	4.44 A	4.31 AB	4.22 BC	4.14 C	4.07 CD	3.96 D				

Values with letters in the same column, the same row and interaction are not statistically different, at 0.05 level according to Duncan's multiple range test. Control = Distilled water, spraying, Nano-CS = chitosan nanoparticles, Nano-SA = Salicylic acid nanoparticles.

7. Ascorbic acid content (V.C.):

Data in Table (7) showed that as the storage period was extended, the content of ascorbic acid decreased progressively significantly in all treatments, and this could caused by oxidation be the of dehydroascorbic to diketogulonic acid (Ishaq et al., 2009). Additionally, the drop in V.C. concentration during storage could be attributed to increased respiration and oxidation of acids into sugars After 35 days of storage at 5°C and 2 days of shelf life at 20 °C, sweet pepper fruit dipped in Nano-SA at 100 ppm resulted in higher AA content, followed by Nano-SC at 125 with significant difference between them in the two seasons, while untreated control gave

the lowest ones. Reduced or delayed ascorbate oxidase activity could explain the action of chitosan and its nanoparticles (Ishaq et al., 2009). These findings are consistent with those of Algarni et al. (2022), who found that Nano-CS treatments may decrease the ripening process and maintain high ascorbic acid levels by reducing oxygen transport. Compared to the control fruits and the chitosan treatments used in this study, Nano-CS treatments were substantially more effective at preserving the fruits' ascorbic acid levels throughout storage. Reducing AAO enzyme activity with SA treatment was beneficial for maintaining nutrition and organoleptic



quality by maintaining AA content, which contributes significantly to antioxidant capacity (Gao et al., 2011), as well as reducing the oxidation process (Rasouli et al., 2019). Furthermore, AA is a component of the non-enzymatic antioxidant system that aids in ROS scavenging (Aghdam et al., 2018).

Table (7): Effect of edible coating chitosan and salicylic acid nanoparticles on ascorbic acid content (mg/100 gm F.W.) of sweet pepper fruits stored at 5°C and RH 90-95% plus 2 days at 20°C (shelf life) during 2020 and 2021 seasons.

	Ascorbic acid content (mg/100 gm F.W.) "V. C."								
Treatments (ppm)		Storage period (days)							
27.534 786	0+2	7+2	14+2	21+2	28+2	35+2			
6754 B. 10	Station and the	States and the states of the	Market Market Street	2020	600 C - 1 C	and the stores of the	1.000000000000		
Control	131.50 a	129.80 de	127.40 kl	125.80 q	123.90 t	120.60 w	126.50 G		
CS 500	131.30 ab	129.80 de	128.00 hi	126.60 op	124.80 s	122.30 v	127.10 F		
Nano-CS 500	130.90 c	129.90 de	128.40 h	127.20 lm	125.80 q	124.00 t	127.70 D		
Nano-CS 125	130.90 bc	130.10 d	129.20 fg	127.90 ij	126.70 no	125.20 r	128.30 B		
SA 200	130.90 c	129.80 de	128.20 hi	126.90 m-o	125.40 r	123.10 u	127.40 E		
Nano-SA 200	130.90 c	130.00 d	129.00 g	127.60 jk	126.30 p	124.60 s	128.10 C		
Nano-SA 100	130.80 c	130.10 d	129.50 ef	128.20 hi	127.10 l-n	125.80 q	128.60 A		
Mean	131.00 A	129.90 B	128.50 C	127.20 D	125.70 E	123.70 F			
				2021					
Control	133.40 a	132.00 d	131.10h	128.20 m	125.20 r	122.20 t	128.70 F		
CS 500	132.90 bc	132.00 d	131.10 gh	128.901	126.00 p	124.50 s	129.20 E		
Nano-CS 500	132.70 bc	132.10 d	131.40 f-h	129.40 k	126.70 o	125.40 qr	129.60 D		
Nano-CS 125	133.00 ab	132.10 d	131.60 ef	130.00 j	128.10 m	126.20 p	130.20 B		
SA 200	132.80 bc	132.00 d	131.20 f-h	129.001	126.20 p	125.00 r	129.40 E		
Nano-SA 200	132.60 c	132.00 d	131.50 e-g	129.90 j	127.30 n	125.80 pq	129.80 C		
Nano-SA 100	132.60 c	132.10 d	131.90 de	130.50 i	128.801	127.00 no	130.50 A		
Mean	132.90 A	132.10 B	131.40 C	129.40 D	126.90 E	125.20 F			

Values with letters in the same column, the same row and interaction are not statistically different, at 0.05 level according to Duncan's multiple range test. Control = Distilled water, spraying, Nano-CS = chitosan nanoparticles, Nano-SA = Salicylic acid nanoparticles.

8. Total carotenoids:

Data in **Table** (8) showed that carotenoid accumulation was observed throughout storage period plus shelf life. During onset of ripening and sweet pepper development distraction of food pigments (chlorophyll) occurs and hence carotenoids content increase. Carotenoids content increase with advancement in storage conditions (Mohamed. 2020). All postharvest treatments decreased the accumulation of carotenoids content compared with untreated control. However, at the end of the storage period plus shelflife, the lowest accumulation of carotenoids content was found in Nano-SA at 100 ppm then Nano-CS at 125 ppm with no significant difference between them in the second. Season. Untreated control gave the highest values in this concern. These results

agreed with Algarni et al. (2022) and Mohamed et al. (2016). To improve the performance of edible coatings, some can be transformed to nanoscale. Because of the presence of amino and hydroxyl groups on the chitosan polymeric network, it is easily transformed to chitosan nano particles (Nano-CS), an edible coating used to extend the shelf life and quality of banana fruits (Lustriane et al., 2018). The fruits' reddish orange color was evaluated during postharvest treatments and during the storage periods. This is because the fruits contain anthocvanin and carotenoid pigments, which cause carotenoids to oxidize and break down during storage. Haghighi et al. (2020) have reported that nano chitosan slows the degradation of carotenoid because it is selectively



permeable to oxygen. According to Aghdam et al. (2012), the lowest carotenoids content in SA is due to these materials' lower respiration activities and suppression of enzyme activities, which reduces the accumulation of carotenoids content during storage.

Table (8): Effect of post-harvest treatments on Total carotenoids content (mg/100 gm F.W.) of sweetpepper fruits stored at 5°C and RH 90-95% plus 2 days at 20 °C (shelf life) during 2020 and2021 seasons.

	Carotenoids content (mg/100 gm F.W.)							
Treatments (ppm)		and the second	Storage pe	riod (days)		2	Mean	
	0+2	7+2	14+2	21+2	28+2	35+2		
101000 BM - 101			The second s	2020				
Control	2.76s	2.85 no	3.08 ijk	3.24 ef	3.49 b	3.57 a	3.16 A	
CS-500	2.78q-s	2.82 o-r	2.971	3.23 ef	3.40 cd	3.44 bc	3.11 B	
Nano-CS-500	2.81o-s	2.85 no	2.94 lm	3.10 h-j	3.35 d	3.39 d	3.08 C	
Nano-CS-125	2.79 p-s	2.81 o-r	2.90 mn	3.04 k	3.15 gh	3.24 ef	2.99 E	
SA-200	2.80 o-s	2.83 o-q	2.961	3.20 fg	3.36 d	3.39 d	3.09 BC	
Nano-SA-200	2.77 rs	2.84 op	2.94 lm	3.10 ij	3.21 f	3.28 e	3.02 D	
Nano-SA-100	2.77 rs	2.79 p-s	2.83 o-q	2.981	3.06 jk	3.12 hi	2.93 F	
Mean	2.79 F	2.83 E	2.95 D	3.13 C	3.29 B	3.35 A		
10 C 10		1.11		2021				
Control	2.93 s	3.05 no	3.38 gh	3.52 b-d	3.63 a	3.66a	3.36A	
CS-500	2.95 rs	3.01 o-q	3.31 ij	3.48 с-е	3.54 b	3.53bc	3.30B	
Nano-CS-500	2.94 rs	3.05 no	3.24 kl	3.39 gh	3.48 с-е	3.50b-d	3.27CD	
Nano-CS-125	2.93 s	3.03 n-p	3.21 lm	3.34 hi	3.41 fg	3.45ef	3.23E	
SA-200	2.96 rs	3.07 n	3.27 jk	3.41 fg	3.51 b-d	3.47de	3.28BC	
Nano-SA-200	2.97 q-s	3.02 n-p	3.24 kl	3.35 hi	3.45 ef	3.48c-e	3.25D	
Nano-SA-100	2.92 s	2.99 p-r	3.18 m	3.30 ij	3.34 hi	3.40fg	3.19F	
Mean	2.94E	3.03D	3.26C	3.40B	3.48A	3.50A		

Values with letters in the same column, the same row and interaction are not statistically different, at 0.05 level according to Duncan's multiple range test. Control = Distilled water, spraying, Nano-CS = chitosan nanoparticles, Nano-SA = Salicylic acid nanoparticles.

9. The total phenolic content (TPC):

The results in Table (9) show that (TPC) significantly decreased until the end of the storage time in both seasons, and these agree with Singh et al. (2020). The decline in total phenolics during storage was associated with their consumption to inhibit free radicals under cold-stress conditions (Naser et al., 2018). Interaction had significant effect of carotenoids and data showed that after 35 days of storage at 5°C plus 2 days at 20 °C, sweet pepper fruits dipped in low concentration for Nano-SA and Nano-SC were the highest value TPC with no significant difference between them in the second season followed by Nano-CS at 500 ppm and Nano-SA at 200 ppm, the low value of TPC was recorded from untreated control. These results agree with (Algarni et al., 2022 and Mohamed et al.,

2016). In comparison to the control samples, the application of CS or CSNPs reduced CI while increasing TPC. Tolerance to CI may occur because to increased antioxidant capacity, including total phenolic substances and antioxidant enzymes that prevents excessive ROS production (Jiao et al., 2018). CS-Nps enhanced phenolic compounds (Wang et al., 2021). Fruits coated with CSNPs showed significantly greater TPC ($P \le 0.05$) than those coated with CS (Elbagoury et al., 2022). Fruits coated with CS and SA may contain more phenolic compounds due to increased PAL activation and decreased polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activation (Romanazzi et al., 2017). According to Sayyari et al. (2011), higher anthocyanins and total phenolic accumulation may be attributed to increased ratio of PAL/PPO enzymatic activity caused



by chitosan and salicylic acid interactions. Also, this could be attributed to the senescence caused by the disintegration of cell construction and phenolic oxidation by enzymatic activities such as PPO (Razzaq et al., 2014).

Table (9):	Effect of edible coating chitosan and salicylic acid nanoparticles on total phenolic content
	(mg/100g F.W.) of sweet pepper fruits stored at 5°C and RH 90-95% plus 2 days at 20°C (shelf
	life) during 2020 and 2021 seasons.

10 ALC: 10 ALC: 10	42	Total phenolic content (mg/100g F.W.)							
Treatments (ppm)		A Z	Storage p	eriod (days)	- 181 ₈		Mean		
	0+2	7+2	14+2	21+2	28+2	35+2			
	- 1417			2020	0.00		11		
Control	18.94 a	17.75 q	18.22 jk	18.01 n	17.28 u	16.81 v	17.83 G		
CS 500	18.93 a	17.78 q	18.32 gh	18.151	17.40 t	17.31 u	17.98 F		
Nano-CS 500	18.94 a	17.78 q	18.40 ef	18.26 ij	17.77 q	17.40 t	18.09 D		
Nano-CS 125	18.91 a	18.80 b	18.53 d	18.31 g-i	17.92 o	17.58 r	18.34 B		
SA 200	18.92 a	17.79 q	18.36 fg	18.18 kl	17.51 s	17.32 u	18.01 E		
Nano-SA 200	18.93 a	18.80 b	18.45 e	18.29 hi	17.86 p	17.46 s	18.30 C		
Nano-SA 100	18.93 a	18.81 b	18.61 c	18.42 e	18.09 m	17.76 q	18.43 A		
Mean	18.93 A	18.22 C	18.41 B	18.23 C	17.69 D	17.38 E			
			and the second second	2021	1000	100	100.1		
Control	19.26 a	19.10 bc	18.57 g-i	18.01 o	17.62 q	17.02 r	18.27 E		
CS 500	19.22 ab	19.13 a-c	18.66 g-i	18.19 mn	18.00 o	17.56 q	18.46 D		
Nano-CS 500	19.23 ab	19.15 a-c	18.38 jk	18.35 kl	18.18 mn	17.80 p	18.51 D		
Nano-CS 125	19.22 ab	19.15 a-c	18.93 de	18.68 f-h	18.38 jk	18.09 no	18.74 B		
SA 200	19.21 ab	19.14 a-c	18.70 fg	18.31 k-m	18.03 o	17.61 q	18.50 D		
Nano-SA 200	19.23 ab	19.15 a-c	18.88 de	18.55 hi	18.20 mn	17.82 p	18.64 C		
Nano-SA 100	19.21 ab	19.16 a-c	19.02 cd	18.82 ef	18.52 ij	18.21 l-n	18.82 A		
Mean	19.23 A	19.14 B	18.74 C	18.41 D	18.13 E	17.73 F			

Values with letters in the same column, the same row and interaction are not statistically different, at 0.05 level according to Duncan's multiple range test. Control = Distilled water, spraying, Nano-CS = chitosan nanoparticles, Nano-SA = Salicylic acid nanoparticles.

10. Antioxidant activity:

As a result, **Table (10)** the contents of activity terms of antioxidant DPPH scavenging activity gradually decreased in treated as well as untreated control sweet pepper fruits. Like previous research by Xing et al. (2021). Reduced amounts of antioxidants may result from senescence and individual decav of phenolics and polyphenols, such as hydrolysable tannins, anthocyanins, and flavonoids (Mohammadi et al., 2016). Antioxidant agents in food products block many of the oxidation events brought on by free radicals, lowering the risk of tissue damage and the loss of functional and nutritional qualities. Α decline in antioxidant enzyme activity may be the cause of the changes in chlorophyll compartments over time (Lo'ay et al., 2021). More ROS are produced as a result, which raises the rate of oxidative processes such

protein and lipid oxidation. Culminating in the death of cells (Taher et al., 2022). However, sweet pepper treated displayed higher DPPH-RSA retention as compared to untreated control fruits. At the end of the storage period plus shelf life, Nano-SA at 100 ppm treatment significantly contributed to preserving the antioxidant activity of fruits, followed by Nano-SC at 125 ppm treatment. While the untreated fruits had the lowest values of antioxidant activity in both seasons, and these results agree with Xing et al. (2021). According to reports, chitosan coating increases the antioxidant capacity and phenolic components in mango fruits while also inducing ROS scavenging activity (Jongsri et al., 2016). Furthermore, during storage, strawberries coated with Nanochitosan exhibited marginally increased antioxidant activity when compared with the control of fruits (Eshghi et al., 2014).



The previous study showed that there was a significant positive correlation between total phenolic, ascorbic acid contents and DPPH scavenging capacity (Safariet et al., 2021). SA controls multiple physiological and biochemical paths in cells (Khan et al., 2003). It inhibits the harmful effects of these ROS by enhancing antioxidant activities, such as lowering H_2O_2 levels through the action of ascorbate (APX) (Hayat et al., 2010).

Table (10): Effect of edible coating chitosan and salicylic acid nanoparticles on antioxidant activity (DPPH scavenging %) of sweet pepper fruits stored at 5°C and RH 90-95% plus 2 days at 20 °C (shelf life) during 2020 and 2021 seasons.

			Antioxida	nt activity			Mean
Treatments (ppm)	1		Storage pe	riod (days)			
	0+2	7+2	14+2	21+2	28+2	35+2	
				2020			
Control	20.00 d-m	19.04 h-o	17.85 k-p	16.33 o-q	15.20 pq	14.54 q	17.16 E
CS 500	20.33 d-l	19.38 g-n	18.73 i-o	18.15 j-o	17.43 m-p	16.96 n-q	18.50 D
Nano-CS 500	22.00 a-g	21.11 b-i	20.89 b-j	20.35 d-1	19.86 e-m	19.20 g-n	20.57 BC
Nano-CS 125	23.33 a-c	22.38 a-f	21.86 a-h	21.50 a-i	20.67 c-k	19.99 d-m	21.62 AB
SA 200	22.00 a-g	20.99 b-i	20.19 d-m	19.67 f-n	19.00 i-o	17.67 l-p	19.92 C
Nano-SA 200	22.67 а-е	21.99 a-g	21.36 a-i	20.95 b-j	20.52 c-k	19.98 d-m	21.25 B
Nano-SA 100	24.00 a	23.54 ab	22.73 a-d	22.62 a-e	21.99 a-g	21.36 a-i	22.71 A
Mean	22.05 A	21.20 AB	20.52 BC	19.94 CD	19.24 DE	18.53 E	
	a	. 50	01 1912-5	2021	940-5		
Control	21.33 b-g	19.54 e-j	18.05 h-k	17.34 j-l	15.70 kl	14.881	17.81 E
CS 500	22.33 а-е	21.41 a-g	20.92 b-h	20.43 c-i	19.83 d-j	18.71 g-j	20.61 CD
Nano-CS 500	21.00 b-h	20.08 c-j	22.65 a-d	18.82 g-j	17.79 i-1	17.20 j-1	19.59 D
Nano-CS 125	23.67 ab	23.04 a-c	22.36 a-e	21.86 a-f	21.33 b-g	20.91 b-h	22.20 AB
SA 200	21.67 a-g	20.99 b-h	20.19 c-j	19.63 e-j	18.89 f-j	17.33 j-1	19.78 D
Nano-SA 200	22.83 а-с	22.29 а-е	21.68 a-g	21.48 a-g	20.98 b-h	20.69 b-i	21.66 BC
Nano-SA 100	24.33 a	23.59 ab	23.05 a-c	22.67 a-d	22.32 а-е	21.62 a-g	22.93 A
Mean	22.45 A	21.56 AB	21.27 BC	20.32 CD	19.55 DE	18.76 E	

Values with letters in the same column, the same row and interaction are not statistically different, at 0.05 level according to Duncan's multiple range test. Control = Distilled water, spraying, Nano-CS = chitosan nanoparticles, Nano-SA = Salicylic acid nanoparticles.

Conclusion

From the previous results, it could be concluded that, sweet pepper fruits treated with Nano-SA at 100 ppm or Nano-SC at 125 ppm treatments were the most effective treatments in preserving all tested quality attributes of fruits, and gave a good appearance of fruits for 35 days at 5° C plus 2 days at 20° C without any decay or chilling injury, while bulk-SA or SC treatments gave a good appearance of fruits for only 14 days at the same storage condition.

REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (1990). Association of Official Analytical chemists. Official and tentative methods of analysis 15th Ed., 1008pp, Washington. D.C., USA.
- Abbott, J.A. (1999). Quality measurements of fruits and vegetable postharvest.Biol. Technol.,15:207-225.
- Abdelkader, M.F.M., Mohamed, H.M., Lo'ay, A.A., Mohamed, A.A., Khaled, M., ShinyaZ, I. and Samar, M.A.D. (2022).The

Effect of Combining Post-Harvest Calcium Nanoparticles with a Salicylic Acid Treatment on Cucumber Tissue Breakdown via Enzyme Activity during Shelf Life, Molecules, 27:3687.

Abdullah M.A. and Srour, H.A.M. (2019). Enhancement of Sweet Pepper Fruits Quality and Storability by some Postharvest Treatments. Annals of Agric. Sci. Moshtohor, 57(2): 447-454. Horticulture Research Journal, 2 (3), 97-116, September 2024, ISSN 2974/4474

- Abou-Zaid, M.I., Tohamy, M.R., Arisha, H.M. and Raafat, S.M. (2020). Role of modified atmosphere and some physical treatments under cool storage conditions in controlling post-harvest cherry tomatoes spoilage. Zagazig Journal of Agricultural Research, 47(6):1463-1477.
- Aghdam M.S., Alireza, M. Younes, M., Javad, F.M. and Mahmood, G. (2011). Methyl-salicylate affects the quality of hayward kiwifruits during storage at low temperature. Journal of Agricultural Science, 3(2): 149-156.
- Aghdam, M.S., Asghar, M.R., Moradbeyg, H., Mohammadkhan, N., Mohayeji, M. and Rezapour-Fard, J. (2012). Effect of postharvest salicylic-acid treatment on reducing chilling injury in tomato fruit. Romanian Biotechnological Letters,17 (4):7466: 7473.
- Aghdam, M.S., MahoudiMahmoudi, R., Razavi, F., Rabiei, V. and Soleimani, A. (2018). Hydrogen sulfide treatment confers chilling tolerance in hawthorn fruit during cold storage by triggering endogenous H2S accumulation, enhancing antioxidant enzymes activity and promoting phenols accumulation. Scientia-Horticulturae, 238:264–271.
- Algarni, E.H.A., Ibrahim, A.E., Abd El-wahed N.A.E., Ibrahim, M.T., Huda, A.AL-J., Sam, M.E. and Sfm, A.F. (2022). Effect of Chitosan Nanoparticles as Edible Coating on the Storability and Quality of Apricot Fruits. Polymers, 14:2227.
- Amarante, C., Banks, N.H. and Ganesh, S. (2001). Relationship between character of skin cover of coated pears and permeance to water vapour and gases. Postharvest Biol. Technol., 21:291-301.
- Azeredo, H.C., Mattoso, L.H., Wood, D.F., Williams, T.G., Avena-Bustillos, R.D. and Mc Hugh, T. H. (2009). Nanocomposite edible films from mango puree reinforced with cellulose nanofibers. J. Food-Sci., 74(5):31–35.

- Babalar, M., Asghari, M., Talaei, A.R. and Khosroshahi, A. (2007). Effect of pre- and postharvest salicylic acid treatment on ethylene production, fungal decay and overall quality of selva strawberry fruit. Food-Chem, *105*,449-453.
- Calvo, P., Remunan-Lopez, C. Vila-Jato, J.L. and Alonso, M.J. (1997). Novel Hydrophilic Chitosan–Polyethylene Oxide Nanoparticles as Protein Carriers. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 63(1):125– 132.
- Chan, Z.L., Qin, G.Z., Xu, X.B., Li, B.Q. and Tian, S.P. (2007). Proteome approach to characterize proteins induced by antagonist yeast and salicylic acid in peach fruit. J. Proteome Res., 6:1677–1688.
- Duan, C., Meng, X., Meng, J., Khan, M.I.H.,
 Dai, L., Khan, A., An, X., Zhang, J., Huq,
 T. and Ni,Y. (2019). Chitosan as A
 Preservative for Fruits and Vegetables: A
 Review on Chemistry and Antimicrobial
 Properties. J. Bioresour. Bioprod, 4:11–21.
- Elbagoury, M.M., Turoop, L., Runo, S., Sila, D.N. and Madivoli, E.S. (2022).Postharvest treatments of banana duringtemperatures cold and ripening with chitosan and chitosan nanoparticles to alleviate chilling injury and maintain Horticulture, antioxidant activity. Environment, and Biotechnolog.
- Emadifar, R., Sharifi, G. and Mirzaalian-Dastjerdi, A. (2024). Effects of Chitosan Coating on the Biochemical Properties of Sweet Pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) in Cold Storage. International Journal of Horticultural Science and Technology, Vol.12, No.2, pp.199-210.
- Eshghi, S, Hashemi, M., Mohammadi, A., Badii, F,., Mohammadhoseini Z. and Ahmadi, K. (2014). Effect of nanochitosanbased coating with and without copper loaded on physicochemical and bioactive components of fresh strawberry fruit (Fragaria × ananassa Duchesne) during-

storage. Food Bioproc Technol.,7(8):2397–2409.

- Fallik, E., Bar-Yosef, A. and Alkalai-Tuvia, S. (2009). Prevention of chilling-injury in sweet bell pepper stored at 1.5c by heat treatments and individual shrink packaging. Folia Horticulturae, 21:87-97.
- Fung, R., Wang, C. Y., Smith, D., Gross, K., and Tian, M. (2004). MeSA and MeJA increase steady-state transcript levels of alternative oxidase and resistance against chilling injury in sweet peppers. Plant Science, 166:711–719.
- Gao, Q.H., Wu, P.T., Liu, J.R., Wu, C.S. Parry, J.W. and Wang, M. (2011). Physico-chemical properties and antioxidant capacity of different jujube (*Ziziphus jujuba* Mill.) cultivars grown in loess plateau of China. Scientia Horticulturae, 130(1):67-72.
- Ge, W., Zhao, Y., Kong, X. Sun, H. Luo, M. Yao, M. Wei, B. and Ji, S. (2020). Combining salicylic-acid and trisodium phosphate alleviates chilling-injury in bellpepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) through enhancing fatty-acid desaturation efficiency and water retention. Journal Pre-proofs. Food Chemistry, foodchem.12705.
- Haghighi, H., Licciardello, F., Fava, P., SieslerH.W. and Pulvirenti, A. (2020). Recentadvances on chitosan-based films forsustainable food packaging applications.Food Packaging and Shelf-Life,26:1-6.
- Harnkarnsujarit, N. and Li, Y. (2017). Structure–property modification of microcrystalline cellulose film using agar and propylene glycol alginate. J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 134:45533.
- Hashim, N.F.A., Ahmad, A. and Bordoh, P.K. (2017). Effect of chitosan coating on chilling-injury, antioxidant status and postharvest quality of japanese cucumber during cold storage. Sains Malaysiana, 45(5):287-294.
- Hayat, Q., Hayat, S., Irfan, M. and Ahmad, A. (2010). Effect of exogenous salicylic acid

under changing environment: A review. Environ. Exper. Bot, 68:14–25.

- Heena, J., Salahuddin, M. and Gazall, H. (2013). Nanotechnology in Food Packaging. Int. J. Food Nutr. Saf., 3: 111– 118.
- Ishaq, S., Rathore, H.A., Majeed, S., Awan, S. and Shah, S.Z. (2009). The Studies on the Physico-Chemical and Organoleptic Characteristics of Apricot (*Prunus Armeniaca* L.) Produced in Rawalakot, Azad Jammu and Kashmir during Storage. Pak. J. Nutr. 8:856–860.
- Jiao, W, Xi, Y., Cao, J., Fanm, X. and Jiang, W. (2018). Regulatory effects of CaCl₂, sodium isoascorbate, and 1methylcyclopropene on chilling-injury of banana fruit at two ripening stages and the mecha nisms involved. J Food Process Preserv., 42(2):13442.
- Jongsri, P., Wangsomboondee T., Rojsitthisak P. and Seraypheap K. (2016). Effect of molecular weights of chitosan coating on postharvest quality and physicochemical characteristics of mango fruit. LWT Food Sci Technol., 73:28–36.
- Kader, A. A. (2002). Postharvest technology of horticultural crops, third edition. University of California, Agriculture and Natural Resources, Oakland, California, USA., Publication 3311:535.
- Kalaivani, R., Maruthupandy, M., Muneeswaran, T., Singh, M., Sureshkumar, S., Anand, M., Ramakritinan, C.M., Quero, F. and Kumaraguru, A. K. (2020). Chitosan Mediated Gold Nanoparticles against Pathogenic Bacteria, Fungal Strains and MCF-7 Cancer Cells. Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 146:560–568.
- Kamil, O.T, El-Hefnawy S.M., Alashkar R.A. and Gad M.M. (2019). The impact of nano-chitosan and nano silicon coatings on the quality of Canino apricot fruits during cold storage. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 46(6):2215–2227.

Horticulture Research Journal, 2 (3), 97-116, September 2024, ISSN 2974/4474

- Khan, W., Prithiviraj, B. and Smith, D. (2003).Photosynthetic responses of corn and soybean to foliar application of salicylates.J. Plant Physiol, 160:485–492.
- Kumar, P, Sethi, S., Sharma R.R., Srivastav M. and Varghese, E. (2017). Effect of chitosan coating on postharvest life and quality of plum during-storage at low temperature. Scientia Horticulturae, 226:104-109.
- Lo'ay, A.A., Mostafa, N.A., Al-Qahtani, S.M., Al-Harbi, N.A., Hassan, S. and Abdein, M.A. (2021). Influence of the Position of Mango Fruit on the Tree (*Mangifera indica* L. CV. 'Zibda') on Chilling Sensitivity and Antioxidant Enzyme Activity. Horticulturae, 7:515.
- Luo, Z., Chen, C. and Xie, J. (2011). Effect of salicylic-acid treatment on alleviating postharvest chilling -injury of 'Qingnai' plum fruit. Postharvest Biol. Technol., 62:115–120.
- Luo Z., Li, D., Du, R. and Mou W. (2015). Hydrogen sulfide alleviates chilling injury of banana fruit by enhanced antioxidant system and proline content. Sci Hortic., 183:144–151.
- Lustriane C., Dwivany, F.M., Suendo, V. and Reza, M. (2018) Effect of chi tosan and chitosan-nanoparticles on postharvest quality of banana fruits. J. Plant Biotechnol., 45(1):36–44.
- McGuire, R.G. (1992). Reporting of objective color measurements. Hort. Science, 27(12):1254-1255.
- Mohamed, M. A. S. (2020). Effect of Ascorbic acid, Hydrogen peroxide, Jasmonic Oil, Potassium Silicate and Salicylic acid Treatments on Preventing of Chilling Injury Sweet Pepper During Storage. Journal of Horticultural Science & Ornamental Plants, 12(2):62-76.
- Mohamed, M.A.A., Abd El-khalek, A.F. Elmehrat, H.G. and Mahmoud, G.A. (2016). Nitric oxide, oxalic acid and hydrogen peroxide treatments to reduce

decay and maintain postharvest quality of 'valencia' orange fruits during cold storage. Egypt. J. Hort., 43(1):137-161.

- Mohamed, S. Z. M. (2017). Effect of chitosan and calcium-nanoparticles on quality and storability of strawberry fruits, Ph.D., Fac. Agrie., Ain Shams Univ.41:42.
- Mohammadi, A, Hashemi M. and Hosseini S.M. (2016). Postharvest treatment of nanochitosan-based coating loaded with Zataria multiflora essential oil improves antioxidant activity and extends shelf-life of cucumber. Innov Food Sci Emerg Technol, 33:580–588.
- Naser, F., Rabiei, V., Razavi, F. and Khademi, O. (2018). Effect of calcium lactate in combination with hot water treatment on the nutritional quality of persimmon fruit during cold storage.Sci. Hortic., 233:114-123.
- Rasouli, M., Koushesh, S.M. and Ramezanian, A. (2019). Inhibitory effect of salicylic acid and aloe vera gel edible coating on microbial load and chilling injury of orange fruit. Scientia-Horticul., 247:27-34.
- Razzaq, K. J Khan, A.S., Malik, A.U., Shahid, M. and Ullah, S. (2014). Role of putrescine in regulating fruit softening and antioxidative enzyme systems in 'Samar BahishtChaunsa' mango.Postharvest Biology and Technology, 96:2332.
- Romanazzi, G, Feliziani E, Baños SB. and Sivakumar D. (2017). Shelf-life extension of fresh fruit and vegetables by chitosan treatment. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 57(3):579–601.
- Safitri, N.L.; Erma P.; Sri, W.A.S. and Agus S., (2021). Nano-chitosan coating on maintaining the quality of postharvest chili pepper (*Capsicum frutescens* L.). Biogenesis: Journal Ilmiah Biologi., 9(2):163-170.
- Saharan, V., Sharma, G. and Yadav, M. (2015). Synthesis and in vitro antifungal efficacy of Cu-chitosan nanoparticles against pathogenic fungi of tomato.

- Sampathkumar, K., Tan, K.X. and Loo, S.C.J. (2020). Developing nano-delivery systems for agriculture and food applications with nature-derived polymers. Science, 23:101055.
- Sánchez-Moreno, C., Plaza, L., de Ancos, B. and Cano, M.P. (2003). Quantitative bioactive compounds assessment and their relative contribution to the antioxidant capacity of commercial orange juices. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 83(5):430-439.
- Sayyari, M., Babalar, M. Kalantari, S., Martínez-Romero, D. Guillén, F. Serrano M. and Valero, D. (2011). Vapour treatments with methyl-salicylate or methyl-jasmonate all eviated chilling-injury and enhanced antioxidant potential duringpostharvest storage of pomegranates. Food Chem., 124:964–970.
- Sharma, D., Rajput, J. Kaith, B.S., Kaur, M. and Sharma, S. (2010). Synthesis of ZnO nanoparticles and study of their antibacterial and antifungal properties. Thin Solid Films, 519(3):1224–1229.
- Shukla, S.K., Mishra, A.K., Arotiba, O.A. and Mamba, B.B. (2013). Chitosan-based nanomaterials: A state-of-the-art review. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, 59:46–58.
- Singh, J., Roy, B., Mishra, S. and Garg, R., (2020). Post-harvest treatment for preserving antioxidant properties and total phenolic content of tomatoes and litchis. Journal of Thematic Analysis, 1(1):125-135.
- Singleton, V.L., Orthofer, R. and Lamuela Raventós, R. M. (1999). Analysis of total phenols and other oxidation substrates and antioxidants by means of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent.Methods in enzymology, 299:152-178.
- Siriamornpun, S. and Niwat, Q. (2017). Quality, bioactive compounds and antioxidant capacity of selected climacteric

fruits with relation to their maturity. Sci. Hortic., 221:33–42.

- Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1980).Statistical Methods. 8th Ed., Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, Iowa, USA., 476 p
- Taghizadeh, S. M. and Raveled, S. J. (2010). Preparation and Investigation of chitosan nanoparticles including salicylic acid as a model for an oral drug delivery system. e-Polymers, 1-7.
- Taher, M.A., Lo'ay, A.A., Gouda, M., Limam,
 S.A., Abdelkader, M.F.M., Osman, S.O.,
 Fikry, M., Ali, E.F., Mohamed, S.Y. and
 Khalil, H. A. (2022). Impacts of Gum
 Arabic and Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP)
 with Salicylic-Acid on Peach Fruit (Prunus persica) Shelf-Life. Molecules, 27:2595.
- Tareen, M.J., Abbasi, N.A. and Hafiz, I.A. (2012). Postharvest application of salicylic acid enhanced antioxidant enzyme activity and maintained quality of peach cv. 'Flordaking' fruit during-storage. ScientiaHorti., 142:221-228.
- Valero D. and Serrano, M. (2010). Postharvest biology and technology for preserving fruit quality. CRC-Taylor and Francis, Boca Raton, USA.
- Vega-García, M.O., López-Espinoza, G., Ontiveros, J.C., Caro-Corrales, J.J., Vargas, F.D. and López-Valenzuela, J.A. (2010). Changes in protein expression associated with chilling injury in tomato fruit. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science, 135(1):83-89.
- Wang, C.Y. and Qi, L. (1997). Modified atmosphere packaging alleviates chillinginjury in cucumbers. Postharvest Biology and Technology, 10:195-200.
- Wang, A., Li, j., Al-Huqail, A. A., Al-Harbi, M. S., Ali, E. F., Wang, J. Ding, Z., Rekaby, S. A., Ghoneim, A. M. and Eissa, M. A. (2021). Mechanisms of chitosan nanoparticles in the regulation of cold stress resistance in banana plants. Nanomaterials, 11:2670.



- Xing, Y., Yue, T., Wu, Y. Xu, Q., Guo, X.
 Wang, X., Yang, S. and Xu, L. (2021).
 Effect of Chitosan Composite Coatings with Salicylic Acid and Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticles on the Storage Quality of Blackcurrant Berries. Coatings, 11:738.
- Yanthan A.W., Sagar, V.R., Arora, A. and Singh, A.K. (2019). Application of Salicylic Acid Derivatives to Extend Shelf Life of Sweet Pepper (*Capsicum annum* L). Int.J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci., 8(5):644-654.
- Yao, H. and Tian, S. (2005). Effects of preand postharvest application of SA or MeJA

on inducing disease resistance of sweet cherry fruit in storage. Posth. Biology and Techn., 35:253-262.

Zambrano-Zaragoza, M.L., Gutiérrez-Cortez, E., Del Real, A., González-Reza, R.M., Galindo-Pérez, M.J. and Quintanar-Guerrero, D. (2014). Fresh-cut Red Delicious apples coating using tocopherol/mucilage nanoemulsion: Effect of coating on polyphenol oxidase and pectin methylesterase activities. Food Research International, 62: 974–983.

الملخص العربى

تاثير المعامة بالنانو-كيتوزان ونانو-حمض الساليسيليك بعد الحصاد على تقليل أضرار البرودة والحفاظ على تاثير المبرد. على جودة ثمار الفلفل الحلو أثناء التخزين المبرد.

صفاء زكريا¹، خالد يحي فروح² و محمد أحمد عبدالله¹

¹قسم بحوث تداول الخضر معهد بحوث البساتين مركز البحوث الزراعية الجيزة مصر 2المعمل المركزي للنانوتكنولوجيا والمواد المتقدمه مركز الأغذيه والأعلاف مركز البحوث الزراعية الجيزه مصر

أجريت هذه الدراسة خلال موسمي 2020 و 2021، على ثمار الفلفل الحلو صنف Taison. لهدف تقييم تأثير معاملة الثمار في محلول النانو-حمض الساليسيليك تركيز 100 و200 جزء في المليون، والنانو-كيتوزان تركيز 125 و500 جزء في المليون، وحمض السالسيلك 200 جزء في المليون والكيتوزان 500 جزء في المليون لمدة 5 دقائق، بالاضافة الي معاملة الكنترول (المعاملة بالمياه) خلال التخزين المبرد على درجة 5°م لمدة 35 يوم بالاضافة إلى يومين على درجة 20°م (فترة العرض) على تخفيف أضرار البرودة والحفاظ على خصائص جودة الثمار.

أشارت النتائج إلى أن ثمار الفلفل الحلو المعاملة بجميع معاملات ما بعد الحصاد بالتجربة كانت معنوية في تقليل الفقد فى الوزن، والحفاظ على الصلابة ودرجة اللمعان و حمض الأسكوربيك، وتخفيف أضرار البرودة والحفاظ على الفينولات الكلية ومضادات الأكسدة مع أقل تراكم لمحتوي الكاروتينات للثمرة خلال كل فترات التخزين مقارنة بالكنترول. والثمار المعاملة بالنانو-حمض الساليسيليك بتركيز 100 جزء فى المليون أو النانو-كيتوزان تركيز 125 جزء فى المليون هما المعاملات الأكثر فعالية فى الحفاظ على جميع صفات جودة الثمار، وأعطت مظهرًا جيداً للثمار لمدة 35 يوم من التخزين على درجة 5 °م بالإضافة إلى يومين على درجة 20 °م، بدون ظهور أي أعراض للعفن أو أضرار البرودة، بينما معاملات نانو حمض الساليسيليك تركيز 200 جزء فى المليون والنانو كيتوزان تركيز 500 جزء فى المليون هما المعاملات الأكثر الماليسيليك تركيز 200 جزء فى المليون والنانو كيتوزان تركيز 500 جزء فى المليون معاملات نانو حمض الماليسيليك تركيز 200 جزء فى المليون والنانو كيتوزان تركيز 500 جزء فى المليون أمار لمدة 28 يوم ، ولكن حمض الساليسيليك تركيز 200 جزء فى المليون وكيتوزان تركيز 500 جزء فى المليون أعطت مظهراً ميا معاملات الو مولكن حمض الساليم معلي معامية معاملات نانو كيتوزان تركيز 500 جزء فى المليون أعطت مظهراً مود الم