



SUBORDINATION FACTOR SEQUENCE RESULTS FOR STARLIKE AND CONVEX CLASSES DEFINED BY A GENERALIZED OPERATOR

A. F. ELKHATIB, A. O. MOSTAFA AND M. M. THARWAT

ABSTRACT. In this investigations, we generalize the multiplier operator analytic and univalent functions in the form $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ defined in the open unit disc $U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. This new operator contains many other operators which were defined by many authors such as Cho and kim [8], Cho and Srivastava [9], Cătaș et al. [7], Uralegaddi and Samanatha [13], Aouf et al. ([4], with $w = 0$) and others for different values of its parameters. Using the principle of subordination and this new operator, we define two subclasses of starlike and convex functions $S_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha)$ and $C_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha)$ respectively, which in turn generalize many other classes for the special values of the parameters. Using the definition and the lemma of Wilf [14], we obtain many results of subordinating factor sequence for these classes which lead to obtaining that also for the special subclasses by using the technique of Attiya [5], Frasin [10] and recently by Aouf and Mostafa [2, 3].

1 Introduction

Denote by \hat{A} the class of analytic functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (z \in U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}). \quad (1)$$

For two functions $f, g \in \hat{A}$, $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ ($f(z) \prec g(z)$), if there exists a function $\omega(z)$, analytic in U with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$, $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$ and if $g(z)$ is univalent in U , then (see [6, 11])

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \iff f(0) = g(0) \quad f(U) \subset g(U). \quad (2)$$

For $\wp, \lambda > 0$, $\Upsilon, s \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, we define the operator $I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^n(s, \lambda) : \hat{A} \longrightarrow \hat{A}$ by

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* : 30C45, 30C50, 30C55
Key words and phrases. Subordination, factor sequence, regular function, convex and starlike functions.

Submitted March 4, 2024. Revised July 5, 2024.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^0(s, \lambda) f(z) &= f(z), \\
I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^1(s, \lambda) f(z) &= \left(1 - \frac{\wp + \Upsilon}{s + \lambda}\right) f(z) + \frac{\wp + \Upsilon}{s + \lambda} z f'(z) \\
&= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{s + \lambda + (\wp + \Upsilon)(k-1)}{s + \lambda} \right] a_k z^k, \\
I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^2(s, \lambda) f(z) &= \left(1 - \frac{\wp + \Upsilon}{s + \lambda}\right) I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^1(s, \lambda) f(z) + \frac{\wp + \Upsilon}{s + \lambda} z (I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^1(s, \lambda) f(z))' \\
&= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{s + \lambda + (\wp + \Upsilon)(k-1)}{s + \lambda} \right]^2 a_k z^k
\end{aligned}$$

and (in general) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^n(s, \lambda) f(z) &= \left(1 - \frac{\wp + \Upsilon}{s + \lambda}\right) I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^{n-1}(s, \lambda) f(z) + \frac{\wp + \Upsilon}{s + \lambda} z (I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^{n-1}(s, \lambda) f(z))' \\
&= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Psi^n(k, \lambda, s) a_k z^k, \tag{3}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Psi^n(k, \lambda, s) = \left[\frac{s + \lambda + (\wp + \Upsilon)(k-1)}{s + \lambda} \right]^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{4}$$

We note that

- (i) $I_{\wp, 0}^n(s, 1) f(z) = D_{s, \wp}^n f(z)$ (Cătaş et al. [7]);
- (ii) $I_{\wp, 0}^n(0, 1) f(z) = D_{\wp}^n f(z)$ (Al-Oboudi [1]);
- (iii) $I_{1, 0}^n(0, 1) f(z) = D^n f(z)$ (Sălăgean [12]).

Now by using the new operator and subordination definition, we define the following classes $S_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha)$ and $C_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha)$ as follows:

Definition 1. If $f \in \hat{A}$, $\wp, \lambda > 0$, $\Upsilon, s \geq 0$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $f \in S_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha)$ if it satisfies that

$$\frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \left(\frac{z (I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^n(s, \lambda) f(z))'}{I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^n(s, \lambda) f(z)} - \alpha \right) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad z \in U, \tag{5}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z (I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^n(s, \lambda) f(z))'}{I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^n(s, \lambda) f(z)} - 1}{B \frac{z (I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^n(s, \lambda) f(z))'}{I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^n(s, \lambda) f(z)} - [B + (1 - \alpha)(A - B)]} \right| < 1, \quad z \in U.$$

We note also that:

- (i) $S_n^*(1, s, A, B, \alpha) = S_n^*(s, A, B, \alpha)$;
- (ii) $S_n^*(1, 0, A, B, \alpha) = S_n^*(A, B, \alpha)$;
- (iii) $S_n^*(\lambda, s, 1, -1, \alpha) = S_n^*(\lambda, s, \alpha)$.

Definition 2. If $f \in \hat{A}$, $\wp, \lambda > 0$, $\Upsilon, s \geq 0$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $f \in C_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha)$ if it satisfies the following:

$$\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{\left(z \left(I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^n(s, \lambda) f(z) \right)' \right)'}{\left(I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^n(s, \lambda) f(z) \right)'} - \alpha \right) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, \quad z \in U, \quad (6)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\left| \frac{\frac{\left(z \left(I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^n(s, \lambda) f(z) \right)' \right)'}{\left(I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^n(s, \lambda) f(z) \right)'}}{B \frac{\left(z \left(I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^n(s, \lambda) f(z) \right)' \right)'}{\left(I_{\wp, \Upsilon}^n(s, \lambda) f(z) \right)' - (1-\alpha)(A-B)}} \right| < 1, \quad z \in U.$$

We note that:

- (i) $C_n^*(1, s, A, B, \alpha) = C_n^*(s, A, B, \alpha)$;
- (ii) $C_n^*(1, 0, A, B, \alpha) = C_n^*(A, B, \alpha)$;
- (iii) $C_n^*(\lambda, s, 1, -1, \alpha) = C_n^*(\lambda, s, \alpha)$.

From (5) and (6), we have

$$f \in C_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha) \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in S_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha). \quad (7)$$

To obtain our main result we need the following definition and lemma:

Definition 3. [14] A sequence $\{c_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of complex numbers is said to be a subordinating factor sequence if, whenever of the form (1) is regular, univalent and convex in U , we have the subordination given by

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k a_k z^k \prec f(z) \quad (z \in U; a_1 = 1).$$

Lemma 1. [14] The sequence $\{c_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a subordinating factor sequence if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k \right\} > 0, \quad (z \in U).$$

2 Main results

Theorem 1. If $f \in \hat{A}$, satisfies the following condition:

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(k-1)(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(k, \lambda, s) a_k \leq (A-B)(1-\alpha). \quad (8)$$

then $f \in S_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha)$.

Proof. If (8) holds, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| z \left(I_{\varnothing, \Upsilon}^n (s, \lambda) f(z) \right)' - I_{\varnothing, \Upsilon}^n (s, \lambda) f(z) \right| - \left| Bz \left(I_{\varnothing, \Upsilon}^n (s, \lambda) f(z) \right)' \right. \\
& \left. - [B + (1 - \alpha)(A - B)] I_{\varnothing, \Upsilon}^n (s, \lambda) f(z) \right| = \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1) \Psi^n(k, \lambda, s) a_k z^k \right| \\
& - \left| (A - B)(1 - \alpha)z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(A - B)(1 - \alpha) - B(k-1)] \Psi^n(k, \lambda, s) a_k z^k \right| \\
& \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1) \Psi^n(k, \lambda, s) |a_k| - (A - B)(1 - \alpha) \\
& + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(A - B)(1 - \alpha) - B(k-1)] \Psi^n(k, \lambda, s) |a_k| \\
& = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(k-1)(1 - B) + (1 - \alpha)(A - B)] \Psi^n(k, \lambda, s) |a_k| - (A - B)(1 - \alpha) \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1. If $f \in S_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha)$, then

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{(A - B)(1 - \alpha)}{[(k-1)(1 - B) + (1 - \alpha)(A - B)] \Psi^n(k, \lambda, s)} \quad (k \geq 2). \quad (9)$$

The result is sharp for

$$f(z) = z + \frac{(A - B)(1 - \alpha)}{[(k-1)(1 - B) + (1 - \alpha)(A - B)] \Psi^n(k, \lambda, s)} z^k \quad (k \geq 2). \quad (10)$$

Similarly, we can prove the following theorem for the class $C_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha)$ by using (7).

Theorem 2. If $f \in \hat{A}$, satisfies the following condition:

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k [(k-1)(1 - B) + (1 - \alpha)(A - B)] \Psi^n(k, \lambda, s) a_k \leq (A - B)(1 - \alpha). \quad (11)$$

Then $f \in C_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha)$.

Corollary 2. If $f \in C_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha)$, then

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{(A - B)(1 - \alpha)}{k [(k-1)(1 - B) + (1 - \alpha)(A - B)] \Psi^n(k, \lambda, s)} \quad (k \geq 2). \quad (12)$$

The result is sharp for

$$f(z) = z + \frac{(A - B)(1 - \alpha)}{k [(k-1)(1 - B) + (1 - \alpha)(A - B)] \Psi^n(k, \lambda, s)} z^k \quad (k \geq 2). \quad (13)$$

Let $\bar{S}_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha)$ denote the class of functions $f \in \hat{A}$ whose coefficients

satisfy the condition (8). We note that:

$$\bar{S}_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha) \subseteq S_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha),$$

and $\bar{S}_n^*(s, A, B, \alpha)$, $\bar{S}_n^*(A, B, \alpha)$ and $\bar{S}_n^*(\lambda, s, \alpha)$ denote the classes of functions $f \in \hat{A}$ whose coefficients satisfy the condition (8), with the corresponding values of the parameters.

Using the technique of Aouf and Mostsfa [2, 3], we prove the following results.

Theorem 3. If $f \in \bar{S}_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha)$, then for each convex and regular function $\varphi(z)$, we have

$$\frac{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s)}{2\{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)\}} (f * \varphi)(z) \prec \varphi(z) \quad (14)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}\{f(z)\} > -\frac{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)}{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s)}. \quad (15)$$

The constant factor $\frac{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s)}{2\{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)\}}$ is the best estimate.

Proof. Let $f \in \bar{S}_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha)$ and $\varphi(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ is convex and regular function. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s)}{2\{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)\}} (f * \varphi)(z) \\ &= \frac{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s)}{2\{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)\}} \left(z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k a_k z^k \right). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

By applying Definition 3, the subordination (14) will hold if the sequence

$$\left\{ \frac{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s)}{2\{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)\}} a_k \right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}, \quad (17)$$

is a subordinating factor sequence, with $a_1 = 1$. From Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s)}{\{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)\}} a_k z^k \right\} > 0. \quad (18)$$

Since

$$\mathfrak{U}(k) = [(k-1)(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(k, \lambda, s),$$

is an increasing function of k ($k \geq 2$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s)}{\{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)\}} a_k z^k \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s)}{\{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)\}} z \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) a_k z^k}{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)} \right\} \\ & \geq 1 - \frac{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s)}{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)} r \\ & \quad - \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(k-1)(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(k, \lambda, s) |a_k| r^k}{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)} \\ & > 1 - \frac{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s)}{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)} r \\ & \quad - \frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)} r > 0 \quad (|z| = r < 1), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the result (8) of Theorem 1.

To prove inequality (15) taking the convex and regular function

$\varphi(z) = \frac{z}{1-z} = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} z^k$. To prove the sharpness of the constant factor $\frac{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s)}{2\{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)\}}$, we assume the function $f_0(z) \in S_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha)$ given by

$$f_0(z) = z - \frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s)} z^2. \quad (19)$$

From (14), we have

$$\frac{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s)}{2\{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)\}} f_0(z) \prec \frac{z}{1-z}. \quad (20)$$

Furthermore, it can easily be validate for $f_0(z)$ given by (19) that

$$\min_{|z| \leq r} \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s)}{2\{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)\}} f_0(z) \right) \right\} = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad (21)$$

which shows that the factor $\frac{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s)}{2\{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)\}}$ is the best possible.

Let $\bar{C}_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha)$ denote the class of functions $f \in \hat{A}$ whose coefficients satisfy the condition (8). We note that:

$$\bar{C}_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha) \subseteq C_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha),$$

and $\bar{C}_n^*(s, A, B, \alpha)$, $\bar{C}_n^*(A, B, \alpha)$ and $\bar{C}_n^*(\lambda, s, \alpha)$ denote the classes of functions $f \in \hat{A}$ whose coefficients satisfy the condition (11), with the corresponding values of the parameters.

Similarly, we can prove the following theorem for the class $\bar{C}_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha)$.

Theorem 4. If $f \in \bar{C}_n^*(\lambda, s, A, B, \alpha)$, then for each convex and regular function $\varphi(z)$, we have

$$\frac{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s)}{\{2[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)\}} (f * \varphi)(z) \prec \varphi(z) \quad (22)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}\{f(z)\} > -\frac{2[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)}{2[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s)}. \quad (23)$$

The constant factor $\frac{[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s)}{\{2[(1-B) + (1-\alpha)(A-B)] \Psi^n(2, \lambda, s) + (A-B)(1-\alpha)\}}$ is the best estimate.

Remark. Taking different values of the parameters λ, s, A, B, α in Theorems 1,2,3,4 we have also results for the corresponding subclasses.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Al-Aboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 27 (2004), 481 – 494.
- [2] M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa, Some subordinating results for classes of functions defined by Sălăgean type q-derivative operator, *Filomat*,7 (2020), 2283 – 2292.
- [3] M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa, Subordination results for analytic functions associated with fractional q-calculus operators with complex order, *Afrika Matematika*, 31 (2020), 1387 – 1396.
- [4] M. K. Aouf, A. Shamandy, A. O. Mostafa and S. M. Madian, A subclass of m-w-starlike functions, *Acta Univ. Apulensis*, (2010), no. 21, 135 – 142.
- [5] A. A. Attiya, On some applications of a subordination theorems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 311(2005), 489 – 494.
- [6] T. Bulboaca, *Differential Subordinations and Superordinations*. House of Scientific Book Publ., Cluj Napoca, New Results 2005.
- [7] A. Cătaș, G. I. Oros and G. Oros, Differential subordinations associated with multiplier transformations, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, (ID 845724) (2008), 1 – 11.
- [8] N. E. Cho and T. H. Kim, Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 40 (2003), no. 3, 399 – 410.
- [9] N. E. Cho and H.M. Srivastava, Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 37 (1-2) (2003), 39 – 49.
- [10] B. A. Frasin, Subordination results for a class of analytic functions defined by a linear operator. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* 7 (2006), 1 – 7.
- [11] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordination Theory and Applications*, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 225. Marcel Dekker, New York, Basel 2000.

- [12] G. Sălăgean, Subclasses of univalent functions, Lecture note in Math., Springer-Verlag, 1013 (1983), 362 – 372.
- [13] B .A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, Certain classes of univalent functions, in: H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Eds.), Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singaporr, New Jersey, London, Hong Kong, 1992, 371 – 374.
- [14] H. S. Wilf, Subordinating factor sequence for convex maps of the unit circle. Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 12 (1961), 689 – 693.

A. F. ELKHATIB, DEPT. OF MATH. AND COMPUTER SCI., FACULTY OF SCIENCE, BENI SUEF, UNIVERSITY, EGYPT.

E-mail address: ayafaried49@gmail.com

A. O. MOSTAFA, BASIC SCIENCE DEPT., HIGHER INSTITUTE FOR, ENGINEERING AND, TECHNOLOGY, NEW DAMIETTA, EGYPT

E-mail address: adelaeg254@yahoo.com

M. M. THARWAT, DEPT. OF MATH. AND COMPUTER SCI., FACULTY OF SCIENCE, BENI SUEF, UNIVERSITY, EGYPT.

E-mail address: zahraa26@yahoo.com