A study on prescribing patterns in hemodialysis and renal transplantation patients

Abdul Nafih^a, Uday Venkat Mateti^a, Pradeep Shenoy^b, Sirimalla Shivaprasad^a, Jagadeesan Moorthy^c

^aDepartment of Pharmacy Practice, NGSM Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Nitte (Deemed to be University), Mangaluru, Karnataka, India, ^bDepartment of Nephrology, K S Hegde Medical Academy, Justice K S Hegde Charitable Hospital, Nitte (Deemed to be University), Mangaluru, Karnataka, India, ^cDepartment of Pharmacy Practice, SRM College of Pharmacy, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur, Tamil Nadu, India

Correspondence Uday Venkat Mateti, Pharm.D, PhD, Department of Pharmacy Practice, NGSM Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Nitte (Deemed to be University), Mangaluru 575018, Karnataka, India. Tel: 0824-2203991/2203992, fax: 0824-2203992;

e-mail: udayvenkatmateti@gmail.com

Received: 20 July 2022 Revised: 9 August 2022 Accepted: 21 August 2022 Published: xx Month 2022

Egyptian Pharmaceutical Journal 2022, 21:496–501

Background

Patients treated with hemodialysis and renal transplant require complex therapy regimens that manage comorbid conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, and so on; as a result, they may develop drug-related issues. Inappropriate medication usage raises the risk of drug related problems, which can manifest as excessively extended hospital stays, higher expenses, and overuse of medical services. Prescribing pattern among the patients treated with hemodialysis and renal transplantation are not well characterized previously.

Objectives

The objective of the study is to investigate drug prescription trends in hemodialysis patients and to study the prescribing patterns of medications in kidney transplantation patients.

Materials and methods

The prospective observational study was conducted over a period of 8 months, i.e. from October 2021 to June 2022 in end stage CKD patients treating with maintenance hemodialysis and renal transplant. Different classes of drugs prescribed and percentage of drugs per prescription was estimated in this study. Data were analyzed descriptively.

Results

105 patients recruited have been analyzed of which 76 (72.38%) were male and 29 (27.6%) were female. Polypharmacy (use of \geq 5 medications) was observed in 91.5% in hemodialysis patients and 100% in renal transplant patients. The most prescribed drugs in hemodialysis patients were Cardiovascular Drugs 72 (100%), and in renal transplant patients, immunosuppressant were highly prescribed 33 (100%).

Conclusion

This study concludes that the cardiovascular agents and immunosuppressant were the most common drugs prescribed among the hemodialysis and renal transplant patients respectively. polypharmacy among overall patients were observed and it may initiate drug related problems.

Keywords:

chronic kidney disease, hemodialysis, polypharmacy, prescribing patterns

Egypt Pharmaceut J 21:496–501 © 2022 Egyptian Pharmaceutical Journal 1687-4315

Introduction

The persistent decline in glomerular filtration rate (GFR), which is a characteristic of chronic kidney disease (CKD), is a significant public health concern that is linked to high morbidity and mortality worldwide [1]. The prevalence of CKD was increasing globally and was found to be 9.1% [2]. In India, the prevalence of CKD was found to be 17.2% [3]. The rising prevalence and incidence of the disease cause poor health outcomes and more expensive medical care.

Hemodialysis (HD) and kidney transplantation are suitable therapeutic options for patients with later stages of CKD [4]. HD patients often take medications to treat various comorbidities such as

mellitus diabetes hypertension. Kidney and transplant recipients take several drugs simultaneously, including immunosuppressive agents and other medications to manage underlying diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, and dyslipidemia [5]. Rational drug prescription is complex in CKD patients due to a higher risk of Drug-related problems (DRPs) since they need complex therapeutic regimens requiring frequent monitoring and dosage adjustments. The presence of other comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, coronary

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

artery disease, and infections make the situation more complicated. Inappropriate medication use can increase adverse drug effects as reflected in prolonged hospital stays and increased healthcare utilization and costs [6].

With an increased rate of HD and transplantation patients worldwide, the prescribing pattern of drug therapy and other major risk factors should examine. Appropriate drug selection for patients with CKD is essential to avoid unwanted drug effects and ensure optimal patient outcomes. Therefore, prescription pattern studies are needed to audit the prescription, and track prescribing practices, make recommendations for changes to achieve rational drug use of medications. It also assesses the rational prescribing skill of the physicians, and it can be done by conducting a prescription audit [7].

In India, prescribing pattern studies in HD and kidney transplantation patients are limited. Hence, this study is planned to analyze current prescribing trends in HD and kidney transplantation patients.

Material and methods

The prospective observational study was conducted over a period of 8 months, i.e., from October 2021 to June 2022, in the Department of Nephrology, Charitable Hospital, Mangalore, Karnataka. The study was approved by Institutional Ethical Committee (IEC) (Ref. No: NGSMIPS/IEC/13/ 2021) and registered in the Clinical Trials Registry-India (Ref. No: CTRI/2021/10/03/7084).

Informed consent was taken from each patient before enrolling and patients were explained about study details and clarified the objections raised. Based on the time-bound method, a total of 105 end-stage CKD patients, who visited the outpatient department of Nephrology and were treated either with HD or renal transplantation of both gender, ranging in age from 18 to 80 years, were enrolled. Patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis, cognitive impairment, and pregnant and lactating women were excluded from the study.

The materials and all relevant data for the study were collected using patient data collection form, patient case sheets, patient treatment charts, and laboratory charts. Socio-demographic details like age, gender, weight, height, body mass index (BMI), domiciliary status, comorbid conditions, social habits, and duration and frequency of HD, duration after renal transplantation were collected. Information regarding the medications, like the number of drugs prescribed per patient, different classes of drugs prescribed and the percentage of drugs per prescription for HD and renal transplantation was estimated in this study.

The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, and data were analyzed using IBM SPSS software version 20, United States.

Results

A total of 105 patients meeting inclusion criteria were enrolled in the study, of which male 76 (72.38%) predominates over females 29 (27.6%). The mean age of HD patients was found to be 52.22 ± 13.87 which was more than the renal transplant patients 34 ± 12.06 . Among the total population, the majority of the patients 76 (72.38%) fall within the optimal BMI with the mean found to be 22.1 ± 3.07 Kg/m². In the total study population, the majority of the patients were non-substance users 63 (60%). The majority of the HD patients were from rural areas 39 (54.16%)whereas renal transplantation patients were from urban areas 17(51.51%).

The clinical conditions of the study population were analyzed. The findings revealed that the majority of the overall patients had hypertension 103(93.09%) followed by diabetes 26 (24.76%), and ischemic heart disease (IHD) 18 (17.14%). Among the HD patients, the majority were found to be having 2-5 comorbities46 (63.87), whereas, among 33 renal transplant patients, the majority were found be having single comorbidity 20 (60.6%).

The duration and frequency of HD treatment and duration after renal transplantation were analyzed. Majority of the HD patients 32 (44.44%) had duration of HD treatment found to be ≤ 2 years. Majority of the patients having HD frequency twice a week 41 (56.94%). The majority of the renal transplant patients had a duration of 6 to 10 years after renal transplantation 23 (69.69%) (Table 1).

Analysis of drugs prescribed in HD and renal transplanted patients

The mean prescription among the overall population was 7.41±2.45. Among renal transplantation patients, the mean drugs prescribed per prescription was found to be 7.78 ± 2.01 which is higher than the mean prescription of HD patients 7.05 ± 2.8 . Majority of the HD patients 47 (65.2%) and renal transplant patients 19 (57.57%)were prescribed with 5 to 8 drugs (Figure 1).

Table 1 Demographic details of			
Characteristics	Total patients (N=105)	Number. of HD patients ($N=72$)	Number of renal transplant patients ($N=33$)
Sex			
Male	76 (72.38)	51 (70.83)	25 (75.76)
Female	29 (27.6)	21 (29.16)	8 (24.24)
Age group wise			
18–40	36 (34.28)	15 (20.83)	21 (63.63)
40–60	50 (47.61)	38 (52.77)	12 (36.36)
60–70	13 (12.38)	13 (18.05)	_
Above 70	6 (5.71)	6 (8.33)	-
Mean age±SD	43.11±12.96		
BMI			
Underweight (<18.5 kg/m ²)	10 (9.5)	7 (9.72)	3 (9.09)
Optimal (18.5–24.9 kg/m ²)	76 (72.38)	53 (73.61)	23 (69.69)
Overweight (25–29.9 kg/m ²)	17 (16.19)	11 (15.27)	6 (18.18)
Obese (>30 kg/m ²)	2 (0.095)	1 (1.38)	1 (3.03)
Mean BMI±SD	22.1±3.07	21.9±2.75	22.31±3.4
Domiciliary status			
Urban	50 (47.61)	33 (45.83)	17 (51,51)
Bural	55 (52.38)	39 (54.16)	16 (48.48)
Social habits			
Smoking	16 (15,23)	12 (16.6)	4 (12,12)
Alcohol	5 (4 76)	3 (4 16)	2 (6 06)
Both	21 (20)	10 (13.8)	11 (33 33)
No substance uses	63 (60)	47 (65 27)	16 (48 48)
Duration of dialysis	00 (00)	47 (00.27)	10 (40.40)
<2 years	_	32 (44 44)	_
3-5 years	_	25 (34 72)	_
6-9 years	_	10 (13.88)	_
>10 years	_	6 (8 33)	_
Erequency of dialysis		0 (0.00)	
	_	2 (2 77)	_
	_	2 (2.77)	_
2 times a week		30 (41 66)	_
Duration after renal transplant	_	30 (41.00)	_
	-	_	2 (0 00)
	-	_	7 (21 21)
	_	_	22 (60,60)
Comorbiditios: moon (SD)	1 90+1 16	2 1+1 15	1 60+1 19
Comorbidition	1.09±1.10	2.1±1.15	1.09±1.10
Hupertension	102 (02 00)	72 (100)	21 (02 02)
Dishetes	103 (93.09)	16 (00 00)	31 (93.93)
Diabeles	20 (24.76)	16 (22.22)	10 (30.3)
Dyslipidemia	11 (10.4)	8 (11.11)	3 (9.09)
Hypothyroidism	4 (3.8)	4 (5.55)	-
	18 (17.14)	15 (20.83)	3 (9.09)
	7 (6.6)	7 (9.7)	-
	4 (3.8)	—	4 (12.12)
	1 (0.05)		1 (0.00)
	I (U.95)	-	I (3.03)
	45 (42.85)	25 (34.72)	20 (60.6)
2–5 comorbialities	58 (55.23)	46 (63.87)	12 (36.36)
>5 comordiaities	I (U.95)	1 (1.38)	-

Table I Demographic details of TD and Tenai transplant patients

IHD- Ischemic heart disease; COPD- Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Different classes of drugs prescribed among HD and renal transplant patients were analyzed. A total of 23 classes of drugs were prescribed for overall patients. Among HD patients, 20 classes of drugs were prescribed which is more than the renal transplant patients 17. The most prescribed class of drugs in HD patients were cardiovascular drugs 72 (100) followed by hematopoietic agents 46 (63.88%) and gastrointestinal



Figure 1

Number of drugs prescribed per prescription in HD and renal transplant patients. HD, hemodialysis.

Figure 2



(GI) drugs 45 (62.5%)(Figure 2). In HD patients, cardiovascular drugs such as alpha 2- agonist 37 (51.38%) were highly prescribed followed by calcium channel blockers (CCBs) 34 (47.22%). The most prescribed class of drugs in renal transplant patients were immunosuppressants 33 (100%) followed by cardiovascular drugs 25(75.75%), and nutraceuticals 25

(75.75). Amongimmunosuppressants, tacrolimus was majorly prescribed 33 (100%) followed by mycophenolatemofetil 28 (84.84%) (Table 2).

Discussion

CKD has emerged as one of the leading causes of mortality and morbidity in the twenty-first century.

Drugs	Individual drugs	No. of HD patients %(n= 72)	No. of renal transplant patients % (n= 33)
CCBs	Amlodipine Cilnidipine Nifedipine	13 (18%) 11 (15.2%) 11 (15.2%)	7 (21.21%) 10 (3.0.3%) 4 (12.12%)
Beta blockers	Carvedilol Metoprolol succinate Atenolol	3 (4.1%) 4 (5.5%) 4 (5.5%)	3 (9.09%) 3 (3.09%)
Alpha 2- agonist	Clonidine	37 (51.3%)	16 (48.48%)
Calcineurin inhibitors	Tacrolimus	-	33 (100%)
Mycophenolate	Mycophenolate mofetil Mycophenolate sodium	-	28 (84.84%) 3 (9.09%)
PPIs	Pantoprazole	36 (50%)	13 (39.39%)
H2 receptor blocker	Ranitidine	-	8 (24.24%)
Sulfonylureas	Gliclazide	2 (2.77%)	-
Insulin	Biphasic isophane insulin Human insulin regular Soluble insulin injection	6 (8.3%) - 9 (12.5%)	7 (21.21%) 3 (9.09%) -
Hematopoietic	Recombinant human erythropoietin Iron sucrose	63 (87.5%) 43 (59.72%)	5 (15.15%) 2 (6.06%)
Phosphate binders	Calcium acetate Sevelamer Calcium carbonate	10 (13.8%) 3 (4.16%) 19 (26.3%)	- 2 (6.06%) 12 (36.36%)
Nutraceutical	Vitamins and minerals* Vitamin B complex*	18 (25%) 11 (15.27%)	15 (45.45%)
Blood alkalizers	Sodium bicarbonate	5 (6.9%)	-
Diuretics	Furosemide Torsemide	13 (18.05%) 14 (19.4%)	- 2 (6.06%)
Corticosteroids	Prednisolone Budesonide	1 (1.38%) 2 (2.77%)	26 (78.78%)
Antibiotics	Cephalosporins Sulfamethoxazole – trimethoprim	14 (19.4%) -	4 (12.12%) 13 (39.39%)
Analgesics	Tramadol-Acetaminophen	14 (19.4%)	-

Table 2	Most commonly	prescribed	druas in HD) and renal	transplant	patient
	moot oonnoniy	procernoca	arage in the	and rona	anopiane	pation

CCB, calcium channel blocker; *CB, calcium cha; PPI, proton pump inhibitor. *Vitamins and minerals (HD patients): Folic acid, calcitriol, alfacalcidol, folic acid+methylcobalamin+pyridoxine hydrochloride, vitamin B complex+Vitamin C+zinc, vitamin B complex+vitamin C, ferrous ascorbate+folic acid, levocarnitine+vitamin E; vitamin B complex: thiamine nitrate, riboflavin, pyridoxine hydrochloride, cyanocobalamin, nicotinamide, pantothenic acid calcium salt. Vitamin and minerals (renal transplant patients): alpha-ketoanalogue, calcitriol, calcium with alfacalcidol, folic acid+methylcobalamin+pyridoxine hydrochloride, mecobalamin+alpha lipoic acid+vitamin D3 +pyridoxine+folic acid, benfotiamine.

The prevalence of CKD patients has also been rising, impacting millions people globally [8]. This increase is partly due to the rise in comorbidities. Generally, CKD patients will take multiple medications to manage the disease and other comorbidities, and this practice has been linked to adverse consequences. Polypharmacy is associated with a high economic burden in terms of drug-related complications, frequent hospitalizations, morbidity, mortality, and health care costs. Therefore, there is a need for prescribing pattern studies to assess the prescribing and distribution of drugs in patients treated with HD and renal transplantation, and this will facilitate optimal management of disease and other comorbidities through rational use of drugs.

The reports of this study showed high incidence of renal impairment in males 76 (72.38%) over females 29 (27.6%), this similar results were found in previously conducted study, [9] where reported male predominance (57%) but as per the recent

epidemiological study published in 2022 females are having more prevalent to get CKD than males [8]. The mean comorbidity in the study population was found to be 1.89±1.16. Among the overall patients, majority of the patients with 2-5 comorbidities 58 (55.23%), which shows that there is a need of more drugs to manage disease and comorbidities. The average number of the drugs prescribed per patients was found to be 7.41±2. It shows that there is polypharmacy among the end stage CKD patients, which is parallel to the study reported previously, where they reported polypharmacy among CKD patients [10].

Among HD and renal transplantation patients, cardiovascular drugs were mostly prescribed. Out of cardiovascular drugs, alpha 2- agonist were highly prescribed in HD patients which was contrast to the study conducted previously, where CCBs were highly prescribed for the treatment of hypertension in HD patients [11]. CCBs were highly prescribed for the

treatment of hypertension in renal transplant patients 16 (48.48%), which was similar to the study conducted earlier, where CCBs were mostly prescribed for hypertension in renal transplant patients [12].

In present study, renal transplant patients mostly prescribed with more than one Immunosuppressant. Among immunosuppressant drugs, tacrolimus was commonly prescribed to all the patients 33 (100%), followed by mycophenolate mofetil 28 (84.84%) this is similar to the study conducted earlier, where tacrolimus was mostly prescribed at the rate of 87.7% [13].

In this study, even independent of GI diseases, proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) were mostly prescribed after anti-hypertensive and hematopoietic agents. GI drugs such as PPIs, H2 receptor blockers and other GI drugs were prescribed. PPIs were prescribed probably to prevent drug induced gastritis. Even though PPIs are thought to be safe, there remains a danger, particularly in conditions where there is renal impairment. According to study, using them increases the incidence of adverse outcomes like bone fractures, renal problems, microscopic colitis, and hypomagnesaemia [14].

Conclusion

This study concludes that the cardiovascular agents such as alpha 2 agonists followed by CCBs and immunosuppressant such as tacrolimus followed by mycophenolate mofetil are the most common class of drugs likely to be prescribed among the hemodialysis and renal transplant patients respectively. Further this research highlights the practice of polypharmacy among the hemodialysis and renal transplant patients which needs to be taken into account when treating the patients as they are likely to initiate drug related problems such as adverse drug reactions and drug interactions. Thus, there is vital role of clinical pharmacologists/ pharmacists in the medication therapy management in the CKD patients which could be milestone towards the achieving appropriateness of therapy.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the principal of NGSM Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, NITTE (Deemed University), and Department of Nephrology, Justice K S Hegde Charitable Hospital, Mangaluru, India, for providing the research facilities.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Jha V, Wang AY, Wang H. The impact of CKD identification in large countries: the burden of illness. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2012; 27:32–38.
- 2 Carney EF. The impact of chronic kidney disease on global health. Nature Reviews Nephrology. 2020; 16:251.
- 3 Singh AK, Farag YM, Mittal BV, Subramanian K.K., Reddy SRK, Acharya VN, et al. Epidemiology and risk factors of chronic kidney disease in India results from the SEEK (Screening and Early Evaluation of Kidney Disease) study. BMC Nephrol. 2013; 14:114.
- 4 Singh P, Bhandari M. Renal replacement therapy options from an Indian perspective: dialysis versus transplantation. Transplant Proc. 2004; 36:2013–14.
- 5 Moradi O, Karimzadeh I, Davani-Davari D, Shafiekhani M, Sagheb MM, Raees-Jalali GA. Drug-Drug Interactions among Kidney Transplant Recipients in the Outpatient Setting. Int J Organ Transplant Med. 2020; 11:185–95.
- 6 Chakraborty S, Ghosh S, Banerjea A, De RR, Hazra A, Mandal SK. Prescribing patterns of medicines in chronic kidney disease patients on maintenance hemodialysis. Indian J Pharmacol. 2016; 48:586–90.
- 7 Hussain S, Yadav SS, Sawlani KK, Khattri S. Assessment of drug prescribing pattern using world health organization indicators in a tertiary care teaching hospital. Indian J Public Health 2018; 62:156–58.
- 8 Csaba P, Kovesdy XX. Epidemiology of chronic kidney disease: an update 2022. Kidney International Supplements. 2022; 12:7–11.
- 9 Chakraborty S, Ghosh S, Banerjea A, De RR, Hazra A, Mandal SK. Prescribing patterns of medicines in chronic kidney disease patients on maintenance hemodialysis. Indian J Pharmacol. 2016; 48:586–90.
- 10 Al-Ramahi R. Medication prescribing patterns among chronic kidney disease patients in a hospital in Malaysia. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl. 2012; 23:403–8.
- 11 Oommen JM, Nerurkar DP, Sajithl M, Jawale S, Ambike S. Prescription pattern of chronic kidney disease patients undergoing hemodialysis in tertiary and private hospital. J Young Pharm. 2019; 11:202–6.
- 12 Hou W, Li D, Shen S, Lin J, Lou A, Wen A. Frequency and patterns of prescribing antihypertensive agents in outpatient kidney transplant recipients among six cities in China from 2011 to 2018. ClinTher. 2021; 43:602–12.
- 13 Chang JY, Yu J, Chung BH, Yang J, Kim S-J, Kim C-D, et al. Immunosuppressant prescription pattern and trend in kidney transplantation: A multicenter study in Korea. PLoS One. 2017; 12:e0183826.
- 14 Hálfdánarson ÓÖ, Pottegård A, Björnsson ES, Lund SH, Ogmundsdottir MH, Steingrímsson E, et al. Proton-pump inhibitors among adults: a nationwide drug-utilization study. TherapAdvGastroenterol. 2018; 11:1756284818777943.