

Egyptian Journal of Veterinary Sciences

https://ejvs.journals.ekb.eg/



Characterization of Multi Drug-Resistant *Salmonella* Species in Duck Production Chains from Nile Delta Regions

Heba El Mansy, Shimaa El Baz, Hazem Ramadan and Mayada Gwida^{*}

Department of Hygiene and Zoonoses, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Mansoura University, Mansoura, 35516, Egypt.

Abstract

THIS STUDY provided up-to-date information regarding the circulation of virulent and L multidrug- resistant Salmonella spp. throughout duck production chains in Egypt. The investigation encompassed 338 samples from both household and commercial duck farms, including cloacal swabs (n = 150), duck organs (n = 60), egg surface swabs (n = 10), egg yolks (n = 10), feed and water samples (n = 30 each), and duck breeder stool specimens (n = 48). Conventional methods were employed to screen all samples for Salmonella species. Identified Salmonella isolates underwent species-specific PCR and testing for virulence genes (invA and stn) and some selected antimicrobial resistance genes (tetA, sul1, aadA1, qnrA, and aac-6-Ib). Based on the invA gene, Salmonella species were isolated at a rate of 14.79% (50/338), with 82% (41/50) of these isolates testing positive for the stn gene. The most frequently detected resistance genes were aadA1 (28/50), followed by qnrA (18/50), sul1 (17/50), aac-6-Ib (14/50), and tetA (13/50). Furthermore, the predominant Salmonella serotypes emerged were S. Enteritidis, S. Typhimurium, and S. Kentucky. A significant proportion of Salmonella isolates displayed antimicrobial resistance to ceftazidime (98%) and ampicillin (96%). These findings indicate widespread multidrug-resistant Salmonella spp. throughout Egyptian duck production chains, potentially posing a significant public health risk due to possible transmission to humans via the food supply.

Keywords: InvA, Enteric pathogens, Eggs, MDR, ducks, Egypt.

Introduction

Salmonella is considered as one of the major pathogens posing threat to public health in most countries [1]. Based on the World Health Organization (WHO) reports, it was estimated that nearly 715,000 population died from diarrheal diseases annually. One-third of these deaths are related to food poisoning, with Salmonella being a major contributing factor [2]. In addition in USA consumption of contaminated food such as poultry, pork, beef, eggs, and milk with pathogenic Salmonella species leads to 1.35 million infections, 26,500 hospitalizations, and 420 deaths have been reported every year [3]. Salmonella is one of the world's most serious zoonotic foodborne enteric pathogen, causing salmonellosis, one of the most common illnesses that lead to significant economic losses in poultry industry. Poultry was the primary cause of numerous Salmonellosis outbreaks in developing countries like India, Egypt, and Zimbabwe [4]. S. Typhimurium and S. Enteritidis account for 75% of Salmonella infections [5]. In Egypt, ducks rank as the second most widely consumed poultry species, after chicken [6]. Despite their widespread distribution, economic significance, ability to support livelihoods and being significant reservoirs and carriers of different zoonotic pathogens including Salmonella, which typically presents with subclinical symptoms or silent infections, they have not yet received the interest of scientists and are rarely investigated for Salmonella contamination. Consequently, the potential for

*Corresponding authors: Mayada Gwida, E-mail: mayada_gwida@mans.edu.eg, Tel.: 01003783173 (Received 12 December 2024, accepted 04 February 2025) DOI: 10.21608/EJVS.2025.344013.2556

©National Information and Documentation Center (NIDOC)

Salmonella transmission from ducks to humans may exceed that from chickens [7]. Globally distinct Salmonella serovars have been found in ducks with S. Typhimurium and S. Enteritidis being the most prevalent serovars [6]. Salmonella pathogenicity is associated with several virulence genes, including the invA gene, that allows bacteria to colonize host epithelial cells and is acts as a distinctive marker suitable for identification of Salmonella strains [8], while the enterotoxin, stn gene generates the protein which responsible for severe diarrhea [6]. Antimicrobial-resistant bacteria like Salmonella have emerged as a result of widespread misuse and abuse of antimicrobial agent in animals raised for food, which poses severe worldwide health concerns [9]. In 2019, approximately 1.27 million deaths were linked to infections caused by antimicrobial-resistant this figure could rise to 10 million annually by the year 2050, surpassing the mortality rate due to cancer [10]. Food of animal origin, especially poultry meat may be maintain and disseminate multidrug resistance strains associated with different virulence genes [11]. Despite salmonellosis being the most common zoonotic food-borne infection in Egypt, information about their occurrence, population structure and genomic characteristics across ducks production chain is limited. Thus, this research aimed to evaluate the potential existence of zoonotic Salmonella enterica serovars along duck production chain, considering some epidemiological patterns.

Material and methods

Samples collection and preparation:

In the present study, 338 samples collected from duck farms, including cloacal swabs (n = 150), duck organs (n = 60), egg surface swabs (n = 10), egg yolks (n = 10), feed and water samples (n = 30 each), and duck breeder fecal samples (n = 48). The investigation encompassed different duck farms located in the northern Nile Delta including Dakahlia and Damietta governorate, during the period from May 2023 to June 2024. The collected samples kept cooled and transferred immediately to the lab.

Ethical approval:

The Animal care and Use committee (ACUC) guidelines were followed for conducting this study (code number, VM.MS.22.09.7).

On duck farms, cloacal swabs were collected aseptically from seemingly healthy ducks according to the method described previously [12]. In brief, cloaca's exterior was initially cleaned using a cotton ball that had been soaked in 70% ethanol. Subsequently, the swabs were gently inserted 22 mm into the cloaca where they rotated five times in a slow clockwise motion around the cloaca, applying moderate pressure to keep the swab-tip in touch. Additionally, the swab-tip was rolled to cover the entire surface of the swab with cloacal material. Finally, the collected swabs placed into sterile tube containing 10 ml buffered peptone water (BPW) and kept in ice box.

Samples of drinking water and duck feed were pooled per sampling site. In brief, samples of duck feed (10 gm each) and water (20 ml each) were collected from each duck house from five different locations and pooled resulting in a composite sample of 100 milliliters. The internal organs (i.e. liver, cecum, and heart) of ducks were collected in sterile cups under aseptic conditions [13]. A total of 10 ducks' eggs were purchased from ducks' farms and farmers' houses. To isolate Salmonella from the surface of egg shell, sterile cotton swabs were first moistened with sterile normal saline solution (NSS) and then used to swab egg surface after that the swabs were placed back into a tube containing 10 ml normal saline solution. The contents were transferred to 90 ml of BPW (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) and incubated at 37 °C for 18h [14].

Five milliliters of yolk were combined with 5 milliliters of normal saline solution and then added to 90 milliliters of buffered peptone water, followed by incubation at 37 degrees Celsius for 18 hours [14]. Finally, a total of 48 stool specimens were collected from farm owners, workers and household breeders into sterile cups and transferred to laboratory. All the collected samples were coded and packaged immediately under cooling condition and transported directly to the laboratory for further analyses.

Isolation and identification of Salmonella Spp.

Isolation and identification of *Salmonella* were carried out following the standard procedure outlined in ISO-6579 [15]. All suspected colonies on XLD were selected and cultured onto (XLD) agar and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h to for further biochemical identification using triple sugar iron (TSI), urease test and simmon citrate test

Serological characterization of Salmonella strains

Salmonella strains were serotyped by slide agglutination test according to Kauffmann–White scheme [17]. The serology was conducted at Food Analysis Center, Benha University.

Molecular diagnostic assay

All the biochemically identified *Salmonella* strains (n=98) underwent DNA extraction utilizing the Gene JET Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Fermentas), following the guidelines provided by the manufacturer.

Conventional PCR assay

PCR reactions were conducted in a total volume of 25 µl, which included 1 µl of each primer (10 pmol), primers used in the study were listed in Table (1), 10 µl of DreamTag[™] Green PCR Master Mix (2X) from Thermo Scientific, USA, 5 µl of template DNA, and 8 µl of nuclease-free water. The cycling conditions were performed in a Mini PCR TM Mini16 Thermal Cycler (Amplyus, Cambridge, MA, USA) for the invA (invasion) gene, starting with an initial denaturation at 94°C for 3 minutes, followed by 35 cycles consisting of denaturation at 94°C for 30 seconds, primer annealing at 62°C for 30 seconds, extension at 72°C for 30 seconds, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes. For the stn (enterotoxin) gene, the PCR cycle began with an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 minutes, followed by 30 amplification cycles with denaturation at 94 °C for 5 seconds, annealing at 68 °C for 10 seconds, and extension at 72 °C for 20 seconds, concluding with a final extension of 7 minutes at 72 °C. The amplified DNA was visualized using 1% agarose gel electrophoresis with a blue gel detection and visualization unit from Amplyus, Cambridge, MA, US [19].

For identification of resistance genes, PCR amplification and primer sequences for *tet*A (tetracycline resistance gene), *sul*1(sulfonamide resistance gene), and *aad*A1(aminoglycosides resistance gene) genes were performed as previously reported by Zishiri et al. [20] while for *qnr*A (quinolones resistance gene) and *aac-6-ib* (aminoglycosides resistance gene) were done according to Herrera-Sánchez et al [21].

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Antibiograms were performed to molecularly confirmed *Salmonella* strains (n=50) according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines using disk diffusion [24] using different antibiotic classes as Aminoglycosides (Gentamycin CN—120 ug; Streptomycin S—25 ug; Kanamycin K— 30 ug), Quinolones (Nalidixic acid NA—30ug), Polymyxins (CL—Colistin 25 ug), Phenicoles (Chloramphenicol C—30 ug), Cephalosporin (CAZ—Ceftazidime 30 ug), Beta lactam (Ampicillin AMP—10 ug), Fluoroquinolones (CIP— Ciprofloxacin 30 ug), Tetracyclines (Tetracycline TE—30 ug). The Multiple Antibiotic Resistance (MAR) index for each *Salmonella* strain was calculated using this formula: MAR = Number of resistant antibiotics / Total number of antibiotics tested.

Statistical analysis

The analysis of virulence and antimicrobial resistance genes in *Salmonella* strains isolated from different sources was conducted using logistic regression and SPSS software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL; version 22). A p-value of less than 0.05 was deemed statistically significant.

<u>Results</u>

In the present study, 98 Salmonella spp. out of 338 (28.9%) were biochemically identified in duck cloacal swabs (44/150; 29.3%), liver (2/20; 10%), cecum (2/20; 10%), heart (1/20; 5%), egg surface swab (9/10; 90%), eggs yolk (3/10; 30%), feed (3/30; 10%), water (22/30; 73.3%) and stool specimen (12/48; 25%); while they were molecularly confirmed using Salmonella gene marker invA in 50 samples out of 338 (14.8%) with the percentage of 18% (27/150) in duck cloacal swabs; 10% (2/20) in liver; 10% (2/20) in cecum, 0% (0/20) in heart; 50% (5/10) in egg surface swab; 0% (0/10) in eggs yolk; 26.6% (8/30) in feed; 0% (0/30) in water; and 12.5% (6/48) in stool specimens (Table 2; Fig.1). The molecularly confirmed Salmonella strains (n = 50)using *invA* gene, were tested for *stn* gene, the results have shown that the gene was detected in 82% (41/50) of Salmonella isolates (Fig. 2).For antimicrobial resistance genes, the aadA1 (Aminoglycoside adenylyltransferase) gene was the most abundant gene identified (28/50; 56%). The gene was identified frequently in human stool specimens (5/6; 83.3%) and in water samples (6/8; 75%); while tetA gene was the least identified gene (13/50; 26%) as shown in (Fig. 3). Furthermore, twelve serovars were characterized and the most prevalent serotypes identified were S. Enteritidis (10/50; 20%), S. Typhimurium (8/50; 16%) and S. Kentucky (8/50; 16%) (Fig.4). for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, the data demonstrated that Salmonella strains exhibited MDR in 92% (46/50). The isolates were highly resistant to ceftazidime and ampicillin with the percent of 98 (49/50) and 96 (48/50) respectively, while they showed moderate resistance to tetracycline (68%), nalidixic acid (52%)

and streptomycin (50%). Nonetheless, a low resistance was reported to chloramphenicol (18%) and gentamycin (16%) (Table 3; Fig. 5).

The classification of Salmonella isolates according to their antibiotic resistance profiles and MAR index showed that among the 50 isolates there were 35 distinct resistance patterns identified. Notably, 46 of the 50 Salmonella isolates, accounting for 92%, exhibited resistance to at least three antibiotics (Table 4). Additionally, the majority of the Salmonella serovars displayed multidrug resistance. (Table 5). Among the identified 50 Salmonella isolates ten were serotyped as S. Enteritidis theses isolates were recovered from ducks cloacal swabs, water, cecum, egg samples as well as human stool and harbored aadA1, qnrA also 8/10 with the percentage of 80% of the isolates had both invA and stn genes (Table 6).

For statistical analysis, no significance difference was observed for the prevalence of *tetA*, *sul1*, *aadA1* and *aac*-6-Ib genes among the human, duck and environmental isolates. Nonetheless, there is a significant difference (p<0.05) was noticed for *qnrA* prevalence among the isolates from different identified sources. Interestingly marked variations (p<0.001) for *invA* and *stn* genes prevalence among the different isolates from human, duck and environmental samples.

Discussion

Ducks are considered the most significant reservoirs of Salmonella spp. in poultry production systems. Chronic carriers can transmit bacteria to humans. To date, only few studies have evaluated the prevalence of Salmonella spp. in duck production systems. Hence, this research was performed to clarify whether virulent and multidrug resistant Salmonella species are present throughout duck production chains in Egypt. Our results highlight the potential health concerns of foodborne Salmonella infections by demonstrating the significant incidence of MDR strains. The frequency of Salmonella spp. detected in cloacal swabs in this study aligns with findings by Adzitey et al. [25], who reported a 20% (15/75) occurrence rate. However, other researchers have observed varying detection rates. For instance, Saengthongpinit et al. [26] in Thailand and Seleem et al. [27] in Egypt found rates of 4.2% (27/639) and 1.5% (3/197), respectively. In China, researchers noted Salmonella in cloacal swabs ranging from 4.29% (3/70) to 44.00% (22/50) [28]. The current study identified an overall Salmonella spp.

occurrence of 6.66% (4/60) in duck organs. This rate exceeds that reported in an Egyptian study which found Salmonella spp. in 3.33% (5/150) of samples [29]. Additionally, research conducted in Iran identified Salmonella in 10% (2/20) of duck livers [30]. In contrast, other Egyptian studies reported higher prevalence rates of Salmonella in duck organs, with 14.5% (29/200) and 12.36% (11/89) respectively [31,32]. This study found a 50% (5/10) prevalence of Salmonella spp. in eggs (shell and content), which exceeded that reported in another study. For comparison, Egyptian studies reported 9.09% (1/11) and 10% (5/50) in duck eggs [32,31], while an Iranian study 16.67% (5/30) was reported in egg content and 0% in eggshells [30]. The hatchery stage (egg samples) had the greatest isolation rate of Salmonella (50%) indicating a potential concern to the downstream of the duck production chain [2].

The current investigation detected no *Salmonella* in environmental feed samples, aligning with Nigerian research that found a 0% recovery rate in Edo and Delta states [33]. However, studies from Thailand and Egypt reported *Salmonella* prevalence in poultry feed as 13.3% (2/15) and 8.3% (1/12), respectively [26, 34]. *Salmonella* spp. prevalence in water samples was 26.6%, higher than the 0% reported in Thailand [26], but lower than the 47.1% (8/17) in free-grazing flocks and 33% (5/15) in confined flocks reported in Egypt [34].

The overall *Salmonella* species percentage in stool specimens was 12.5%, consistent with a study that isolated *Salmonella enterica* at 12.07% (7/58) [35]. In contrast, Egyptian studies reported lower detection rates of 4.4% (3/68) and 4% (1/25) [36, 37]. Variations in *Salmonella* prevalence across samples and studies may be attributed to factors as hygienic practices conditions, biosecurity levels, management systems, duck housing arrangements, geographic location, and seasonal influences [38].

Traditional methods identified 98 Salmonella isolates out of 338 samples (28.9%), with 51% confirmed as Salmonella spp. using the *inv*A gene marker. These results differed from studies in Egypt [32] and China [39], which confirmed *inv*A gene presence in all recovered Salmonella serovars. The heat-labile Salmonella enterotoxin gene (*stn*), involved in salmonellosis pathogenesis, was detected in 82% (41/50) of examined strains. This contrasts with Egyptian studies reporting 100% *stn* gene detection in Salmonella serovars from duckling and duck farms [40, 29], while another Egyptian study

5

found *stn* gene in 65.8% out the identified strains [6]. The high detection rate of virulence genes underscores these isolates' pathogenic potential and potential public health risks. Variations can arise due to the sensitivity and specificity of the primers, the concentration of the inoculum, the capabilities of the laboratory, and the skills of the individuals involved [41].

The study identified multiple Salmonella serotypes, with S. Enteritidis, S. Typhimurium, and S. Kentucky being the most prevalent at 20%, 16%, and 16%, respectively. More than 70% of human infections are caused by nontyphoid Salmonella particularly S. Enteritidis and serovars, S. Typhimurium which are the main causes of salmonellosis epidemics, according earlier research. [42]. These findings align with previous study [36] that identified S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium as the dominant serotypes in human and animal isolates in Egypt. Another Egyptian study [6] detected S. Typhimurium, S. Kentucky, and S. Enteritidis in ducks with prevalence of 31.4% (22/70), 22.9% (16/70), and 20% (14/70), respectively. Additional research reported S. Typhimurium and S. Kentucky as the most common serotypes isolated from ducks, with incidences of 31.3% (5/16) and 25% (4/16), respectively [29]. In Southeast Asia, S. Typhimurium and S. Enteritidis were found to be the main serotypes in duck samples from Malaysia, with rates of 29.6% (37/125) and 12% (15/125), respectively [25]. S. Kentucky is commonly found in poultry, such as chickens and turkeys, while it is less frequently seen in ducks [2]. In the current investigation, S. Kentucky was found more frequently in ducks (cloacal swabs, liver, and eggs), as well as in environmental samples. This suggests that S. Kentucky need more study attention because it has become a global human pathogen.

The emergence of antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella* serovars represents a major public health issue, as food-producing animals often serve as reservoir hosts for *Salmonella*, making eradication challenging. In this study, the two predominant zoonotic serotypes identified were *S*. Entertitidis and *S*. Typhimurium. These serovars were MDR and expressed both virulent and antibiotic resistance genes. They were isolated from human, duck, and environmental samples, highlighting the risk of spreading these serovars through food of duck-origin. Strict monitoring is desperately needed to prevent the spread of these strains.

Excessive use of antibiotics in both humans and animals contributes to the rise of antimicrobial resistance [43]. In veterinary field, antibiotics are widely employed for purposes such as promoting growth, treating illnesses, and enhancing weight gain and feed efficiency. The issue of multidrug resistance is becoming an increasingly serious public health challenge globally. Recent research highlighting the proliferation of multidrug-resistant pathogens from diverse sources underscores the urgent need to optimize antibiotic use in both human and veterinary healthcare [44].

In this study, 92% of Salmonella strains were classified as MDR. This percentage is higher than the 68.4% reported in previous study [45]. The Salmonella isolates exhibited high resistance to Ceftazidime (49/50, 98%) and Ampicillin (48/50, 96%). These results are consistent with findings from researchers in Morocco [46]. Similarly, studies in China reported high resistance to AMP at 55.1% (27/49) and 97.6% (82/84), respectively [39,13]. Fluoroquinolones, which are very efficient broad spectrum antibiotics to treat human salmonellosis, have a resistance rate of 34% (17/50), which is consistent with other research in Egypt by El-Saeed et al. [43]who report high resistance to ciprofloxacin (63/129) 48.8%. In contrast, this study found low resistance to Chloramphenicol (9/50, 18%) and Gentamycin (8/50, 16%), which aligns with other research [47] reporting low resistance to Gentamycin (1/26, 3.80%). Elshebrawy et al. [6] also reported a resistance rate of 21.5% (34/158) against Chloramphenicol. The current study found that S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium with MDR character were predominate in cloacal swabs and stool specimens, consistent with previous findings [41]. Salmonella isolates in our study have demonstrate high levels of resistance to the most therapeutically significant groups of antibiotics, including tetracycline, aminoglycosides, betalactams, cephalosporins, and quinolones. Suggests that these antibiotics are frequently used as growth promoters or therapeutics. This could lead to food-borne AMR Salmonella infections in human, potentially creating a significant challenge in treating Salmonella infections in both humans and animals in Egypt.

The investigation into antibiotic resistance gene prevalence revealed that the *aad*A1 gene, responsible for aminoglycosides resistance, was the most common, occurring in 56% (28/50) of cases, with stool samples showing the highest incidence at 83.3% (5/6). These outcomes are consistent with earlier studies [48, 49, 50]. The *aac*-6-Ib gene was identified in 28% (14/50) of samples, and the *tet*A gene in 26% (13/50), although some researchers were unable to detect *tet*A in their *Salmonella* collections [51]. A study by Chen et al. [49] found that 25.9% (21/81) of isolates expressed the *aac*-6-Ib gene. The transmission of resistance genes to consumers via the production chain underscores the need for effective *Salmonella* control measures. Additionally, the detected MDR *Salmonella enterica* serovars represent a potential health hazard to humans. As a result, it is essential to implement strict regulations on antimicrobial agent usage in poultry farming [41].

Conclusion

The study indicates that ducks may serve as a potential zoonotic source for salmonellosis, particularly due to the high prevalence of *Salmonella* found in duck cloacal swabs and environmental samples, as well as in humans. This is especially concerning for ducks carrying multidrug-resistant (MDR) genes. These results underscore the significance of *Salmonella* and shed light on antibiotic usage practices in both human and animal healthcare sectors.

Acknowledgements

We express thanks to everyone who presented the probability to end this study.

Funding statement

No funding support.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Ethical of approval

This study follows the ethics guidelines of the faculty of veterinary medicine, Mansoura University, Egypt (code number, VM.MS.22.09.7).

Author contributions

H.El M: Formal analysis, methodology, writingoriginal draft. Sh. El B: Conceptualization, data curation, revising original draft. H.R: Conceptualization, methodology, revising original draft. M.G: Conceptualization, methodology, data curation, Writing-review and editing the final version. All the authors approve the final version.

FABLE 1. Primer sequences of virulence an	d antibiotic resistance	genes for Salmonella spp.
--	-------------------------	---------------------------

Target genes	Oligonucleotide sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	Product size (bp)	References
invA(F)	5' GTG AAA TTA TCG CCA CGT TCG GGC AA'3	285	[18]
invA(R)	5' TCA TCG CAC CGT CAA AGG AAC C'3		
stn (F)	5'-CTTAATCGCGCCGCCATGCTGTT-3'	480	[19]
stn (R)	5'-CATGAACTGGCGCAGGTGAT-3'		
tetA(F)	5' GCTACATCCTGCTTGCCTTC '3	201	[22]
tetA(R)	5' CATAGATCGCCGTGAAGAGG'3		
sul1(F)	5'TCACCGAGGACTCCTTCTTC'3	316	[23]
sul1(R)	5'AATATCGGGATAGAGCGCAG'3		
aadA1(F)	5'TAT CAG AGG TAG TTG GCG TCAT'3	484	[23]
aadA1(R)	5'GTT CCA TAG CGT TAA GGT TTC ATT'3		
qnrA(F)	5' CCGCTTTTATCAGTGTGACT '3	188	[21]
qnrA(F)	5' ACTCTATGCCAAAGCAGTTG '3		
<i>aac</i> -6-Ib(F)	5' TTGCGATGCTCTATGAGTGGCTA '3	482	[21]
aac-6-Ib(F)	5' CTCGAATGCCTGGCGTGTTT'3		

Samples type	NO. of exa	mined	Number of biochemically of confirmed	PCR results	Serotypes
Duck samples (n=170)	Cloacal swabs	150	44(29.3%)	27(18%)	<i>S.</i> Enteritidis (n=5, 18.5%), <i>S.</i> Kentucky (n=5, 18.5%), <i>S.</i> Typhimurium (n=4, 14.8%), <i>S.</i> Wingrove (n=3, 11.1%), <i>S.</i> Muenster (n=2, 7.4%), <i>S.</i> Larochelle (n=2, 7.4%), <i>S.</i> Molade(n=2, 7.4%), <i>S.</i> Papuana (n=2, 7.4%), <i>S.</i> Inganda(n=1, 3.7%), <i>S.</i> Saintpaul (n=1, 3.7%)
	Liver	20	2(10%)	2(10%)	<i>S</i> . Kentucky (n=1, 50%), <i>S</i> . Typhimurium (n=1, 50%)
	Cecum	20	2(10%)	2(10%)	<i>S</i> . Enteritidis (n=1, 50%), <i>S</i> . Papuana (n=1, 50%)
	Heart	20	1(5%)	0(0%)	- · · ·
Duck eggs (n=10)	Egg yolk samples	10	9(90%)	5(50%)	<i>S.</i> Wingrove (n=1, 20%), <i>S.</i> Inganda (n=1, 20%), <i>S.</i> Molade (n=1, 20%), <i>S.</i> Kentucky (n=1, 20%), <i>S.</i> Enteritidis (n=1, 20%)
	Egg surface swab	10	3(30%)	0(0%)	
Environmental	Feed	30	3(30%)	0(0%)	
samples	Water	30	22(73.3%)	8(26.6%)	<i>S.</i> Enteritidis (n=2, 25%), <i>S.</i> Kentucky (n=1, 12.5%) <i>S.</i> Heidlberg (n=1, 12.5%), <i>S.</i> Paratyphi A (n=1, 12.5%), <i>S.</i> Typhimurium (n=1, 12.5%), <i>S.</i> Larochelle (n=1, 12.5%), <i>S.</i> Saintpaul (n=1, 12.5%)
Human samples	Stool	48	12(25%)	6(12.5%)	<i>S.</i> Typhimurium (n=2, 33.3%), <i>S.</i> Enteritidis (n=1, 16.6%), <i>S.</i> Heidlberg (n=1, 16.6%), <i>S.</i> Paratyphi A (n=1, 16.6%), <i>S.</i> Saintpaul (n=1, 16.6%)
Total		338	98 (28.9%)	50 (14.8%)	

TABLE 2. Frequent distribution of Salmonella serovars from the examined samples based on biochemical and molecular identification

TABLE 3. Antimicrobial susceptibility of confirmed Salmonella strains (n=50) recovered from different sources

Antibiotics classes		Sensitive		Resistant		
		NO	%	NO	%	
Quinolones	Nalidixic acid	24	48	26	52	
Polymyxins	Colistin	36	72	14	28	
	Gentamycin	42	84	8	16	
	Streptomycin	25	50	25	50	
Aminoglycosides	Kanamycin	28	56	22	44	
Phenicoles	Chloramphenicol	41	82	9	18	
Cephalosporin	Ceftazidime	1	2	49	98	
Beta lactam	Ampicillin	2	4	48	96	
Fluoroquinolones	Ciprofloxacin	33	66	17	34	
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline	12	24	38	76	

Antimicrobial resistance patterns	Number and (%)of isolates	MAR index	Resistance profile	Number and (%)for each profile
AMP, TE, CAZ, K, NA, CL, CN, S, CIP	1(2%)	0.9	Multidrug-resistant	46(92%)
AMP, TE, CAZ, K, NA, CL, S,CIP	2(4%)	0.8	C	
AMP, TE, CAZ, K, NA, S, C	1(2%)	0.7		
AMP, TE, CAZ ,K, S, CIP, C	1(2%)	0.7		
AMP, TE, CAZ, K, CN, S, CIP	1(2%)	0.7		
AMP, TE, CAZ, K, NA, CL, CN	1(2%)	0.7		
AMP, TE, CAZ, NA, CL, S, CIP	1(2%)	0.7		
AMP, TE, CAZ, NA, CL, CN, S	1(2%)	0.7		
AMP, TE, CAZ, K, NA, CL	2(4%)	0.6		
AMP, TE, CAZ, NA, S, CIP	2(4%)	0.6		
AMP, TE, CAZ, CL, S, C	1(2%)	0.6		
AMP, TE, CAZ, K, S, C	1(2%)	0.6		
AMP, TE, CAZ, K, NA, CIP	2(4%)	0.6		
AMP, TE, CAZ, NA, CL, S	1(2%)	0.6		
AMP, CAZ, K, NA, CL, CN	1(2%)	0.6		
AMP, CAZ, K, NA, CL, CIP	1(2%)	0.6		
AMP, TE, CAZ, S, C	4(8%)	0.5		
AMP, TE, CAZ, K, NA	3(6%)	0.5		
AMP, TE, CAZ, K, C	1(2%)	0.5		
AMP, TE, CAZ, K, CL	1(2%)	0.5		
AMP, TE, CAZ, NA, S	3(6%)	0.5		
AMP, TE, CAZ, S, CIP	2(4%)	0.5		
AMP, CAZ, K, NA, CIP	1(2%)	0.5		
AMP, CAZ, K, NA, CL	1(2%)	0.5		
AMP, TE, CAZ,CN	1(2%)	0.4		
AMP, TE, CAZ, S	1(2%)	0.4		
AMP, CAZ, K,NA	1(2%)	0.4		
AMP, CAZ, S, CIP	1(2%)	0.4		
AMP, TE, CAZ	3(6%)	0.3		
AMP, TE, NA	1(2%)	0.3		
AMP, CAZ, CN	1(2%)	0.3		
AMP, CAZ, CIP	1(2%)	0.3		
AMP, CAZ	2(4%)	0.2	Low drug-resistant	4(8%)
CAZ, CN	1(2%)	0.2	-	
CAZ	1(2%)	0.1		

 TABLE 4. Antimicrobial resistance profile and MAR indexes of Salmonella serovars isolates (n = 50) from ducks, environment and human sources.

S	Number	Antimicrobial		MAR	Classification of Strains	
Serovars	of isolates	resistance pattern	Antimicrobial resistance classes	Index	Type of resistance	No. and %
Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, CL, S, C	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Polymyxins, Phenicoles, Aminoglycosides Phenicoles	0.6	Multidrug -resistant	9(90%)
serovar Enteritidis (n=10)	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, K, NA, CIP	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Quinolones, Fluoroquinolones	0.6		
	1	AMP, TE, CAZ,NA, S, CIP	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Quinolones, Aminoglycosides, Fluoroquinolones	0.6		
	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, S, C	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Phenicoles	0.5		
	1	AMP, CAZ, K, NA, CIP	Beta lactam, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Quinolones, Fluoroquinolones	0.5		
	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, S	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides	0.4		
	1	AMP, CAZ, S, CIP	Beta lactam, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Fluoroquinolones	0.4		
	1	AMP, CAZ, K, NA	Beta lactam, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Quinolones	0.4		
Average	1	AMP, TE, CAZ	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin	0.3		
MAR index=0.44	1	CAZ	Cephalosporin	0.1	Low drug- resistant	1(10%)
Salmonella enterica subsp.	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, K, CN, S, CIP	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Fluoroquinolones	0.7	Multidrug -resistant	7(87.5%)
enterica serovar Typhimuriu	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, K, NA, CL, CN	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Quinolones, Polymyxins, Aminoglycosides	0.7		
m (n=8)	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, NA, CL, CN, S	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Quinolones, Polymyxins, Aminoglycosides	0.7		
	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, S, C	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Phenicoles	0.5		
	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, K, NA	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Quinolones	0.5		
	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, CN	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides	0.4		
Average MAR	1	AMP, TE, CAZ	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin	0.3		
index=0.5	1	AMP, CAZ	Beta lactam, Cephalosporin	0.2	Low drug- resistant	1(12.5%)

TABLE 5. Classification of Salmonella enterica serovars isolates (n = 50) according to their antimicrobial resistance profile against the 10 antimicrobial agents.

C	Number	Antimicrobial		MAR	Classification of Strains	
Serovars	of isolates	resistance pattern	Antimicrobial resistance classes	Index	Type of resistance	No. and %
Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, K, NA, CL, S, CIP	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Quinolones, Polymyxins, Fluoroquinolones	0.8	Multidrug -resistant	8(100%)
Kentucky (n=8)	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, NA, CL, S	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Quinolones, Polymyxins, Aminoglycosides	0.6		
	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, NA, S	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Quinolones, Aminoglycosides	0.5		
	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, S, CIP	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Fluoroquinolones	0.5		
	2	AMP, TE, CAZ, K, NA	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Quinolones	0.5		
Average MAR index=0.53	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, K, C	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Phenicoles	0.5		
	1	AMP, CAZ, CN	Beta lactam, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides	0.3		
Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, K, NA, CL, CN, S, CIP	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Quinolones, Polymyxins, Fluoroquinolones	0.9	Multidrug -resistant	4(100%)
Wingrove (n=4)	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, K, NA, CIP	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Quinolones, Fluoroquinolones	0.6		
	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, K, NA, CL	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Quinolones, Polymyxins	0.6		
Average MAR index=0.65	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, S, C	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Phenicoles	0.5		
<i>Salmonella</i> <i>enterica</i> subsp. enterica	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, K, NA, S, C	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Quinolones, Phenicoles	0.7	Multidrug -resistant	3(100%)
serovar Papuana (n=3)	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, K, S, C	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Phenicoles	0.6		
Average MAR index=0.53	1	AMP, TE, CAZ	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin	0.3		

Serovars	Number	Antimicrobial resistance	Antimiorobial resistance classes	MAR	Classification of Strains	
Serovars	isolates	pattern	Antimici obiai resistance classes	Index	Type of resistance	No. and %
Salmonella enterica subsp.	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, K, S, CIP, C	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Fluoroquinolones, Phenicoles	0.7	Multidrug -resistant	2(66.66 %)
enterica serovar Saintpaul (n=3)	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, S, CIP	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Fluoroquinolones	0.5		
(11-3)	1	CAZ, CN	Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides	0.2	Low drug- resistant	1(33.33 %)
Average MAR index=0.46						
Salmonella enterica subsp.	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, K, NA, CL	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Quinolones, Polymyxins	0.6	Multidrug -resistant	3(100%)
enterica serovar Larochelle	1	AMP, CAZ, K, NA, CL	Beta lactam, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Quinolones, Polymyxins	0.5		
(n=3) Average	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, S, C	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Phenicoles	0.5		
MAR index=0.53						
Salmonella enterica subsp.	2	AMP, TE, CAZ, NA, S	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Quinolones, Aminoglycosides	0.5	Multidrug -resistant	3(100%)
enterica serovar Molade (n=3)	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, K, CL	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Polymyxins	0.5		
Average MAR index=0.5						
Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, K, NA, CL, S, CIP	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Quinolones, Polymyxins, Fluoroquinolones	0.8	Multidrug -resistant	2(100%)
serovar Inganda (n=2)	1	AMP, CAZ, K, NA, CL, CN	Beta lactam, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Quinolones, Polymyxins	0.6		
Average MAR index=0.7						
<i>Salmonella enterica</i> subsp. enterica	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, NA, CL, S, CIP	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Quinolones, Polymyxins, Aminoglycosides, Fluoroquinolones	0.7	Multidrug -resistant	2(100%)
serovar Muenster (n=2)	1	AMP, CAZ, K, NA, CL, CIP	Beta lactam, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Quinolones, Polymyxins, Fluoroquinolones	0.6		
Average MAR index=0.65						

HEBA EL MANSY et al.

Serovars	Number	Antimicrobial		MAR Index	Classification of Strains	
	isolates	pattern	Antimicrobial resistance classes		Type of resistance	No. and %
Salmonella enterica subsp.	1	AMP, TE, CAZ, NA, S, CIP	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Quinolones, Aminoglycosides, Fluoroquinolones	0.6	Multidrug -resistant	2(100%)
enterica serovar Heidlberg (n=2)	nterica 1 AN erovar 1 AN feidlberg n=2)	AMP, CAZ, CIP	Beta lactam, Cephalosporin, Fluoroquinolones	0.3		
Average MAR index=0.45						
Salmonella enterica	1	AMP, TE, NA	Beta lactam, Tetracyclines, Quinolones	0.3	Multidrug -resistant	1(50%)
subsp. enterica serovar Paratyphi A (n=2)	1	AMP, CAZ	Beta lactam, Cephalosporin	0.2	Low drug- resistant	1(50%)
Average MAR index=0.25						

TABLE 6. Molecular characterization and antimicrobial resistance profile of Salmonella isolates (n=50)

Salmonella serotypes	Sources	Antimicrobial resistant	Virulence
		genes	genes
S. Enteritidis (n=10)	cloacal swabs n=5, cecum n=1, Egg yolk n=1,	aadA1, qnrA	InvA, stn (8)
	water n=2, stool n=1		
<i>S</i> .Typhimurium	cloacal swabs n=4, liver n=1, water n=1, stool	sul1, aadA1, aac-6-Ib	invA, stn (7)
(n=8)	n=2		
S. Kentucky(n=8)	cloacal swabs n=5, liver n=1, egg yolk n=1,	tetA, qnrA	invA, stn(6)
	water n=1		
S. Wingrove(n= 4)	cloacal swabs n=3, egg yolk n=1	sul1	invA, stn
S. Papuana (n=3)	cloacal swabs n=2, cecum n= 1	<i>sul</i> 1, <i>aac</i> -6-Ib	invA, stn
<i>S</i> . Saintpaul (n=3)	cloacal swabs n= 1, water n=1, stool n=1	aadA1	invA, stn
S.Larochelle (n=3)	cloacal swabs n=2, water n=1	aadA1	invA, stn(2)
S. Molade (n=3)	cloacal swabs n=2, egg yolk n=1	tetA, aac-6-Ib	invA, stn (2)
S. Inganda (n=2)	cloacal swabs n=1, egg yolk n=1	sul1	InvA, stn
S. Muenster(n=2)	cloacal swabs n=2	aadA1	invA
51 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1			
S. Paratyphi A (n=2)	Water n=1, stool n=1	tetA, aadA1	invA, stn
S. Heidelberg (n=2)	Water n=1, stool n=1		invA, stn



Graphical Abstract



Fig. 1. Number of Salmonella-positive samples based on their biochemical and molecular characterization.



Fig. 2. (A) Representative Agarose gel electrophoresis of *inv*A (285 bp) gene. (B) Representative Agarose gel electrophoresis of *stn* (480 bp) gene detected in *Salmonella* isolates. M: DNA marker (100-bp gene ladder).C+: Control positive. C-: Control negative.



Fig. 3. (A) Representative Agarose gel electrophoresis of tetA (201 bp), sul1 (316 bp), and aadA1 (484 bp) antimicrobial resistant genes for characterization of Salmonella species. M: DNA marker (100-bp gene ladder). C+: Control positive. C-: Control negative.Lanes 4 (S. Kentucky), 6 (S. Molade) and 9 (S. Paratyphi A): Positive strains for tetA gene.Lanes 3 (S. Inganda), 8 (S. Papuana), 11 (S. Typhimurium) & 12 (S. Wingrove): Positive strains for sul1 gene.Lanes 1 (S. Enteritidis), 5 (S. Larochelle), 7 (S. Muenster), 9 (S. Paratyphi A), 10 (S. Saintpaul) & 11 (S. Typhimurium): Positive strains for aadA1 gene.Lanes 2 (S. Heidelberg): Negative strains for tetA, sul1 and aadA1 genes. Lanes 1 (S. Enteritidis) & 4 (S. Kentuckey): Positive strains for qnrA gene. (B) Agarose gel electrophoresis of qnrA (188 bp) and aac-6-lb (482 bp) antimicrobial resistant genes for characterization of Salmonella species. Lanes 6 (S. Molade), 8 (S. Papuana) & 11 (S. Typhimurium): Positive strains for aac-6-lb (482 bp) antimicrobial resistant genes for characterization of Salmonella species. Lanes 6 (S. Molade), 8 (S. Papuana) & 11 (S. Typhimurium): Positive strains for aac-6-lb gene.Lanes 2 (S. Heidelberg), 3 (S. Inganda), 5 (S. Larochelle), 7 (S. Muenster), 9 (S. Paratyphi A), 10 (S. Saintpaul) and 12 (S. Wingrove): Negative strains for qnrA and aac-6-lb genes.



Fig. 4. Salmonella identified serovars (n= 50) from different samples



Fig. 5. Percentage of resistant and sensitive Salmonella isolates to different antibiotics (n=10)

References

- 1. Ali, R., Sadat, A. and Younis, G. *Salmonella* Species Threats in Duck Meat in Egypt: Prevalence and Correlation Between Antimicrobial Resistance and Biofilm Production. *EJVS*, **54**(6), 1131-1142(2023).
- Kang, X., Wang, M., Meng, C., Li, A., Jiao, X. and Pan, Z. Prevalence and whole-genome sequencing analysis of *Salmonella* reveal its spread along the duck production chain. *Poult. Sci.*, **101**(9), 101993 (2022).
- CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention). Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States. Https://Www.Cdc.Gov/Drugresistance/Pdf/Threats-Report/2 019-Ar-Threats-Report-508.Pdf
- Yang, B., Xi, M., Wang, X., Cui, S., Yue, T., Hao, H., Wang, Y., Cui, Y., Alali, W.Q., Meng, J. and

Walls, I. Prevalence of *Salmonella* on raw poultry at retail markets in China. *J. Food Prot.*, **74**(10), 1724-1728(2011).

- 5. Meldrum, R.J. and Wilson, I.G. Salmonella and *Campylobacter* in United Kingdom retail raw chicken in 2005. J. Food Prot., **70**(8), 1937-1939(2007).
- Elshebrawy, H.A., Mahros, M.A., Abd-Elghany, S.M., Elgazzar, M.M., Hayashidani, H. and Sallam, K.I. Prevalence and molecular characterization of multidrug-resistant and β-lactamase producing *Salmonella enterica* serovars isolated from duck, pigeon, and quail carcasses in Mansoura, Egypt. *LWT*, **149**, 111834 (2021).
- Han, X., Peng, J., Guan, X., Li, J., Huang, X., Liu, S., Wen, Y., Zhao, Q., Huang, X., Yan, Q. and Huang, Y. Genetic and antimicrobial resistance

profiles of *Salmonella* spp. isolated from ducks along the slaughter line in southwestern China. *Food Control*, **107**, 106805 (2020).

- Eng, S.K., Pusparajah, P., Ab Mutalib, N.S., Ser, H.L., Chan, K.G. and Lee, L.H. *Salmonella*: a review on pathogenesis, epidemiology and antibiotic resistance. *Front. Life Sci.*, 8(3), 284-293(2015).
- Sallam, K.I., Mohammed, M.A., Hassan, M.A. and Tamura, T. Prevalence, molecular identification and antimicrobial resistance profile of *Salmonella* serovars isolated from retail beef products in Mansoura, Egypt. *Food Control*, 38, 209-214 (2014).
- Salam, M.A., Al-Amin, M.Y., Salam, M.T., Pawar, J.S., Akhter, N., Rabaan, A.A. and Alqumber, M.A. Antimicrobial resistance: a growing serious threat for global public health. *Healthcare*, **11**(13), 1946 (2023).
- 11. Zhao, X., Hu, M., Zhang, Q., Zhao, C., Zhang, Y., Li, L., Qi, J., Luo, Y., Zhou, D. and Liu, Y. Characterization of integrons and antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella* from broilers in Shandong, China. *Poult. Sci.*, **99**(12), 7046-7054 (2020).
- VO, A.T. and Jedlicka, J.A. Protocols for metagenomic DNA extraction and Illumina amplicon library preparation for faecal and swab samples. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.*, 14(6), 1183-1197 (2014).
- Wang, X., Wang, H., Li, T., Liu, F., Cheng, Y., Guo, X., Wen, G., Luo, Q., Shao, H., Pan, Z. and Zhang, T. Characterization of *Salmonella* spp. isolated from chickens in Central China. *BMC Vet. Res.*, 16, 1-9 (2020).
- 14. Singh, R., Yadav, A.S., Tripathi, V. and Singh, R.P. Antimicrobial resistance profile of *Salmonella* present in poultry and poultry environment in north India. *Food Control*, **33**(2), 545-548 (2013).
- 15. ISO (International Organization for Standardization). Microbiology of the food chain—horizontal method for the detection, enumeration and Serotyping of *Salmonella*—Part 1: detection of *Salmonella* Spp. ISO Standard No. 6579-1(2017).
- 16. Mooijman, K.A., Pielaat, A. and Kuijpers, A.F. Validation of EN ISO 6579-1-Microbiology of the food chain-Horizontal method for the detection, enumeration and serotyping of *Salmonella*-Part 1 detection of *Salmonella* spp. *Int.J.Food Microbiol.*, 288, 3-12(2019).
- 17. Kauffman G. Kauffmann White Scheme, J. Acta. Path. Microbiol. Sci., 61,385(1974).
- Resendiz-Nava, C., Esquivel-Hernandez, Y., Alcaraz-Gonzalez, A., Castaneda-Serrano, P. and Nava, G.M. PCR assays based on *invA* gene amplification are not reliable for *Salmonella* detection. *Jundishapur J. Microbiol.*, 12(2),68764 (2019).
- Abd-Elghany, S.M., Sallam, K.I., Abd-Elkhalek, A. and Tamura, T. Occurrence, genetic characterization and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* isolated from chicken meat and giblets. *Epidemiol. Infect.*, **143**(5), 997-1003(2015).

- Zishiri, O.T., Mkhize, N. and Mukaratirwa, S. Prevalence of virulence and antimicrobial resistance genes in *Salmonella* spp. isolated from commercial chickens and human clinical isolates from South Africa and Brazil. *Onderstepoort J. Vet. Res.*, 83(1), 1-11(2016).
- 21. Herrera-Sánchez, M.P., Castro-Vargas, R.E., Fandiño-de-Rubio, L.C., Rodríguez-Hernández, R. and Rondón-Barragán, I.S. Molecular identification of fluoroquinolone resistance in *Salmonella* spp. isolated from broiler farms and human samples obtained from two regions in Colombia. *Vet. World*, **14**(7), 1767(2021).
- 22. Jaja, I.F., Bhembe, N.L., Green, E., Oguttu, J. and Muchenje, V. Molecular characterisation of antibiotic-resistant *Salmonella enterica* isolates recovered from meat in South Africa. *Acta Trop.*, **190**, 129-136 (2019).
- 23. Randall, L.P., Cooles, S.W., Osborn, M.K., Piddock, L.J.V. and Woodward, M.J. Antibiotic resistance genes, integrons and multiple antibiotic resistance in thirty-five serotypes of *Salmonella enterica* isolated from humans and animals in the UK. J. Antimicrob. Chemother., **53**(2), 208-216(2004).
- 24. CLSI (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, M100, 31st Ed., Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2021).
- Adzitey, F., Rusul, G. and Huda, N. Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella* serovars in ducks, duck rearing and processing environments in Penang, Malaysia. *Int. Food Res.*, **45**(2), 947-952(2012).
- 26. Saengthongpinit, C., Kongsoi, S., Viriyarampa, S. and Songserm, T. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* species isolated from laying duck flocks in confinement and free-grazing systems. *Thai J. Vet. Med.*, **45**(3), 341-350 (2015).
- Seleem, A., Sabry, M.A. and Abdel-Moein, K.A. Occurrence of Virulent *Salmonella enterica* Among Migratory Birds: A Potential Zoonotic Risk. *Pakistan J. Zool.*,1-5 (2024)[In press].
- Song, F., Li, W., Zhao, X., Hou, S., Wang, Y., Wang, S., Gao, J., Chen, X., Li, J., Zhang, R. and Jiang, S. Epidemiological and molecular investigations of *Salmonella* isolated from duck farms in southwest and around area of Shandong, China. *Microb. Pathog.*, **195**, 106816 (2024).
- 29. Abuelnaga, A.S., Ibrahim, H.S., Hedia, R.H. and Elgabry, E.A. Detection of virulence genes of *Salmonella* in diarrhoeic ducks by using Polymerase chain reaction. *EJVS*, **48**(1), 11-21(2017).
- Rahimi, Z., Ghajarbeygi, P., Mahmoud, R., Mosavi, S. and Mehrabi, A. Prevalence of *Salmonella* strains isolated from industrial quail eggs and local duck eggs, Iran. *Carpathian Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 14, (2), 165(2022).
- El-Gaos, M.I., Khalil, M.R. and Abd El-Dayem, G.A. Detection of some virulence genes in *Salmonella* species isolated from ducks and duck eggs. *Assiut Vet. Med. J.*, 66(164), 1-9 (2020).

- 32. Ashraf, A.A.E.T., Ahmed, M.A., Aisha, R.A., Fatma, I. and Mohammed, E.E. Detection of common (*invA*) gene in *salmonellae* isolated from poultry using polymerase chain rection technique. *Benha Vet. Med. J.*, 25, 70-77 (2013).
- 33. Igbinosa, I.H., Amolo, C.N., Beshiru, A., Akinnibosun, O., Ogofure, A.G., El-Ashker, M., Gwida, M., Okoh, A.I. and Igbinosa, E.O. Identification and characterization of MDR virulent *Salmonella* spp isolated from smallholder poultry production environment in Edo and Delta States, Nigeria. *PloS One*, **18**(2), e0281329 (2023).
- 34. Zaki, M.S., Fahmy, H.A., Khedr, M.H., Goha, M. and Attia, A.S. The prevalence of *Salmonella* species as a biosecurity indicator in poultry farms in Sharkia governorate, Egypt. *Zagazig Vet. J.*, **51**(3), 295-309 (2023).
- 35. Sabeq, I., Awad, D., Hamad, A., Nabil, M., Aboubakr, M., Abaza, M., Fouad, M., Hussein, A., Shama, S., Ramadan, H. and Edris, S. Prevalence and molecular characterization of foodborne and humanderived *Salmonella* strains for resistance to critically important antibiotics. *Transbound. Emerg. Dis.*, **69**(5), e2153-e2163 (2022).
- 36. Diab, M.S., Zaki, R.S., Ibrahim, N.A. and Abd El Hafez, M.S. Prevalence of multidrug resistance nontyphoidal *Salmonellae* isolated from layer farms and humans in Egypt. *WVJ.*, (4), 280-288(2019).
- 37. Hassan, A.R.H., Salam, H.S. and Abdel-Latef, G.K. Serological identification and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* isolates from broiler carcasses and human stools in Beni-Suef, Egypt. *Beni-Suef Univ. J. Basic Appl. Sci.*, 5(2), 202-207(2016).
- Punom, S.A., Khan, M.S.R., Pritha, S.T., Hassan, J., Rahman, S., Mahmud, M.M. and Islam, M.S. Isolation and molecular-based identification of bacteria from unhatched leftover eggs of ducks in selected mini-hatcheries of Kishoreganj, Bangladesh. J. Adv. Vet. Anim. Res., 7(1), 164 (2020).
- 39. Yang, J., Ju, Z., Yang, Y., Zhao, X., Jiang, Z. and Sun, S. Serotype, antimicrobial susceptibility and genotype profiles of *Salmonella* isolated from duck farms and a slaughterhouse in Shandong province, China. *BMC Microbiol.*, **19**, 1-12 (2019).
- 40. Enany, M., Hassan, W. and Mousa, R. Comparison between different methods for detection of *Salmonella* species in imported and local duckling. *SCVMJ*, **23**(1), 171-180 (2018).
- 41. Siddiky, N.A., Sarker, M.S., Khan, M.S.R., Begum, R., Kabir, M.E., Karim, M.R., Rahman, M.T., Mahmud, A. and Samad, M.A. Virulence and antimicrobial resistance profiles of *Salmonella enterica* serovars isolated from chicken at wet markets in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Microorganisms*, 9(5), 952 (2021).

- 42. Kim, T.S., Kim, G.S., Son, J.S., Mo, I.P. and Jang, H. Prevalence, biosecurity factor, and antimicrobial susceptibility analysis of *Salmonella* species isolated from commercial duck farms in Korea. *Poult. Sci.*, **100**(3), 100893 (2021).
- 43. El-Saeed, B.A., Elshebrawy, H.A., Zakaria, A.I., Abdelkhalek, A. and Sallam, K.I. Colistin-, cefepime-, and levofloxacin-resistant *Salmonella enterica* serovars isolated from Egyptian chicken carcasses. *Ann. Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrob.*, 23(1), 61 (2024).
- 44. Algammal, A.M., El-Tarabili, R.M., Abd El-Ghany, W.A., Almanzalawi, E.A., Alqahtani, T.M., Ghabban, H., Al-Otaibi, A.S., Alatfeehy, N.M., Abosleima, N.M., Hetta, H.F. and Badawy, G.A. Resistance profiles, virulence and antimicrobial resistance genes of XDR S. Entertitidis and S. Typhimurium. AMB Express, 13(1), 110 (2023).
- 45. Elshebrawy, H.A., Abdel-Naeem, H.H., Mahros, M.A., Elsayed, H., Imre, K., Herman, V., Morar, A. and Sallam, K.I. Multidrug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* serovars isolated from frozen chicken carcasses. *LWT*, **164**, 113647 (2022).
- 46. Bouchrif, B., Le Hello, S., Pardos, M., Karraouan, B., Perrier-Gros-Claude, J.D., Ennaji, M.M., Timinouni, M. and Weill, F.X. Ceftazidime-resistant Salmonella enterica, Morocco. Emerg. Infect. Dis., 15(10), 1693(2009).
- 47. Teng, L., Liao, S., Zhou, X., Jia, C., Feng, M., Pan, H., Ma, Z. and Yue, M. Prevalence and genomic investigation of multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* isolates from companion animals in Hangzhou, China. *Antibiotics*, **11**(5), 625 (2022).
- 48. Ahmed, H.A., El-Hofy, F.I., Shafik, S.M., Abdelrahman, M.A. and Elsaid, G.A. Characterization of virulence-associated genes, antimicrobial resistance genes, and class 1 integrons in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium isolates from chicken meat and humans in Egypt. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.*, **13**(6), 281-288 (2016).
- 49. Chen, Z., Bai, J., Wang, S., Zhang, X., Zhan, Z., Shen, H., Zhang, H., Wen, J., Gao, Y., Liao, M. and Zhang, J. Prevalence, antimicrobial resistance, virulence genes and genetic diversity of *Salmonella* isolated from retail duck meat in southern China. *Microorganisms*, 8(3), 444 (2020).
- 50. Khalifa, Z.K.M., Ibrahim, A.A.E.H., Abd EL-Motelib, T.Y. and Abd EL-Aziz, A.M. Molecular characterization of antibacterial resistance genes of *Salmonella* in ducks. *Assiut Vet. Med. J.*, 67(171), 52-66 (2021).
- 51. Qin, X., Xiao, L., Li, J., Yang, M., Yang, C. and Dong, Q. Molecular characterization and antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella enterica* serovar 1, 4,[5], 12: i:-environmental isolates from poultry farms. *Food Qual. Saf.*, 6(1), fyac062 (2022).

توصيف سلالات السالمونيلا المعوية المقاومة للمضادات الحيوية المتعددة من سلاسل

انتاج البط في مناطق دلتا النيل

هبه المنسى ، شيماء الباز ، حازم رمضان وميادة جويدة *

قسم الصحة والأمر اض المشتركة، كلية الطب البيطري، جامعة المنصورة، مصر.

الملخص

قدمت هذه الدراسة معلومات حديثة حول انتشار أنواع السالمونيلا المقاومة للعديد من المضادات الحيوية في سلاسل إنتاج البط في مصر. وشملت دراسة على 338 عينة من مزارع البط والبط المنزلي ، بما في ذلك مسحات من فتحة الشرج (n=10)، وأعضاء البط (n=60)، ومسحات من سطح البيض (n=10)، وصفار البيض (n=00)، وعينات الشرج (n=10)، وأعضاء البط (n=60)، ومسحات من سطح البيض (n=10)، وصفار البيض (n=00)، وعينات ملأعلاف ومياة الشرب (n=30)، وأعضاء البط (n=60)، ومسحات من سطح البيض (n=48)، وصفار البيض (n=00)، وعينات الأعلاف ومياة الشرب (n=60)، وأعضاء البط (n=60)، وعينات براز مربي البط (n=48)، وصفار البيض (n=00)، وعينات ما لأعلاف ومياة الشرب (n=60)، والحما، وعينات براز مربي البط (n=48)، تم استخدام طرق لفحص جميع العينات الأعلاف ومياة الشرب (n=60)، والخلمان براز مربي البط (n=48)، تم استخدام طرق الفحص جميع العينات من أنواع السالمونيلا. خضعت عز لات السالمونيلا المحددة لتفاعل البوليميراز المتسلسل الخاص بالأنواع واختبار جمينات الضراوة مثل (n=48)، و 1000، وعنات مقاومة مضادات الميكروبات مثل (n=48)، و 1000، و 14 من 300 جينات الخبراوة مثل (n=48)، و 1000، تم عزل أنواع السالمونيلا بمعدل (14.00)، و 14 من 500 جينات والما في الخدان الميكروبات مثل (n=48)، و 1000)، و 14 من 500 جينات المقاومة للمضادات الحيوية الأكثر نسبة هي 14 من 500 (28/50)، تليها 14.5%، منه منه، أنواع السالمونيلا بمعدل (13.0%)، و 14 من 500 (28/50)، تليهار 14.5%، منه منها، و 2000)، تليها 14.5%، منه منها، و 2000)، و 14.5%، منات المقاومة للمضادات الحيوية الأكثر نسبة هي 14 من 500 (28/50)، تليهاز 14.5%، منه منها (11/50)، و 14.5%، و 20.5%، و 14.5%، و 20.5%، من من وراد والو الموادي وراد والو على لي وراد على نزلة من من وزلات السادة السالمونيد هي 20.5%، و 14.5%، و 20.5%، و 14.5%، و 20.5%، و 14.5%، و 20.5%، و 20.5%، و 14.5%، و 20.5%، و 20.5%، و 20.5%، معنادات الميكروبات الميكروبات الميكروبات على نطاق واسع في جميع سلاسل إنتاج البط من عزلات السالمونيلا المقاومة للعديد من مضادات الميكروبات على نطاق واسع في جميع سلاسل إنتاج البل من عزلات البل وريلامي والعماء الميد والعامة، سبب انتقاله

الكلمات المفتاحية: السالمونيلا، InvA، سلالات السالمونيلا، MDR، البط، مصر.