

Egyptian Journal of Animal Health

P-ISSN: 2735-4938 On Line-ISSN: 2735-4946 Journal homepage: https://ejah.journals.ekb.eg/

Impact of DL-methionine and lactobacillus as dietary supplements on growth performance, nutrients digestibility and some blood constituents of chicken Reham, A. A. Mahmoud^{*}; Noha Abdelrahman^{*} and Randa, M. Khallaf^{*}

^{*}Researcher in Biochemistry, Nutritional Deficiency Diseases and Toxicology Department, Animal Health Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza 12619, Egypt.

Received in 3/11/2024 Received in revised from 24/11/2025 Accepted in 25/12/2024

Keywords:

Lactobacillus, Hubbard chicks DL-methionine Gamma globulin Glutathione

ABSTRACT:

nhancing health and production efficiency of poultry industry using natural products is now being applied as an alternative for synthetic compounds and antibiotics. Lactobacillus recognized for their positive impact on gut health and immunity due to their direct interaction with the gut microbiota, which is essential for a robust immune system. On the other hand, methionine is an essential amino acid in poultry nutrition, playing a crucial role in protein synthesis, growth, and overall health. The present study was designed to present and evaluate the effects of DLmethionine. and lactobacillus as dietary supplements on the growth performance, nutrients digestibility and blood constituents of poultry. The study was conducted on 50 one-day old Hubbard chicks. The chicks were assigned to five groups. 1st group (control negative) was fed on a basal diet for 4 successive weeks. 2^{nd} group was fed on a basal diet mixed with DL-methionine at a dose of (1 g/ kg diet). 3^{rd} group was fed on a basal diet mixed with lacto-bacillus at a dose of (1 ml / liter of water). 4^{th} group was fed on a basal diet mixed with DL-methionine at a dose of (2 g/ kg diet). 5rd group was fed on a basal diet mixed with lactobacillus at a dose of (2 ml / liter of water). The results showed that DL-methionine (specifically the higher dose 2 g/ Kg feed) induced a significant decrease in feed conversion, while total proteins and albumin levels were increased in both groups that consumed 1 and 2 ml lactobacillus /liter of water. Gamma globulin was increased significantly in the group that was supplied with 1 ml lactobacillus/liter of water. Superoxide dismutase showed significant increase in groups given DL-methionine with dose 2 g/kg diet and lactobacillus with dose 2ml /liter of water compared to control group. Glutathione showed significant elevation in the group given DL-methionine with dose 2 g/kg diet.

Corresponding author: Reham, A. A. Mahmoud, Biochemistry, Nutritional Deficiency Diseases and Toxicology Department, Animal Health Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center (ARC). Email address: DOI: 10.21608/ejah.2025.411344

INTRODUCTION:

Feed additives are minor components in animal feed that are used for enhancing the nutrition, performance and health. Some of the most commonly used feed additives in animal diet include enzymes, pre- and probiotics and antibiotic growth promoters (Jha et al. 2020).

Methionine (MET) plays a crucial role in poultry nutrition, acting as a building block for proteins and as a methyl donor in various metabolic processes. Its importance is underscored by the fact that poultry cannot synthesize it in adequate amounts, necessitating its inclusion in diets to maintain optimal health and productivity (Burley et al. 2016 a). The supplementation of MET in broiler diets is a well-established practice that has been shown to enhance growth parameters such as body weight, feed intake, and feed conversion ratio (Majdeddin et al. 2019). These improvements are not just beneficial for the birds' well-being but also translate into economic advantages for poultry producers. The precise requirements for MET vary depending on several factors, including the age, breed, and intended production outcomes of the broilers. For instance, younger broilers have higher MET requirements to support their rapid growth phases (Burley et al. 2016 b). As research continues to evolve, the understanding of MET's role in poultry diets will further refine these dietary recommendations, ensuring that the health of the birds and the efficiency of poultry production continue to improve.

The use of probiotics in poultry farming has indeed revolutionized the

approach to animal health and nutrition. These live microorganisms, which are beneficial to the host, have been increasingly adopted due to a shift towards antibiotic-free poultry production, driven by consumer awareness and regulatory changes. Probiotics, comprising mainly of bacteria like Lactobacillus as well as beneficial yeasts, have shown to significantly improve the intestinal microbial balance in poultry. This leads to enhanced gut health, which is a critical factor in boosting the birds' immune system, thereby reducing the need for antibiotics. Moreover, the enzymes produced by probiotics facilitate the breakdown of complex feed molecules, enhancing nutrient absorption and feed conversion ratio, which contributes to better growth performance and overall poultry health (Jha et al. 2020; Park et al. 2016; Hargis et al. 2018). The administration of probiotics through feed is the most widespread method, but other approaches such as water-soluble formulations are gaining traction. This versatility in administration ensures that probiotics can be administrated efficiently to flocks of all sizes, promoting a sustainable and health-focused approach to poultry farming (Hargis et al. 2018; Jiang et al. 2017).

One of the main challenges that face the poultry industry is how to improve their health and maximize their production. Therefore, the aim of the present study is to assess the effect of supplementing lactobacillus and DLmethionine with different doses on weight and general health of chicken, and also to recommend the optimum dose which when used will result in increasing in production and enhancing general health.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

2.1. Ethical statement:

This study was approved by the institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (ARC-IACUC) (approval no. ARC/AHRI/131/24).

2.2. Materials:

DL- Methionine feed grade 99% : produced by Evonik Antwerpen N.V. obtained as a powder.

Lactobacillus: produced by Bactizad Company and obtained as a solution containing $1X10^{12}$ CFU / liter.

2.3. Methods:

The present study was carried out at Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki. 50, one day old Hubbard chicks were used. The chicks were obtained from Pyramids Poultry company for broiler production, Giza. Egypt. All chicks were active and healthy. Chicks were allowed to acclimate for 1 week before starting the experiment. The birds were kept in clean batteries under strict hygiene measures. Each group was raised in a separate pen with an identifying data sheet to record all the required information. Chicks were vaccinated according to the schedule obtained from the company. Food and water were available and *ad libitum*.

2.4. Experimental design:

50 one-day old chicks were divided into five groups 10 chicks each. All birds in groups 2 to 5 were drinking or eating formulated diet throughout the experiment (4 successive weeks). 1st group (control negative) was fed on a basal diet for 4 successive weeks **according to NRC (1994).** 2nd group was fed on a basal diet mixed with DLmethionine at a dose of (1 g/ kg diet) (**Pokoo-Aikins et al. 2021).** 3rd group was fed on a basal diet mixed with lactobacillus at a dose of (1 ml / liter of water) (as instructed by the manufacturer). 4th group was fed on a basal diet mixed with methionine at a dose of (2 g/ kg diet) (**Pokoo-Aikins et al. 2021).** 5rd group was fed on a basal diet mixed with lactobacillus at a dose of (2 ml / liter of water) (double the dose instructed by the manufacturer).

2.5.Growth performance parameters:

Over the entire period of the experiment (4 weeks) all birds were weighed weekly. The gain in the body weight was calculated as the difference between the initial and the final weight. Daily feed intake was also recorded. The data obtained were used to calculate growth performance parameters (feed conversion).

2.6. Sampling:

After the end of the experiment blood samples were collected from the birds by puncture of the tibia vein (Davies et al. 1976). Sera were obtained to carry out protein electrophoresis and for determination of some biochemical parameters (glutathione, superoxide dismutase).

2.7. Biochemical parameters:

Serum total protein and it's electrophoretic pattern were determined by Spectrum kit CAT. NO 310 001 and chemical preparation of polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis using the continuous buffer system of **Kaplan and Szalbo** (1983) and Davis (1964) respectively, and the calculated based on SynGene S. No. 17292*14518 smempes program using Scie Plas TV100 Mini Vertical Gel Unit UK with Power Supply Consort EV714, Belgium.

Reduced glutathione was determined by kits obtained from biodiagnostic according to the method described by Beutler et al., 1963. Superoxide dismutase was determined by kits obtained from biodiagnistic according to the method described by (Nishikimi et al. 1972)

Statistical analysis:

Analysis of data obtained from both growth parameters and biochemical investigations was performed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) processed by SPSS software version 20 (Statistical Package for Social Science), followed by "less significant difference" (LSD) with p>0.05considered statistically significant.

RESULTS:

3.1.Growth performance parameters:

Feed conversion results showed significant decrease (higher weight gain with lower feed intake) in group 4 that consumed DL-methionine with dose 2 g/kg diet (Table (1)).

3.2. Biochemical parameters:

Total proteins and albumin both showed significant increase in both groups that consumed 1 and 2 ml lactobacillus /liter of water. Gamma globulin showed significant increase in the group that was supplied with 1 ml lactobacillus/liter of water (Table (2)).

Superoxide dismutase showed significant increase in groups given DLmethionine with dose 2 g/kg diet and lactobacillus with dose 2ml /liter of water compared to control group. Reduced glutathione showed significant elevation in the group given DL-methionine with dose 2 g/kg diet (Table, 3). Table 1. The effect of DL-methionine (1 or 2g/Kg diet) and lactobacillus (1 or 2 ml/liter of water) on growth performance (feed intake, weight gain and feed conversion) in broiler chicken during the experiment. Mean and standard error (P < 0.05). Means with the same letter differ significantly

Performance parameters		Control	DL- methionine (1 g/ kg diet)	lactobacillus (1 ml / liter of water)	DL- methionine (2 g/ kg diet)	lactobacillus (2 ml / liter of water)
	Mean	3354	3339	3279.25	3157.75	3087.75
Feed intake (g)	St. error	128.4296	112.6913	151.9229	149.0807	125.9831
Weight gain	Mean	1856.5	1989	1887.25	1970.25	1814.5
(final weight- initial weight)	St. error	68.69437	28.63855	45.17443	82.86573	59.02895
Feed conver- sion (g feed/ g	Mean	1.8089 ^a	1.6796	1.7392°	1.6009 ^{a,c}	1.7006
weight gain)	St. error	0.05197	0.04619	0.05774	0.0288	0.0231

Table 2.The effect of DL-methionine (1 or 2g/Kg diet) and lactobacillus (1 or 2 ml/liter of water) on total albumin, alpha, beta, gamma and total protein in serum in broiler chicken during the experiment. Mean and standard error (P < 0.05). Means with the same letter differ significantly

Protein pa (g/dl)	arameters	Control	DL-methionine (1 g/ kg diet)	lactobacillus (1 ml / liter of wa- ter)	DL-methionine (2 g/ kg diet)	lactobacillus (2 ml / liter of wa- ter)
Albumin	Mean	1.324 ^a	1.487	1.619 ^a	1.4435 ^d	1.737 ^{a.d}
	St. error	0.013	0.1	0.05	0.03	0.06
Alpha (α)	Mean	1.33	0.823	1.488	1.328	1.411
globulin	St. error	0.35	0.77	0.104	0.07	0.08
Beta (β)	Mean	0.897	1.26	1.18	1.057	1.178
globulin	St. error	0.21	0.09	0.07	0.13	0.08
Gamma (γ)	Mean	0.8 ^a	0.995	1.11 ^a	1.044	1.07
globulin	St. error	0.08	0.075	0.079	0.08	0.102
Total pro-	Mean	4.38 ^a	5.03	5.28 ^a	4.87	5.4 ^a
teins	St. error	0.23	0.49	0.12	0.2	0.28

Table 3. The effect of DL-methionine (1 or 2g/Kg diet) and lactobacillus (1 or 2 ml/liter of water) on superoxide dismutase (SOD) and glutathione (GSH) in serum of broiler chicken during the experiment. Mean and standard error (P < 0.05). Means with the same letter differ significantly

Antioxidant pa- rameter		Control	DL-methionine (1 g/ kg diet)	lactobacillus (1 ml / liter of wa- ter)	DL-methionine (2 g/ kg diet)	lactobacillus (2 ml / liter of wa- ter)
SOD (U/ml)	Mean	18.223ª	19.13 ^b	25.58	26.92 ^a	30.07 ^{a,b}
	St. error	0.22	0.4	0.76	0.47	0.41
GSH (mmol/	Mean	3.5 ^a	3.8	3.94	4.59 ^a	4.09
L)	St. error	0.04	0.05	0.14	0.16	0.06

DISCUSSION:

Enhancing health and production efficiency of poultry industry using natural products is now being applied as an alternative for synthetic compounds and antibiotics. Continuous search for a better and more efficient natural supplement must be performed in order to increase poultry production. The aim of the present study was to determine the better feed additive and the dose to use whether it is lactobacillus or DL-methionine to enhance weight and general health of broiler chicken. By focusing on the precise dietary needs and the cautious use of resources, producers can achieve a balance between economic efficiency and environmental stewardship.

DL- methionine is an essential amino acid crucial for protein synthesis and overall growth in broilers. Supplementing broiler diets with higher level of DL-methionine has been shown to enhance growth performance and improve feed conversion ratio by optimizing nutrient utilization and supporting metabolic processes. This finding agrees with Agostini et al. 2016; Rehman et al. 2019 and Rubin et al. 2007.

On the other hand, there was no significant effect in food intake, weight gain or feed conversion in the two groups supplemented with either 1 or 2 ml lactobacillus /liter of water. In line with the present results, **Fajardo et al. 2012** found no effect of probiotic *L. casei* on broiler chickens' growth, feed consumption, and feed conversion ratio. Likewise, **Rehman** et al. 2020 showed no influence of multistrain probiotics (mixture of Lactobacillus plantarum, Enterococcus faecium, Lactobacillus rhamnosus, Candida pintolepesii, Aspergillus oryzae, and Bifidobacterium bifidum) on growth, feed consumption, and feed efficiency of broilers during day 0-35 of the experiment. In addition, Sarangi et al. 2016 reported no influences of dietary inclusion of prebiotic (mannan-oligosaccharides), probiotic (L. plantarum, Lactobacillus bulgaricus, Bifidobacterium bifidus, Streptococcus faecium, and Saccharomyces cerevisiae), and synbiotic (mixture of L. bulgaricus, S. faecium, L. plantarum, S. cerevisiae, B. bifidus, and mannan-oligosaccharides) on final weight, weight gain, feed consumption, and feed conversion of broilers during the rearing for 6 weeks.

Total proteins, albumin and gamma globulins showed significant increase in groups given lactobacillus. Total protein increased levels agrees with the finding of Astuti et al. 2022, this can be attributed to improved protein digestibility and utilization resulting in increased concentration of blood total protein (Mangisah et al. 2021).

The impact of probiotic strains on the immune system is a fascinating area of study, with research indicating a variety of beneficial effects. These microorganisms can influence the host's immune responses by enhancing mucus secretion and antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), which serve as a first line of defense

against pathogens (Anderson et al. 2010; Madsen, 2012).

They also modulate cytokine levels, which are crucial in signaling during immune responses. For instance, the strain *L. brevis* ZLB004 has been shown to reduce proinflammatory cytokines, potentially mitigating inflammatory responses (Liu et al. 2015).

The regular intake of probiotics may boost the immune system by increasing the activity of macrophages, lymphocytes, and natural killer (NK) cells, as well as the production of immunoglobulins and γ -interferon. This can lead to a more robust immune system, better equipped to fend off diseases. Additionally, different strains of Lactobacillus spp. have been found to have specific modulatory effects on the immune systems of poultry, which could have implications for both animal health and the poultry industry (Koenen et al. 2004; Haghighi et al. 2006; Yang et al. 2009; Alkhalf et al. 2010). The research by Ahmed et al. in 2014 underscored the effects of probiotics, showing an increase in immunoglobulin M levels in broilers, while not affecting other immunoglobulins like IgG and IgA. This highlights the potential for targeted immune support through the use of specific probiotic strains. On the other hand, Rocha et al. 2012 reported elevation in IgA and IgG levels in chicken when Lactobacillus spp. was administered at a concentration of 10^{10} CFU/ml.

In poultry production, stress management is a critical aspect that encompasses various factors such as management practices, nutrition, technological advancements, and environmental conditions. Oxidative stress, induced by an overproduction of free radicals, poses a significant challenge at the molecular level. To counteract this, birds have evolved a sophisticated antioxidant defense system. This system includes several layers of protection, with the primary line being antioxidant enzymes. These enzymes, such as superoxide dismutase, glutathione peroxidases, and catalase, play a vital role in neutralizing the superoxide radical - a primary biological radical - and its derivatives. Understanding and enhancing these natural defense mechanisms can lead to better stress management strategies in poultry farming, ensuring the health and productivity of the birds (Martinez et al. 2017; Surai et al. 2019).

The antioxidant defense system in commercial poultry production requires external assistance, such as dietary supplementation of traditional antioxidants such as vitamin E or other nutrients with regulatory functions in the antioxidant defenses. Met participation in the synthesis of glutathione have reported the high -level glutathione in heat-stressed broilers with methionine supplementation (Santana et al. 2021; Zeitz et al. 2020; Elnesr et al. 2019).

Studies have indicated that methionine levels can profoundly affect SOD activity in broilers, with insufficient methionine reducing SOD activity (**Wu et al. 2012**), while higher levels enhance it across various tissues (**Chen et al. 2013**). This balance is crucial as SOD plays a vital role in protecting cells from oxidative damage. These findings underscore the importance of Met in maintaining the delicate equilibrium of the body's antioxidant defenses and immune function, highlighting its potential in improving animal health and resilience against stress-related conditions (**Martinez et al. 2017; Lugata et al. 2022**).

CONCLUSION:

he present study showed that supplementation of DL-methionine (specifically the higher dose 2 g/ Kg feed) in broiler chicken showed significant decrease in feed conversion (higher weight gain with lower feed intake) than those given normal diet or lactobacillus supplementation. On the other hand, total proteins and albumin both showed significant increase in both groups that consumed 1 and 2 ml lactobacillus /liter of water. Gamma globulin showed significant increase in the group that was supplied with 1 ml lactobacillus/liter of water. Superoxide dismutase showed significant increase in groups given DL-methionine with dose 2 g/kg diet and lactobacillus with dose 2ml /liter of water compared to control group. Glutathione showed significant elevation in the group given DLmethionine with dose 2 g/kg diet.

In other words, methionine is better in en-

hancing feed conversion rate while lactobacillus is better in improving health status and decreasing animal stress.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

It is recommended –based on the present study- to use methionine to get better meat yield from broiler chicken, and to use lactobacillus to improve their health status.

REFERENCES:

- Agostini P, Dalibard P, Mercier Y, Van der Aar P, Van der Klis J. 2016. Comparison of methionine sources around requirement levels using a methionine efficacy method in 0 to 28 day old broilers. Poult. Sci., (95): 560–569.
- Ahmed ST, Mun HS, Islam MM, Kim SS, Hwang JA, Kim YJ, Yang CJ. 2014. Effects of Citrus junos by-products fermented with multistrain probiotics on growth performance, immunity, caecal microbiology and meat oxidative stability in broilers. Br. Poult. Sci., 55(4):540-547.
- Alkhalf A, Alhaj M, Al-Homidan I. 2010. Influence of probiotic supplementation on immune response of broiler chicks. Egy. Poult. Sci., (30):271–280.
- Anderson RC, Cookson AL, McNabb WC, Kelly WJ, Roy NC. 2010. *Lactobacillus plantarum* DSM 2648 is a potential probiotic that enhances intestinal barrier function. FEMS Microbiol. Lett., 309(2):184-192.
- Astuti FD, Sugiharto S, Yudiarti T, Widiastuti E Wahyuni H, Ayaşan T. 2022. Growth performance, blood variables, intestinal bacterial content, and morphological measurements of broilers supplemented with *Lactobacillus casei*-fermented mixture of red rice and aromatic ginger. Vet. World, 15(4):818-826.
- Beutler E, Duron O, Kelly MB. 1963. Improved method for the determination of blood glutathione. J. Lab Clin. Med. (61): 882-888.
- Burley HK, Anderson KE, Patterson PH, Tillman PB. 2016 a. Formulation challenges of organic poultry diets with readily avail-

able ingredients and limited synthetic methionine. J. Appl. Poult. Res., (25): 443– 454.

- Burley HK, Patterson PH, Anderson KE. 2016 b. Alternative feeding strategies and genetics for providing adequate methionine in organic poultry diets with limited use of synthetic amino acids. World's Poult. Sci. J. 2016, (72): 168–177.
- Chen YP, Chen X, Zhang H, Zhou YM. 2013. Effects of Dietary Concentrations of Methionine on Growth Performance and Oxidative Status of Broiler Chickens with Different Hatching Weight. Br. Poult. Sci. (54): 531–537.
- National Research Council (NRC): Nutrient Requirements of Poultry - Ninth Revised Edition; National Academy Press: Washington, DC, USA, 1994.
- Davies D, Goulden L, Follet B, Brown N. 1976. Testosterone feedback on luteinizing hormone secretion during a photoperiodically induced breeding cycle in Japanesse quail. General Comparative Endocrinology, (30): 477- 486.
- Davis B. 1964. Disk electrophoresis. II Method and application to human serum protein. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. (121): 404-427. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1964. tb14213.x.
- Elnesr SS, Elwan HAM Xu, QQ, Xie C, Dong XY, Zou XT. 2019. Effects of in Ovo Injection of Sulfur-Containing Amino Acids on Heat Shock Protein 70, Corticosterone Hormone, Antioxidant Indices, and Lipid Profile of Newly Hatched Broiler Chicks Exposed to Heat Stress during Incubation. Poult. Sci., (98): 2290–2298.
- Fajardo P, Pastrana L, Méndez J, Rodríguez I, Fuciños C, Guerra NP. 2012. Effects of feeding of two potentially probiotic preparations from lactic acid bacteria on the performance and faecal microflora of broiler chickens. Sci. World J., (2012):562635.
- Haghighi HR, Gong J, Gyles CL, Hayes MA, Zhou H, Sanei B, Chambers JR, Sharif S. 2006. Probiotics stimulate production of natural antibodies in chickens. Clin. Vac-

cine Immunol., 13(9):975–980.

- Hargis B, Tellez G, Latorre JD, Wolfenden R.
 2018. Compositions, Probiotic Formulations and Methods to Promote Digestion and Improve Nutrition in Poultry. 10, 959, 447. U.S. Patent.
- Jha R, Das R, Sophia O, Mishra P. 2020. Probiotics (Direct-Fed Microbials) in Poultry Nutrition and Their Effects on Nutrient Utilization, Growth and Laying Performance, and Gut Health: A Systematic Review. Animals (Basel), 10(10): 1863.
- Jiang T, Li, HS, Han GG, Singh B, Kang SK, Bok JD, Kim DD, Hong ZS, Choi YJ, Cho CS. 2017. Oral delivery of probiotics in poultry using pH-sensitive tablets. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, (27):739-746.
- Kaplan A, Szalbo J. 1983. Clinical chemistry: Interpretation and techniques, 2 nd ed. Kaplan, A; Szabo, J. editors, p. 157
- Koenen ME, Kramer J, Van Der Hulst R, Heres L, Jeurissen SHM, Boersma W, JE. 2004. Immunomodulation by probiotic lactobacilli in layer- and meat-type chickens. Br. Poult. Sci., (45):355–366.
- Liu H, Ji, HF, Zhang DY, Wang SX, Wang J, Shan DC, Wang YM. 2015. Effects of *Lactobacillus brevis* preparation on growth performance, fecal microflora and serum profile in weaned pigs. Livestock Science, (178): 251-254.
- Lugata JK, Ortega AD, Szabó C. 2022. The Role of Methionine Supplementation on Oxidative Stress and Antioxidant Status of Poultry-A Review. Agriculture, 12(10): 1701.
- Madsen KL. 2012. Enhancement of Epithelial Barrier Function by Probiotics. J Epithel. Biol. Pharmacol., (5): 55-59.
- Majdeddin M, Golian A, Kermanshahi H, Michiels J, De Smet S. 2019. Effects of methionine and guanidinoacetic acid supplementation on performance and energy metabolites in breast muscle of male broiler chickens fed corn-soybean diets. Br. Poult. Sci., (60):554–563.
- Mangisah I, Yunianto VD, Sumarsih S, Sugiharto S. 2021. Supplementation of garlic

powder and *Lactobacillus casei* to improve nutrient digestibility, physiological conditions, and performance of broiler during starter phase. J. Indones. Trop. Anim. Agric., 46(4):336-346.

- Martinez Y, Li, X, Liu, G, Bin P, Yan W, Más D, Valdivié M, Hu, CAA, Ren W, Yin Y. 2017. The Role of Methionine on Metabolism, Oxidative Stress, and Diseases. Amino Acids (49): 2091-2098.
- Nishikimi MM, Roa NA, Yogi K. 1972. The occurrence of superoxide anion in the reaction of reduced phenazine methosulfate and molecular oxygen. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 46(2): 849-854.
- Park YH, Hamidon F, Rajangan C, Soh KP, Gan CY, Lim TS, Abdullah WNW, Liong MT. 2016. Application of Probiotics for the Production of Safe and High-quality Poultry Meat. Food Sci. Anim. Resour., (36): 567-576.
- Pokoo-Aikins A, Jennifer RT, Min BR, Lee WR Mwangi SN, Chen C. 2021. Effects of Feeding Varying Levels of DL-Methionine on Live Performance and Yield of Broiler Chickens. Animals, (11): 2839.
- Rehman AU, Arif M, Husnain MM, Alagawany M, El-Hack A, Mohamed E, Taha AE, Elnesr SS, Abdel-Latif MA, Othman SI. 2019. Growth performance of broilers as influenced by different levels and sources of methionine plus cysteine. Animals (9): 1056.
- Rehman A, Arif M, Sajjad N, Al-Ghadi MQ, Alagawany M, Abd El-Hack ME, Alhimaidi AR, Elnesr SS, Almutairi BO, Amran RA, Hussein EOS, Swelum AA. 2020. Dietary effect of probiotics and prebiotics on broiler performance, carcass, and immunity. Poult Sci., 99(12):6946-6953.
- Rocha TS, Baptista AAS, Donato TC, Milbradt EL Okamoto AS, Rodrigues JCZ, Coppola, MP, Filho RLA. 2012. Evaluation of in vitro and in vivo adhesion and immunomodulatory effect of *Lactobacillus* species strains isolated from chickens. Poultry

Reham et al.,

Science, (91): 362–369.

- Rubin LL, Canal CW, Ribeiro A, Kessler A, Silva I, Trevizan L, Viola T, Raber M, Gonçalves TA, Krás R. 2007. Effects of methionine and arginine dietary levels on the immunity of broiler chickens submitted to immunological stimuli. Braz. J. Poult. Sci., (9): 241–247.
- Santana TP, Gasparino E, de Sousa FCB, Khatlab AS, Zancanela V, Brito CO, Barbosa, LT, Fernandes RPM, Del Vesco AP. 2021. Effects of Free and Dipeptide Forms of Methionine Supplementation on Oxidative Metabolism of Broilers under High Temperature. Animal, (15): 100173.
- Sarangi NR, Babu LK, Kumar A, Pradhan CR, Pati PK, Mishra JP. 2016. Effect of dietary supplementation of prebiotic, probiotic, and synbiotic on growth performance and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens. Vet. World, 9(3):313–319.
- Surai PF, Kochish II, Fisinin VI, Kidd MT. 2019. Antioxidant Defence Systems and Oxidative Stress in Poultry Biology: An Update. Antioxidants, (8): 235.
- Wu B, Cui H, Peng X, Fang J, Cui W, Liu X. 2012. Pathology of Spleen in Chickens Fed on a Diet Deficient in Methionine. Health (4): 32–38.
- Yang Y, Iji PA, Choct M. 2009. Dietary modulation of gut microflora in broiler chickens: a review of the role of six kinds of alternatives to in-feed antibiotics. World's Poult. Sci. J., (65):97–114.
- Zeitz JO, Fleischmann A, Ehbrecht T, Most E, Friedrichs S, Whelan R, Gessner DK, Failing K, Lütjohann D, Eder K. 2020 Effects of Supplementation of DL-Methionine on Tissue and Plasma Antioxidant Status during Heat-Induced Oxidative Stress in Broilers. Poult. Sci., (99): 6837–6847.