## Journal of Agricultural Chemistry and Biotechnology

Journal homepage & Available online at: www.jacb.journals.ekb.eg

## Suppression of Seeds Mycoflora Involved on Cotton Seedling Damping-Off Disease by Chitinolytic Actinomycetes

## Ashour, A. Z. A.<sup>1</sup> and Aida H. Afify<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Plant Pathology Research Institute, ARC, Giza, Egypt <sup>2</sup>Dept. of Microbiology, Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ., Egypt

## ABSTRACT



Actinobacteria as biological pesticides are considered an economical and safe method, especially for improving plant growth. In this study, five actinobacterial isolates were obtained from farming soil samples and evaluated for their biocontrol capacity against five or more fungal isolates that cause seedling damping-off disease in cotton. From non-sterilized cotton seeds, the frequency (%) of isolated fungi was as follows: Rhizoctonia solani (25.12%), Fusarium spp. (24.82%), and Macrophomina phaseolina (22.12%) were the most dominant fungi. Other fungi were found at lower frequencies, including Penicillium sp. (5.44%) and Aspergillus sp. (5.12%). When Koch's postulates were applied, the fungal isolates caused the same symptoms of pre- and post-emergence damping-off, as well as reduced seedling survival. R. solani, Fusarium spp., and M. phaseolina exhibited high virulence in cotton seedlings, while Penicillium sp. and Aspergillus sp. were considered moderately virulent. Several morphologically distinct actinomycete isolates were screened for chitinase production. The actinomycete isolate that produced the highest chitinase activity, indicated by the formation of a clear zone, was identified. This isolate was found to match Streptomyces gelaticus with 99.73% similarity. Antagonistic tests showed that this Streptomyces gelaticus strain inhibited all fungal pathogens. The highest inhibition zones were observed against Rhizoctonia solani, Fusarium spp., Penicillium sp., and Aspergillus sp., while Macrophomina phaseolina exhibited the lowest inhibition. In conclusion, actinomycetes, particularly Streptomyces spp., hold great potential as biocontrol agents for sustainable agriculture and the management of plant diseases.

Keywords: Actinomycetes, Chitinolytic, Seed-borne fungi

## INTRODUCTION

Seeds containing fungi consist of both field and storage fungi. Field fungi typically invade cotton seeds before harvest, while storage fungi grow on seeds during storage (Amer 1986). Under Egyptian conditions, there are several fungi with seeds of cotton contained such as spp. of Aspergillus, Fusarium, Penicillum and others as well as Rhizoctonia solani (Amer 1986). The main of these organisms include fungi contributing to the seedling disease complex of plant as Gossypium spp. (Davis et al. 1981). Disease symptoms include seedling root rot and pre- and postemergence damping-off, which individually or combination lead to stand reduction and decreased seedling vigor, ultimately delaying growth and maturity (Bastson and Trevathan 1988). Non-sterilized seeds of cotton were contains seed-borne fungi. The observed fungi were Aspergillus spp., Penicillium spp., Alternaria spp., Fusarium spp. and Rhizopus spp. (Abd-Elsalam et al. 2023) and others fungi were unknown.

Soil microorganisms include a large group of Actinobacteria. These prokaryotes are known for producing secondary metabolites and actinomicrobial compounds that contribute to the biological control of plant pathogens and promote plant growth as plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR).(Franco-Correa *et al.* 2010 & Afify and Ashour 2024). Plant protection has been reviewed by Krishnaraj *et al.* (2014) & Ashour and Afify (1999) who reported that the important role of Actinomycetes. The antagonistic

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: aidaafify@yahoo.com DOI: 10.21608/jacb.2025.354646.1101 compounds are antifungal, antibacterial compounds and extra cellular enzymes (Afify 2024). These compounds are considerd as activity of Streptomyces (Srividhya et al. 2012). Also, Silva et al. (2022) reported that Actinomycetes are important microbial communities in the soils with increasing agricultural applications, especially in the biological control of insect-pest and plant disease. To develope plant growth Actinonycetes are producing antifungal as biocontrol agents against fungal pathogens. The main group of Actinobacteria are Streptomycetes, they are found in the soil microorganisms in the rhizosphere of plants with soil microflora such as bacteria and fungi. (Ashour and Afify 1999 & Chakraborty et al. 2023). Many antifungal compounds have been produced by the genus Streptomyces, which is considered a promoter of plant growth and a suppressor of plant diseases. (Afify and Ashour 2018 & Jose et al. 2019). Chitinase and others lytic enzymes have been produced by all potential actinomycetes isolates (Budi et al. 2022). These Actinomycetes are known as biocontrol agents directly and indirectly (Chakraborty 2019 & Afify and Ashour 2024).

Cross Mark

Herein, we report an investigation in which pathogenic fungal isolates were isolated and re-isolated to apply Koch's postulates and identify these fungi in the seeds of an Egyptian cotton cultivar to evaluate their effects on cotton seedling damping-off disease. Additionally, this study focused on isolating actinomycetes from soil capable of producing chitinase. The selected isolate was identified and tested for its ability to suppress the growth of cotton seedassociated fungal isolates.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Isolation of phytopathogenic fungi

Naturally infected plants seedlings showing dampingoff symptoms were collected from samples of cotton seedlings (cultivar Giza 86) with typical damping-off symptoms and the samples were collected from different regions at Kafr El-sheikh Governorate. For isolation plate containing PDA medium were prepared to place small pieces of pathogens from infected roots, after that incubated at 20°C for only week (Sneh et al. 1991). For isolation and purification were used hyphal tip and single spore techniques. By using binocular microscope or light microscope for examination each colony. Identification was done according to Booth (1971) & Domsch et al. (1980) and some isolates were identified to species level verified by Mycological Centre, Assiut University (Omar et al. 2015). Frequency as the percentage of seedlings for each fungus isolates were recorded. The isolates were cultured and maintained on PDA slants for further studies.

#### Pathogenicity test

Pure fungal isolates were tested for their pathogenicity on pots (20cm) trails filled with clay soil (pH7.5 clay 62.1 %, E.C. 1.4 mmhos/cm) with four replications. Before sowing soil infestation adding as 10 ml of each fungal culture conaining  $5 \times 10^{6}$ /ml to 1kg of soil and mixed thoroughly. Seeds of the tested cultivar (Giza 86) were sown at the rate of 10 seeds /pot. Uninoculated soil was served as control. Damping-off disease was observed and calaulated as pre- and post- emergence after 15 and 45 days, respectively.

From diseased cotton seedlings, the pathogenic fungal isolates were re-isolated to meet koch postulates.

## Assay seedlings disease variables of cotton

According to Klich (1986) soil was infested individually with each of the tested fungi. Ten non sterilized seeds for each pot were planted on glasshouse and recorded the following parameters:

#### 1- Survival%

2- Pre and post-emergence damping-off

### **Isolation of Actinomycetes**

Actinomycetes colonies were isolated from farming soils samples described by Oskay *et al.* (2004). Colonies of Actinomycetes were cultured on Starch Nitrate agar (ISP2) and Yeast-Malt Extract agar (YEME) plate (Shirling and Gottlieb 1996) and incubated at 28-30°C for week. After incubation period the colonies of Actinomycates are characterized on culture medium as sharp round edges are maintaned on slants for used.

#### **Chitinase production**

Chitinase production by isolates of actinomycetes were determined using media supplemented with 0.1% colloidal chitin (Vyas and Deshpande 1989). Each plate was inoculated with a old isolates of actinomycetes on a Yeast-Malt Extract (YEME), after incubation time clear zone were seen and measured in (mm) around the colonies as an indicator of chitinase production (Duncan *et al.* 2006).

### Identification of chitinolytic actinomycete isolate

Determination of the spore-bearing hyphae and spore chains morphology were done by cover slip culture technique (Kawato and Shinobu 1959) or by direct microscopically examination to the surface of the culture on the growth plates.

# Detection of antagonists between actinomycete isolate and pathogenic fungal isolates

Actinomycete isolate shown to be potent antagonists test to some isolated pathogenic fungi *in vitro* using Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) medium. The medium were inoculated in Petri dishes containing (10 ml) with 1 disks (7-mm-diam.) of each fungal pathogens as old culture which placed at the periphery of the plate. The chitinlytic bacterial was streaked at the center of each plate by a loop of bacterial culture broth. Antagonistic effect detected by observation inhibation zone was done. Petri dishes inoculated with all tested fungal pathogens were served as control.

#### Statistical analysis of data

ANOVA were used to analyse the obtained data and to evaluate significant differences between the treatments. The differences of P=0.05 were considered as significant. Data from results were obtained to analyze according to Gomez and Gomez (1984).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Fungi isolated

Pathogenic fungi were isolated and identified by team work of Plant Pathol. Lab., ARC, Giza, Egypt, during working in the Department programs. The mean percentage of pathogenic fungi isolated (Table1) showed that *Rhizoctonia solani* (25.12%), *Fusarium* spp. (24.82%), *Macrophomina phaseolina* (22.12%) were the most dominant fungi and other fungi few occurred at frequencies as *Penicillium* sp. (5.44%), *Aspergillus* sp. (5.12%) isolated from the non sterilized cotton seeds.

A major seedling problem in cotton is damping-off disease caused by *R. solani* (Lifshitz *et al.* 1984). Under favorable conditions, *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Fusarium* spp. attack the plant at seedling stage causing great economic losses (Nyvall 1981). *Fusarium* species are one from several important pathogens in the aetiology of cotton damping-off in Egypt. Genus Fusarium and others fungi are recorded as a causers of disease in soil borne fungi (Abd-ELSalam *et al.* 2006). Phytopathogenic fungi infections, such as those caused by *Rhizoctonia solani*, result in significant yield losses in several economically important crops (Ashour and Afify 2024b).

Table 1. Frequencies (%) of isolated pathogenic fungi from cotton seeds of cultivar Giza 86

in one conton secus of cultivar Giza oo		
Fungi	Frequencies (%) of fungi isolated	
Rhizoctonia solani	25.12	
Fusarium spp.	24.82	
Macrophomina phaseolina	22.12	
Penicillium sp.	5.44	
Aspergillus sp.	5.12	
Unknown of fungal genera	17.38	

#### Pathogenicity tests

Fungal isolates were tested for their pathogenic capability against cotton cv. Giza 86. Data presented in Table (2) indicate that all tested fungal isolates were able to cause symptoms of pre-emergence and post-emergence damping-off of cotton seedlings. Data also indicate that *R.solani*, *Fusarium* spp. and *M. phaseolina* showed high virulance to cotton seedlings, while isolates *Penicillium* sp. and *Aspergillus* sp. were consider as middle virulent.

Moreover, the tested fungal isolates varied regarding their virulence. Variation in virulence of the pathogenic isolates on cotton plants may be due to the presence of differences among the fungal isolates. These results are also in harmony with the results of William and Asher (1996).

Table 2. Pathogenicity test of fungal isolates pathogens on cotton cv. Giza 86

Fungal	Damping-off (%)		Healthy
isolates	Pre-emergence	Post-emergence	survivals
Rhizoctonia solani	60	20	20
Fusarium spp.	40	29	31
Macrophomina phaseolina	41	24	35
Penicillium sp.	24	16	60
Aspergillus sp.	22	10	68
Control	0	0	100
L.S.D. 5%	5.22	4.26	6.15

#### **Isolation of Actinomycetes**

Five morphologically Actinomycetes were isolated from farming soil. The most highest isolate of Actinomycetes which produced chitinase showed by clear zone formed was chosen. When five Actinomycetes isolates compared according to calculate by the mean values of clear zone diameter (mm) for each isolate. A2 isolate was ranked as the most high chitinolytic actinomycete producer (Table 3) and was taken for identification.

Table 3. Screening of Actinomycetes isolates for chitinase enzyme production

Isolates code	colony diameter (mm)	clear zone diameter (mm)
A1	11.05	25.13
A2	11.93	26.76
A3	8.22	13.80
A4	6.30	11.33
A5	5.00	9.16

# Taxonomic of the most potent actinomycete isolate (A2) producing-chitinase

The cultural, morphological and biochemical characters of actinomycete isolate (A2) were presented in Table (4). Actinomycete isolate (A2) has aerial mycelium with spore chains. They grow as fungi with hyphae and characterisitically by " earthy odor of soil (Sprusansky *et al.* 2005). Colony of actinomycetes contain two types of mycelia, each mycelium have especial role. For growth, substrate mycelium (vegetative) plays a role in absorbing nutrients (Hastuti 2014). Liu *et al.* (2016 recorded that the genus *Streptomyces* has long chains of spores and commonly referred to as polyspores form chains.

 Table 4. Morphological characteristics of actinmycete isolate (A2)

Parameters	Actinomycete isolate (A2)	
Aerial mycelium	+	
Spore chain	+	
Gram stain	+	
Growth of colony and mycelium on Yeast-Malt Extract medium:		
Colony color	Brown	
Pigment production to media	-	
Under colony	Brown	
Growth of colony and mycelium on Starch Nitrate agar medium:		
Colony color	Grey	
Pigment production to media	Yellow	
Under colony	Yellow	
Note:		

+ Presence of parameter ; - absence of parameter

In addition, aerial mycelium was covered *Streptomyces* colonies. Colony of actinomycete isolate A2 was produced pigments. These pigments were grey and yellow on ISP 2 and YSA media. *Streptomyces* grow as filamentous mycelia in the soil. The spores germinate into a new mycelium as genus Streptomyces. Genus Streptomyces belongs to family Streptomycetaceae (Koepff *et al.* 2018). Actinomycetes (Actinobacteria) are Gram-positive bacteria that grow in a variety of environments and have a filamentous shape similar to fungi. The morphologically of actinomycetes distinguish by forming a layer of hyphae that carry chains of spores in aerial mycelium (Alenazi *et al.* 2023).

#### Molecular identification of selected actinomycetes

The GenBank database indicated that actinomycete isolate have similarities with *Streptomyces gelaticus* (99.73%), based by Osman *et al.* (2020). This strain known as a biocontrol agent in suppressing the growth of many pathogenic fungi in plants.

# Growth inhibition of fungal pathogens isolates by chitinolytic actinomycete isolate A2

Isolate of actinomycete (A2) tested by antagonism technique showed inhibition of all fungal pathogens isolated. The inhibition zone recorded with Rhizoctonia solani, Fusarium spp., Macrophomina phaseolina, Penicillium sp. and Aspergillus sp. The highest inhibition zone was shown with fungal pathogens Rhizoctonia solani, Fusarium spp., Penicillium sp. and Aspergillus sp. While the lowest inhibition was Macrophomina phaseolina (Table 5). Bacteria as Actinomycetes group are characterized as biocontrol agents against several soil-borne pathogens because they are able to suppress the growth of pathogens by produce bioactive compounds (Adegboye and Babalola 2012). In the antagonistic test reported by Lim et al. (2018), many species from genus Streptomyces produced antifungal materials that played an important role to inhibit the growth of phytopathogens. Actinomycetes are able to inhibit the growth of several soil-borne pathogens such as F. oxysporum, R. solani and A. flvus (Aouar et al. 2020). Also, Actinomycetes are important microbial communities in the soils according to Silva et al. (2022) who reported that for increasing agricultural applications, especially in the biological control of insect-pest and plant disease. The bacterial isolates exhibited multiple antifungal substances for example hydrolytic enzyme chitinase (Ashour and Afify 2024a)

Table 5.	Rate of growth inhibition fungal pathogens	
	isolates by actinomycete isolate A2	

Fungal	Rate of growth inhibition
isolates	by actinomycete isolate A2
Rhizoctonia solani	++
Fusarium spp.	++
Macrophomina phaseolina	+
Penicillium sp.	++
Aspergillus sp.	++
Control (only fungus)	Full growth
Control (only actinomycete isolate A2)	Normal growth
<b>T</b>	

Note:

++, Inhibition of pathogen by over actinomycete isolate A2 +, Inhibition of pathogen

#### CONCLUSION

Five fungal isolates involved on cotton seedling damping-off disease. Isolated fungi from seeds of cotton were *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Fusarium* spp., *Macrophomina* 

### Ashour, A. Z. A. and Aida H. Afify

*phaseolina* were the most dominant fungi and *Penicillium* sp., *Aspergillus* sp. were a few occurred. When application koch postulates, fungal isolates recorded symptoms of preand post-emergence damping-off as well as seedling survival of cotton seedlings. Therefore, we reported that the most highest chitinolytic actinomycete as *St. gelaticus* A2 and the experiment could be considered to use as a biocontrol agent for fungal pathogens isolated.

### REFERENCES

- Abd-Elsalam, K.A.; Habeeb, Marian M. and Asran, Amal A. (2023). Effects of cultivar, storage period and seed-borne fungi on aflatoxin content of cotton seeds. Summa Phytopatholologica, 49: 1-16.
- Abd-ELSalam, K.A.; Asran, Amal A.; Omar, R.M. and Aly, A.A. (2006). Frequency and diversity of *Fusarium* spp. colonizing roots of Egyptian cotton. Arch. Phytopathol. And Plant Prot., 39(3): 165-177.
- Adegboye, F.M. and Babalola, O.O. (2012). Taxonomy and ecology of antibiotic producing Aktinomiset. Afr. J. Agric. Res., 7(15): 2255-2261. DOI: 10.5897/AJARX11.071.
- Afify, Aida H. (2024). Antagonistic properties of some bacterial strains isolated from sources of drinking water against fungal pathogen *Rhizoctonia solani*. J. Agric. Chem. and Biotechn., Mansoura Univ. 15(11): 125-129.
- Afify, Aida H. and Ashour, A.Z.A. (2024). Controlling damping-off disease on cotton seedlings caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Fusarium oxysporum* via Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR). J. Agric. Chem. and Biotechn., Mansoura Univ. 15(10): 119-123.
- Afify, Aida H. and Ashour, A.Z.A. (2018). Use of cyanobacteria for controlling flax seedling blight. J. Agric. Chem. and Biotechn., Mansoura Univ. 9(11): 259-261.
- Alenazi, Abeer M.; Anwar, Y.; Abo-Aba, S.E.M. and Bataweel, N.M. (2023). Areview on Actinomycetes distribution, isolation and their medical applications. Noval Res. In Microbiol. J. 7(2):1918-1931. DOI:10.21608/NRMJ.2023.294180
- Amer, M.A.A. (1986). Studies on cotton-seed infection by fungi. M.Sc. Thesis, Helwan Univ., 127 p.
- Aouar, L.; Boukelloul, I. and Benadjila, A. (2020). Identification of antagonistic *Strptomyces* strains isolated from Algerian Saharan soils and their plant growth promoting properties. Biodiversitas 21(12): 5672-5683. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d211212.
- Ashour, A.Z.A. and Afify, Aida H. (1999). Biological control of cotton seedling disease by rhizobacteria.
  2nd Int. Conf. of Pest Control, Mansoura, Egypt. Sept., 357-370.
- Ashour, A.Z.A. and Afify, Aida H. (2024a). Antifungal activity of *Bacillus* species against damping-off fungi. J. Agric. Chem. and Biotechn., Mansoura Univ. 15(3): 53-57.
- Ashour, A.Z.A. and Afify, Aida H. (2024b). Effectiveness of antagonistic bacterial isolates for control *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn on flax and cotton. J. Agric. Chem. and Biotechn., Mansoura Univ. 15(12): 131-135.

- Bastson, W.E. and Trevathan, L.E. (1988). Suitability and effcacy of ground comcobs as a carrier of *Fusarium solani* spores. Plant Dis. 72: 222-225.
- Booth, C. (1971). Introduction to general methods. Methods in Microbiol., 4, (Ed C. Booth) Academic Press, London and New York, pp. 1-47.
- Budi, M.B.S.; Anto, G. and Tondok, E.T. (2022). Isolation of actinomycetes from peatland to suppress the growth of *Ganoderma boninense* the causal agent of basal stem rot disease in oil palm. Biodiversitas, 23(11): 5914-5922. DOI:10.13057/biodiv/d231145
- Chakraborty, A.; Ray, P.; Chakraborty, U. and Chakraborty, B. (2023). Actinomycetes as growth promoters and bioprotectors for plant health improvement. J. Mycopathol. Res. 61(2): 157-170.
- Chakraborty, B.N. (2019). Harnessing benificial microbial resources, analysis of their diversity and development of bioformulations for crop improvement. J. Mycopath. Res. 57: 1-11.
- Davis, R.G.; Bird, L.S.; Chambers, A.Y.; Garber, R.H.; Howell, C.R.; Minton, E.B.; Steme, R. and Johnson, L.F. (1981). Seedling disease complex. In: Compendium of cotton diseased. By G.M. Wakins, pp. 13-19. Amer. Phytopath. Soc., St. Paul, USA.
- Domsch, K.H.; Gams, W. and Traute-Heidi, A. (1980). Compendium of Soil Fungi. Academic Press. A Subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers, London. 859pp.
- Duncan, S.M.; Farrell, R.L.; Thwaites, J.M.; Held, B.W.; Arenz, B.E.; Jurgens, J.A. and Blanchette, R.A. *et al.* (2006). Endoglucanase-producing fungi isolated from Cape Evans historic expedition hut on Ross Island, Antarctica. Environmental Microbiol., 8(7): 1212-1219. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920. 20 06 .01013.x
- Franco-Correa, M.; Quintana, A.; Duque, C.; Suarez, C.; Rodriguez, M.X. and Barea, J.M. (2010). Evaluation of actinomycetes strains for key traits related with plant growth promotion and mycorrhiza helping activities. Appl. Soil Ecol., 45: 209-217.
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York, U.S.A. 680pp.
- Hastuti, U.S.; Yakub, P. and Khasanah, N. (2014). Biodiversity of indigenous amylolytic and cellulytic bacteria in sago waste product at Susupu, North Moluccas. J. Life Sci. 8(1): 920-924. DOI: 10.17265/1934-7391/2014.11.010.
- Jose, P.A.; Sivakala, K.K. and Jha, B. (2019). Non-Streptomyces actinomycetes and natural products: Recent updates. Studies Natu. Prod. Chem. 61: 395-409. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-64183-0.0 00 11-7
- Kawato, M. and Shinobu, R. (1959). On *Streptomyces herbaricolor* nov. sp. Supplement: A Simple Technique for the Microscopic Observation. Mem Osaka Univ. Lib. Arts Educ. B, 8: 114-119.
- Klich, M.A. (1986). Mycoflora of cotton seed from the Southem United States: Athree year study of distribution and frequency. Mycologia 78: 706-712.

#### J. of Agricultural Chemistry and Biotechnology, Mansoura Univ., Vol. 16 (2): February, 2025

- Koepff, J.; Sachs, C.C.; Wiechert, W.; Kohlheyer, D.; Noh, K.; Oldiges, M. and Grunberger, A. (2018). Germination and growth analysis of *Streptomyces lividans* at the single-cell level under varying medium compositions. Front Microbiol., 9: 2680. DOI:10.3389/fmicb.2018.02680.
- Krishnaraj, M.; Prabavathy, V.R. and Mathvanan, N. (2014). Actinomycetes: Diversity, distribution, economic importance and their role in plant protection. In: Review of plant pathology (Eds B.N. Chakraborty and U. Chakraborty) Vol. 6 Scientific Publishers (India), Jodhpur, pp 269-297.
- Lifshitz, R.; Snch, B. and Baker, R. (1984). Soil suppression to a plant pathogenic *Pythium* species. Phytopathol., 74: 1054-1061.
- Lim, P.; Gangsau, J.A. and Chong, K.P. (2018). Streptomyces spp. a potential biocontrol agents against Ganoderma boninense of basal stem rot. J. Oil Palm Res., 30(2): 265-275. DOI: 10.21894/jopr.2018.0024.
- Liu, Q.; Chen, X.; Jiang, Y. and Jiang, C. (2016). Morphological identification of Actinobacteria. Intech. DOI: 10.5772/61461
- Nyvall, R.F. (1981). "Field Crop Diseases Handbook" Avi Publishing Company ,INC.Connectict.pp:436.
- Omar, M.R.; Abd-El Ghnay, R.E.A.; Khlil, M.E. and Aabbas, Entsar E. (2015). Suppression of *Fusarium* solani in volved in cotton seeding damping-off by *Trichoderma* spp. J. Agric. Chem. and Biotechn., Mansoura Univ. 6(2): 41-52.
- Oskay, A.M.; Üsame, T. and Cem, A. (2004). Antibacterial activity of some actinomycetes isolated from farming soils of Turkey. African J. of Biotechnol., 3(9): 441-446.

- Osman, M.E.; Elnasr, A.A.A.; El all, W.S.M.A. and Mohamed, E.T. (2020). *In vitro* studies on biocontrol of *Alternaria solani* and *Botrytis fabae*. Res. J. Biol. Chem. Sci., 11(2): 93-107.
- Shirling, E.B. and Gottlieb, D. (1996). Methods for characterization of *Streptomyces* species 1. Internat. J. of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiol., 16(3): 313-340. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-16-3-313
- Silva, G.C.; Kitano, I.T.; Ribeiro, I.A.F. and Lacava, P.T. (2022). The potential use of Actinomycetes as microbial inoculants and biopesticides in agriculture. Frontiers in Soil Sci., 2: 1-20.
- Sneh, B.;Burpee, L. and Ogoshi, A. (1991). Identification of *Rhizoctonia* species. APS press.
- Sprusansky, O.; Stirrett, K.; Skinner, D.; Denoya, C. and Westpheling, J. (2005). The bkdR gene of *Streptomces coelicolor* is required for morphogenesis and antibiotic production and encodes a transcriptional regulator of a branched-chain amino acid dehydrogenase complex. J. Bacteriol. 187: 664-671.
- Srividhya, S.; Thapa, A.; Bhat, D.; Golmel, K. and Dey, N. (2012). *Streptomyces* sp. 9p as effective biocontrol against chilli soil borne fungal phytopathogens. European J. of Experimental Biol., 2: 163-173.
- Vyas, P. and Deshpande, M.V. (1989). Chitinase production by *myrothecium verrucaria* and its significance for fungal mycelia degradation. The J. of Gen. and Appl. Microbiol., 35(5): 343-350. https://doi.org/10.2323/jgam.35.343
- William, G.E. and Asher, M.J.C. (1996). Selection of rhizobacteria for the control of *Pythium ultimum* and *Aphanomyces cochlioides* on sugar beet seedlings. Crop Production. Crop Protect., 15: 479-486.

## تثبيط الفطريات الموجودة على البذور المسببة لمرض موت بادرات القطن بواسطة الأكتينو ميستات المحللة للكيتين

## عبد الودود زكى عبدالله عاشور و عايده حافظ عفيفي ٢

لمعهد امر اض النباتات- مركز البحوث الزر اعية- الجيزه- مصر لقسم الميكروبيولوجيا الزراعية- كلية الزر اعة- جامعة المنصور ه- المنصور ه- مصر

#### الملخص

تستخدم الأكتينوميستات كطرق إقتصادية و آمنه فى المقاومة الحيوية وتحسين نمو النبات. لهذا فقد تم الحصول على خمسة من عز لات الأكتينوميستات النقية من الأراضى الزراعية وذلك لتقييم قدر تها فى مقاومة حوالى أكثر من خمسة أجناس من الفطريات المسببة لأمراض سقوط البادرات فى القطن. هذه الأجناس الخمسة من فطريات مسببات مرض سقوط البادرات تم عز لها وتعريفها عند إجراء التقدير الذوعى والكمى للفطريات على سطح بذور أحد أصناف القطن المصرية (جبزة ٨٦) و هذا أظهرت النتائج أن أكثر الفطريات تكرارا هى ريز كتونيا سولانى (٢٥,١٢) يليها أنواع الفيوز اريوم (٢٤,٨٢) ثم الماكر وفرمينا فاصولينا (٢٢,١٢) بينما كلت أقل النسب توزيعا هى أنواع النيسليم (٤,٢٥) وأنواع الأسبر اجلس (٢٥,١٢) وويتطبيق فروض كوخ لهذه العزلات الفطرية وذلك بإعادة عدوى بذور نفس الصنف من القطن للتأكد من ظهور نفس الأعراض على البادرات وتقدير نسب الإنبات بعد ١٥ يوم وبعد ٤ ويتطبيق فروض كوخ لهذه العزلات الفطرية وذلك بإعادة عدوى بذور نفس الصنف من القطن للتأكد من ظهور نفس الأعراض على البادرات وتقدير نسب الإنبات بعد ١٥ يوم وبعد ٤ يوم وكذلك تقدير عد البادرات الباقية على قلد الحياة. فقد أظهرت عز لات الريز وكتونيا سولانى وأنواع الفيوز اريوم والماكر وفرمينا فاصولينا أعلى نسب فى الإصابة بينما سجلت أنواع البنيسليوم و الأسبر اجلس نسب متوسطة. وبناك بإجراء إخذر كذل الأكتينوميستات من الترب التي وأنواع الفيوز اريوم والماكر وفرمينا فاصولينا أعلى نسب فى الإصابة بينما سجلت أنواع (المكون الرئيسى لجدر خلايا الفطريات) وذلك بإجراء إختاج التريم الكتينوميستات. تم الترب الحيول على ألمكتين وظهور (المكون الرئيسى لجدر خلايا الفطريات) وذلك باجراء اختبار التاج الزيم الكتينوميستات. تم إختبار العراب فى قدرتها على تدرين ولومين في فلومين (المكون الرئيسى لجدر خلايا الفطريات) وذلك بلجراء إختاج الكيتينيز بتتميتها فى بينة تحتوى على الكتيني وأظهرت عن ولاترات فى قدرتها على الكتينين وظهور (المكون الرئيسى لجدر خلايا النظريات) وذلك باحر على الاكتينوميستات. تم إختبار أعلى لات فى قدرتها على التاجرات الكتينوميس الم الترات فى قدر الم تيسم على التار الكيتين وظهور المكون الرئيسي لجدر خلايا التطل للكيتين والتي والك بدرجات متفلوتة لعز لات الأكتينوميستات. تم إختبار غلى لوم فر من الإسبريي عن الوليرات الكيتين وليع ولا الموريل الكيمينوس على ا