Ilizarov hip reconstruction osteotomy for neglected dislocation of the hip in young adults

Osman A.E. Mohamed

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Al-Azhar Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University Hospital, Damietta, Egypt

Correspondence to Osman Abd Ellah Mohamed, MD, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine Al-Azhar University Hospital, Damietta, Egypt, 14-Zamzam Street, Al Moneeb, Giza, Egypt; Tel: 00201001483974; e-mail: Docosman@yahoo.com

Received 30 November 2016
Accepted 1 January 2017

The Egyptian Orthopaedic Journal
2016, 51:238–242

Background
Neglected dislocation of the hip joint in young adults is a difficult problem. Patients with an unstable hip secondary to any aetiology usually have loss of bone from the proximal femur or shortening of the limb or both.
Objective
In the present study we report our results in the treatment of the neglected dislocation of the hip joint in young adults by pelvic support osteotomy using the Ilizarov method.
Patients and methods
From 2007 to 2014, 30 patients (20 women and 10 men) with neglected dislocation of the hip joint were treated in the Orthopaedic Department of Al-Azhar University Hospital, Damietta, Egypt. Their mean age was 22.5 years (range: 19–35 years). The main complaints were pain, leg length discrepancy, limping and limited abduction of the hip. All patients underwent valgus extension osteotomy in the proximal femur and distal femoral osteotomy for lengthening. The average follow-up ranged from 2 to 7 years.
Results
All hips were pain free at follow-up. The Trendelenburg sign became negative in 25 patients. There was no limb length discrepancy and alignments of the extremity were re-established. Five patients had a lurch gait. Valgus extension osteotomy provided stability of the hip joint and maintained some motion of the hip joint. By using the Ilizarov technique we could prevent the valgus effects created by the valgus extension osteotomy while achieving lengthening of the femur through distal osteotomy in the femur.
Conclusion
Pelvic support osteotomy with Ilizarov modification can provide an alternative to other techniques in managing patients having excision arthroplasty who are severely disabled as a result of abductor weakness, instability and limb length discrepancy.

Keywords:
hip joint, neglected dislocation, pelvic support osteotomy

Egypt Orthop J 51:238–242

1110-1148

Introduction

The two main complaints of patients with an neglected dislocation of the hip joint are pain and leg length inequality. Leg length inequality associated with a unilateral neglected dislocation of the hip usually results in shorter step length, lower pelvis, a lateral shift of the ground reaction force, decreased maximum adduction moments of the hip and knee on the affected side, and increased maximum adduction moments of the hip and knee on the unaffected side [1]. Patients with an untreated or unsuccessfully treated congenital dislocation of the hip, septic arthritis of the hip, avascular necrosis of the femoral head with or without hardware and paralytic dislocation or subluxation of the hip joint due to poliomyelitis usually have loss of bone from the proximal femur or shortening of the limb or both [2]. Numerous salvage procedures have been proposed for the treatment of neglected dislocation of the hip. The two popular treatment options for a neglected dislocation of the hip are total joint replacement and pelvic support osteotomy [3]. Many authors claimed that a total hip arthroplasty (THA) affords significant clinical improvement and significantly reduces pain and leg length inequality [4,5]. Others insist that joint replacement is exposed to high mechanical stresses and is exposed to a high risk for failure, especially in young patients [6–9]. In addition, revision of a THA in a patient with congenital hip dysplasia or dislocation is often more difficult than a standard revision operation [10]. Schanz [11] pointed out that in congenital dislocation of the hip the pelvis tilts on weight-bearing until the femur on the dislocated side

impinges on the lower border of the pelvis. If the femur is angled to align the upper fragment with the side wall of the pelvis and the lower fragment parallel with the axis of weight-bearing, the lurching gait will be diminished because the stable position is reached earlier.

The depression of the trochanter also improves the leverage of the glutei. The lower femoral fragment should also be extended backwards at the osteotomy site to decrease the pelvic tilt and diminish the lumbar lordosis. Many authors described a proximal femoral valgus osteotomy for treatment of an neglected dislocation of the hip joint. This method provided hip stability and improved hip function [2,12–14]. The drawbacks of this approach are further leg shortening and disturbance of the mechanical axis of the leg [2]. Ilizarov developed the technique of pelvic support osteotomy using his apparatus and biologic principles. He described a double osteotomy: a proximal femoral valgus extension osteotomy for correction of stability and a distal femoral osteotomy for lengthening and correction of the mechanical axis of the leg [13].

Patients and methods

Our series included 30 hips of 30 patients with a unilateral neglected dislocation of the hip. All patients signed, agreed and provided their consent. The original pathology was either not treated or unsuccessfully treated: congenital dislocation of the hip (15 patients), septic arthritis of the hip (five patients), avascular necrosis of the femoral head with or without hardware (five patients) and paralytic dislocation or subluxation of the hip joint due to poliomyelitis (five patients). There were 20 women and 10 men with an average age of 22.5 years (range: 19–35 years). Patients were evaluated clinically for hip pain, range of motion, lumbar lordosis, hip flexion contracture, the Trendelenburg sign, Harris hip score and leg length discrepancy. Preoperative imaging included computed tomographic scan for measuring the mechanical axis of the leg and the amount of shortening, and plain radiographs for preoperative planning and assessment of the mechanical axis. The preoperative planning and the determination of the site of osteotomy were carried out following Paley [15].

Surgical technique

With the patient in the supine position, the assistant holds the extremity in maximum adduction, and the position and site of osteotomy are checked by using fluoroscopy. Three Shanz pins with a diameter of 6 mm are inserted into the proximal femoral fragment in a direction parallel to the horizontal axis of the pelvis and obliquely to the femur in the sagittal plane. The pelvic arch is fixed to the Shanz pins in line with the horizontal axis of the pelvis and distally inclined in the sagittal plane. Three Shanz pins are inserted in the mid-femoral shaft in a direction perpendicular to the femoral axis and held by a 5/8 ring.

The proximal osteotomy is done at the level of the ischial tuberosity. The preoperatively calculated correction is realized and the distal femur is medially displaced. The patella is pointed upward in neutral rotation. Then the pelvic arch is connected to a 5/8 ring. One full ring is fixed by using three Shanz pins to the mid shaft of the femur, above the level of the planned distal osteotomy. It connects to a 5/8 ring with three threaded roads. A Kirschner wire of 1.8 mm diameter inserted parallel to the knee joint and two Shanz pins each of 6 mm diameter fix the distal femur to the distal full ring. The distal ring is connected to the above ring by one threaded rod laterally and two rods with universal joints, one anteromedially and the other posteromedially. The distal osteotomy is done with a Gigli saw.

Intraoperative radiographs check the mechanical orientation of the frame. At final follow-up the clinical evaluation is compared with the preoperative

Figure 1



A schematic drawing showing the new hip center and new mechanical axis.

Table 1 Comparison between the preoperative and postoperative data

	Hip flexion contracture (deg.)		Range of abduction (deg.)		Range of flexion (deg.)		Harris hip score	
	Preoperative	Postoperative	Preoperative	Postoperative	Preoperative	Postoperative	Preoperative	Postoperative
Range	5–25	0–10	0–10	10–45	35–110	95–135	35–75	60–85
Mean±SD	13±5.2	1.5±2.8	9±5.6	28±11.5	85±15.6	125±13.2	50±10.1	80±8.04
KURT	−0.970	−0.971	−1.252	−0.652	−3.622	−3.622	1.166	−1.088
P value	SS		SS		SS		SS	

SS, statistically significant. KURT Calculates the kurtosis of a dataset, which describes the shape, and in particular the "peakedness" of that dataset.

one. The mechanical axis deviation and the lateral distal femoral angle are measured on the final postoperative radiograph. The new hip centre is regarded as the point between one-third to one-half the distance lateral to the medial edge on the supporting end of the proximal femoral segment (Fig. 1). The two-tailed Student's *t*-test was used for data analysis.

Results

The mean period of follow-up was 4 years, ranging from 2 to 7 years. The mean duration in the Ilizarov frame was 7 months (range: 5–15 months). Limb lengthening was achieved in all patients, with a mean gain of 5 cm (range: 3–8 cm).

Hip flexion contracture, range of abduction and range of flexion were significantly improved postoperatively (Table 1). Pain during distance walking disappeared in all patients to a varying degree. The Trendelenburg sign was positive in all patients preoperatively. It became negative in 25 patients. Five patients with a persisting positive Trendelenburg sign had poliomyelitis.

The mean Harris hip score was 50 (range: 35–75) preoperatively, and 80 (range: 65–85) at follow-up. This improvement is significant (Table 1). The mean mechanical axis deviation was 0.4 mm, whereas the mean lateral distal femoral angle was 87.9°. The main complication was pin tract infection, which was treated by using oral antibiotics and local pin-site care. All patients were satisfied with the treatment, mostly since they were pain free and the limb length was equalized (Fig. 2).

Figure 2

(a) A 25-year-old female had neglected hip dislocation (anteroposterior radiograph). (b) Postoperative radiograph showing the proximal femoral osteotomy. (c) Computed tomographic scanogram to measure limb length discrepancy. (d) Postoperative radiograph showing the distal femoral osteotomy. (e) A 3-year follow-up radiograph showing healing the proximal femoral osteotomy. (f) A 3-year follow-up radiograph showing healing the distal femoral osteotomy. (g) Clinical view of the patients in postoperative follow-up with Ilizarov. (h) Clinical view of the patient 4year with over 100° flexion of the hip and the knee.

Discussion

The aim of the treatment of neglected dislocation of the hip in a young adult is to reduce pain, improve range of hip motion and equalize limb length. THA is now the first choice in the treatment of neglected dislocation of the hip in a young adult, with the current surgical techniques and prosthesis designs [3]. Theoretically it should significantly improve these patients' ability to walk efficiently and greatly reduce pain [5]. Lai *et al.* [5] claimed that the leg length equalization obtained with THA in patients with unilateral congenital dislocation of the hip significantly improves gait symmetry and efficiency. They studied 22 women with unilateral congenital dislocation of the hip following successful cementless THA. They found that the leg length discrepancy was equalized within 2 cm in all patients.

Kim *et al.* [4] reported on 118 hips in young adults treated with a second generation cementless total hip prosthesis after an average follow-up of 9.8 years: 12% of the patients had osteolysis in the calcar region of the femur and 9% had acetabular osteolysis. However, many authors claimed that total joint replacement in patients suffering from an unstable hip due to congenital dislocation and severe developmental dysplasia of the hip has specific technical difficulties

such as irreducibility, over-shortening, nerve palsy and displaced femoral shaft fractures [16–18].

The pelvic support osteotomy constitutes an alternative treatment method for a young adult with an unstable hip. The principles of the pelvic support osteotomy are to perform an abduction and extension effect in the femur at the level of the ischium to increase the range of abduction, support the femur on the pelvis, reduce lumbar lordosis and increase the distance from the pelvis to the greater trochanter, which tightens the gluteus medius and prevents Trendelenburg's limp [19]. Many authors claim that pelvic support osteotomy gives the best results in patients over 15 years of age [3,20,21].

In 1983, Ilizarov [13] reported that in patients aged 9–17 years, loss of correction varied between 3° and 17°. In our study, the mean age was 22.4 years (range: 19–35 years). We obtained good results without loss of correction in all patients. Akosy *et al.* [12] reported that 35 patients with unilateral or bilateral neglected congenital dislocation of the hip were treated by using subtrochanteric valgus extension osteotomy alone. The mean age was 22 years; the mean follow-up was 7 years. Alleviation of the pain was the most significant functional outcome of the treatment. It was also noted that limping could be improved. In 1993, Bombelli [19] demonstrated that an apparent lengthening may occur by over-abduction of the distal femoral fragment. This excessive abduction causes genu valgum, increases the shearing stresses on the knee joint and may cause knee pain and low back pain.

Catagni *et al.* [2] claimed that unilateral subtrochanteric valgus extension osteotomy causes considerable leg length discrepancy and secondary genu valgum induced by excessive valgus. In our study, the mean preoperative limb length discrepancy was 5.3 cm (range: 3–8 cm). At the last follow-up, limb length equalization was achieved in all patients and the mean mechanical axis of deviation was 0.4 mm (range: 0.0–2.0 mm). Thus, there was no secondary genu valgum as, with Ilizarov pelvic support osteotomy, the distal osteotomy allows the simultaneous lengthening and correction of the mechanical axis of the leg.

In our series of 15 patients with unilateral neglected high dislocation of the hip treated with the Ilizarov pelvic support osteotomy, at a mean age of 20 years and an average follow-up was 70 months, the outcome was satisfactory. Pain subsided in all patients; the Trendelenburg sign became negative in all; none of the patients had limb length discrepancy and alignment of the extremity was re-established. There were five patients still complaining of a lurch gait [3].

In our study 10 patients still had a positive Trendelenburg sign, of which five had a paralytic dislocation or subluxation of the hip joint due to poliomyelitis. We agree with Kocaoglu *et al.* [3] that long-term follow-up is needed to determine whether this osteotomy can prevent degenerative changes at the pelvic support point or not. In our study, no patient currently needs subsequent conversion to a total hip.

Conclusion

Pelvic support osteotomy with Ilizarov modification can provide an alternative to other techniques in managing patients having excision arthroplasty who are severely disabled as a result of abductor weakness, instability and limb length discrepancy. It should be considered for patients who are not good candidates for THA because of the fear of infection recurrence and poor local bone and muscle quality. When one performs this surgical procedure, the goal is to make it the definitive treatment because the femoral deformity caused by this procedure makes subsequent THA extremely difficult. This technique can result in marked improvement in patient function. The long duration of external fixation, the frequent occurrence of pin-site infection and other complications need to be explained to the patient before carrying out the procedure.

Financial support and sponsorship
Nil.

Conflicts of interest
There are no conflicts of interest.

References

1 Lai KA, Lin CJ, Su FC. Gait analysis of adult patients with complete congenital dislocation of the hip. J Formos Med Assoc 1997; 96: 740–744.

2 Catagni MA, Malzev V, Kirienko A. Treatment of disorders of the hip joint. In: Maiocchi AB, editor. Advances in Ilizarov apparatus assembly. Milan, Italy: Medi Surgical Video; 1998:119–122.

3 Kocaoglu M, Eralp L, Sen C, Dincyurek H. The Ilizarov hip reconstruction osteotomy for hip dislocation : outcome after 4–7 years in 14 young patients. Acta Orthop Scand 2002; 73:432–438.

4 Kim YH, Oh SH, Kim JS. Primary total hip arthroplasty with a second-generation cementless total hip prosthesis in patients younger than fifty years of age. J Bone Joint Surg 2003; 85-A:109–114.

5 Lai KA, Lin CJ, Jou IM, Su FC. Gait analysis after total hip arthroplasty with leg-length equalization in women with unilateral congenital complete dislocation of the hip: a comparison with untreated patients. J Orthop Res 2001 ;19:1147–1152.

6 Davlin LB, Amstutz HC, Tooke SM. Treatment of osteoarthrosis secondary to congenital dislocation of the hip. Primary cemented surface replacement compared with conventional total hip replacement. J Bone Joint Surg 1990; 72-A:1035–1042.

7 Garvin KL, Bowen MK, Salvati EA, Ranawat CS. Long-term results of total hip arthroplasty in congenital dislocation and dysplasia of the hip. A follow-up note. J Bone Joint Surg 1991; 73-A:1348–1354.

8 McQueary FG, Johnston RC. Coxarthrosis after congenital dysplasia. Treatment by total hip arthroplasty without acetabular bone-grafting. J Bone Joint Surg 1988; 70-A:1140–1144.

9 Paavilainen T, Hoikka V, Solonen KA. Cementless total replacement for severely dysplastic or dislocated hips. J Bone Joint Surg 1990; 72-B:205–211.

10 Dearborn JT, Harris WH. Acetabular revision after failed total hip arthroplasty in patients with congenital hip dislocation and dysplasia. Results after a mean of 6.8years. J Bone Joint Surg 2000; 82-A:1146–1153.

11 Schanz A. Zur Behandlung der veralteten Angeborenen Huftverrenkung. Munchen Med Wschr 1922; 69:930–941.

12 Aksoy MC, Musdal Y. Subtrochanteric valgus-extension osteotomy for neglected congenital dislocation of the hip in young adults. Acta Orthop Belg 2000; 66:181–186.

13 Ilizarov GA. Transosseous osteosynthesis. 1st ed. New York, NY: Springer Verlag; 1983:701–727.

14 Milch H. The 'pelvic support' osteotomy. Clin Orthop 1989; 249:4–11.

15 Paley D. Hip joint consideration. In: Paley D, editor. Principle of deformity correction. Heidelberg, Germany: Springer Verlag; 2002.

16 Decking J, Decking R, Schoellner C. Cementless total hip replacement with subtrochanteric femoral shortening for severe developmental dysplasia of the hip. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2003; 123:357–362.

17 Lai KA, Liu J, Liu TK. Use of iliofemoral distraction in reducing high congenital dislocation of the hip before total hip arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty 1996; 11:588–593.

18 Parvizi J, Sharkey PF, Bissett GA. Surgical treatment of limb-length discrepancy following total hip arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg 2003; 85-A:2310–2317.

19 Bombelli R. Structure and function in normal and abnormal hips. 3rd ed. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer Verlag; 1993: 1–55.

20 Inan M, Bomar JD, Kucukkaya M, Harma A. A Comparison between the use of a monolateral external fixator and the Ilizarov technique for pelvic support osteotomies. Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc 2004; 38:252–260.

21 Samchukov ML, Birch JG. Pelvic support femoral reconstruction using the method of Ilizarov : a case report. Bull Hosp Jt Dis 1992; 52:7–11.