## ON THE EXTENDED CESARO MEANS

By

## A.F. DOWIDAR

Faculty of Science, University of Manseura

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $\{\beta_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers satisfying the conditions:

$$\beta_{\bullet} = 0, 0$$

A summability matrix method  $A = (a_{m, n})$  was defined by Burkill, (1), by means of the following equations:

(1.2) 
$$\mathbf{a}_{m,n} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{k}{77} \left( \mathbf{0}_{mer} - \mathbf{e}_{i} \right) - \frac{k}{77} \left( \mathbf{e}_{mer} - \mathbf{e}_{n-1} \right) \\ \frac{k}{77} \mathbf{0}_{mer} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ for } n \leq m_{2}$$

and

$$a_{m,n} = 0$$
 for  $n > m$ .

A sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is called (C,  $\beta_n$ , k) limitable to the limit s if

Putting  $\beta_n = n$  for all n in (1.2) we get the well known Cesaro means of order k.

Burkill, considered the relation between these new methods and each of the Riemann's methods  $(R, k, \beta_n)$  and the Reisz methods  $(R, \beta_n, k)$ . For definition of  $(R, k, \beta_n)$  and  $(R, \beta_n, k)$  see, for instance, (2)).

From the relations, obtained by Burkill, many properties for the  $(R, k, \beta_n)$  and  $(R, \beta_n, k)$  methods, can be extended at once to the  $(C, \beta_n, k)$  methods.

Recieved March 3, 1972.

Here we wish to discuss some properties of the methods (C,  $\beta_n$ , k), of which we shall obtain proofs depending, directly, on the definition of these methods.

For reference we give the proof of regularity of these methods in the following section.

2. Regularity of the methods: From the definition of  $(\beta_n)$  and the matrix A, it follows that:

(2.1)  $a_{m,*} \ge 0$  for all m and n.

Also we have

$$p + \beta_a < \beta_{a+1} < q + \beta_a$$

from which we see that  $\beta_n$  increases to  $\infty$ .

Using these facts we get:

and

(2.3) 
$$|a_{m,n}| \le 2|1 - n/n |a_{m+1}| |1 - n/n |a_{m+2}| ... |1 - n/n |a_{m+k}|$$

--- 9 as m --- -- for every n

In other words, the methods (C,  $\beta_a$ , k) defined by (1.2), with conditions (1.1) are regular.

3. Strong regularity of the methods: A sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is called, by Lorentz, (3), almost convergent to s if

holds uniformly in n.

A method which sums all almost convergent sequences is called strongly regular.

The following theorem is due to Lorentz, (3):

Theorem 3.1 In order that the regular matrix method  $A = (a_{m,n})$  be strongly regular, it is necessary and sufficient that

Now we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.2. Let the sequence  $\{\beta_n\}$  satisfy, besides the conditions (1.1), additional condition

$$B_{n+1} - B_n \ge B_{n+2} - B_{n+1}$$
 for all  $n$   
i.e.  
 $(3_n 2) = 2B_{n+1} \ge B_n - B_{n+2}$ 

Then, under these conditions, the method  $A = (a_{m,n})$  defined by (1.2) is strongly regular.

**Proof**: To prove this theorem we first show that the condition (3.2) implies that  $a_{m,n} \ge a_{m,n+1}$  for all  $n \le m$ . We have:

For all positive integral values of k, the quantity between square brackets is positive. This can be proved by induction as follows:

For k = 1 we get

$$n_{m+1} = n_m \cdot n_{m+1} = n_{m+2} = 2(n_{m+1} - n_{m+1})$$
  
=  $2n_{m+1} - n_m - n_{m+2} > 0$ , using (3.2).

Suppose this result is true for k. Then we have:

$$= \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{m+k+1} - \beta_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{n+1} - \beta_{n} \end{pmatrix} \right]_{r=1}^{k} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{m+r} - \beta_{n} \end{pmatrix} \\ \cdot \begin{pmatrix} (\beta_{m+k+1} - \beta_{n+1}) - (\beta_{m+2} - \beta_{n+1}) \end{pmatrix}_{r=1}^{k} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{m+r} - \beta_{n+2} \end{pmatrix} \\ -2 \begin{pmatrix} (\beta_{m+k+1} - \beta_{n+1}) \end{pmatrix}_{r=1}^{k} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta_{m+r} - \beta_{n+1}) \end{pmatrix}_{r=1}^{k} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta_{m+r} - \beta_{n+2}) \end{pmatrix} \\ > \begin{pmatrix} (\beta_{n+1} - \beta_{n+1}) \end{pmatrix}_{r=1}^{k} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta_{m+r} - \beta_{n+2}) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} (\beta_{n+1} - \beta_{n+2}) \end{pmatrix}_{r=1}^{k} \begin{pmatrix} (\beta_{m+r} - \beta_{n+2}) \end{pmatrix} > 0.$$

Therefor  $a_{m,n} \ge a_{m,n+1}$  for all  $n \le m$ .

It follows that.

and the second s

So, according to theorem 3.1, the method is strongly regular.

4, The Borel property of the methods. A summability method is said, by Hill, to possess the Borel property if it sums almost all sequences of 0's and 1,s to the value ½. The following theorem, by Hill, gives sufficient conditions for a method to have this property:

Theorem 4.1. A summability method  $A = (a_{m, n})$  has the Borel property if it satisfies the following conditions:

(4.1) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} - 1$$
  
(4.2)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n}^2 \cdot 0(-\frac{1}{\log m})$ .

Now we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.2. The summability method  $(C, \beta_n, k)$ , defined by (1.2) has the Borel property.

Preof: First, condition (4.1) is satisfied from (2.2).

For condition (4.2), we have:

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{m,n} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{k}{m} (1 - a_m / a_{m+1}) - \frac{k}{m+1} (1 - a_{m+1} / a_{m+1}) \\ = \begin{vmatrix} (B_{m+1} - B^n) \sum_{r=1}^{k} & (1 / a_{m+r}) - (a_{m+1}^2 - a_m^2) \sum_{r=1}^{k} (1 / a_{m+r}) \\ & \cdots \cdot (-1)^k (a_{m+1}^k - a_{m+1}^k) a_{m+1} a_{m+2} \cdots a_{m+k} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$< qk / a_{m+1} \cdot q(k-1) / a_{m+1} \cdot \cdots \cdot q / a_{m+1}$$

$$= qk / a_{m+1} = 0 (1 / m \cdot 1).$$
If follows that  $a_{m+1} = a_{m+1} = a_{$ 

Therefore:

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{2} \frac{0(1/m \cdot 1)}{n!} \sum_{m,n=0}^{2} \frac{a_{m,n}}{n!}$$
= 0(1/m · 1)

So, according to theorem 4.1, we have the required result.

5. Summability of  $\{s_n \ \alpha_n \ (y) \}$ : If  $(s_n)$  is a given sequence, a biunique mapping of its infinite subsequences  $(s_{n_i})$  onto the interval  $Y = (0 < y \le 1)$  may be obtained by defining y = 0,  $\alpha_1 \ \alpha_2 \ \alpha_3 \dots$  (radix 2) by means of the equations:

$$\alpha_n = 1$$
 (n = n<sub>i</sub>) and  $\alpha_n = 0$  (n  $\neq$  n<sub>i</sub>).

The inverse correspondence is evident if we agree to use only the infinite representation of y. The phrase» almost all subsequences of  $(s_n)$  will then mean that the corresponding subset of Y has measure one.

When  $\{s_{n_i}\}$  is replaced by  $\{s_n \ \alpha_n \ (y) \ \}$  where  $\alpha_n \ (y)$  is the n th digit in the infinite dyadic expansion of y we have the following theorem of Hill:

Theorem 5.1. Let A be a summability method satisfying the two conditions of Theorem 4.1. Then a bounded sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is summable A if and only if almost all of the sequences  $\{s_n \ \alpha_n \ (y) \ \}$  are summable A.

Since we have proved that the method  $(C,\beta_n,k)$  satisfies the two conditions mentioned in theorem 5.1, (Theorem 4.2), we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 5.2. A bounded sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is  $(C, \beta_n, k)$  summable if and only if the sequences  $(s_n, \alpha_n, (y))$  are summable  $(C, \beta_n, k)$ .

## REFERENCES

- 1. H. BURKELL, On Riesz and Riemann summability, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 57 (1961), \$5...60.
- 2. G.H. HARDY, Divergent series, Oxford (1949).
- 3. G.G. LORENTZ, A contribution to the theory of divergent series, Acts. Math. 86 (1948) 167...190.
- 4. J.D. HMLL, Remarks on the Borel property, Pacific J. Math. 4 (1954), 227...242.