



IMPACT OF ORGANIC ACIDS SUPPLEMENTATION ON GROWTH PERFORMANCE, NUTRIENT DIGESTIBILITY, AND SOME BLOOD PARAMETERS IN BROILER CHICKS

M.S. Refaie; M. I. El-Kelawy and Ibtesam A.M. Srouf

Dep.of Poult. Prod., Fac. of Agric., New Valley Uni., Egypt.

Corresponding author: M. I. El-Kelawy Email : m.elkelawy@gmail.com

Received: 10 /06/2025

Accepted: 30 /06 /2025

ABSTRACT: The current study was conducted to evaluate the influence of dietary incorporating with different organic acids (OAs) acetic (AAs), citric (CAs), and propionic acids (PAs) on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, carcass traits, blood biochemical parameters, and microbial profile in broiler chickens. A total of 120 one-day-old unsexed Ross broiler chicks were randomly divided into four treatment groups (30 chicks/group; 3 replicates of 10 birds). The first group as control received a basal diet without additives, while the second, third and fourth groups were incorporated with 0.5% of either acetic, citric, or propionic acid, respectively

The results showed that dietary inclusion of all tested organic acids (OAs) resulted in a significant improvement in ($p < 0.05$) body weight(BW), weight gain(BWG), feed conversion ratio(FCR), performance index, and economic efficiency in comparison with the control group, with no statistically significant differences in feed intake. Apparent nutrient digestibility of dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), ether extract (EE), organic matter (OM), and nitrogen-free extract (NFE) did not differ significantly among treatments. Carcass evaluation showed a significant reduction in abdominal fat in acid-supplemented groups, without affecting dressing percentage or internal organ weights.

Biochemically, citric acid supplementation significantly increased plasma albumin levels, while no statistically significant differences were observed in total protein, globulin, glucose, lipid profile, or liver enzyme activities among all groups. Microbial analysis indicated that acetic acid increased total bacterial count without significantly affecting *Lactobacillus acidophilus* populations.

In conclusion, supplementation with 0.5% organic acids (OAs), particularly citric and acetic acids, enhanced broiler performance and reduced fat deposition without affects on nutrient digestibility or health-related blood parameters, supporting their use as natural growth promoters in broiler production.

Keywords: Organic acid, Broiler, Growth performance

INTRODUCTION

Feed additives are currently regarded as important for best performance and production in recent chicken farming (Shahid *et al.* 2015). Previously, antibiotics have already been used to stimulate growth and balance gut flora (Abudabos *et al.*, 2016; Haulisah *et al.*, 2021). Recently, the developing resistance microorganisms and remains in meat and eggs as a result the using of antibiotics have been outlawed as growth promoters (Dhama *et al.* 2015; Ullah *et al.* 2022). Therefore, there is a need to hunt for alternatives feed additives. Probiotics, prebiotics, Organic acids, medicinal plant extracts, and exogenous enzymes are among the most often utilized feed additives. The feed additives mode of action as antimicrobials, antioxidants, emulsifiers, pH monitor agents, and enzymes in poultry diets (Ragaa *et al.*, 2016). Specially, OAs and their salts as natural feed additives in animal production such as acetic, formic, lactic, propionic, and isobutyric acids. it has been supplemented in feed or water and safe for use in animal feed beside it can promote intestinal tract illness prevention, immunity furthermore, increase chicken production and performance by improving nutrient digestion and absorption while depressing enteric pathogenic bacteria loads such as Salmonella beside E coli moreover lowering intestinal pH, all of which improve gut health and production in birds (Koyuncu *et al.*, 2013; Kamal and Ragaa 2014; El Baaboua *et al.*, 2018; Yadav and Jha, 2019).

Several researchers investigated that broiler fed diets including mix of acetic, citric, and propionic acids at levels 0.031 or 0.062% produced better results in reducing salmonella in crop and cecal (Menconi *et al.* 2013). Furthermore, OAs have a several functions, including antibacterial, lowering the pH of digesta

in the gastrointestinal tract, reducing feed passage in the intestine, inducing enzyme secretion of intestinal or pancreatic, stimulating beneficial bacteria all of which improve feed conversion, growth performance and production (Dibner, 2004; Abdel-Fattah *et al.*, 2008 and Kil *et al.*, 2011).

Citric acid (CAs) is the greatest widely utilized OAs in poultry diets. Incorporating CAs in diet increase feed consumption, modify the gut PH and raise the activity of several enzymes that require acidic conditions, such as pepsin and phytase, hence improving the consumption of protein and some minerals, increasing protein and fiber digestibility, improved live weight gain, feed conversion efficiency, and mineral absorption moreover, reduced the pH of broiler ceecal digesta, as well as microbial load, resulting in an improved immunological response in broilers (Jozefiak and Rutkowski, 2005; Wickramasinghe *et al.*, 2014; AL-Harthi and Attia 2016).

Acetic acid (AAs) has received increased attention in the poultry production (Mohammadi *et al.*, 2018). Incorporating AAs in broiler diets at levels 0.5 to 5% can depressed numerous pathogenic or beneficial intestinal bacteria due to minimize PH generated can protecting the animals from diseases, particularly during young age. Moreover, increasing villus height and function of secretion, stimulating nutrients digestion and absorption resulting in promotes growth performance (Abdel Razek *et al.*, 2016; Mohammadi *et al.*, 2018).

PAs promotes growth by reducing pathogenic load, improving digestibility, enhancing intestinal mucosa permeability, and increasing nutrient utilization and absorption (Haque *et al.* 2009). Several previous studies found that (PO) added to broiler diets at a dosage of up to 0.75% has been increase live body weight without altering length

of gastrointestinal tract (Palupi et al., 2020). Consequently, the objectives of this current study was to investigate the impact of OAs supplementation on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, and some blood parameters in broiler chicks

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was carried out, private farm, new valley, Egypt. One hundred and twenty unsexed one-day old Ross broiler chicks were randomly divided to four treatments groups (30 birds/ treatment) with 3 replicates and 10 birds per replicate. The 1st group fed a basal diet without OAs supplementation and represented as a control. The 2nd, 3rd and the 4th group of birds fed diets inclusion basal diet incorporated with 0.5 % of each of acetic acid, citric acid and propionic acid, respectively.

Chicks were kept in the same managerial conditions. Chicks were reared in floor breeding and supply full access to feed and water during the experimental period. The housing temperature was 32°C during the 1st week and declined gradually by 2°C each week and was then stabilized at 25°C until slaughter. A light timetable was 23 h light until 7th day followed by 20 h light from 8th day to through the experimental period until 3 days before slaughter test (8-35 days of age). The experimental diets were formulated to meet requirements of broiler chickens according to NRC (1994). The basal diet composition of the experimental study is presented in Table (1) Average initial weight of chick one day old, final body weight, weight gain; feed intake, feed efficiency and mortality were recorded.

At the end of the trial, 3 males from each group were housed individually in separate cages for 5 days. Birds were allowed to the experimental diets for 2 days as initial period followed by 3 days as a main experimental period. The digestibility of dry matter, crude protein, ether extract, organic matter and

nitrogen free extract were analyzed according to AOAC (2004).

At 36 d of age, five broiler chicks from each group were slaughtered after 8 hours fasting, processed and the weight of carcass and internal organs (dressing, total edible parts, abdominal fat, spleen, bursa, and thymus) was taken and expressed as the percentage of live BW.

At slaughter, five blood samples were collected in non-heparinized tubes from each group. Blood samples was separated to obtained serum by centrifuging of blood at 3000 rpm for 20 minutes and stored at -20o C for biochemical analysis. All blood biochemical parameters were determined using commercial kits (spectrum Diagnostics, Egypt) by using a spectrophotometer according to the following methods. Glucose

concentration (mg/dl) was measured according to Trinder (1969), Total protein (g/dl) (Henry et al., 1974), albumin (g/dl) (Doumas, 1971) and globulin (g/dl) (Coles, 1974) were determined according to Bossuyt et al. (2003), Triglycerides (Fossati and Prencipe, 1982), total cholesterol (Stein, 1986), HDL (Lopes-Virella, 1977), while LDL was determined according to (Friedewald et al., 1972). The activity of plasma aspartate amino transferase, and plasma alanine amino transferase, were estimated according to Reitman and Frankle (1957).

During slaughtering three fresh samples from each treatments group were diluted and plated onto nutrient agar to estimated total bacterial count in the same time the samples plated onto MRS agar to calculated *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and the number of microorganisms was converted to log10⁶ (Czerwiński et al 2012).

The economic evaluation for all experimental treatments was made (Zeweil, 1996) as follows:

Economic efficiency = $\frac{\text{total revenue} - \text{total cost}}{\text{total cost}} \times 100$

Total revenue = BW × Meat Price

Total cost = Feed cost + Addition cost + other cost

Data were analyzed by the GLM procedure (SPSS, 2011) using one-way anova with the following model:

$$Y_{ik} = \mu + T_i + e_{ik}$$

Where Y is the dependent variable; the μ general mean; T the effect of experimental treatments; e the random error. The differences between means were tested by using Duncan's New Multiple Range test, (Duncan, 1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Production performance:

The impact of various organic acids (OAs) on the production performance of broiler chickens is reported in Table 2. Throughout all experimental periods, dietary supplementation with acetic acid, citric acid, and propionic acid lead to significant increases ($p < 0.05$) in final body weight (FBW) and body weight gain (BWG) in comparison with the control group. Additionally, data showed that FCR was resulted in a significant improvement by the incorporating AAs (0.5%), CAs (0.5%) and PAs (0.5%) during the experimental period (1- 35 days of age) compared with the control diet. However, no effects were defected in feed consumption among the treated groups. Moreover, broiler chickens receiving the basal diet supplemented with these additives exhibited significantly higher levels of economic efficiency and production index compared to the control group.

The current results were in aligning with Younis et al., (2024) who demonstrated that propionic acid (0.5%) supplementation resulted in a significant improvement in LBW, average daily gain and FCR. Similarly, Youssef et al. (2017) showed that incorporating with organic acids (OAs) in birds diets had improved BW and BWG. Also, Fathi et al. (2016) showed that supplementation formic acid and

PAs had superior BWG and better FCR in broilers. Chick performance such a BW, weight gain, and average daily gain of broiler chicks can be significantly enhanced by a single or combination of OAs (CAs and AAs), according by (Adhikari et al., 2020; and Stamilla et al. (2020). Another study by Khan and Iqbal (2016) and Youssef et al. (2017), who noted that birds fed diets containing organic acids (OAs) showed a significant improvement in BW and BWG during the growing period. The previous enhancement due to OAs additions may be due to the population of beneficial bacteria was maintained, enhance nutrient digestion, and it may have an influence on the safety of microbial cell membranes or prevent nutrient transport, which would have a bactericidal effect (Ricke, 2003). Likewise, Mallo et al. (2012) mentioned that the incorporating of (OAs) in diets resulted in enhanced BWG and improved (FCR). On the other hand, study performed by Flamand et al. (2014) found that OAs supplementation in the diet did not affect BW and BWG. Furthermore, these results with consistent by finding of Saleem et al., (2020) who speculated that broilers fed dietary mix with (OAs) observed no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) in FC among the treatments. Also, inclusion of CAs and AAs in broiler diets had no effect on feed intake (Wickramasinghe et al. 2014, and Araujo et al. 2018). Abou-Ashour et al., (2021) demonstrated that (FCR) was significantly improved by the supplementation of citric and acetic acid. Moreover, Sultan et al., (2015); Al- Harthi and Attia (2016) who proposed that the inclusion of AOs in broiler diets could enhance FCR. The notable enhancement in FCR could be attributed to (OAs) to improving protein digestibility through the stimulation of digestive enzyme activity (Langhout, 2000), as well as in promoting nutrient

utilization efficiency (Chowdhury et al., 2009). Contrary to these results, Araujo et al. (2018) and Elmi et al. (2020) found that the incorporating with OAs in broiler diets had no significant effect on FCR.

Abou-Ashour et al., (2021) found that incorporating of CAs and AAs improved relative economic efficiency and PI than the control group. The positive effect of OAs on PI may be attributed to their ability to lower pH, enhance proteolytic enzyme activity, and improve nutrient digestibility, in addition to exerting bacteriostatic and bactericidal effects against pathogenic bacteria (Papatsiros et al., 2013). Abdel-Fattah et al. (2008) similarly found that adding CAs and AAs at 1.5% and 3% levels enhanced both economic and relative economic efficiency. Likewise, Ghazalah et al. (2011) observed that the inclusion of 0.25% AAs and 3% CAs significantly enhanced the European economic efficiency compared to the control group.

The apparent digestibility of the nutrients

Results illustrating the impact of various (OAs) on the apparent digestibility of nutrients in broiler chickens are presented in Table 3. Notably, no statistically significant differences were found in the digestibility of protein, fiber, fat, organic matter, carbohydrates, and dry matter among all groups.

Ndelekwute et al., (2019) and Ndelekwute et al., (2018) found that organic acids (OAs) did not affect digestibility of nitrogen free extract in chickens. The incorporating of lactic acid to broiler diets had no show any effect on digestive enzymes in the pancreas and small intestine Jang et al., (2004). Similarly, Palamidi et al. (2017) observed no notable differences in small intestinal amylase and lipase activities as a result of mixed organic acid supplementation.

Carcass characteristics:

The impact of different organic acids (OAs) on the carcass characteristics of broiler chickens is summarized in Table 4. Incorporating 0.5% AAs, CAs and PAs supplements into broilers diet reduced the abdominal fat % in comparison with the control group. However, there are no statistically significant differences among the experimental treatments for the percentages dressing, Total edible parts, liver, gizzard, heart, spleen and Bursa. The findings related to carcass characteristics in the present study are consistent with those of Abou-Ashour et al., (2021) showed that the incorporating (OAs) in diets resulted in lower abdominal fat percentage. Similarly, Lakshmi and Sunder (2013) reported that dietary supplementation with citric acid reduced abdominal fat percentage in broilers. The observed decrease in abdominal fat could be linked to the acidification of the diet, which may influence lipid metabolism in broiler chickens (Leeson et al., 2005). Similarly, Saleem et al. (2020) and Mohamed et al. (2014) found that the inclusion of OAs in broiler diets did not significantly affect the weights of the heart, liver, and gizzard. Moreover, Saleem et al. (2016) and Ali et al. (2019) reported that the incorporation of organic acids (OAs) into broiler diets did not result in statistically significant differences in dressing percentage. Similarly, Ma et al. (2021) found that OAs supplementation had no observable effect on the spleen, pancreas, or liver weights compared to the control group in broilers.

Biochemical component of plasma:

The blood plasma biochemical parameters of broiler chickens fed diet with various (OAs) are revealed in Table 5. Broiler chickens fed basal diet supplemented with 0.5% Citric acid recorded significantly the highest value of Albumin on serum compared to those fed basal diets with Acetic acid,

Propionic acid and control diets. However, no significant effects of different supplements were observed on the total Protein, Globulin, A/G ratio and Glucose. Additionally, there are no significant effects of different supplement on Triglyceride, Cholesterol, HDL, LDL, AST, ALT and ALT/AST ratio during period 1-35d.

All blood serum biochemical parameters are within the physiological ranges. This finding with consistent with previous studies obtained by (Nourmohammadi et al., 2010; Abd EL-Haliem et al., 2018) they found that supplement citric acid in broiler diet revealed that there were no statistically significant differences among all treatments in plasma ALT, AST, total protein and glucose. Additionally, Fathi et al. (2016) showed there were no significant changes in total protein level due to organic acids (OAs) supplementation. Saleem et al., (2020) observed that (OAs) incorporating in broiler diet not affected on globulin and total protein. Also, Abd EL-Haliem et al., (2018) and Nourmohammadi et al. (2010) mention that dietary inclusion of (OAs) had no significant effect on AST, ALT, triglycerides and total protein plasma concentrations.

Bacterial count

The impact of different (OAs) on the bacterial count of broiler chickens is summarized in Table 5. The data revealed that incorporating 0.5% Acetic acid supplements into broiler diet

resulted in an increase of total bacterial count (TBC) between the among groups. However, broiler chickens fed basal diet incorporated with different levels of (OAs) had no effect on number of *Lactobacillus Acidophilus* compared to the control group.

The higher number of total bacterial count due to incorporating organic acids (OAs) could be decreased pathogenic bacteria in gut and carriage of a adequate environment for the growth-beneficial bacteria consequently better feed efficiency (Khan and Iqbal, 2016; Baghban- Kanani et al. 2019). Similarly, incorporating citric acid can improve the development of the gut and inhibits the growth of pathogenic bacteria such as *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli* (Chowdhury et al. 2009). Furthermore, the positive effect of organic acids (OAs) can lower pH in gut consequently inhibiting pathogenic bacteria due to pathogenic bacteria cannot resist the acidic conditions (Khan and Naz 2013; Khan and Iqbal, 2016).

CONCLUSION

Supplementation with 0.5% organic acids (OAs), particularly citric and acetic acids, enhanced broiler performance and reduced fat deposition without negatively affecting nutrient digestibility or health-related blood parameters, supporting their use as natural growth promoters in broiler production.

Table (1):Composition and calculated analysis of basal diet

Ingredients	Starter 0-15	Grower15-35
Yellow corn	54	59
Soybean meal 44%	33	28.50
Gluten 60%	6.0	5.0
Oil	3.5	4.0
Limestone	1.0	1.0
Di-calcium phosphate	1.90	1.90
Salt (NaCl)	0.20	0.20
Premix ¹	0.30	0.30
Lysine	0.10	0.10
DL-Methionine	0.10	0.10
Total	100	100
Calculated analysis		
ME (kcal/kg)	3079	3154
Crude protein	23.05	20.89
Ether extract	5.97	6.6
Crude fiber	3.68	3.44
Calcium	0.96	0.95
Phosphorus	0.44	0.43
Methionine	0.54	0.50
Lysine	1.16	1.03
Sodium	0.10	0.10

¹Each kg of vitamin mineral premix: contains: vitamin A, 1200000; vitamin D3, 300000IU; vitamin E, 700 mg; vitamin K3, 500 mg; vitamin B1, 500 mg; vitamin B2, 200 mg; vitamin B6, 600 mg; vitamin B12, 3 mg; folic acid, 300mg; choline chloride, 1000 mg; Niacin, 3000 mg; Biotin, 6 mg; panathonic acid, 670 mg; manganese sulphate, 3000 mg; iron sulphate, 10000 mg; zinc sulphate, 1800 mg; copper sulphate, 3000 mg; iodine, 1.868 mg; cobalt sulphate, 300 mg; selenium, 108 mg.

Table (2):Effect of dietary organic acids (OAs) on production performance of broiler chickens during the growth period (days 1 to 35 of age).

Treatment	Control	Acetic acid 0.5 %	Citric acid 0.5 %	Propionic acid 0.5 %	SEM	Sig
BW (1d)	40.03	39.90	40.23	40.17	0.25	0.787
BW (35d)	2164 ^b	2411 ^a	2373 ^a	2401 ^a	32.09	0.008
BWG (1-35d)	2124 ^b	2371 ^a	2333 ^a	2361 ^a	32.09	0.008
FC (1-35d)	3783	3660	3580	3537	71.63	0.155
FCR (1-35d)	1.78 ^a	1.55 ^b	1.53 ^b	1.50 ^b	0.03	0.004
Economic efficiency	12.79 ^b	22.02 ^a	23.48 ^a	19.94 ^a	2.03	0.025
Production index	173 ^b	223 ^a	222 ^a	229 ^a	7.49	0.002

Table (3): Effect of dietary organic acids (OAs) on apparent nutrient digestibility of nutrients (%) of broiler chickens during the growth period (days 1 to 35 of age).

Treatment	Control	Acetic acid 0.5 %	Citric acid 0.5 %	Propionic acid 0.5 %	SEM	Sig
DM	68.77	67.83	67.67	67.64	1.17	0.887
OM	71.39	69.49	69.39	69.84	0.60	0.674
CP	62.19	60.74	57.07	58.64	1.26	0.083
EE	89.50	89.49	88.80	88.12	0.84	0.623
CF	32.91	36.33	33.61	32.43	4.14	0.909
NFE	75.33	72.61	74.27	74.53	1.35	0.569

Table (4): Effect of dietary organic acids (OAs) on the carcass characteristics of broiler chickens during the growth period (days 1 to 35 of age).

Treatment	Control	Acetic acid 0.5 %	Citric acid 0.5 %	Propionic acid 0.5 %	SEM	Sig
Dressing %	71.2	72.4	70.68	72.18	1.07	0.637
Total edible parts, %	76.68	76.58	74.96	76.74	1.11	0.625
liver%	2.963	2.547	2.555	2.583	0.14	0.145
gizzard%	1.436	1.385	1.164	1.354	0.08	0.130
heart%	0.720	0.568	0.596	0.634	0.05	0.247
spleen%	0.105	0.102	0.105	0.099	0.01	0.945
Bursa%	0.091	0.093	0.089	0.090	0.004	0.947
fat%	0.700 ^a	0.540 ^b	0.537 ^b	0.481 ^b	0.04	0.011

Table (5): Effect of dietary organic acids (OAs) on blood Plasma biochemical parameters of broiler chickens during the growth period (days 1 to 35 of age).

Treatment	Contro l	Acetic acid 0.5 %	Citric acid 0.5 %	Propionic acid 0.5 %	SEM	Sig
Total. Protein(g/dl)	3.00	3.22	3.30	3.20	0.11	0.303
Albumin(g/dl)	1.67 ^b	1.69 ^b	1.79 ^a	1.71 ^b	0.03	0.018
Globulin(g/dl)	1.33	1.53	1.51	1.49	0.11	0.560
A/G ratio	1.26	1.14	1.25	1.17	0.10	0.762
AST(U/L)	119.1	109.6	98.0	123.6	6.97	0.084
ALT(U/L)	61.63	69.14	74.90	72.98	4.96	0.279
ALT/AST ratio	0.54	0.65	0.77	0.59	0.07	0.140
Glucose(mg/dl)	185	186	188	185	2.13	0.785
Triglyceride(mg/dl)	83.53	79.53	83.53	79.06	1.53	0.090
Cholesterol(mg/dl)	166	163	165	161	1.33	0.075
HDL (mg/dl)	83.33	83.79	81.97	83.56	1.40	0.797
LDL (mg/dl)	66.10	63.13	65.87	61.63	2.42	0.511

AST=aspartate amino transferees; ALT=alanine amino transferees; HDL= High-density lipoprotein; LDL= Low-density lipoprotein; A= albumin; G =globulin.

Table (6): Effect of different organic acids (OAs) on the bacterial count of broiler chickens during the growth period (days 1 to 35 of age).

Treatment	Control	Acetic acid 0.5 %	Citric acid 0.5 %	Propionic acid 0.5 %	SEM	Sig
TBC (cfu ×10 ⁶)	78.33 ^{ab}	103.33 ^a	26.33 ^b	38.33 ^b	17.83	0.052
Lactobacillus Acidophilus (cfu ×10 ⁶)	31.67	45.00	16.67	17.67	7.21	0.102

REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. 2004.** Association of Official Analytical Chemists International: Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International. 18th edition, AOAC International, Gaithersburg, MD, USA.
- Abd EL-Haliem, H. S.; Attia, F. A. M.; Hamada S. Saber and Ismail H. Hermes 2018.** effect of dietary levels of crude protein and specific organic acids on broilers performance. egyptian j. anim. prod. (2018) 55(1):15-27.
- Abdel Razek, H. A. M.; Abuzead, S. M. M.; Ali, S. A.; El- Genaidy, H. M. A. and Abdel-Hafez, S. A. 2016.**Effect of citric and acetic acid water acidification on broiler's performance with respect to thyroid hormone levels. Advances in Animal and Veterinary Science, Volume 4- Issues- Page 271: 278.
- Abdel-Fattah, S.A.; El-Sanhouty, M.H.; El-Madnay, N.M. and Abdel-Azeem, F. 2008.** Thyroid activity, some blood constituents, organs morphology and performance of broiler chicks supplemental organic acids (OAs). Int. J. Poult. Sci., 7 (3): 215-222.
- Abou-Ashour, A.M.H.; Manal K. Abou El-Naga, Eman A.M. Hussein and Zeinab M.A. El Bana 2021.** Effect of dietary citric, acetic acids or their mixture on broiler chicks performance, carcass characteristics and some intestinal

- histomorphological parameters. Egyptian J. Nutrition and Feeds (2021), 24(1): 119-138.
- Abudabos, A.M; Alyemni, A.H; Dafalla, Y.M and Khan, R.U. 2016.** The effect of phytogenic feed additives to substitute in-feed antibiotics on growth traits and blood biochemical parameters in broiler chicks challenged with Salmonella typhimurium. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 23:24151–24157. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-7665-2>
- Adhikari, P.; S. Yadav; D. E. Cosby; N. A. Cox; J. A. Jendza and W. K. Kim 2020.** Effect of organic acid mixture on growth performance and salmonella typhimurium colonization in broiler chickens. Poultry Science. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2019.12.037>.
- Al-Harthi, M. A. and Y. A. Attia 2016.** Effect of citric acid on the nutritive value of olive cake in broiler diets. European Poultry Science. Volume 80, ISSN 1612- 9199© Veriage Eugen Ulmer, stuttgart. Dol: 10: 1399/eps.153: 167.
- Ali, M. N.; A. H. Waly; H. H. Habib; H. M. A. El- Komy and H. A. Abdel Magid 2019.** Improve the utilization of broiler low protein diets using threonine, citric acid and sulphate. Egyptian Poultry Sience Journal. 39(IV): 881-894. <http://www.epsj.journals.ekb.eg/>.
- Araujo, Rgac.; GV. Polycarpo; A. Barbieri; K. M. Silva; G. Ventura and V. C. C. Polycarpo 2018.** Performance and economic viability of broiler chickens fed with probiotic and organic acids (OAs) in an attempt to replace growth-promoting antibiotics. Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science. Volume 21, Pages 001-008.
- Baghban-Kanani, P., Hosseintabar-Ghasemabad, B., Seidavi, A., Gamboa, D., & Ragni, M. 2019.** Effects of different organic acid combinations on growth performance, intestinal microbiota, and morphology of broiler chickens. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, 18(1), 1217–1225. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1828051X.2019.1625196>
- Bossuyt PM, Reitsma JB, Bruns DE, Gatsonis CA, Glasziou PP, Irwig LM, Lijmer JG, Moher D, Rennie D, de Vet HC2003.** Standards for Reporting of Diagnostic Accuracy. Towards complete and accurate reporting of studies of diagnostic accuracy: the STARD initiative. BMJ. Jan 4;326(7379):41-4. doi: 10.1136/bmj.326.7379.41. PMID: 12511463; PMCID: PMC1124931.
- Chowdhury, R.; K. M. S. Islam; M. J. Khan; M. R. Karim; M. N. Haque; M. Khatun and G. M. Pesti 2009.** Effect of citric acid, avilamycin and their combination on the performance, tibia ash, and immune status of broilers. Poultry Science. Volume 88, Pages 1616–1622. Doi: 10.3382/ps.2009 00119.
- Coles, E.H. 1974.** Veterinary clinical pathology (No. Ed. 2). WB Saunders
- Czerwiński, J; Højberg, O; Smulikowska, S; Engberg, R.M; Mieczkowska, A.2012.** Effects of sodium butyrate and salinomycin upon intestinal microbiota, mucosal morphology and performance of broiler chickens. Arch Anim Nutr. 66:102–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1745039x.2012.663668>.
- Dhama, K and Latheefmani, S.K.S. 2015.** Multiple beneficial applications and modes of action of herbs in poultry health and production- a review. Intern J Pharmacol 11:152–176.
- Dibner, J. 2004.** Organic acids: Can they replace antibiotic growth promoters? Feed Int., 25 (12) 14-16.
- Doumas, B.T.; Watson, W.A. and Biggs, H.G. 1971.** Albumin standards

- and the measurement of serum albumin with bromocresol green. *Clinica chimica acta*, 31(1): 87-96.
- Duncan's, D.B. 1955.** Multiple range and multiple F tests. *Biometrics*, 11: 1-42. Jain, N.C 1986. *Schalm's Veterinary Haematology*, 4th edn. Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, USA, pp. 140-175.
- El Baaboua, A.; El Maadoudi, M.; Bouyahya, A.; Belmehdi, O.; Kounoun, A.; Zahli, R. and Abrini, J. 2018.** Evaluation of antimicrobial activity of four organic acids (OAs) used in chicks feed to control *Salmonella typhimurium*: suggestion of amendment in the search standard. *Int. J. Microbiol.* 52:73.
- Elmi, V. A.; S. Moradi; S. G. Harsini and M. Rahimi 2020.** Effects of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and natural antibacterials on growth performance and salmonella colonization in broiler chickens challenged with salmonella enteritidis. *Livestock Science*. Volume 233, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2020.104500>.
- Fathi, R., Samadi, MS., Qotbi, A.A., Seidavi, A., Marín, A.L.M., 2016.** Effects of feed supplementation with increasing levels of organic acids (OAs) on growth performance, carcass traits, gut microbiota and pH, plasma metabolites, and immune response of broilers. *Animal Science Papers and Reports* 34(2), 195-206.
- Flamand, E. M.; A. V. Duran and A. M. Albores 2014.** Effect of organic acid blends in drinking water on growth performance, blood constituents and immune response of broiler chickens. *Japan Poultry Science Association*. Volume 51, Pages 144- 150, <http://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/browse/jpsa>, doi: 10.2141/jpsa.0120179.
- Fossati, P. and Prencipe, L. 1982.** Serum triglycerides determined colorimetrically with an enzyme that produces hydrogen peroxide. *Clinical chemistry*, 28(10), pp.2077-2080.
- Friedewald, W. T.; Levy, R. T. and Frederickson, D.S. 1972.** Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clinical chemistry*, (18): 499-502.
- Ghazalah, A. A.; A. M. Atta; KoutElkloub; M. EL. Moustafa and R. F. H.Shata 2011.** Effect of dietary supplementation of organic acids (OAs) on performance, nutrients digestibility and health of broiler chicks. *International Journal of Poultry Science* 10(3):176-184. ISSN 1682- 8356. © Asian network for scientific information.
- Haque, M. N.; Chowdhury, R.; Islam, K. M. and Akbar, M. A. 2009.** Propionic acid is an alternative to antibiotics in poultry diet. *Bangladesh J. Anim. Sci.* 38, 115-122.
- Haulisah, N.A; Hassan, L; Bejo, S.K; Jajere, S.M and Ahmad, N.I. 2021.** High levels of antibiotic resistance in isolates from diseased livestock. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science* 8:300.
- Henry, J.M.; Heffner, R.R.; Dillard, S.H.; Earle, K.M. and Davis, R.L. 1974.** Primary malignant lymphomas of the central nervous system. *Cancer*, 34(4): pp.1293-1302.
- Islam, Z.; Asad Sultan, Sarzamin Khan, Kamran Khan, Amin Ullah Jan, Tariq Aziz, Metab Alharbi, Abdulrahman Alshammari & Abdullah F. Alasmari 2024.** Effects of an organic acids blend and coated essential oils on broiler growth performance, blood biochemical profile, gut health, and nutrient digestibility. *Italian journal of animal science*, 23, NO. 1, 152–163.
- Jang I.S; Ko, Y.H; Yang, H.Y; Ha, J.S; Kim, J.Y and Kim, J.Y, 2004.** Influence of essential oil components on growth performance and the

- functional activity of the pancreas and small intestine in broiler chickens. *Asian Austral J Anim.* 17:394–400. doi: 10.5713/ajas.2004.394.
- Jozefiak, D. and Rutkowski, A. 2005.** The effect of supplementing a symbiotic, organic acids (OAs), or β -glucanase to barley-based diets on the performance of broiler chickens. *Journal of Animal and Feed Sciences.* Volume 14, Pages 447- 450.
- Kamal, A. M., and Ragaa, N. M. 2014.** Effect of dietary supplementation of organic acids (OAs) on performance and serum biochemistry of broiler chicken. *Nat. Sci.*, 12 (2): 38-45.
- Khan, S.H. and Iqbal, J., 2016.** Recent advances in the role of organic acids in poultry nutrition. *Journal of Applied Animal Research* 44, 359-369.
- Khan, R.U. and Naz, S. 2013.** The applications of probiotics in poultry production. *Worlds Poult Sci J.*;69(3):621–32.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043933913000627>.
- Kil, D.Y; Kwon, W.B and Kim, B.G 2011.** Dietary acidifiers in weanling pig diets: a review. *Rev Colomb Cienc Pecu*; 24:231-247.
- Koyuncu, S.; Andersson, M. G. ; Leofström, C.; Skandamis, P. N. ; Gounadaki, A.; Zentek, J. and Hågglom, P. 2013.** Organic acids for control of Salmonella in different feed materials. *BMC Vet. Res.* 9:81.
- Langhout, P. 2000.** New additives for broiler chickens. *World Poultry.* Volume: 16, Number 3, Pages 22-27.
- Leeson, S.; H. Namkung; M. Antongiovanni and E. Lee 2005.** Effect of butyric acid on the performance and carcass yield of broiler chickens. *Poultry Science.* Volume 84, Pages 1418-1422.
- Lopes-Virella, M. F.; Stone, P.; Ellis, S., and Colwell, J.A. 1977.** Cholesterol determination in high-density lipoproteins separated by three different methods. *Clinical chemistry*, 23(5): 882-884.
- Lakshmi, K. V., and Sunder, G. S. 2013.** Supplementation of lactic acid and citric acid in diets replacing antibiotic and its influence on broiler performance, meat yield and immune response up to 42 days of age. *Int. J. Sci. Res.* 4, 1007-1011.
- Ma, Jiayu, Shad Mahfuz, Jian Wang and Xiangshu Piao 2021.** Effect of Dietary Supplementation with Mixed Organic Acids on Immune Function, Antioxidative Characteristics, Digestive Enzymes Activity, and Intestinal Health in Broiler Chickens. ORIGINAL RESEARCH article, 8 - <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2021.673316>
- Mallo, J.J., Puyalto, M., Rao, S.R., 2012.** Evaluation of the effect of sodium butyrate addition to broiler diets on energy and protein digestibility, productive parameters and size of intestinal villi of animals. *Feed Compound* 32, 30-33.
- Menconi, A.; Shivaramaiah, S.; Huff, G. R.; Prado, O.; Morales, J. E.; Pumford, N. R. and Tellez, G. 2013.** Effect of different concentrations of acetic, citric, and propionic acid dipping solutions on bacterial contamination of raw chicken skin. *Poult. Sci.* 92:2216–2220.
- Mohamed, M., El-Daly, E.F., El-Azeem, N.A.A., Youssef, A.W., Hassan, H., 2014.** Growth performance and histological changes in ileum and immune related organs of broilers fed organic acids (OAs) or antibiotic growth promoter. *International Journal of Poultry Science* 13, 602-610.
- Mohammadi, G. M.; Hosseindoust, A. and Kim, I. 2018.** Evaluating the effect of microencapsulated blends of organic acids (OAs) and essential oils

- in broiler chickens diet. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research*. Volume 24, Pages 511- 519.
- N.R.C. 1994.** Nutrient requirements for poultry. (9th Ed.) National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.
- Ndelekwute EK, Unah UL, Udoh UH 2019.** Effect of dietary organic acids (OAs) on nutrient digestibility, faecal moisture, digesta pH and viscosity of broiler chickens. *MOJ Anat. Physiol*, Volume 6 Issue 2.
- Ndelekwute, EK, Assam, ED, Assam, EM. 2018.** Apparent nutrient digestibility, gut pH and digesta viscosity of broiler chickens fed acidified water. *MOJ Anat & Physiol*. 5(4):250–253.
- Nguyen, D.H.; Seok, W.J. and Kim, I.H. 2020.** Organic acids mixture as a dietary additive for pigs-A Review. *Animals*, 10, 952.
- Nourmohammadi, R., S.M. Hosseini, and H. Farhangfar, 2010.** Effect of dietary acidification on some blood parameters and weekly performance of broiler chickens. *J. Anim. Vet. Adv.* 9, 3092-3097.
- Palamidi I, Paraskeuas V, Theodorou G, Breitsma R, Schatzmayr G, Theodoropoulos G,; Fegeros, K. and Mountzouris, K. C. 2017.** Effects of dietary acidifier supplementation on broiler growth performance, digestive and immune function indices. *Anim Prod Sci*. 57:271–81. doi: 10.1071/AN15061.
- Palupi, R.; Lubis, F. N. and Lubis, R. D. 2020.** The effect of propionic acid addition in poultry diets on the internal organs of broiler chickens. *J. Kedokt. Hewan*, 14, 80-84. <https://doi.org/10.21157/j.ked.hewan.v14i3.15280>
- Papatsiros, V. G.; P. D. Katsoulos; K. C. Koutoulis; M. Karatzia; A. Dedousi; G. Christodouloupoulos 2013.** Alternatives to antibiotics for farm animals. CAB reviews, Agriculture Veterinary Science Nutrition. Volume 8, Pages 1- 15. <http://hdl.handle.net/11615/31965>, DOI 10.1079/PAVSNNR20138032.
- Ragaa, N. M. and Korany R. M. 2016.** Studying the effect of formic acid and potassium diformate on performance, immunity and gut health of broiler chickens. *Anim. Nutri.* 2:296–302.
- Reitman, S. and Frankel, S. 1957.** A Method for determination of enzymatic activities. *Am. J. Clin. Path.* (287): 56-58.
- Ricke, S. C. 2003.** Perspective on the use of organic acids (OAs) and short chain fatty acids as antimicrobials. *Poultry Science*. Volume 82, Issue 4, Pages 632- 639.
- Saleem, G.; R. Ramzaan; F. Khattak and R. Akhtar 2016.** Effects of acetic acid supplementation in broiler chickens orally challenged with *Salmonella Pullorum*. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences*. 40: 434-443. <http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/veterinary/>. Doi: 10.3906/vet-1505-66.
- Shahid, S.; Chand, N.; Khan, R.U.; Suhail, S.M. and Khan, N.A. 2015.** Alterations in cholesterol and fatty acids composition in egg yolk of Rhode Island Red x Fyoumi hens fed with hemp seeds (*Cannabis sativa L.*). *J. Chem.* Article ID 362936 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/362936>
- Stamilla, A.; A. Meesina; S. Sallemi; L. Condorelli; F. Antoci; R. Puleio; G. R. Loria; G. Cascone and M. Lanza 2020.** Effects of microencapsulated blends of organics acid (OA) and essential oils (EO) as a feed additive for broiler chicken. A focus on growth performance, gut morphology and microbiology. *Animals*. Volume 10, Issue 3, doi: 10.3390/ani10030442.
- Stein, E.A. 1986.** Quantitative enzymatic colorimetric determination of total cholesterol in serum or plasma. In: *Textbook of Clinical Chemistry*. NW Tietz, editor. WB.

- Saunders, Philadelphia, USA, pp.879-886.
- Sultan, A.; T. Ullah; S. Khan and R. U Khan 2015.** Effect of organic acid supplementation on the performance and ileal microflora of broiler during finishing period. *Pakistan journal of Zoology*. Volume 47, Issue (3), Pages 635-639.
- SPSS, 2011.** SPSS11.0 for Windows. SPSS Inc., Chicago. Standardization ministration of chaina. 2005. National feed Industry Standards for Enzyme Assays in chaina.
- Trinder, P. 1969.** Determination of glucose in blood using glucose oxidase with an alternative oxygen acceptor. *Annals of clinical Biochemistry*, 6(1): 24-27.
- Ullah, F; Tahir, M; Naz, S; Khan, N.A. and Khan, R.U 2022.**In vitro efficacy and ameliorating effect of *Moringa oleifera* on growth, carcass, stress and digestibility of nutrients in *Escherichia coli*-infected broilers. *Journal of Applied Animal Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09712119.2022.2039156>
- Wang, X.; Deng, T.; Zhou, X.; Chu, L.; Zeng, X.; Zhang, S.; Guan, W. and Chen, F. A 2024.** Mixture of formic acid, benzoic acid, and essential oils enhanced growth performance via modulating nutrient uptake, mitochondrion metabolism, and immunomodulation in weaned piglets. *Antioxidants*, 13, 246.
- Wickramasinghe, K. P.; Attapatu, N. S. B. M and Seresinh, R. T. 2014.** Effects of citric acid on growth performance and nutrient retention of broiler chicken fed diets having two levels of non phytate phosphorus and rice bran. *Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science*. Volume 4, Pages 809-815
E-mail: nsbm@ansci.ruh.ac.lk
- Yadav, S. and Jha, R. 2019.** Strategies to modulate the intestinal microbiota and their effects on nutrient utilization, performance, and health of poultry. *J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol.* 10:2.
- Youssef, I. M. I.; A. S. Mostafa and M. A. Abdel-Wahab 2017.** Effects of dietary inclusion of probiotics and organic acids (OAs) on performance, intestinal microbiology, serum biochemistry and carcass traits of broiler chickens. *Journal of World's Poultry Research*. Volume 7, Issue (2), Pages 57-71.
- Younis M.A; Abu Fares Fatma G.b, Alraeboub Buthuynah M.Sc. 2024.** Impact of propionic acid on growth performance, carcass traits, and nutrient digestibility in broiler chicks. Volume 7, Issue 3, 2024, Pages 46–52.
DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21608/aasj.2024.347699.1180>.
- Zeweil, H. S. 1996.** Enzyme supplements to diets growing Japanese quails. *Egypt. Poult. Sci. J.*, 16: 535-557.

الملخص العربي

تأثير إضافة الأحماض العضوية على أداء النمو، وهضم العناصر الغذائية، وبعض قياسات الدم في دجاج اللحم

محمد سيد رفاعي، محمود إبراهيم الكيلوي وابتسام عادل محمد حسن سرور

قسم انتاج الدواجن - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الوادي الجديد - مصر

أجريت هذه الدراسة بهدف تقييم تأثير إضافة أحماض عضوية مختلفة (الخليك، الستريك، البروبيونك) على الأداء الانتاجي، وهضم العناصر الغذائية، وصفات الذبيحة، وبعض قياسات الدم، وعدد البكتيريا، والكفاءة الاقتصادية. تم توزيع 120 كتكوت عمر يوم غير مجنس عشوائياً على أربع مجموعات، كل مجموعة تحتوي على 3 مكررات بكل منها 10 كتاكيت. تم استخدام المجموعة الأولى كمجموعة مقارنة. تم تغذية الطيور في المجموعات 2 و3 و4 بعليقه تحتوي على 5% من حمض الخليك والستريك والبروبيونك علي التوالي. أوضحت النتائج أن إدراج الأحماض العضوية في العليقة أدى إلى تحسين معنوي ($p < 0.05$) في وزن الجسم، وزيادة الوزن، ومعامل التحويل الغذائي، ومؤشر الإنتاج، والكفاءة الاقتصادية مقارنةً بمجموعة المقارنة، دون وجود فروق معنوية في كمية العلف المستهلك ومعاملات هضم العناصر الغذائية. كما أظهرت النتائج انخفاض في دهن البطن لدى الطيور المعاملة بالأحماض العضوية، دون تأثيرات على نسبة التصافي أو أوزان الأعضاء الداخلية. من الناحية الكيميائية الحيوية، أدت إضافة حمض الستريك إلى ارتفاع معنوي في تركيز الألبومين في بلازما الدم، بينما لم تُسجل فروق معنوية بين المجموعات في تركيز البروتين الكلي، أو الجلوبيولين، أو الجلوكوز، أو مكونات الدهون، أو أنزيمات الكبد. وأظهرت التحليلات الميكروبية أن حمض الخليك أدى إلى زيادة في العدد للبكتيري، دون أن يؤثر بشكل معنوي على عدد *Lactobacillus acidophilus*. في الختام، إضافة الأحماض العضوية بنسبة 0.5%، وخاصة حمضي الستريك والخليك تعزز أداء دجاج اللحم وانخفاض ترسب دهن البطن دون التأثير سلباً على هضم العناصر الغذائية أو معايير الدم، مما يدعم استخدامها كمحفزات طبيعية للنمو في إنتاج دجاج اللحم.