

EVALUATION OF PAN BREAD FORTIFIED WITH PEANUT FLOUR

E.A. MOHAMED, A.M. KASSEM

AND S.M. MANSOUR

Food Technology Research Institute, Agricultural Research Centre, Giza, Egypt.

(Manuscript received 8 May 1993)

Abstract

Pan bread was fortified with roasted (Microwave at 5 and 7 minutes or oven at 7 minutes) defatted peanut flour at 5, 10, and 15% levels. Gassing power, falling number and dough mixing properties of blends were determined. The organoleptic properties of the produced bread was also evaluated.

The results indicated that the addition of defatted peanut flour at 5% (roasted by microwave for 5 minutes), is recommended for rheological and sensory evaluation.

INTRODUCTION

Groundnuts (peanuts) are expected to play an important role in meeting the world's future needs for edible protein if they can be formulated into foods that look and taste like traditional foods (Ory and Conkerton, 1983). Rooney et al (1972) compared the baking properties of several oilseed flours and concluded that the defatted peanut flour had excellent baking properties and produced bread with good taste, texture, crumb and loaf volume. Lowhon et al (1975) successfully replaced 26% of the wheat flour in cake doughnuts with peanut flour. The peanut doughnuts were rated above or near "Satisfactory" and had the darkest external color when compared with doughnuts prepared from oilseed flour. McWatters (1982), found that peanut flour

could be successfully used at levels as high as 20% in cake type doughnuts, however, panelists detected a peanuty odor. No significant differences in the appearance, color, texture and flavor were observed. Ory and Conkerton (1983) replaced 50% of the wheat flour with peanut flour in cookies, while Chompreeda *et al.* (1988) successfully substituted wheat flour with 15% peanut flour in chinees type noodles.

Functionality of proteins in bread wheat was evaluated by adding milk. Oil-seed, hydrolyzed plant and wheat proteins, Suhendro *et al.* (1993) mentioned that many proteins decreased dough mixing, resting time and machinability by weakening the dough structure.

The aim of this investigation was to evaluate pan bread fortified with defatted peanut flour.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Peanut seeds variety Giza (2) were obtained from Oil Crops Research Department, Field Crops Research. Institute, Agricultural. Research. Centre, Egypt. The shelling process was carried out manually. The samples were roasted by a conventional oven at 170°C for 20 minutes and microwave for 5 and 7 minutes. The samples were blanched and the undesirable nuts were removed. The treated samples were defatted and then dried at 40°C for 30 minutes.

Crude protein, oil extract and ash contents were determined according to A.O.A.C. (1990). Total hydrolyzable carbohydrate was determined as described by Dubois *et al.* (1956).

Blends from wheat flour (72 % extract) and defatted peanut flour were prepared in ratios of (95 : 5), (90 : 10) and (85 : 15), respectively. Gassing power, falling number and dough mixing properties of the blends were determined according to Rubenthaler *et al.* (1980) and A.A.C.C. (1983), respectively. Pen bread was produced according to the method of Feleming and Sosulski (1977). Specific volume of the produced bread was determined according to A.A.C.C. (1983) and the organoleptic properties were evaluated as described by El-farsa (1973).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data presented in Table 1 show the chemical analysis of defatted peanut flour. It is clear that roasting slightly decreased protein and total hydrolyzable carbohydrate contents. These results agree with those of Bahat and Alfred (1990). Oil extract and ash contents were not affected by roasting.

Gassing power after 90 minutes of fermentation, and falling number of blends from wheat flour and defatted peanut flour are shown in Table 2. As the untreated peanut flour increased, gas production increased. In case of blends composed of wheat flour and roasted peanut, no differences were obtained when the roasted peanut flour (microwaved for 5 minutes) was used at 5, 10 and 15% levels of addition. 5% level of addition showed the same values of gassing power after 90 minutes of fermentation for all blends. At 10% and 15% levels, the untreated peanut flour showed the highest value of gassing power compared with roasted peanut. Blends of wheat flour and peanut flour roasted by microwave for 7 minutes or by oven at 170°C showed a decrease in increased. The falling number of the blends decreased as the untreated peanut flour increased.

As it is known, the falling number is negatively correlated with α -amylase activity. This agrees with the value of gassing power after 90 minutes of fermentation which increased with the increase of peanut flour. This could be attributed to the fact that the untreated peanut flour has a high activity of α -amylase enzyme which plays an important role in dough fermentation. The heating process either by oven or microwave had decreased α -amylase activity. The effect of microwave for 7 minutes was higher in decreasing the activity of α -amylase than with the oven at 170°C. The falling number decreased at 5%, 10% and 15% from 344, 348 and 350 to 340, 344 and 347 when peanuts were roasted by microwave for 7 minutes and oven at 170°C for 20 minutes, respectively.

Table 3 shows the results of the dough mixing properties (mixograph data) of blends from wheat flour and defatted peanut flour. As the defatted peanut flour increased in the blends, water absorption also increased. In each level of addition the type of roasting did not affect water absorption. The increase in water absorption could be due to the polarity of amino acids of peanut protein.

The addition of peanut flour caused an increase in the development time. This increase is believed to be due to the difference in particle size of the added peanut flour and that of wheat flour. Dough stability refers to the ability of gluten network

to resist the mechanical mixing effect. The more the dough is stable, the more the flour would be preferred for further breadmaking. The amount of peanut flour roasted by oven or microwave had affected dough stability (Table 3). The highest stability values were attained when peanut flour was added after roasting by microwave for 5 minutes.

Table 4 shows the organoleptic properties of bread produced from blends of wheat flour and defatted peanut flour. The blends containing 5% defatted peanut flour gave the highest values of overall acceptability than those having 10% or 15%. The overall acceptability of bread made from wheat flour gave score 40, while at 5% level of untreated or roasted by microwave for 5 or 7 minutes, or by oven, the scores of overall acceptability were 43, 41, 40 and 42, respectively. Specific volume of the produced bread gave the same trend as overall acceptability.

From the above mentioned results and view of the findings of Mansour *et al* (1994) that roasting peanut by microwave for 5 minutes had high protein digestibility than roasted by microwave for 7 minutes or by oven at 170 °C for 20 minutes, 5% defatted peanut flour roasted by microwave for 5 minutes could be recommended for pan bread production.

Table 1. Chemical analysis of defatted peanut flour (on dry wt. bases)

Treatment (roasting by)	Protein	Total hydrolyzable carbohydrate	Oil extract	Ash content
Control	36.08	35.20	1.86	5.995
Microwave 5 min.	35.94	34.74	1.82	5.910
Microwave 7 min.	35.87	33.15	1.80	5.872
Oven (170°C /20 min)	35.12	33.95	1.83	5.660

Table 2 . Gassing power after 90 minutes of fermentation and falling number of blends from wheat flour and defatted peanut flour.

Treatment (roasting by)	Gassing units (G.U.)			Falling number (Sec.)		
	Peanut flour			Peanut flour		
	5%	10%	15%	5%	10%	15%
Control	38	45	49	320	315	308
Microwave 5 min.	38	39	39	432	345	349
Microwave 7 min.	38	35	30	344	348	350
Oven (170°C /20 min)	38	35	30	340	344	347
Wheat flour		48			339	

Table 3 . Rheological properties of blends from wheat flour and defatted peanut flour.

Blends	Water absorption %	Dough development (min.)	Dough Stability (min.)	Max. peak height (cm.)
WF (Wheat flour)	54.0	4.5	9.4	5.5
WF+5% PF (untreated)	56.0	5.0	8.9	5.1
WF+5% PF (Microwaved 5 min.)	58.9	5.5	10	5.3
WF+5% PF (Microwaved 7 min.)	58.9	5.5	8.4	5.3
Oven (170 °C/20 min.)	58.9	5.25	9.3	5.2
WF+5% PF (untreated)	56.0	4.75	8.3	5.1
WF+5% PF (Microwaved 5 min.)	58.9	5.5	10.5	5.3
WF+5% PF (Microwaved 7 min.)	58.9	5.0	9.5	5.3
Oven (170 °C/20 min.)	58.9	5.75	9.6	5.3
WF+5% PF (untreated)	56.0	4.75	8.0	5.3
WF+5% PF (Microwaved 5 min.)	61.7	6.0	10.5	5.4
WF+5% PF (Microwaved 7 min.)	61.7	5.75	8.3	5.4
Oven (170 °C/20 min.)	61.7	5.75	8.5	5.4
WF = Wheat flour PF = Peanut flour				

Table 4. Sensory evaluation of pan bread produced from blends of wheat flour and defatted peanut flour.

Blends	Crust Color	Crumb color	Texture	Taste	Odor	Overall accept.	Specific Volume
Wheat flour% peanut flour%							
100		10	10	10	10	10	—
Untreated							
95	5	8	8	9	9	9	43
90	10	9	7	7	7.5	7	37.5
85	15	9	6	6	6.5	6	33.5
Microwave 5 min.							
95	5	8	8	8.5	8.5	8	41
90	10	7	7	8	7	7	36
85	15	6.5	6	7.5	6.5	6	32.5
Microwave 7 min.							
95	5	8	8	8	8	8	40
90	10	7	7	7	7	7	35
85	15	6	6	6	6	6	30
Oven (170°C/20 min.)							
95	5	8	8	9	9	8	42
90	10	7	7	8	8	7	37
85	15	6.5	5.5	7	7	6	32

REFERENCES

- 1 . A.A.C.C. 1983. American Association of Cereal Chemists. Cereal Laboratory Methods 12th. Ed. Published by American Association of Cereal Chemists, Inc. Minnesota U.S.A.
- 2 . A.O.A.C. 1990. Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Analytical Chemist's. Published by A.O.A.C., WA 200 44.
- 3 . Bahat. S. and T. Alfred. 1990. Effect of variety and processing methods on phytic acid and in vitro protein digestibility of peanuts. Peanut CRSP, Annual report, Peanut Collaborative Research Support Program 1980 - 1990, Univ. of Georgia. Georgia Experimental Station, Griffin Georgia, 148 - 151.
- 4 . Chompreeda, P., A.V.A. Resurreccion, Y.C. Hung, and L.R. Beuchat. 1988. Modelling the effect of peanut and COpea flour supplementation on quality of Chinese - type noodles. Int.J. Food Sci. and Tech. 23 : 555 - 563.
- 5 . Dubois, M. K.A. Gilles, J.K. Hamelton, P.A. Rebers and F. Smith. 1956. Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substance. Anal. Chem. 28 : 350.
- 6 . El-Farra, A.A. 1973. The suitability of different cereal flour for bread making Ph. D. Thesis. Moscow Univ. (Eng. Summary).
- 7 . Feleming, S.E. and F.W. Sosuski. 1977. Breadmaking properties of four concentrated plant protein. Cereal Chem. 54 : 1124.
- 8 . Lowhon, J.T., C.M. Cater, and K.F. Mattil. 1975. Sensory, analytical evaluation of cake type dough nuts fortified with protein from oil seed flours. Food. Prod. Dev. 9 (4) : 110 - 118.
- 9 . Mansour, S.M., A. Osama., A.M. Kassem and A.M. Eid. 1994. Effect of heat processing on amino acids, total soluble and digestibility of peanut protein. Egypt. J. Agric. Res.
- 10 . McWatters, K.H. 1982. Quality characteristics of cake - type doughnuts containing peanut, cowpea and bean flour. Peanut Sci. 9 (2) : 101 - 103.
- 11 . Ory, R.L. and E.J. Conkerton. 1983. Supplementation of baking items with high protein peanut flour 60 (50) : 986 - 989.
- 12 . Rooney, L.W., C.B. Gastafson, S.P. Clark, and C.M. Cater. 1972. Comparison of the baking properties of several oil seed flours. J. Food. Sci. 37 (1) : 14-18.
- 13 . Rubenthaler, G.L., P.L. Finney, D.E. Demary, and K.F. Finney 1980. Gasograph : Design, construction and reproductibility of a sensitive 12 channel gas recording instrument Cereal Chem. 57 (3) : 212 - 216.
14. Suhendro, E.L., R.D. Waniska, and L.W. Rooney. 1993. Effect of added protein in wheat tortillas Cereal Chem. 70 (4) 412 - 416.

REFERENCES

تقييم الخبز الافرنجى المدعم بدقيق الفول السودانى

1. A.A.C. 1983. American Association of Cereal Chemists. Cereal Laboratory Methods 12th Ed. Published by American Association of Cereal Chemists, Inc. Minnesota U.S.A.
2. A.O.A.C. 1990. Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Analytical Chemists. Published by A.O.A.C. Washington D.C. U.S.A.
3. Baker, S. and T. Alford. 1990. Effect of roasting and processing methods on the in vitro protein digestibility of peanuts. Peanut CRSP Annual report, Peanut Collaborative Research Support Program 1980 - 1990, Univ. of Georgia, Georgia Experimental Station, Griffin Georgia, 148 - 151.
- معهد بحوث تكنولوجيا الأغذية ، مركز البحوث الزراعية - الجيزة. 1988. Mod-
ifying the effect of peanut and CO₂ flour supplementation on quality of Chinese
- type noodles. Int. J. Food Sci. and Tech. 23 : 252 - 263.
2. Dubois, M. K.A. Gilles, J.K. Hamilton, P.A. Rebers and R. Smith. 1956. Color-
imetric method for determination of sugars and related substance. Anal. Chem.
28 : 350.
- أجريت هذه الدراسة لتقييم الخبز الافرنجى المدعم بدقيق الفول السودانى والذي
أضيف بنسبة ٥ ، ١٠ ، ١٥٪. ولقد أجرى تحميص للفول السودانى بواسطة الفرن العادى
١٧٠م / ٢٠ ق وبواسطة الميكرويف لمدة ٥ ، ٧ دقائق وتم تقشير الفول السودانى المحمص
ونزع القشرة الحمراء يدويا ثم تم طحنته واستخلاص الزيت منه وتم استخدامه كدقيق
منزوع الزيت .
1. T. C.M. Cater, and K.F. Mattil. 1975. Sensory, analytical evaluation
of cake type doughs fortified with protein from oil seed flours. Food
Dev. 9 (4) : 110 - 118.
- إختبرت الخلطات لقوة الغازات المتولدة - ورقم السقوط والصفات الريولوجية
للعجينة. كما تم تصنيع الخبز الافرنجى وتقييم الناتج حسيًا. وأظهرت النتائج أن إضافة
٥٪ دقيق فول سودانى منزوع الدهن (ناتج من تحميص الميكرويف لمدة ٥ ق) قد أدى إلى
الحصول على مواصفات عجينة وقيم تقييم حسي مرتفعة .
1. Ory, R.L. and E.J. Conkerton. 1983. Supplementation of baking items with high
protein peanut flour 60 (20) : 986 - 989.
1. Rooney, L.W., C.B. Gaston, S.P. Clark, and C.M. Cater. 1975. Comparison of
the baking properties of several oil seed flours. J. Food. Sci. 37 (1) : 14-18.
1. Rubenthaler, G.L., P.L. Finney, D.E. Demery, and K.F. Finney. 1980. Gasograph :
Design, construction and reproducibility of a sensitive 12 channel gas record-
ing instrument. Cereal Chem. 57 (3) : 213 - 218.
1. Schubert, E.L., R.D. Wankar, and L.W. Rooney. 1988. Effect of added protein in
wheat tortillas. Cereal Chem. 70 (4) : 412 - 418.