



## A Qualitative Environmental Study of Some Water Bodies Spread in Makhmour District/Iraq

Abdulmoneim M. A. Kannah, and Hiba F.A. Shihab\*

Department of Biology, College of Science, University of Mosul, Mosul, Iraq

\*Corresponding Author: [hiba.fares@uomosul.edu.iq](mailto:hiba.fares@uomosul.edu.iq)

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article History:

Received: May 30, 2025

Accepted: July 15, 2025

Online: July 27, 2025

#### Keywords:

Tigris,  
Makhmour District,  
Water quality,  
Dissolved oxygen

### ABSTRACT

The Tigris River is one of the most vital water resources in Iraq. This study was conducted on several interconnected water bodies that form part of the Tigris River Basin, specifically within the Makhmur District of Nineveh Governorate. The objective was to assess the environmental status of these water bodies by analyzing both physicochemical parameters and biological components, particularly for algae. Samples were collected and tested for a range of physical and chemical properties, including water temperature, pH, electrical conductivity (EC), dissolved oxygen (DO), nitrates, sulfates, phosphates, alkalinity, and concentrations of sodium, potassium, calcium, and magnesium. The data obtained were compared against Iraqi and international standard specifications to evaluate their suitability for various civil uses. Water temperature showed seasonal variation, reaching up to 29°C in summer and dropping to 12–13°C during winter. The average pH across all study sites was 7.03, indicating near-neutral conditions. However, turbidity values exceeded the internationally acceptable limit of 5 NTU at all sites, suggesting poor water quality based on this indicator. Electrical conductivity increased noticeably during the summer, with the highest reading (4243  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ ) recorded at Site 2. In contrast, winter EC values were lower, ranging between 2288 and 2292  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ . Biologically, the study recorded the presence of 17 algal genera, indicating moderate biodiversity and providing further insight into the ecological status of the water bodies.

### INTRODUCTION

Water is a vital gift of nature, essential for the survival of humans, animals, and plants. It is not only a symbol of life but also a fundamental requirement for it. Scientific research and studies have long emphasized the importance of water, especially concerning its properties and its role in sustaining life. Water constitutes a significant portion of the cellular content in all living organisms and serves as a medium for numerous biological reactions (Abdul Jaleel & Shabout, 2024).

Moreover, water resources play a critical role in supporting various economic and social sectors (Amgharou *et al.*, 2022). As such, maintaining the quality of freshwater streams is essential for ensuring safe living conditions and the sustainability of productive sectors (Abdelrazek & Elnaka, 2022).

One of the most pressing challenges facing humanity today is environmental pollution, particularly in developing countries. In Iraq, environmental concerns have

been exacerbated by prolonged conflict, poor infrastructure, and insufficient environmental awareness. These conditions have led to the accumulation of waste, the spread of diseases, and the contamination of rivers by floodwaters and rainwater runoff. Furthermore, the continuous discharge of untreated industrial, domestic, and agricultural wastewater into the river has significantly deteriorated its quality (Al-Mandeel *et al.*, 2024). As a result, the physical, chemical, and biological properties of the water have been compromised, rendering it unsuitable for many uses (Mahmood *et al.*, 2021).

The current study aimed to evaluate the suitability of some water bodies in Makhmur district in terms of quantity and quality for various uses, in light of the existing shortage and deterioration of the quality of the watercourse due to various human activities, in addition to the cumulative factor of pollutants.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study area

The present study was conducted on several water bodies located throughout the Makhmur District, Nineveh Governorate, Iraq. These water bodies are extensions of the Tigris River's flow, situated along its left bank. Some sampling sites were in close proximity to the river, while others were several kilometers away.

### Methodology

Sampling and analytical procedures were carried out according to standard methods outlined by APHA (2017). The analysis focused on evaluating the physicochemical parameters of water to assess environmental quality and suitability for civil uses.

#### Physical parameters

- **Water temperature:** Measured in the field using a mercury thermometer graduated from 0– 100°C.
- **Turbidity:** Determined using a HANNA – LP 2000 turbidity meter. Results were expressed in Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTU).

#### Chemical parameters

- **pH:** Measured using a calibrated pH meter.
- **Electrical conductivity (EC) and total dissolved solids (TDS):** Measured using a TDS-C°-Meter (Model: YL-TDS2-A). EC results were expressed in microsiemens per centimeter ( $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ ), and TDS values in milligrams per liter (mg/L).
- **Dissolved oxygen (DO):** Determined using the Winkler method with azide modification. Water samples were collected in oxygen bottles, to which 2 mL of manganese sulfate and 2 mL of alkaline potassium iodide solutions were added. After gentle mixing and protection from light, 2 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid was added to dissolve the precipitate and release iodine. In the laboratory, 100mL of the treated sample was titrated with sodium thiosulfate

solution ( $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ ), and DO was calculated using the formula:  
 $\text{DO (mg/L)} = (V_{\text{thio}} \times N_{\text{thio}} \times \text{Eq.wt} \times 1000) / \text{mL of sample}$

- **Biochemical oxygen demand ( $\text{BOD}_5$ ):** Measured using the same procedure as DO. Samples were incubated in dark bottles at  $20^\circ\text{C}$  for 5 days.  $\text{BOD}_5$  was calculated using:  $\text{BOD}_5 = \text{DO}_{\text{initial}} - \text{DO}_{\text{day5}}$
- **Nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ):** Measured using the Ultra Violet Screening method. After adding 1mL of 1N HCl to the sample, absorbance was recorded at 220 and 275nm using a spectrophotometer. Final concentration was obtained from a standard curve (mg/L).
- **Orthophosphate ( $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ ):** Measured using the Stannous Chloride method. A known sample volume was treated with phenolphthalein indicator, 4mL of ammonium molybdate, and 10 drops of stannous chloride. After 10–12 minutes, the absorbance was measured at 690nm using a spectrophotometer. Concentration was determined using a standard curve (mg/L).
- **Sulfate ( $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ):** Estimated using the turbidimetric method. To a known volume of the sample, 1– 2mL of 1:1 HCl was added, followed by barium chloride crystals. Absorbance was read at 420nm, and concentrations were derived from a standard curve (mg/L).
- **Total alkalinity:** Determined by titrating a known volume of sample against 0.02N sulfuric acid using phenolphthalein and methyl orange as indicators. Results were expressed in mg/L as  $\text{CaCO}_3$ .
- **Chloride ( $\text{Cl}^-$ ):** Measured using Mohr's method. A known sample volume was titrated against 0.0141N silver nitrate after adding potassium chromate as an indicator. Chloride concentration was calculated using the formula:  
 $\text{Cl}^- (\text{mg/L}) = (A - B) \times N \times 35450 / \text{mL of sample}$
- **Total hardness (TH):** Measured using EDTA titration. A known volume of sample was treated with buffer and Eriochrome Black T indicator. The solution turned purple, and titration was continued until a blue endpoint appeared.  
 $\text{TH (mg/L as CaCO}_3) = V \times N \times \text{Eq.wt} \times 1000 / \text{mL of sample}$
- **Calcium hardness (CaH):** Determined using the same EDTA method but with meroxide indicator. The sample was titrated until a purple endpoint appeared.  
 $\text{CaH (mg/L as CaCO}_3) = V \times N \times \text{Eq.wt} \times 1000 / \text{mL of sample}$
- **Magnesium hardness (MgH):** Calculated as:  $\text{MgH} = \text{TH} - \text{CaH}$
- **Sodium ( $\text{Na}^+$ ) and potassium ( $\text{K}^+$ ):** Measured using a flame photometer, and results were expressed in mg/L.

## RESULTES AND DISCUSSION

## Water temperature

Data from Tables (1, 2) indicate seasonal variation in water temperature across all study sites, peaking at 29°C during the summer and dropping to 12–13°C in winter. This fluctuation is attributed to seasonal changes in solar angle, day length, and water levels, which decrease during the summer (**Al-Kalabi, 2024**). Importantly, water temperatures across all sites remained within the permissible range set by international standards (**FAO/WHO, 2011**).

## pH

The pH values across all sites averaged 7.03, indicating near-neutral conditions. No significant seasonal variation was observed, with the highest value (7.5) recorded in winter at Site 5 and the lowest (7.0) at sites 1 and 5 during summer. These slight fluctuations may be due to rainfall and the resulting runoff carrying dissolved substances into the water bodies (**Najeeb & Saeed, 2022**). All pH readings are consistent with historical Iraqi ranges (7.4–8.5) (**Al-Rubaie, 2002; Hussein et al., 2025**) and within the acceptable range of 6–9.5 (**FAO/WHO, 2011; Al-Hamadany et al., 2024**).

## Turbidity

Turbidity varied seasonally, with values increasing in summer due to reduced water levels and inflow of liquid waste. The highest turbidity (140 NTU) was recorded at Site 5. All sites exceeded the international turbidity limit of 5 NTU, indicating poor water quality (**Wolde et al., 2020**).

## Electrical conductivity (EC)

EC values increased significantly in summer, reaching a maximum of 4243  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$  at Site 2, compared to 2288–2292  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$  in winter. This rise is attributed to higher evaporation rates, lower water volumes, and increased ion concentration during dry periods (**Shihab & Kannah, 2021; Mohammed, 2022**). All EC values exceeded the WHO standard of 400  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ , indicating poor water quality (**WHO, 2006**).

## Total dissolved solids (TDS)

TDS values ranged from 1113 to 2248 mg/L, with the highest concentration recorded at Site 4 during winter. These values correlate with EC trends, reflecting a direct relationship between the two variables (**Karthik et al., 2019**). Since the international limit for TDS is 1000 mg/L (**WHO, 2006**), all sites are considered to have poor water quality based on this parameter.

## Dissolved oxygen (DO)

DO concentrations ranged from 3.2 to 11.6mg/ L during spring. Variations in DO are influenced by temperature, organic matter decomposition, and anthropogenic activities (**Kouti *et al.*, 2025**). Nevertheless, all DO values remained within WHO acceptable limits (**FAO/WHO, 2011**).

### **Biological oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>)**

BOD<sub>5</sub> values ranged from 0.1– 1.2mg/ L in spring to 4– 4.5mg/ L in summer. This inverse relationship between DO and BOD<sub>5</sub> indicates organic pollution, likely due to domestic waste discharge (**Mahmoud & Saeed, 2023; Al-Mandeel *et al.*, 2025**).

### **Nitrates (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>)**

Nitrate levels ranged from 0.9– 0.97mg/ L in winter to 31– 52.5mg/ L in summer and spring. Elevated concentrations during dry seasons are attributed to agricultural runoff. Despite these variations, all values remained within safe limits for aquatic life (**Houhamdi *et al.*, 2025**).

### **Sulfates (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>)**

Sulfate concentrations varied seasonally, peaking at 234mg/ L (Site 4) in winter and 135.7mg/ L in spring. All values were below the WHO threshold of 500mg/ L, indicating acceptable water quality concerning this parameter (**Abdul Jaleel & Shabout, 2024**).

### **Phosphates (PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>)**

Phosphate concentrations were at their highest during winter (0.54– 0.6mg/ L), likely due to rainfall and nutrient leaching from adjacent agricultural land (**Al-Saffawi *et al.*, 2006; Khudair, 2013**). Summer values were lower (0.22– 0.362mg/ L), likely due to uptake by aquatic organisms (**Al-Eryani, 2005**). All winter values exceeded the WHO permissible limit of 0.4mg/ L (**WHO, 2006**), indicating poor water quality.

### **Chloride (Cl<sup>-</sup>)**

Chloride concentrations exceeded the WHO limit (250mg/ L) in winter, ranging from 490– 494mg/ L. In summer and spring, concentrations were lower (148– 149.9mg/ L). The winter spike is attributed to urban and industrial runoff (**Al-Shaker & Mohammed, 2019**), rendering water quality poor in terms of chloride during that season.

### **Total, calcium, and magnesium hardness**

Total hardness ranged from 200– 330mg/ L, with calcium hardness between 120 & 185mg/ L and magnesium hardness from 80– 145mg/ L. Seasonal variation was observed across all values. Surface water typically exhibits lower hardness than groundwater due to differences in geological composition (Shihab & Kannah, 2023).

### Total alkalinity

Total alkalinity values ranged from 160– 180mg/ L, with higher concentrations in winter at sites 1, 3, and 5. Seasonal changes in waste input and organic decomposition contribute to alkalinity levels, particularly due to the formation of bicarbonates from carbon dioxide (Saeed & Dawas, 2023).

### Sodium (Na<sup>+</sup>) and potassium (K<sup>+</sup>)

Summer concentrations of sodium and potassium reached 130mg/ L and 17mg/ L, respectively. The increase in potassium is likely due to agricultural runoffs and fertilizers, while sodium levels are influenced by drainage and seasonal water level fluctuations (Shihab & Kannah, 2021). Though sodium levels remained within the WHO limit (200mg/ L), potassium exceeded the permissible value of 12mg/ L at all sites (Al-Sarraj *et al.*, 2014), indicating poor water quality with respect to potassium.

**Table 1.** Physical and chemical characteristics of the studied sites for winter

| Parameter                          | the site 1 | the site 2 | the site 3 | the site 4 | the site 5 | Average |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| Water temperature C°               | 12         | 13         | 12,5       | 12,4       | 12         | 12.3    |
| EC $\mu$ s/cm                      | 2292       | 2289       | 2290       | 2288       | 2288       | 2289    |
| TDS mg/l                           | 1144       | 1150       | 1148       | 2248       | 1146       | 1367    |
| Turbidity NTU                      | 12         | 13         | 12         | 12         | 11         | 12      |
| ph                                 | 7,4        | 7          | 7          | 7          | 7,5        | 7       |
| Toata alkalinity mg/l              | 180        | 175        | 180        | 175        | 180        | 178     |
| DO mg/l                            | 6          | 6,5        | 7          | 6,5        | 7          | 6.7     |
| BOD <sub>5</sub> mg/l              | 2          | 1,5        | 1,5        | 1,5        | 1,5        | 2       |
| SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> mg/l  | 233        | 230        | 233        | 234        | 230        | 232     |
| PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-3</sup> mg/l | 0,544      | 0,6        | 0,6        | 0,570      | 0,6        | 0.582   |
| Cl <sup>-</sup> mg/l               | 494        | 490        | 494        | 490        | 494        | 492     |
| NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> mg/l  | 0,97       | 0,97       | 0,90       | 0,96       | 0,97       | 0.954   |
| Total hardness mg/l                | 200        | 320        | 310        | 310        | 300        | 288     |
| Ca <sup>+2</sup> mg/l              | 120        | 120        | 120        | 120        | 120        | 120     |
| Mg <sup>+2</sup> mg/l              | 80         | 80         | 80         | 80         | 80         | 80      |
| K mg/l                             | 17         | 17         | 17         | 17         | 17         | 17      |
| Na mg/l                            | 130        | 130        | 130        | 130        | 130        | 130     |

**Table 2.** Physical and chemical characteristics of the studied sites for summer

| Parameter | the site 1 | the site 2 | the site 3 | the site 4 | the site 5 | Average |
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|
|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|

|   |       |        |       |       |       |        |
|---|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Water temperature C°                        | 28    | 29     | 29    | 28    | 28    | 28.4   |
| EC $\mu\text{s/cm}$                         | 2301  | 4243   | 3420  | 3043  | 2226  | 3046.6 |
| TDS $\text{mg/l}$                           | 1150  | 2121   | 1710  | 1521  | 1113  | 1523   |
| Turbidity NTU                               | 110   | 120    | 120   | 130   | 140   | 124    |
| Ph  | 7     | 6,7    | 6,8   | 6,9   | 7     | 6.88   |
| Toata alkalinity $\text{mg/l}$              | 160   | 170    | 165   | 165   | 160   | 164    |
| DO $\text{mg/l}$                            | 7     | 6,1    | 6,5   | 6,7   | 7     | 6.66   |
| BOD <sub>5</sub> $\text{mg/l}$              | 4     | 4,5    | 4,2   | 4,4   | 4     | 4.22   |
| SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> $\text{mg/l}$  | 90,37 | 50,87  | 50,5  | 50,6  | 80,7  | 64.61  |
| PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-3</sup> $\text{mg/l}$ | 0,221 | 0,362  | 0,350 | 0,360 | 0,221 | 0.303  |
| Cl <sup>-</sup> $\text{mg/l}$               | 99,96 | 149,95 | 100   | 99,9  | 99,9  | 109.94 |
| NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> $\text{mg/l}$  | 42,5  | 31     | 49,5  | 51,7  | 50,6  | 45.1   |
| Total hardness $\text{mg/l}$                | 300   | 320    | 310   | 310   | 300   | 308    |
| Ca <sup>+2</sup> $\text{mg/l}$              | 160   | 180    | 170   | 175   | 160   | 140    |
| Mg <sup>+2</sup> $\text{mg/l}$              | 140   | 140    | 140   | 135   | 140   | 139    |
| K $\text{mg/l}$                             | 17    | 17     | 17    | 17    | 17    | 17     |
| Na $\text{mg/l}$                            | 130   | 130    | 130   | 130   | 130   | 130    |

**Table 3.** Physical and chemical characteristics of the studied sites for spring

| Parameter                                   | the site 1 | the site 2 | the site 3 | the site 4 | the site 5 | Average |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| Water temperature C°                        | 17         | 16         | Dry        | 16         | 18         | 16.75   |
| EC $\mu\text{s/cm}$                         | 3193       | 3510       | Dry        | 3232       | 3705       | 3410    |
| TDS $\text{mg/l}$                           | 1332       | 1755       | Dry        | 1616       | 1872       | 1544    |
| Turbidity NTU                               | 115        | 140        | Dry        | 110        | 115        | 96      |
| ph  | 7          | 6,7        | Dry        | 6,9        | 7          | 6.9     |
| Toata alkalinity $\text{mg/l}$              | 165        | 170        | Dry        | 165        | 160        | 165     |
| DO $\text{mg/l}$                            | 3,2        | 11,6       | Dry        | 7,6        | 4          | 6.6     |
| BOD <sub>5</sub> $\text{mg/l}$              | 0,1        | 1,2        | Dry        | 2          | 0,8        | 1.03    |
| SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>=</sup> $\text{mg/l}$  | 114,6      | 41         | Dry        | 170        | 135,7      | 115.33  |
| PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-3</sup> $\text{mg/l}$ | 0,09       | 0,099      | Dry        | 0,089      | 0,14       | 0.327   |
| Cl <sup>-</sup> $\text{mg/l}$               | 99         | 148        | Dry        | 98         | 97         | 111     |
| NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> $\text{mg/l}$  | 47,6       | 45,2       | Dry        | 52,5       | 38,2       | 45.9    |
| Total hardness $\text{mg/l}$                | 310        | 330        | Dry        | 320        | 310        | 318     |
| Ca <sup>+2</sup> $\text{mg/l}$              | 165        | 185        | Dry        | 185        | 165        | 175     |
| Mg <sup>+2</sup> $\text{mg/l}$              | 145        | 145        | Dry        | 135        | 145        | 143     |
| K $\text{mg/l}$                             | 17         | 17         | Dry        | 17         | 17         | 17      |
| Na $\text{mg/l}$                            | 130        | 130        | Dry        | 130        | 130        | 130     |

### Biological characteristics

#### Algae

All aquatic systems, whether found above or below the Earth's surface, are subject to changes in quality as a result of anthropogenic activities. Among the organisms inhabiting freshwater systems, algae play a crucial ecological role as primary producers and serve as a main source of energy for other organisms within the ecosystem. Their abundance and distribution are strongly influenced by environmental factors (Toma & Aziz, 2023).

Table (4) presents the results of algal identification, revealing the presence of 17 algal genera recorded during the winter and summer seasons at sites 1 and 2. These genera belong to several taxonomic groups, as detailed in the Table (4). Notably, certain genera—such as *Oscillatoria*—are recognized as biological indicators of water pollution due to their tolerance for nutrient-rich or degraded environments (Kannah, 2001).

**Table 4.** Types of algae identified at the study sites

| Species  | The sites |             |   |   |   |
|--|-----------|-------------|---|---|---|
|  | 1         | 2           | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Division Cyanophyta<br>Order Oscillatoriales<br>Family Oscillatoriaceae<br>Genus <i>Oscillatoria</i> | -         | +<br>W<br>S | - | - | - |
| Order Nostocales<br>Family Scytonemataceae Genus <i>scytonema</i>                                    | +<br>W    | -           | - | - | - |
| Order Thalassiosirales<br>Family Stephanodiscaceae<br>Genus <i>Cyclotella</i>                        | -         | +<br>S W    | - | - | - |
| Order Naviculales<br>Family Naviculaceae<br>Genus <i>Navicula</i>                                    | -         | +<br>S W    | - | - | - |
| Order Euglenales<br>Family Euglenaceae<br>Genus <i>Euglena</i>                                       | +<br>S    | -           | - | - | - |
| Order Chlorococcales<br>Family Selenastraceae<br>Genus <i>Ankistrodesmus</i>                         | -         | +<br>S      | - | - | - |
| Order <u>Sphaeropleales</u><br>Family <u>Scenedesmaceae</u><br>Genus <i>Coelastrum</i>               | -         | +<br>S      | - | - | - |
| Order Chroococcales<br>Family Microcystaceae<br>Genus <i>Microcystis</i>                             | +<br>S    | -           | - | - | - |
| Order <u>Achnanthales</u><br>Family <u>Cocconeidaceae</u><br>Genus <i>Cocconeis</i>                  | -         | +<br>S      | - | - | - |
| Order Desmiales<br>Family Desmidiaceae<br>Genus <i>Cosmarium</i>                                     | -         | +<br>S      | - | - | - |
| Order Sphaeropleales   | -         | +           | - | - | - |

| Species   | The sites |        |   |   |   |
|---|-----------|--------|---|---|---|
|   | 1         | 2      | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Family <i>Cylindrocapsaceae</i><br><i>Genus Cylindrocapsa</i>                           |           | S      |   |   |   |
| Order <i>Cymbellales</i><br>Family <i>Cymbellaceae</i><br><i>Genus Cymbella</i>         | -         | +<br>S | - | - | - |
| Order <i>Sphaeropleales</i><br>Family <i>Hydrodictyaceae</i><br><i>Genus Pediastrum</i> | -         | +<br>S | - | - | - |
| Order <i>Melosirales</i><br>Family <i>Melosiraceae</i><br><i>Genus Melosira</i>         | -         | +<br>S | - | - | - |
| Order <i>Fragilariales</i><br>Family <i>Fragilariaceae</i><br><i>Genus Synedra</i>      | -         | +<br>S | - | - | - |
| Order <i>Bacillariales</i><br>Family <i>Bacillariaceae</i><br><i>Genus Nitzschia</i>    | -         | +<br>S | - | - | - |
| Order <i>Cladophorales</i><br>Family <i>Cladophoraceae</i><br><i>Genus Cladophora</i>   | +<br>W    | -      | - | - | - |

## CONCLUSION

Electrical conductivity (EC) values showed a significant increase during the summer, with the highest measurements recorded at the second site, in contrast to lower values observed in the winter season. Water quality across all sites was determined to be poor based on turbidity (NTU) and electrical conductivity (EC) indices. However, dissolved oxygen (DO) levels remained within the permissible limits established by the World Health Organization, indicating acceptable oxygenation conditions in the aquatic environment.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended to carry out detailed monitoring of heavy metal concentrations (such as lead, cadmium, chromium, zinc, copper, and mercury) in both water and sediment samples across different seasons and sites within the Makhmur District. This will help in evaluating potential risks to aquatic life and human health, and in identifying sources of contamination for effective mitigation strategies. Further studies should be undertaken to assess the diversity and abundance of aquatic fungi and bacteria in the study area. These microorganisms are essential bioindicators of water quality and play a crucial role in nutrient cycling. Understanding their composition and response to pollution, especially from organic and chemical sources, will provide valuable insights into the ecological health of these freshwater ecosystems.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the Department of Biology, College of Science, University of Mosul, for their valuable support and contributions to this study.

## REFERENCES

- Abdelrazek, S.A. and Elnaka, K.A.** (2022). Study the impact of the change of water quality in Elmahmoudia canal on the chemical properties of the agricultural environment. *Alexandria science exchange journal*, 42(4):566-570.
- Abduljaleel, A. L. A. H. and Shabout, I. K.** (2024). Designing a Research System that Includes Nanofilters and Magnetic Fields to Purify the Surface Water of the Crescent Lake in Numaniyah and the Dujaila River in Wasit Province. *Journal of Wasit for Human*, 20(3):473-500.
- Al-Eryani, A.Q.** (2005). Estimating the qualitative characteristics and trace and heavy elements in the soil and sewage water of the city of Mosul and in the plants irrigated therein and determining the efficiency of *Helianthus annuus* in removing them. PhD thesis/college of science-university of Mosul.
- Al-Hamadany, A., Kannah, A. and Al-Shahery, Y.** (2024). Water Quality of the Tigris River in Rashidieh Area-North of Mosul-Iraq Using the Canadian Indicator. *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries*, 28(4), 885-897.
- Al-kalabi, A.S.** (2024). Using the Canadian water index to assess the quality of surface water within the Euphrates River in Samawah City. Special Issue of the College of Education Conference, *Al-Mustansiriya Journal of Humanities*, 27th Specialized: 25-38.
- Al-Mandeel, F.A.; Al-Allaf, M.A. and Mohammed, M.A.** (2024). The evolution of the Al-Qayyarah refinery treatment plant's effectiveness and the impact of its discharges on the Tigris River's quality. *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries*, 28(1):156-164.
- Al-Rubaie, A.Y.M.** (2002). *Environmental Pollution, Iraq: Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research*, pp:176.
- Al-Saffawi, A.Y.; Al-Barwari, M.R. and Khadr, N.K.** (2006). Study of the natural, chemical and biological characteristics of the waters of Wadi Dohuk. *Tikrit Journal of Pure Sciences*, 146(2):4-60.
- Al-Sarraj, I.S.; Jankir, M.H. and Al-Rawi, S.M.** (2014). Some qualitative indicators of Tigris River water in Mosul city – an inferential study. *Rafidain Journal of Science*, 25(1): 22-31.
- Al-Shaker, Y.M. and Mohammed, A.B.** (2019). Assessing the Environmental Situation for Excretion Management Stations in Al-Qayyarah Refinery. *Rafidian Journal of Science*, 28(2):8-22.
- Amghar, A.; Asbai, A. and Ibn Rabia, K.** (2022). The Impact of Climate Change and Human Pressure on Surface water Filled in Dams: Case of the Mohammed V Dam on oued Moulouya (Eastern Region). *REMSES*, 3(7):197-209.
- APHA, American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, Water Pollution Control Federation, & Water Environment Federation.**

- (2017). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater (Vol. 3). American Public Health Association.
- FAO/WHO.** (2001). Codex Alimentarius Commission, Food Additives and Contaminants. Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme, 1-289.
- Houdamdi, I.; Bouakkaz, A.; Leila, B.; Loucif, K.; Major, H.; Sayad, L.; Bara, M. and Houhamdi, M.**(2025) Assessment of physicochemical water quality in a protected watland area: the case study of Garaet Hadj-Tahar (Skikda, Northeastern Algeria). *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries*, 29(2) :291-308.
- Hussein, M. Younus; Alhialy, Sh. Khaleel and Mohammed, M. Ismail** (2025). Environmental Study on the Quality of Raw Water from the Tigris River Used in Water Purification Plants in Mosul City. Vol. 29(3): 975 – 986.
- Kannah, A.M.** (2001). A study of the quality of sulfurous groundwater in Nineveh Governorate. Master's thesis, University of Mosul, College of Science, Department of Life Sciences.
- Karim, A.J.** (2020). Assessment Qualitative and quantitative of water erosion in Wadi Zarawa Basin in Sulaymaniyah, unpublished master's thesis, College of Arts, University of Iraq.
- Karthik, K.; Mayildurai, R.; Mahalakshmi, R. and Karthikeyan, S.** (2019). Physico chemical analysis of ground water of velliangadu area in Coimbatore district, Tamilnadu, India. *Rasayan Journal of Chemistry*, 12(2):409-414.
- Khudair, B.H.** (2013). Assessment of water quality index Suitability of the Tigris river of Drinking water within Baghdad city, Iraq. *J. Engineer.*,19(6):764-774.
- Kouti, A.; Razkallah, Z.; Houhandi, I.; Loucif, K.; Mezedjri, L.; Lazli, A.; Bouaguel, L. and Houhamdi, M.** (2025). Assessment of the water quality of three wetlands of Gurebes- Sanhadja Complex, a Ramsar site in Skikda, Northeast of Algeria. *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries*, 29(2):1153-1171.
- Mahmood, N.G.; Mandeel, F.A. and Saeed, I.O.** (2021). Temporal and spatial changes of some indicators of the water quality of the Tigris within Nineveh governorate/Iraq. *Review of international geographical education online*,11:5.
- Mohammed, N.A.** (2022). Assessment of the water quality of Dalmaj lake in Qadisiyah Governorate and its investment using the Canadian water quality index (CCMEWQI). *Journal of college of education*,48(1): 265-284.
- Najeeb, R. R. and Saeed, I. O.** (2022). Evaluating groundwater quality in Al-Hamdaniya district for drinking purpose using the Canadian water quality index model (CCME WQI). *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries*, 26(6), 243-255.
- Saeed, I.O. and Dawas, F.M.** (2023). The effects of industrial pollution on the Environment. *Global journal of research in Agriculture and life sciences*,3(1):1-4.
- Shihab, H.F. and Kannah, A.M.** (2021). Assessment of the water qualitative characteristics of the Tigris river Passing through the city of Mosul and Calculating the water quality index Coefficient. *Rafidain Journal of Science*, 30(3):27-37.
- Shihab, H.F. and Kannah, A.M.** (2023). Using number of environmental factors to determine the water quality of the Tigris River at Wana, Nineveh, Iraq. *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries*, 27(4):1107-1116.
- Toma, J.J. and Aziz, F.H.** (2023). Algal study in springs and streams in Shaqlawa District, Erbil Province-Iraq III-Chlorophyceae excluding Zygnematales, 70-84

- WHO, world Health Organization.** (2006). Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Geneva.
- Wolde, A. M.; Jemal, K.; Woldearegay, G. M. and Tullu, K. D.** (2020). Quality and safety of municipal drinking water in Addis Ababa City, Ethiopia. *Environmental Health and Preventive Medicine*, 25, 1-6.