

EFFECT OF DIETARY POMEGRANATE PEEL (*PUNICA GRANATUM*) ON GROWTH PERFORMANCE, BLOOD INDICES, AND IMMUNE RESPONSES OF NILE TILAPIA FINGERLINGS

Zeinab, M. A. Abdel-Azeem¹; Doaa, A. Fouda²; Marwa, M. Ali³, and Dena, A. Abd El-Bary⁴

¹Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

²Utilization By-Products Department, Animal Production Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture.

³Department of Animal Production, Fish Research Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture at Moshtohor, Benha University, Benha 13736, Egypt.

⁴Regional Center for Food and Feed, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt.

(Received 1/1/2025, accepted 30/1/2025)

SUMMARY

The study examined the effects of dietary pomegranate peel (PP) on the growth performance, feed utilization, and immune response of Nile tilapia fish (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Four diets were formulated to include pomegranate peel at 0, 50, 70, and 90 g (PP) kg⁻¹ diets and fed to the Nile tilapia fish (initial body weight, 9.8 ± 0.2 g/ fish) for 84 days. Compared to the control group, the average final weight, weight gain, specific growth rate, and feed utilization parameters of the fish group fed 50 g (PP) kg⁻¹ diets were improved. Results indicated that fish fed a 90 g (PP) kg⁻¹ diet exhibited significant improvements ($P < 0.05$) in carcass composition, including dry matter and ether extract, there was a significant decrease in the body's crude protein content. Fish groups fed diets including PP displayed decreased ($P < 0.05$) in AST and ALT values. However, the treatment with 90 g (PP)/ kg diet increased total protein. The highest values of hematocrit, hemoglobin, red blood cells, and white blood cell counts were observed in fish fed a 70 g (PP) kg⁻¹ diet. Likewise, the supplemented diet with (PP) recorded the highest levels of SOD, CAT, GSH, and GPx but the lowest MDA value. In summary, the study demonstrated that pomegranate peel at levels of 50 to 70 g (PP)/ kg diet may enhance growth performance and stimulate immunostimulatory responses of Nile tilapia.

Keywords: *O. niloticus*, pomegranate peel (*Punica granatum*), growth performance, feed utilization, carcass composition, antioxidant enzymes, and immune responses.

INTRODUCTION

Global aquaculture production has expanded year after year to suit the growing demand for aquatic animal proteins. Given the ongoing increase in demand for aquaculture as a secure and inexpensive source of seafood required for food security (FAO, 2018). Previous studies showed that using herbal extract as a supplement improves fish development, while also protecting them from diseases (Johnson *et al.*, 2007). It contains numerous bioactive substances, including quercetin, ellagic acid, punicalagin, pedunculagin, tannic acid, anthocyanins, rutin, catechin, and polyphenols.

Pomegranate components have antioxidant, neuroprotective, anti-inflammatory, anti-angiogenic, anti-cancer, anti-mutagenic, cytoprotective, cardiovascular protective, anti-ulcerogenic, hepatoprotective, antibacterial, and antifungal properties. They can also improve male fertility and protect against UV-induced skin damage.

The production of juices generates large quantities of waste and by-products; however, recycling the remaining by-products is crucial to prevent environmental pollution (Red Corn *et al.*, 2018). Pomegranate is one of the most important fruits used in the manufacture of juices and medicines products; however, large amounts of by-products are left behind (Iqbal *et al.*, 2008). The peel of pomegranate contains polyphenols and bioactive compounds that act as antioxidants and immunostimulants (Al-Zoreky, 2009).

The results of many studies showed that using pomegranate peel increased growth rate, feed efficiency, immune status, and the ability to resist oxidation in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) (Badrey *et al.*, 2019; El-Sayed *et al.*, 2014; Toutou *et al.*, 2019).

Pomegranate peel is a waste product of the pomegranate industry with higher antioxidant levels than the juice itself. It is an attractive candidate as a nutritional supplement for animal feed. Pomegranate extract is used to prevent and treat many major health problems. In particular, pomegranate peel has been extensively studied for its strong anti-microbial effect, high antioxidant activity (Ibrahim, 2010), cytotoxic effect, hypoglycemic effect (Rajput *et al.*, 2011), hypolipidemic effect (Belkacem *et al.*, 2010), hepatoprotective effect, and anti-inflammatory activity (Jurenka 2008). Also, pomegranate peel has no side effects and no known drug interactions and may be the most potent way to prevent cancer by strengthening the immune system (Lee *et al.*, 2008); preventing heart disease; prevent liver fibrosis; promoting wound healing; and strengthening connective tissue, which may keep cancer cells from spreading (Murthy *et al.*, 2004). Hence, the current study aimed to examine the potential effects of pomegranate peel on the growth, biochemical parameters, antioxidant capacity, and immunity of the Nile tilapia fingerlings.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

For 84 days, four experimental groups with triplicates were carried out at the Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University to evaluate the impact of dietary PP on growth performance, feeding utilization, carcass composition, blood parameters, and immunity of Nile tilapia fingerlings (*Oreochromis niloticus*). This experiment was approved by the Research Ethics Committee decision authority at the Faculty of Agriculture- Ain Shams University.

The rearing system & experimental design:

One hundred and twenty mono-sex *O. niloticus* fingerlings (10 ± 0.05 g) were randomly divided into twelve quadrate fiberglass tanks (of $60 \times 60 \times 30$ cm) in length, width, and depth respectively; hence, fish fingerlings were obtained from World Fish Center (WF Center) located at El Abbasa El Sharkia Governorate. Four experimental groups were allocated (in triplicate) with 10 fingerlings in each tank.

Water quality assessments:

Every fortnight, all water quality measurements were detected in the Limnology and Plankton Laboratories of the Central Laboratory for Agricultural Climate according to the standard methods of the American Public Health Association (APHA, 1985) and Boyd (1990). Water temperature and oxygen saturation were measured daily at 8.00 am by using oxygen meter (Lutron model Do – 5509). The pH values were measured in water samples using a combined electrode connected to a pH meter (Coming Co. pH meter model 345). Ammonia-nitrogen (NH_4^+), (NH_3), and nitrate (NO_3) were determined according to the methods described by Sauter and Stoub (1990). The water quality parameters were within the permissible range and presented in (Table 1).

Table (1): Averaged water quality measurements during the experimental period.

Parameters	Reading
Temperature average (C°)	26
Oxygen (mg / L)	6
pH	7.1
Ammonia (mg / L)	0.28
Nitrate (mg / L)	1

Experimental diets:

Pomegranate peel powder obtained from a hypermarket located in Cairo was chemically analyzed (Table 2) and was included in Nile tilapia diets. Fish feed was formulated according to the recommendation of the NRC (2012). Four isonitrogenous (~ 35% crude protein) and isoenergetic (~ 4315 Kcal GE/kg) were made to cover all nutrients required in experimental diets. The formulation and chemical composition of the experimental diets (% dry matter bases) were ascertained by the AOAC (2007) method and shown in Table 3.

Table (2): Chemical composition of Pomegranate powder extracted as dry matter basis.

Parameters	(%)
Dry matter (DM)	90
Crude protein (CP)	8.80
Crude fiber (CF)	13.50
Ether extract (EE)	0.2
Ash	7.90
Nitrogen-free extract NFE	59.60

Table (3): Formulation and chemical composition of experimental diets (% dry matter bases).

Ingredients	T0 (basal diet)	T 1 (5%)	T2 (7%)	T3 (9%)
Yellow corn	29.00	26.00	24.00	22.00
Pomegranate peel	0	5.00	7.00	9.00
Soybean meal	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00
Sunflower meal	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00
Poultry by-products meal	13.00	13.00	13.00	13.00
Corn gluten meal	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00
Rice bran	17.00	15.00	15.00	15.00
Vit. & min. mix*	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Fish oil	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total	100	100	100	100
Chemical composition % (FM bases)				
DM%	92.29	90.4	89.65	89.26
CP %	35.27	34.70	34.75	34.70
EE%	4.1	4	4.3	5.2
NFE%	49.2	47.5	46.4	44.6
CF %	3.72	4.20	4.20	5.00
GE Kcal/kg	4390.42	4408.25	4240.25	4245.50

Each 1 kg contains: Vitamin A, 4.0 m.i.u; Vitamin D₃, 0.8 m.i.u; Vitamin E, 4.0g; vitamin K, 0.8 m.i.u; Vitamine K, 0.8g; Vitamine B₁, 0.4g; Vitamine B₂, 1.6g; Vitamine B₆, 0.6g; Vitamine B₁₂, 4.0g; Pantothenic acid, 4.0g; Nicotinic acid, 8.0g; Folic acid, 400.0mg; Biotine, 20.0g; Chlorine chloride, 200.0g; Copper, 4.0g; Iodine, 4.0g, Iron, 12.0g, Zink, 22.0g and Selenium, 0.04g

Experimental sampling and nutritional criteria:

The individual initial fish body weight (9.8 ± 0.2 g) was recorded at the beginning of the experiment. All fish in each tank were weighed every two weeks during the whole experimental period. According to the data of body weights, the following parameters were estimated: average weight gain (AWG), average daily gain (ADG), specific growth rate (SGR), and nutritional parameters were calculated according to Cho and Kaushik (1985) as the following equations:

Average weight gain (AWG)

AWG (g/fish) = an average final weight – an average initial weight

Average daily gain (ADG)

Daily gain was estimated according to the following formula:

$$ADG = (wt_2 - wt_1) / T$$

Where:

Wt 1 = first fish weight in grams.

Wt 2 = following fish weight in grams.

T = period in days.

Specific growth rate (SGR %/day)

$$SGR = (\ln wt_2 - \ln wt_1) \times 100 / t.$$

Where:

$$\ln = (\log 10x)^{3.303}$$

wt1= first fish weight in grams.
wt2 =final fish weight in grams
t= period in day

Feed conversion ratio (FCR)

The feed conversion ratio was calculated according to the following equation:

$$FCR = \frac{\text{Total feed consumption (g)}}{\text{Final body weight (g) - initial body weight (g)}}$$

Protein efficiency ratio (PER)

The protein efficiency ratio was calculated according to the following equation:

$$PER = \frac{\text{Final body weight (g) - initial body weight (g)}}{\text{protein intake (g)}}$$

Protein productive value (PPV%)

$$(PPV\%) = 100 [\text{protein gain in fish (g) / protein intake in feed (g)}]$$

Relative intestine length (RIL), hepatosomatic index (HSI), and spleen somatic index (SSI) were calculated using the following equations:

$$RIL = \text{Intestine length(cm)} / \text{whole body weight (g)}$$

$$HSI\% = 100 \times (\text{Liver weight (g)} / \text{whole body weight (g)})$$

$$SSI\% = 100 \times (\text{Spleen weight (g)} / \text{whole body weight (g)})$$

Hematological and biochemical blood indices:

Blood samples were immediately divided into two half parts, Half was transferred to a tube containing an anticoagulant (heparin) for studying the hematological assay, while the other half was transferred to non-heparinized tubes for biochemical and immunological studies. Sera samples were obtained by blood centrifugation (3000 g, 15 min) and stored at -20 °C until use (Adel *et al.*, 2015). The serum samples were used for the analysis within 30 days to avoid the spoilage of samples. Three samples were selected at random from each treatment for the determination of the hematocrit and hemoglobin values according to (Dacie and Lewis, 1975). Blood samples were obtained from the caudal vein of individual fish. Calculation of the Red blood cells (RBCs) and White blood cells (WBCs) were obtained by hemocytometer according to the method described by (Stoskopf, 1993). Mean corpuscular volume (M.C.V.), Mean corpuscular hemoglobin (M.C.H.) and mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (M.C.H.C.) were detected by the formula suggested by (Dacie and Lewis, 1975).

$$M.C.V. = (PCV / RBCs) \times 10 \text{ as } \mu\text{mm}^3$$

$$M.C.H. = (\text{HB content gm/100ml} / RBCs) \times 10 \text{ as } \mu\text{mm}^3$$

$$M.C.H.C. = (\text{HB content gm/100ml} / PCV) \times 100 \text{ as } \%$$

The liver function activity was represented as Aspartate Amino Transaminase (AST) and Alanine Amino Transaminase (ALT). AST and ALT were assayed according to (Reitman & Frankel, 1957). Total serum protein and albumin were determined according to Henry (1974). Also, the total serum globulin was calculated by subtracting the total serum albumin from the total serum protein.

Activities of hepatic antioxidant enzymes:

Livers of four fish from each replicate were weighed and collected to analyze antioxidant enzymes. The collected supernatant was used for superoxide dismutase (SOD), Nishikimi *et al.* (1975), Peskin and Winterbourn (2000), catalase (CAT), Beers and Sizer (1952), Aebi (1984), glutathione peroxidase (GPX), Moin (1986), malonaldehyde (MDA), Dogru *et al.* (2008).

Statistical analysis:

SAS, version 6.03 (SAS 2009) was the software used to analyze all the data. The effects of dietary pomegranate levels were examined using one-way ANOVA. When Duncan's multiple-range test was used

to examine variations between means (Duncan, 1955). The data are shown as means with pooled standard error of the mean (\pm SE), and all differences were considered significant at ($P < 0.05$).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of pomegranate peel on growth performance and feeding utilization parameters:

Results in Table (4) showed significant improvement ($P < 0.05$) in the average final weight (AFW), average weight gain (AWG), and average daily gain (ADG) in treatment T1, which contains 50 g (PP) kg^{-1} diet compared to the other treatments, followed without significant differences ($P > 0.05$) by T2 (70 g PP kg^{-1} diet).

Table (4): Effect of pomegranate peel on Nile tilapia's growth performance and feeding utilization parameters.

Growth Items	T0 (basal diet)	T1 (5%)	T2 (7%)	T3 (9%)
AIW	9.86 \pm 2.22	10.04 \pm 2.22	9.56 \pm 2.22	9.84 \pm 2.22
AFW	57.42 ^b \pm 9.89	69.00 ^a \pm 2.5	61.97 ^{ab} \pm 2.5	58.10 ^b \pm 2.5
AWG	47.56 ^b \pm 2.56	58.96 ^a \pm 2.56	52.41 ^{ab} \pm 2.56	48.09 ^b \pm 2.56
ADG	0.55 ^b \pm 0.03	0.69 ^a \pm 0.03	0.61 ^{ab} \pm 0.03	0.55 ^b \pm 0.03
SGR	2.09 \pm 0.06	2.29 \pm 0.06	2.22 \pm 0.06	2.11 \pm 0.06
FCR	1.52 \pm 0.02	1.51 \pm 0.02	1.49 \pm 0.02	1.53 \pm 0.02
PER	2.06 \pm 0.12	2.30 \pm 0.12	2.26 \pm 0.12	2.09 \pm 0.12
PPV	37.07 \pm 2.09	41.04 \pm 2.09	34.77 \pm 2.09	34.31 \pm 2.09

Values are the mean \pm S.E, means in the same row, with different superscripts are significantly different ($P < 0.05$). AIW: average initial weight; AFW: average final weight; AWG: average weight gain; ADG: average daily gain; SGR: specific growth rate. FCR: Feed conversion ratio, PER: Protein efficiency ratio, PPV: Protein productive value.

However, there were no significant differences ($P > 0.05$) in specific growth rate (SGR), although treatment T1 was also the best in this regard. The results in Table 4 also, showed that adding PP in all treatments has no significant effect ($p > 0.05$) on feed conversion ratio (FCR), protein efficiency ratio (PER), and protein productive value (PPV). The best result values were observed when only 50 g PP was added in treatment T1. These findings agree with the results obtained by Hussein *et al.* (2023) who found that at a certain level, increasing the amount of pomegranate peel in diets can result in a decrease in Nile tilapia growth performance and feed intake. Toutou *et al.* (2019) found that lower levels of PP (1% to 5%) improve feed conversion ratio (FCR) and protein efficiency ratio (PER). In addition, Yousefi *et al.* (2023) observed deterioration in the growth of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) after increasing the percentage of pomegranate peel in the diet beyond 5%. They attributed this to the decrease in thyroid hormones T3 and T4 levels. The authors thought that the decrease in growth and feed utilization parameters with increasing percentage of pomegranate peel may be attributed to the higher fiber content in the diet, as well as the presence of certain phenolic compounds that affect the palatability of the pomegranate peel.

Effect of pomegranate peel on the chemical composition of fish carcass:

Results in Table 5 indicated that T3 differed significantly ($P < 0.05$) from the other treatments in both DM and EE.

Table (5): Chemical analysis of Nile tilapia fish (%DM) fed different experimental diets.

Items	T0 (basal diet)	T1 (5%)	T2 (7%)	T3 (9%)
DM	28.61 ^b \pm 0.01	27.43 ^c \pm 0.01	25.84 ^d \pm 0.01	29.68 ^a \pm 0.01
CP	60.80 ^a \pm 0.18	61.33 ^a \pm 0.18	61.30 ^a \pm 0.18	59.50 ^b \pm 0.18
EE	12.60 ^c \pm 0.11	13.30 ^b \pm 0.11	10.96 ^d \pm 0.11	14.50 ^a \pm 0.11
Ash	13.36 ^a \pm 0.09	11.13 ^c \pm 0.09	12.23 ^b \pm 0.09	11.93 ^b \pm 0.09

a, b means of the same row with different superscripts are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

This increase may be attributed to the high percentage of triglycerides, which will be discussed later. These results explained that the changes in blood cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations are sensitive

indicators of liver dysfunction because lipid homeostasis is one of the principal functions of the liver (Syed *et al.*, 2011). The results also showed a significant decrease ($P<0.05$) in CP for T3, which resulted in the lowest growth rates for fish. Additionally, T1 showed a significant increase in ash compared to the other treatments. Our findings are contrary to the results obtained from Hussein *et al.* (2023) who found no significant variations in dry matter ($P>0.05$) and ash across any of the treatments. However, there is a slight increase in the ash content of fish bodies with the increased levels of pomegranate peel in the diets.

Biological and hematological parameters of Nile tilapia:

Condition factor (K), % HSI, % SSI, and % RIL values are indicators of the fish's physiological status (Table, 6). The results revealed that the addition of pomegranate peel in *O. niloticus* diets has improved the HSI, SSI and RIL values. These may be due to the antimicrobial properties, promoting a healthier gut flora, which improves nutrient absorption and leads to a longer relative intestine length. Low levels of PP in diets may not provide an adequate concentration of beneficial compounds such as polyphenols, tannins and antioxidants. No significant effects in condition factor (K), HSI %, SSI %, and RIL were observed between the different fish groups (Table 6). These results agreed with the results of Abozahra *et al.* (2022); Kondera *et al.* (2017).

Table (6): Biological parameters of Nile tilapia juveniles fed diets supplemented with different levels of pomegranate peel (PP) for 84 days.

Biological parameters	T0	T1 (5%)	T2 (7%)	T3 (9%)
Condition factor (K)	0.016±0.001	0.017±0.002	0.017±0.001	0.017±0.002
Hepatosomatic index (HSI %)	1.78±0.09	2.72±0.58	2.00±0.27	2.38±0.41
Spleen somatic index (SSI %)	0.30 ±0.07	0.64±0.41	0.10±0.03	0.08±0.02
Relative intestine length (RIL; cm)	1.83±0.05	1.58±0.13	2.08± 0.27	2.10±0.17

Values are the mean ± S.E, means in the same row, with different superscripts are significantly different ($P<0.05$).

Results in Table (7) show that there was a significant increase ($P \leq 0.05$) in Hemoglobin, RBCs, HCT, MCV, and WBCs in the fish group fed T2 (7% PP) more than all other treatments. The hematological parameters displayed decreased RBCs, hemoglobin, and hematocrit levels following high feeding levels of pomegranate meal (9%). In parallel, Nile tilapia fed high concentrations of pomegranate meal displayed lower RBCs, hemoglobin, and hematocrit levels (Badrey *et al.*, 2019; Avazeh *et al.*, 2021). The highest significant ($P \leq 0.05$) WBC counts were obtained in the fish group fed T2 (7% PP), followed by T1 (5% PP) in the current study suggesting a possible immunomodulatory effect of pomegranate peel.

Table (7): Hematological indices of Nile tilapia juveniles fed diets enriched with different levels of pomegranate peel meal for 84 days

Items	T0	T1 (5%)	T2 (7%)	T3 (9%)
HGB (g dl ⁻¹)	11.50±0.50 ^a	9.10±0.50 ^b	12.40±0.50 ^a	8.30±0.50 ^b
RBCs (×10 ⁶ μl)	2.26±0.57 ^b	2.07±0.57 ^b	2.85±0.57 ^a	1.91±0.57 ^c
HCT (%)	30.20±0.58 ^b	30.80±0.58 ^b	40.60±0.58 ^a	25.70±0.55 ^c
MCV (fL)	133.6±0.58 ^c	148.0±0.58 ^a	142.0±0.58 ^b	134.0±0.58 ^c
MCHC (g dl ⁻¹)	38.08±0.58 ^a	29.55±0.58 ^c	30.54±0.58 ^{bc}	32.30±0.58 ^b
Platelets count (×10 ³ μl)	32.00±0.58 ^d	80.00±0.58 ^a	49.00±0.58 ^a	66.00±0.58 ^b
WBC (×10 ³ μl)	136.9±0.58 ^d	178.6±0.58 ^b	191.0±0.58 ^a	148.6±0.58 ^c

Values are the mean ± S.E, means in the same row, with different superscripts are significantly different ($P<0.05$). RBCs: Red blood cells, HB: hemoglobin concentration, HCT%: hematocrit, MCV: mean corpuscular volume, MCHC: mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration, WBCs: white blood cells.

Biochemical parameters in Nile tilapia:

In the present study, Nile tilapia fingerlings exhibited significant increases in blood total protein levels after 84 days of feeding on inclusion levels of pomegranate peel, confirming good growth performance during the feeding experiment. In terms of, total protein, albumin, and globulin, T3 (9% PP) recorded significantly higher levels between all treatments followed by the control group (T0).

The results in the present study reflect enhanced immunity and the ability to resist the infection. Pomegranate peel has several active compounds that act as immunostimulants to strengthen the immune system, these results agreed with Løvoll *et al.* (2006) and Düğenci *et al.* (2003).

In terms of cholesterol, triglyceride, HDL, LDL, and VLDL, there were significantly higher in T3 (9% PP) than in the control group. Results indicated that total cholesterol, triglyceride, and LDL were elevated with the increase of pomegranate peel levels in diets. Similar results showed in Nile tilapia (*O. niloticus*) demonstrated significantly lower cholesterol levels in all feeding diets of Nile tilapia feeding trial (after 90-day) on 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 15, and 20% pomegranate peel extracts, than the control group; except the higher levels of PP extract (15 and 20%), that recorded the highest cholesterol levels Badrey *et al.*, (2019). These results are in contrast with previous studies by Basiri (2015); Hygreeva *et al.* (2014), who showed that PP extract can lower cholesterol levels in humans and other animals. Pomegranate peel exerts inhibitory effects on pancreatic lipase activity, inhibiting fat absorption from the intestinal tract (Kumar *et al.*, 2018).

Data in Table (8) illustrated that the lowest values of ALT and AST were recorded by T2 (7% pp). The decrease in ALT and AST values is regarded as an indicator of the protective effect of pomegranate on cells, tissues, and organs (Babalola *et al.* 2009; Ibrahim, 2010). Similar results were recorded by Badawi and Gomaa (2016) and El-Sayed *et al.* (2014) suggested that dietary supplementation with pomegranate peel has no adverse effects on different fish organs or fish health status.

Table (8): Biochemical parameters in Nile tilapia (*O. niloticus*) fed diets containing different levels of pomegranate for 84 days

Items	T0	T1 (5%)	T2 (7%)	T3 (9%)
Total protein (g/dL)	1.30±0.006 ^b	1.18±0.006 ^c	1.13±0.006 ^b	1.41±0.006 ^a
Globulin (g/dL)	0.52±0.006 ^c	0.46±0.006 ^d	0.54±0.006 ^b	0.59±0.006 ^a
Albumin (g/dL)	0.77±0.006 ^b	0.72±0.006 ^c	0.77±0.006 ^b	0.82±0.006 ^a
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	139.0±0.58 ^b	133.0±0.58 ^c	139.0±0.58 ^b	178.0±0.58 ^a
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	156.0±0.58 ^c	126.0±0.58 ^d	172.0±0.58 ^b	204.0±0.58 ^a
HDL (mg/dL)	48.00±0.58 ^b	39.00±0.58 ^d	46.00±0.58 ^c	58.00±0.58 ^a
LDL (mg/dL)	59.80±0.58 ^c	68.80±0.58 ^b	58.60±0.58 ^c	79.20±0.58 ^a
VLDL (mg/dL)	31.20±0.58 ^c	25.20±0.58 ^d	34.40±0.58 ^b	40.80±0.58 ^a
ALT (U/L)	2092.0±0.58 ^a	806.0±0.58 ^c	251.0±0.58 ^d	1223.0±0.58 ^b
AST (U/L)	1472.0±0.58 ^a	932.0±0.58 ^b	733.0±0.58 ^d	864.0±0.58 ^c

Means followed by different small letters in the same row are significantly different ($P < 0.05$, one-way ANOVA). High-density lipoprotein (HDL), Low-density lipoprotein (LDL), Very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL), Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and Aspartate aminotransferase (AST).

Table (9): The activity of oxidative enzymes in Nile tilapia (*O. niloticus*) fed on diets containing different levels of pomegranate for 84 days

Items	T0	T1 (5%)	T2 (7%)	T3 (9%)
GPX	15679.4±0.29 ^a	7800.80±0.29 ^c	7003.22±0.29 ^d	13325.6±0.29 ^b
CAT	20.06±0.006 ^d	24.79±0.006 ^b	23.33±0.006 ^c	33.04±0.006 ^a
GSH	138.12±0.006 ^a	50.66±0.006 ^d	55.46±0.006 ^c	116.52±0.006 ^b
MDA	341.92±0.006 ^b	298.19±0.006 ^c	237.62±0.006 ^d	502.35±0.006 ^a
SOD	729.00±0.006 ^c	1179.0±0.006 ^a	1318.00±0.006 ^a	1019.0±0.006 ^b

Means followed by different small letters in the same row are significantly different ($P < 0.05$, one-way ANOVA).

The activity of antioxidant enzymes is presented in Table (9). GPX and GSH activity was significantly higher in the control group followed by T3 (9% PP) than in the other groups. GPX and GSH are two important antioxidant enzymes that help to protect cells from oxidative damage. The higher activity of these enzymes in the control group and T4 suggests that pomegranate may help to enhance the antioxidant capacity of Nile tilapia. SOD was significantly lower in T2 (7%PP) followed by the control group than in the other treatments. These results suggested that using PP may help to reduce oxidative stress in Nile tilapia. The current oxidative stress biomarker values measured in this study showed an increase in the activity of SOD and GPX, which enhances the activity of NADPH oxidase for the scavenging of superoxide anions (Sheikh Asadi *et al.*, 2018). CAT and MDA decreased with the decrease of pomegranate

peel levels. MDA is a non-enzyme used as a baton of oxidative stress (Khosravi-Katuli et al., 2018), so the pomegranate diets have enhanced the antioxidant enzyme capacity and health status of Nile tilapia.

CONCLUSION

Pomegranate peel exhibits a strong immunostimulant function, as seen in their antiviral and antibacterial effects. Dietary inclusion of 50–70 g pomegranate peel extracts kg⁻¹ diet can enhance the immune system response and disease resistance and control disease outbreaks, which have consequent positive impacts on growth performance, nutrient utilization efficiency, antioxidant enzymes, and immune response of the Nile tilapia. These findings demonstrate that pomegranate peel extract could be considered a potential feed ingredient to boost the growth performance and the immune response system of the Nile tilapia.

REFERENCES

- Adel, M., Safari, R., Pourgholam, R., Zorriehzakra, J. and Esteban, M.A. (2015). Dietary peppermint (*Mentha piperita*) extracts promote growth performance and increase the main humoral immune parameters (both at mucosal and systemic levels) of Caspian brown trout (*Salmo trutta caspius* Kessler, 1877). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 47(1), pp.623-629.
- Abozakra, N.A., Albalawi, A.E., Althobaiti, N.A., Mohamed, R.A. and Diab, A.M. (2022). Effects of Pomegranate (*Punica granatum*) Peel methanolic extract dietary supplementation on *Oreochromis niloticus* performance, blood health, intestine morphometry and Immunity. *Egyptian Journal of Veterinary Sciences Special issue of Egypt. J. Vet. Sc* (special issue pp.221- 236).
- Aebi, H. (1984). Catalase. In: Bergmeyer HU (ed) *Methods of enzymatic analysis*, vol. 2. academic Press, New York, NY, pp 673–683.
- Al-Zoreky, N. S. (2009). Antimicrobial activity of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) fruit peels. *International journal of food microbiology*, 134(3), 244-248.
- AOAC (2007). Method 2007-04. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington, DC.
- APHA American Public Health Association (1985). *Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater* (16th edition). Washington, D. C.
- Avazeh, A., Adel, M., Shekarabi, S. P. H., Emamadi, H., Dawood, M. A., Omid, A. H., Bavarsad, M. (2021). Effects of dietary pomegranate peel meal on the growth performance, blood indices, and innate immune response of rainbow trout (*O. mykiss*). *Annals of Animal Science*, 21(1), 233-244.
- Babalola, T. O. O., Adebayo, M. A., Apata, D. F., and Omotosho, J. S. (2009). Effect of dietary alternative lipid sources on hematological parameters and serum constituents *Heterobranchius longifilis* fingerlings. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 41, 371–377. doi: 10.1007/s11250-008-9199-1.
- Badawi, M. E., & Gomaa, A. M. (2016). Influence of diets supplemented with pomegranate peel extract on performance in *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Japanese Journal of Veterinary Research*, 64(Supplement 2), S87-S94.
- Badrey, A.A., Osman, A., S. Farrag, M., M. M. Toutou, M., A. Moustafa, M. (2019). Influences of diets supplemented with pomegranate peel on hematology, blood biochemistry and immune status in mono sex Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries*. 23, 133-144.
- Basiri, S., Shekarforoush, S. S., Aminlari, M., Akbari, S. (2015). The effect of pomegranate peel extract (PPE) on the polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and quality of Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) during refrigerated storage. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, 60(2), 1025-1033.
- Beers Jr, R. F., & Sizer, I. W. (1953). Kinetics and thermodynamics of the steady state system of catalase with hydrogen peroxide. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*, 57(3), 290-293.

- Belkacem, N. B., Rabah, D., Imad, A., ElHaci, F. L. and Kebir, B. (2010). Protective Effect of Pomegranate Fruit Juice Against *Aeromonas hydrophila*-induced Intestinal Histopathological Changes in Mice. Available online at www.derpharmachemica.com. 416- 428.
- Boyd, C.E. (1990). *Water Quality in Ponds for Aquaculture*. Birmingham Publishing, Birmingham, AL, 482 pp.
- Cho, C. Y. and S. J. Kaushik (1985). Effects of protein intake on net metabolizable and net energy values of fish diets. In: *Nutrition and feeding in fish*, Academic Press, London, 95- 117.
- Dacie, J.V. and Lewis, S.M. (1975). *Practical hematology*, 5th Edition, Churchill Livingstone, London.
- Dogru, M. I., Dogru, A. K., Gul, M., Esrefoglu, M., Yurekli, M., Erdogan, S., & Ates, B. (2008). The effect of adrenomedullin on rats exposed to lead. *Journal of Applied Toxicology: An International Journal*, 28(2), 140-146.
- Düğenci, S. K., Arda, N., & Candan, A. (2003). Some medicinal plants as immunostimulant for fish. *Journal of ethnopharmacology*, 88(1), 99-106.
- Duncan, D. (1955). Multiple range tests and multiple F tests. *Biometrics*. 11, 1-42.
- El-Sayed, B. M., Hakim, Y., El-Sayed, H. M., & Ali, H. A. (2014). Effect of partial replacement of yellow corn by pomegranate peel with or without allzyme SSF on growth performance and health status of *Oreochromis niloticus*. *World J. Fish Mar. Sci*, 6, 182-189.
- FAO (2018). *Aquaculture Department, The state of world fisheries and aquaculture*, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, 2430
- Henry T.J. (1974). *Clinical Chemistry Principles and Techniques*. 2th ed. Harper and Row Publishers; New York: 1974.
- Hussein, E. E., El-Beltagy, A., Naeem, M. A., & Hussein, E. A. (2023). Evaluation of pomegranate peel meal as feed supplement in the diets for mono-sex Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* fries. *Egyptian Journal of Nutrition and Feeds*, 26(3), 457-467.
- Hygreeva, D., Pandey, M. C., & Radhakrishna, K. (2014). Potential applications of plant-based derivatives as fat replacers, antioxidants and antimicrobials in fresh and processed meat products. *Meat science*, 98(1), 47-57.
- Ibrahim, M. I. (2010). Efficiency of Pomegranate Peel Extract as Antimicrobial, Antioxidant and Protective Agents. *World. J. Agri. Sci.*, 6: 338- 344.
- Iqbal, S., Haleem, S., Akhtar, M., Zia-ul-Haq, M., & Akbar, J. (2008). Efficiency of pomegranate peel extracts in stabilization of sunflower oil under accelerated conditions. *Food Research International*, 41(2), 194-200.
- Johnson, C. and Banerji, A. (2007). Influence of Extract Isolated from the Plant *Sesuvium portulacastrum* on Growth and Metabolism in Freshwater Teleost, *Labeo rohita* (Rohu). *Fishery Techn.*, 44: 229-234.
- Jurenka, J. M. T. (2008). Therapeutic applications of pomegranate. A Review. *Altern. Med. Rev.*, 13: 128-144.
- Khosravi-Katuli, K., Lofrano, G., Nezhad, H. P., Giorgio, A., Guida, M., Aliberti, F., Libralato, G. (2018). Effects of ZnO nanoparticles in the Caspian roach (*Rutilus rutilus caspicus*). *Science of The Total Environment*, 626, 30-41.
- Kondera, E., Kościuszko, A., Dmowska, A., Witeska, M. (2017). Hematological and hematopoietic effects of feeding different diets and starvation in common carp *Cyprinus carpio* L. *Journal of Applied Animal Research*, 45(1), 623-628.
- Kumar, N. (2018). Functional properties of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.). *Pomegranate*, 83, 172.
- Lee, S. I., Kim, B. S. Kim, K. S. Lee, S. Shin, K. S. and Lim, J. S. (2008). Immune-suppressive activity of punicalagin via inhibition of NFAT activation. *Bioch. Biophys. Res. Commu.*, 11: 799-803.
- Løvøll, M., Kilvik, T., Boshra, H., Bøggwald, J., Sunyer, J. O., Dalmo, R. A. (2006). Maternal transfer of complement components C3-1, C3-3, C3-4, C4, C5, C7, Bf, and Df to offspring in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Immunogenetics*, 58, 168-179.

- Moin, V.M. (1986). A simple and specific method for determining glutathione peroxidase activity in erythrocytes. *Lab Delo* 12, 724–727.
- Murthy, K. N., Reddy, V. K., Veigas, J. M. and Murthy, U. D. (2004). Study on wound healing activity of *Punica granatum* peel. *J. Med. Food Summer*. 7: 256-259.
- Nishikimi, M., Appaji Rao, N., Yagi, K., (1972). The occurrence of superoxide anion in the reaction of reduced phenazine methosulfate and molecular oxygen. *Biochem.Biophys. Res. Commun.* 46 (2), 849–854.
- Peskin, A. V., Winterbourn, C. C. (2000). A microtiter plate assay for superoxide dismutase using a water-soluble tetrazolium salt (WST-1). *Clinica chemical acta*, 293(1-2), 157-166.
- Rajput, R., Sagar V. S., Shalini A. R. (2011). Effect of PUNICA GRANATUM Peel Extract on Burn Wound Healing in Almino Wistar Rats. *Int. J. of Applied Biol. and Pharm. Techn.*, 2: 353-357.
- RedCorn, R., Fatemi, S., & Engelberth, A. S. (2018). Comparing end-use potential for industrial food-waste sources. *Engineering*, 4(3), 371-380.
- Reitman S., Frankel S. (1957). Colorimetric method for determination of serum transaminase activities. *Am. J. Clin. Path.* 1957; 28:56–68.
- SAS (1999). Statistical Analysis System, SAS/STAT User's Guide. Release 6.03 Edn. SAS Institute, Cary, NC, 1028 PP.
- Sauter, A. and K. Stoub (1990). Official methods of analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Inc. 5th Edn. (Eds), Suite 400, ARLINGTON, Virginia 22201, USA.
- Sheikh Asadi, M., Gharaei, A., Harijani, M J., and Arshadi, A. (2018). A Comparison between dietary effects of *Cuminum cyminum* essential oil and *Cuminum cyminum* essential oil, loaded with iron nanoparticles, on growth performance, immunity and antioxidant indicators of white leg shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 24(5), 1466-1473.
- Stoskopf M.K. (1993). WB Saunders Company; Philadelphia, London: Fish Medicine.
- Syed, T., Siddiqui, M. S. (2021). Atherogenic dyslipidemia after liver transplantation: mechanisms and clinical implications. *Liver Transplantation*, 27(9), 1326-1333.
- Toutou, M.M., Farrag, M.M., Badrey, A.E., Moustafa, M.A. (2019). Growth performance, feed utilization and gut histology of mono-sex Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fed with varying levels of pomegranate (*Punica granatum*) peel residues. *Aquac. Aquar.Conserv. Legis.* 12(1), 298–309.
- Yousefi, M., Hoseini, S.M., Kulikov, E.V., Babichev, N.V., Bolshakova, M.V., Shopinskaya, M.I., Rogov, R.V., Zharov, A.N. (2023). Effects of dietary pomegranate peel supplementation on growth performance and biochemical responses of common carp, *Cyprinus carpio*, to chronic crowding stress. *Aquac. Rep.* 30, 101532 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aqrep.2023.101532>.

تأثير قشر الرمان الغذائي (*Punica granatum*) على أداء النمو ومؤشرات الدم والاستجابات المناعية لإصابات البلطي النيلي

زينب محمد عبد العظيم¹؛ دعاء فودة²؛ مروة محمد علي³؛ دينا عبد الباري⁴

قسم الإنتاج الحيواني بكلية الزراعة جامعة عين شمس.

قسم بحوث استخدام المخلفات والانتفاع بالمنتجات الثانوية، معهد بحوث الإنتاج الحيواني، مركز البحوث الزراعية، وزارة الزراعة.

قسم الإنتاج الحيواني، مختبر بحوث الأسماك، كلية الزراعة بمشتهور، جامعة بنها، بنها 13736، مصر.

المركز الإقليمي للأغذية والأعلاف، مركز البحوث الزراعية، مصر.

تم في هذه الدراسة فحص آثار استخدام قشر الرمان الغذائي (PP) على أداء النمو والاستفادة من الغذاء والاستجابة المناعية لإصابات البلطي النيلي (*Oreochromis niloticus*). تم تركيب أربعة علائق يدخل في تركيبها قشر الرمان المجفف بأربعة مستويات (0 و 50 و 70 و 90 جم /كجم عليقة) تم تغذيتها لإصباغيات البلطي النيلي (بمتوسط وزن بداية 9.8 ± 0.2 جم / سمكة) استمرت التجربة لمدة 84 يوما. وتم تغذية الأسماك بمعدل 5% من وزنها يوميا. بالمقارنة مع المجموعة المقارنة (T0) حدث تحسن في متوسط الوزن النهائي ، وزيادة الوزن ، ومعدل النمو النوعي ، ومعلّات استخدام الأعلاف لمجموعة الأسماك التي تغذت على 50 جم (PP) كجم⁻¹. أشارت النتائج إلى أن الأسماك التي تتغذى على 90 جم (PP) كجم⁻¹ أظهرت تحسنا كبيرا ($P < 0.05$) في مكونات الجسم ، بما في ذلك المادة الجافة ومستخلص الأثير ، وكان هناك انخفاضاً معنوياً في محتوى البروتين الخام في الجسم. أظهرت مجموعات الأسماك التي تغذت على العلائق الغذائية والتي يدخل قشر الرمان في تركيبها انخفاضاً معنوياً ($P < 0.05$) في قيم AST و ALT. ومع ذلك ، فإن المعاملة بنظام غذائي يحتوي على 90 جم / كجم زاد من البروتين الكلي. كما تلاحظ أعلى قيم في عدد خلايا الهيماتوكريت والهيموجلوبين وخلايا الدم الحمراء وخلايا الدم البيضاء في الأسماك التي تغذت على نظام غذائي 70 جم قشر رمان لكل كجم عليقة ، وبالمثل ، سجل النظام الغذائي المحتوي على قشر رمان أعلى مستويات SOD و CAT و GSH و GPx ولكن أقل قيمة MDA. وباختصار ، أظهرت الدراسة أن قشر الرمان بمستويات 50 إلى 70 جم (PP) / كجم من العليقة المقدمة قد يعزز أداء النمو ويحفز الاستجابات المناعية للبلطي النيلي.

الكلمات المفتاحية: *O. niloticus*، قشر الرمان (*Punica granatum*)، أداء النمو، استخدام الأعلاف، تكوين الذبيحة، الإنزيمات المضادة للأكسدة، والاستجابات المناعية.