Journal of Soil Sciences and Agricultural Engineering

Journal homepage & Available online at: www.jssae.journals.ekb.eg

Overcoming Water Deficit in Flax Cultivation through Foliar Spraying with Vitamins and Antitranspirants

Amira G. M. Shehata; M. A. El-Sherpiny*and Hanaa M. Sakara

Soil, Water and Environment Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, El-Gama St., Giza, 12619 Egypt





Article Information Received 24 / 8 /2025 Accepted 18 / 9 /2025

ABSTRACT

Flax plant is an economically important crop in Egypt. However, the water scarcity conditions, which the agricultural sector suffers from, negatively impact its vegetative growth, oil yield characteristics, and fiber quality. Therefore, an approach was hypothesized that could contribute to enhancing the tolerance of flax plant to the water deficit stress. A field experiment was carried out during two successive seasons (20233/24 and 2024/25) to evaluate the effect of irrigation requirement (IR) treatments [100% of IR (equal 1316 m³fed⁻¹) and 80% of IR (equal 1052.8 m³fed⁻¹)] as main factor, antitranspirants [control (tap water), kaolin (5%,w/v) and magnesium silicate (5%,w/v)] as sub main factor and vitamins [control (tap water), vitamin C (100 mg L⁻¹) and vitamin E (100 mg L⁻¹)] as subsub main factor on flax performance (Giza 12 cultivar, grown for fiber and seed). Growth criteria, straw chemical constituents, fiber yield and quality, oil yield and biochemical traits of seeds were assessed. The treatment of 100% IR positively reflected on flax yield and its fiber quality compared to the 80% of IR. Magnesium silicate was superior to kaolin. V.E achieved the best performance in facing water deficit compared to V.C. The best flax performance was achieved under the combined treatment of 100 IR x magnesium silicate x V.E. On the other hand, spraying magnesium silicate combined with the vitamin E under irrigation with 80% of IR recorded the results closed to the treatment of 100 IR without foliar applications, indicating the effectiveness of this combination in mitigating the water deficit.

Keywords: Kaolin, Magnesium-silicate, Vitamin C, Vitamin E

INTRODUCTION

Flax plant (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) is a crop of high economic value due to its multiple uses in the fiber and seed industries (Emam, 2020; Bakry *et al.* 2024). On the other hand, Egypt faces problems related to water shortages, and flax, like other strategic crops, is affected due to exposure to water-deficit conditions (Sallam *et al.* 2023). Therefore, improving flax production and quality under water-deficit stress conditions is of great importance to the Egyptian agricultural and industrial sectors. Furthermore, studying how to improve flax's tolerance to water stress can contribute to the development of effective strategies to address this problem (El-Borhamy *et al.* 2022).

Antitranspirants such as kaolin and magnesium silicate are used to improve higher plant resistance to environmental stress, especially water deficit stress. Both are powerful antitranspirants that can protect plants from water deficit stress. They both form a layer that prevents water from evaporating from the stomata, and they both moderate the temperature around the leaf (Kociecka et al. 2023). Magnesium, which plants can obtain from spraying with magnesium silicate, plays a significant role in photosynthesis and as a coenzyme for enzymes responsible for oil formation. All these benefits are in addition to its primary property of acting as an antitranspirant (Wissa, 2017; Elmahdy et al. 2022). Kaolin is a natural clay mineral that forms a protective layer on the leaves of higher plants, reducing water loss through transpiration. This layer also protects the plant from insect and fungal infections and increases the plant's ability to tolerate drought conditions (Abd Allah, 2019; Metwaly & Nada, 2020; Faghihi et al. 2025).

Foliar spraying with vitamins such as V.C. and V.E can play a role in promoting plant health and improving its stress response. Both are antioxidants capable of scavenging free radicals that form when plants are exposed to environmental stress (Kamal & Abd Al-Gaid, (2008; Mady, 2009; El-Baz et al. 2016). Therefore, the major aim of this research is to improve the productivity and quality of flax, which is grown for fiber and seeds, under water-deficit conditions, through foliar spraying with antitranspirants and vitamins.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was carried out under split split plot experimental design with three replicates during two successive seasons (20233/24 and 2024/25) in a privet farm located at Meet-Anter Village, Talkha District, Dakahlia Governorate, Egypt to evaluate the effect of irrigation requirement (IR) treatments [100% of IR (equal 1316 m³fed⁻ 1) and 80% of IR (equal 1052.8 m³fed⁻¹)] as main factor, antitranspirant treatments [control (tap water), kaolin (5%,w/v) and magnesium silicate (5%,w/v)] as sub main factor, and vitamins [control (tap water), vitamin C (100 mg L⁻¹) and vitamin E (100 mg L⁻¹)] as sub-sub main factor on flax performance (Giza 12 cultivar, grown for fiber and seed). The initial soil samples were analyzed as described by Tandon (2005), as their properties are shown in Table 1. Kaolin was analyzed as reported by Tandon (2005), as their properties are shown in Table 2. While Table 3 presents the characteristics of magnesium silicate.

The studied antitranspirants and vitamins were purchased from the Egyptian commercial market. The experimental unit comprised $10.5~\text{m}^2$ (3.0 m long and 3.5 m width), forming 20 rows of 15 cm between rows. Flax seeds were

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: M_elsherpiny2010@yahoo.com DOI: 10.21608/jssae.2025.416739.1309

obtained from the Agricultural Research Center then were sown in the first week of November in both studied seasons at a rate of 80 kg fed⁻¹. Mineral fertilization was applied at a rate of 50 unit N fed⁻¹, 10 unit P fed⁻¹ and 25 unit K fed⁻¹ in the form of ammonium sulphate (21% N, in two equal doses with the second and third irrigations), calcium superphosphate (6.6% P, added before planting), and potassium sulphate (39% K, added in two doses with the third and fourth irrigations). Foliar application of both antitranspirats and vitamins was carried out six times, starting 45 days after sowing, with a 15-day interval, at a volume of 1000 liters fed⁻¹ for each studied substance. The irrigation treatments were adjusted using a water meter on the main irrigation line. Harvest was implemented after 150 days from sowing.

Table 1. Initial soil properties and feldspar characteristics

Table 1. Illium s	on properties and relaspar characteristics
Initial soil properties	Values
EC (suspension 1:5)	2.25 dSm ⁻¹
pH(suspension 1:2.5)	8.00
Organic matter	1.33%
Available N,P and K	329,11.2 and 211 mg Kg ⁻¹ soil, respectively
Clay, silt and sand	49.0, 29.0 and 22.0 %, respectively with clay texture class

Table 2. Kaolin properties

Characteristics	EC, dSm ⁻¹	SiO ₂	Na ₂ O	Al ₂ O ₃	Fe ₂ O ₃	K ₂ O	CaO
Characteristics	(1:10)			(%	(o)		
Values	1.40		0.10	30	0.5		0.10

Table 3. Magnesium silicate properties

Chemical Formula	Mg ₂ SiO ₄
Density, g/cm ³	3.2
Melting point °C	1.9
Molecular weight, g/mol	140.7
Appearance	White to off-white powder or crystalline
pH (in water)	9.5, slightly alkaline suspension
Solubility	Insoluble in water; soluble in acids

After 90 days from sowing, digestion of flax straw samples was done using a sulphuric acid and perchloric acid mixture at ratio of 1:1 as described by Peterburgski, (1968), then straw chemical composition (NPK,%) was determined according to the guidelines of Walinga et al. (2013) via the micro-Kjeldahl method, Olsen method spectrophotometer and flame photometer, respectively. At the same time, the chlorophyll (SPAD reading) was measured. Additionally, at 90 days from sowing, malondialdehyde (MDA, μmol g⁻¹ F.W.) as oxidation indicator and peroxidase POX (unit mg-1 protein) as enzymatic antioxidant were estimated through the spectrophotometric method as reported by Valenzuela, (1991) and Elavarthi & Martin, (2010), respectively.

At 150 days from sowing (harvest stage), total plant height (cm), technical stem length (cm), No. of basal branches plant⁻¹, stem diameter (mm), straw yield (g plant⁻¹), straw yield (ton fed⁻¹), No. capsules plant⁻¹, No. seeds capsules⁻¹, seed yield (g plant⁻¹ & kg fed⁻¹) were measured.

Fiber quality traits, including total fiber (%), fiber yield (g plant⁻¹, kg fed⁻¹) and fiber length (cm) were measured according to the AOAC (2000) procedures as well as fiber fineness (NM) was determined according to the following equation:

Nm = (N X L) / W as mentioned by Radwan and Momtaz (1966).

Where,

Nm = Metrical number.

N= number of fibers (20 fibers and the length for each one equal 10 cm). L= Length of fibers in mm (2000 mm).

W = Weight of fibers in mg

Additionally, biochemical characteristics, including oil seed content (%), oil yield (kg fed⁻¹), protein (%) and carbohydrates (%) were determined according to the AOAC (2000) procedures. The statistical analysis was done for the obtained data according to Gomez and Gomez (1984) using CoStat software (Version 6.303, CoHort, USA, 1998-2004).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The studied irrigation regimes, antitranspirants and vitamins significantly affected most the evaluated measurements. The values of leaf chemical constituents (NPK,%), chlorophyll content (SPAD reading), malondialdehyde (MDA, µmol g⁻¹ F.W.) as oxidation indicator and peroxidase POX (unit mg-1 protein) as enzymatic antioxidant of the flax plants at 90 days from sowing during seasons of 2023/24 and 2024/25 are tabled in Table 4. Table 5 illustrates the effect of the same treatments under the same conditions on growth and straw yield traits of the flax plants, including total plant height (cm), technical stem length (cm), No. of basal branches plant⁻¹, stem diameter (mm), straw yield (g plant⁻¹), straw yield (ton fed⁻¹) at harvest stage (150 days from sowing). Fiber quality traits at harvest, including total fiber (%), fiber yield (g plant⁻¹, kg fed⁻¹), fiber length (cm) and fiber fineness (NM) as affected by the studied irrigation regimes, antitranspirants and vitamins are shown in Table 6. Table 7 shows the values of seed yield and oil traits i.e., No. capsules plant⁻¹, No. seeds capsules⁻¹, seed yield (g plant⁻¹ & kg fed⁻¹), oil seed content (%), oil yield (kg fed⁻¹) under the same studied conditions at harvest stage. Biochemical characteristics, including protein (%) and carbohydrates (%) under the same studied conditions at harvest stage were tabled in Table 8.

The treatment of 100% IR achieved the maximum values of all aforementioned traits, except MDA and POX, compared to the treatment of 80% IR. Concerning the traits of MDA and POX, their highest values were recorded under the treatment of water deficit (80% IR).

Irrigating flax with 100% of its normal water requirements improved their studied physiological and yield parameters. At the same time, this irrigation treatment reduced the plant's self-production of peroxidase enzyme, responsible for water deficit stress defense and scavenging free radicals. This, in turn, resulted in lower levels of MDA; an indicator of the extent of oxidative stress experienced by flax plant cells, as the greater the stress, the greater MDA formation. Conversely, exposing flax plant to water deficit (irrigation with 80% of its water requirements) resulted in a decline in improvements of the flax physiological and yield parameters. In conjunction with this trend, the water deficit irrigation treatment increased the plant's self-production of peroxidase enzyme, responsible for water deficit stress defense and scavenging free radicals, which was reflected in increased MDA accumulation under water deficit conditions (El-Borhamy et al. 2022; Sallam et al. 2023).

Regarding all aforementioned traits except MDA and POX, magnesium silicate was superior to kaolin and control treatment, which came in the last order. Additionally, the vitamin E treatment achieved the highest values followed by vitamin C and lately control treatment. On the contrary, the values of MDA and POX took the opposite direction from the previous characteristics, as the highest values were achieved with the control treatments (either antitransparants of vitamins).

Magnesium silicate outperformed kaolin, possibly due to its multiple benefits compared to kaolin. Foliar application of magnesium silicate may have resulted in the formation of a thin, semi-transparent silicate layer on flax leaves, which reduced transpiration without impeding photosynthesis. Furthermore, magnesium may have improved photosynthesis in flax, as it is an essential component of chlorophyll. This may have improved plant performance and enabled it to withstand environmental stress. Also, silicate may have played an unique role in strengthening the cell walls of flax plants and increased the hardness of the leaf epidermis, resulting in reduced transpiration. This may have allowed flax plants growing under water-deficit conditions to achieve their maximum productivity without significantly reducing yield and quality (Wissa, 2017; Elmahdy et al. 2022). Kaolin ranked second after magnesium silicate, possibly due to its physical role in reflecting sunlight, reducing leaf temperature, and partially covering the pores. It is also less reactive to internal physiological processes (Abd Allah, 2019; Metwaly & Nada, 2020; Faghihi et al. 2025).

As for vitamin E superiority, it was because it's a lipid antioxidant concentrated in flax cell membranes, protecting

unsaturated lipids in the membranes from lipid peroxidation. This role is particularly important under water stress, as the accumulation of free radicals directly attacks membranes. Meanwhile, vitamin C is a water-soluble antioxidant that operates primarily in the cytosol and aqueous tissues. Despite its importance in eliminating some types of free radicals (ROS), its role in protecting membranes is less significant than vitamin E. Therefore, vitamin E was more effective in protecting cells from oxidative damage resulting from water deficit, while vitamin C remained supportive but less effective(Kamal & Abd Al-Gaid, (2008; Mady, 2009; El-Baz *et al.* 2016).

The best flax performance was achieved under the combined treatment of 100 IR x magnesium silicate x vitamin E. On the other hand, spraying magnesium silicate combined with the vitamin E under irrigation with 80% of IR had a non-significant effect on the most traits of flax compared to the treatment of 100 IR without both antitranspirants and vitamins. The same trend was found for both studied seasons.

Table 4. Effect of foliar spraying with antitranspirants and vitamins on chemical leaf traits of the flax plants irrigated under different regimes at 90 days from sowing during seasons of 2023/24 and 2024/25

	'	unuti U		ogen		phorus		ssium		2023/24 a ophyll		DA	PC	X
Tre	atment	te		%)		%)		%)		reading)			(unit mg ⁻¹	
110	110000000									2 nd season			1st season	2 nd season
			1 Scason	2 Scason				n requiren			1 5005011	2 SCASOII	1 Stason	2 SCASOII
80%	of IR		2.59 ^b	2.64 ^b	0.317 ^b	0.323 ^b	2.45 ^b	2.50 ^b	35.02 ^b	35.72 ^b	21.09a	21.51a	0.476a	0.486a
	% of IF	₹	2.91 ^a	2.97 ^a	0.362^{a}	0.369 ^a	2.77 ^a	2.83 ^a	38.65 ^a	39.48 ^a	16.27 ^b	16.57 ^b	0.406 ^b	0.415 ^b
F. T		•	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
) at 5%		0.03	0.13	0.008	0.008	0.03	0.06	1.44	1.79	0.86	0.11	0.008	0.010
-				Su	b main fa	ctor: Anti	transpirar	nt treatmen		r applicatio	ons)			
Con	trol (w	ithout)	2.63^{c}	2.68^{c}	0.324^{c}	0.330^{c}	2.50°	2.55 ^c	35.57°	36.30°	19.65a	20.02a	0.466a	0.475^{a}
Kao		Í	2.77^{b}	2.83^{b}	0.337^{b}	0.344^{b}	2.61^{b}	2.66 ^b	36.88 ^b	37.65 ^b	18.75 ^b	19.10 ^b	0.442^{b}	0.451^{b}
Mag	gnesiun	n silicate	2.86^{a}	2.91a	0.358^{a}	0.364^{a}	2.73^{a}	2.78^{a}	38.05^{a}	38.84^{a}	17.64 ^c	17.99 ^c	0.416^{c}	0.424^{c}
F. T	est		**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
LSD) at 5%		0.02	0.02	0.002	0.002	0.05	0.03	0.29	0.30	0.14	0.13	0.003	0.003
-				S	ub-sub m	ain factor	: Vitamin	treatments	(as foliar	application				
Con	trol (w	ithout)	2.71 ^c	2.76^{c}	0.334^{c}	0.339^{c}	2.56^{b}	2.61 ^c	36.36 ^b	37.08 ^c	19.02a	19.33a	0.449^{a}	0.458^{a}
Vita	min C		2.75^{b}	2.80^{b}	0.339^{b}	0.347^{b}	2.63a	2.68 ^b	36.91a	37.68 ^b	18.61 ^b	19.01 ^b	0.439^{b}	0.450^{b}
Vita	min E		2.79^{a}	2.85^{a}	0.346^{a}	0.352^{a}	2.64^{a}	2.70^{a}	37.24a	38.03^{a}	18.40°	18.77 ^c	0.435^{c}	0.443^{c}
F. Test LSD _{at 5%}			**	**	**	**	*	**	*	**	**	**	**	**
			0.03	0.02	0.002	0.003	0.05	0.01	0.33	0.25	0.16	0.16	0.004	0.004
							Inte	eraction						
	Control	Control	2.41	2.45	0.294	0.297	2.31	2.35	32.85	33.43	22.40	22.70	0.513	0.522
		V.C	2.45	2.51	0.305	0.313	2.35	2.42	33.30	34.15	22.08	22.68	0.498	0.510
		V.E	2.58	2.63	0.310	0.315	2.37	2.42	34.13	34.85	21.90	22.30	0.496	0.506
K	п	Control	2.61	2.64	0.311	0.315	2.39	2.42	34.54	34.89	21.42	21.91	0.488	0.495
Jo of	Kaolin	V. C	2.61	2.66	0.314	0.322	2.46	2.53	35.26	36.11	21.35	21.74	0.481	0.493
80% of IR	2	V.E	2.63	2.69	0.323	0.328	2.49	2.53	35.56	36.33	21.09	21.42	0.473	0.481
x	<u> </u>	Control	2.65	2.69	0.329	0.335	2.50	2.54	35.83	36.43	20.47	20.87	0.457	0.464
	Mg silicate	V.C	2.69	2.74	0.334	0.341	2.58	2.63	36.70	37.35	19.77	20.20	0.442	0.452
	lis	V.E	2.73	2.80	0.338	0.343	2.62	2.69	36.98	37.91	19.29	19.73	0.440	0.450
-	lc	Control	2.74	2.80	0.339	0.346	2.65	2.70	37.34	38.21	17.35	17.55	0.433	0.444
	Control	V.C	2.78	2.82	0.346	0.352	2.67	2.71	37.90	38.43	17.15	17.44	0.427	0.436
	රි	V.E	2.81	2.87	0.350	0.358	2.67	2.73	37.94	38.72	17.00	17.45	0.427	0.432
100% of IR		Control	2.89	2.97	0.353	0.359	2.70	2.77	38.31	39.35	16.92	17.15	0.410	0.420
ő.	Kaolin	V.C	2.92	2.99	0.355	0.364	2.80	2.86	38.68	39.59	15.89	16.28	0.402	0.412
00	\aleph	V.E	2.96	3.02	0.369	0.375	2.79	2.87	38.90	39.63	15.82	16.10	0.398	0.406
=	a	Control	2.99	3.05	0.378	0.384	2.83	2.89	39.30	40.18	15.56	15.79	0.394	0.402
	Ag cat	V.C	3.03	3.09	0.383	0.390	2.91	2.96	39.59	40.46	15.41	15.70	0.386	0.397
	Mg silicate	V.E	3.05	3.12	0.385	0.393	2.92	2.99	39.92	40.72	15.31	15.62	0.377	0.382
F. T			*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	**	**	**	**
) _{at 5%}		0.06	0.05	0.007	0.007	0.11	0.03	0.82	0.72	0.39	0.39	0.009	0.009
Ax			*	*	**	**	*	*	**	**	**	**	**	**
Ax			*	*	*	*	*	**	*	**	*	*	*	*
Bx			*	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	*	*	*
Man		· 6-	,, ,,	1:00	41-44 (-)		11 1266	4 -4 - 0.0						

Means within a row followed by a different letter (s) are statistically different at a 0.05 level

Table 5. Effect of foliar spraying with antitranspirants and vitamins on growth and straw yield traits of the flax plants irrigated under different regimes at 150 days from sowing during seasons of 2023/24 and 2024/25

	Total plant height (cm) Technical stem No. of basal Stem diameter Straw yield/fed													
-			Total plant	height (cm)	Technical stem No. of basal				Stem d	iameter				
Treatments			. 6 . (.)		h (cm)		es/plant	(m		(9		(to		
			1season	2 ^m season	1season	2 ^m season	1season	2 ^m season		2 ^m season	1season	2 ^m season	1season	2 ^m season
					Main fa	ctor : Irrigat	ion require	ment treatm	ents					
	6 of IR		91.78°	93.62 ^b	70.17°	71.51°	1.67°	1.67°	1.37°	1.39°	1.69°	1.72 ^b	3.15°	3.22°
	0% of IR	₹	100.38 ^a	102.53a	76.51 ^a	77.89^{a}	3.11 ^a	3.07^{a}	2.13^{a}	2.18^{a}	2.17^{a}	2.21a	4.33^{a}	4.41 ^a
F. 7	est		**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
LS	D at 5%		0.68	0.68	0.25	0.25	0.96	0.42	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.08	0.09
_			00.00	Sub mai	n factor: A	Antitranspira	ant treatme	nts (as folia	r applicat	ions)	1.010	1.050	2.400	2.460
	ntrol (wi	ithout)	93.66°	95.42°	71.33 ^c	72.50 ^c	1.89 ^c	1.89 ^c	1.62 ^c	1.65°	1.81 ^c	1.85°	3.40^{c}	3.46°
Ka		***	95.94 ^b	98.09b	73.16 ^b	74.62 ^b	2.39b	2.33b	1.74 ^b	1.77 ^b	1.92 ^b	1.96 ^b	3.73 ^b	3.80 ^b
Ma	gnesiun	n silicate	98.65ª	100.71 ^a	75.53 ^a	76.97 ^a	2.89 ^a	2.89 ^a	1.90 ^a	1.94 ^a	2.06 ^a	2.10^{a}	4.10 ^a	4.18 ^a
F. T	est			1.27		0.54			0.01	0.02	0.02			
L	D at 5%		1.24		0.53		0.41	0.28			0.02	0.02	0.04	0.04
Con	atual (vvi	ithout)	95.01 ^b	96.99°	72.38°	ctor: Vitami 73.54 ^c	n treatmen 2.17ª	ts (as ioliar 2.22ª	appiicauo 1.69º	ons) 1.72°	1.88 ^b	1.91°	3.64 ^c	3.70°
	ntrol (wi	iiiiout)	95.01° 96.33°	98.25 ^a	73.05°	73.34 74.64 ^b	$\frac{2.17^{\circ}}{2.50^{\circ}}$	2.22° 2.39°	1.09°	1.72° 1.76°	1.00° 1.92°	1.91° 1.96°	3.73b	3.70° 3.81°
V 10	amin C amin E		96.33° 96.91°	98.23° 98.98°	73.03 74.58 ^a	75.91 ^a	2.50 ^a	2.50 ^a	1.73 1.84 ^a	1.70° 1.88°	1.92° 1.98°	2.03 ^a	3.750 3.86 ^a	3.94 ^a
F. T	allilli E		90.91	90.90	/4.36 **	/3.91 **	2.30 *NS	2.30 *NS	1.04	1.00	1.90	2.05	3.00	3.94 **
1 0	D _{at 5%}		0.98	1.00	0.61	0.62	*NS	*NS	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01
LAJI	2 at 5%		0.70	1.00	0.01		teraction	140	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.01	0.01	0.01
	7	Control	88.32	89.94	66.11	66.83	1.00	1.00	1.13	1.16	1.54	1.58	2.77	2.84
	Ħ	V. C	89.72	91.62	68.71	70.54	1.33	1.33	1.17	1.19	1.56	1.59	2.81	2.84
	Control	V. E	90.57	92.09	69.81	70.77	1.33	1.33	1.21	1.23	1.59	1.63	2.92	2.99
~		Control	90.74	92.45	69.90	71.10	1.33	1.33	1.28	1.31	1.64	1.68	3.01	3.09
of	導	V. C	91.83	93.48	70.02	71.77	1.67	1.67	1.33	1.35	1.67	1.70	3.14	3.18
%	Kaolin	V. C V. E	92.05	94.60	70.89	71.77	1.67	2.00	1.36	1.38	1.69	1.72	3.14	3.18
80% of IR		Control	93.77	95.27	71.24	72.62	2.00	2.00	1.43	1.45	1.73	1.77	3.23	3.44
•	Mg silicate	V. C	94.32	95.27 95.98	71.24	73.03	2.33	2.00	1.43	1.43	1.78	1.82	3.48	3.56
	Σij	V.C V.E	94.32 94.71	93.98 97.14	73.29	74.92	2.33	2.33	1.95	2.01	2.00	2.04	3.46 3.86	3.90
			96.96	98.96	74.14	74.96	2.33	2.33	1.93	2.01	2.00	2.04	3.88	3.90
	.8	Control	90.90 97.75	98.90 99.27	74.14				2.08	2.02	2.02	2.03	3.00 3.91	3.92 4.00
	Control	V. C V. E				75.54	2.67	2.67						
100% of IR			98.62 99.26	100.65 101.96	74.98 75.29	76.36	2.67 3.00	2.67 3.00	2.13	2.17 2.18	2.09	2.13	4.10 4.21	4.19 4.25
Jo	Kaolin	Control				76.93				2.18				
%	'à	V. C	100.91	102.97	75.94	77.77	3.33	3.00	2.16		2.17	2.24	4.35	4.49
8-		V.E	100.86	103.08	76.90	78.17	3.33	3.00	2.17	2.22	2.20	2.24	4.45	4.52
\equiv	Mg silicate	Control	101.01	103.38	77.63	78.79	3.33	3.67	2.18	2.22	2.21	2.25	4.57	4.64
	$\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}$	y. c	103.43	106.20	77.86	79.18	3.67	3.67	2.18	2.23	2.28	2.32	4.70	4.79
		V.E	104.66	106.32	81.64	83.28	3.67	3.67	2.20	2.26	2.34	2.41	4.78	4.90
F. 7	est		*	*	**	*	*	*	**	**	*	**	**	**
	D at 5%		2.41	2.46	1.49	1.52	0.84	0.84	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.02	0.03	0.03
Αx			*	*	*	*	*NS	*NS	**	**	**	**	*	**
Ax			*	*	**	**	*NS *NS	*NS *NS	**	**	**	**	**	**
Bx	·		4	-1-	-174	-1-17	142	1/2	-174	-174		-17-	4.4	-17*

Means within a row followed by a different letter (s) are statistically different at a $0.05\,\mathrm{level}$

*NS= non-significant

Table 6. Effect of foliar spraying with antitranspirants and vitamins on fiber yield and quality traits of the flax plants irrigated under different regimes at 150 days from sowing during seasons of 2023/24 and 2024/25

Treatments		Total fil	oer (%)	Fiber yie	d/plant (g)		eld/fed (kg)		gth (cm)	Fiber fine	ness (NM)	
116	aunents		1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 nd season	1 st season	2 ^{na} season
					Main fact	or : Irrigation	requirement	t treatments				
	of IR		14.62 ^b	15.04 ^b	0.247^{b}	0.260 ^b 0.378 ^a	462.22 ^b 720.28 ^a	485.63 ^b 755.17 ^a	65.60 ^b	67.56 ^b 73.79 ^a	138.30 ^b	142.65 ^b
	100% of IR		16.58a	17.06 ^a	0.360^{a}	0.378^{a}	720.28 ^a	755.17 ^a	71.46 ^a	73.79 ^a	156.20 ^a	161.03 ^a
F. T	est		**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
LSL) at 5%		0.05	0.01	0.001	0.010	21.21	19.39	3.27	0.70	0.21	0.21
	. 17 .4		14.020	Sub mai	n factor: A	ntitranspirant	treatments (a	as foliar applic	cations)	CO 400	1.41.466	146.000
	trol (witl	nout)	14.83°	15.24 ^c	0.270°	0.283°	507.84°	531.91 ⁸	66.36°	68.48°	141.46°	146.02°
Kao		-111 4 -	15.65 ^b	16.13 ^b	0.303 ^b	0.319 ^b	590.99 ^b	620.80°	68.57 ^b	70.57 ^b	146.78 ^b	151.22 ^b
F. T	gnesium	silicate	16.31 ^a	16.77 ^a	0.338a	0.355a	674.92ª	708.49 ^a	70.65°	72.98 ^a	153.51 ^a	158.28 ^a
F. I	est) _{at 5%}		0.26	0.18	0.007	0.010	14.01	12.02	0.54	0.70	0.28	0.29
LSL	at 5%		0.20		b main fact					0.70	0.20	0.29
Con	trol (wit	hout)	15.39 ^b	15.88°	0 2020	01. VILAHIHH U	566 28c	s foliar applica 594.17°	67.58°	60.62°	145.10 ^c	149.34°
	min C	iiout)	15.60 ^{ab}	16.03 ^b	0.292° 0.302°	0.307° 0.318°	590.11°	619.53°	68.46°	69.62° 70.76°	145.10 147.46°	151.90°
	min E		15.81 ^a	16.23 ^a	0.302 0.317 ^a	0.332a	617.37 ^a	647.52a	69.55 ^a	71.65 ^a	149.19 ^a	154.27 ^a
F. T	est		*	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
ĹŚĹ) at 5%		0.27	0.13	0.009	0.006	9.63	6.13	0.54	0.60	0.46	0.48
	ut 370		0.27	0.12	0.005		action	0.12	0.0.	0.00	01.10	01.10
	77	Control	14.08	14.46	0.217	0.228	389.45	410.14	62.42	64.10	133.64	138.09
	ã.	V. C	14.13	14.53	0.220	0.231	396.87	412.80	63.94	66.28	133.99	139.16
~	පි	V. E	14.25	14.63	0.226	0.238	415.62	436.92	65.07	66.59	134.64	139.37
80% of IR	Kaolin Control	Control	14.38	14.96	0.236	0.251	432.64	462.32	65.83	67.54	135.62	139.71
ਰ	Ö	V. C	14.47	14.95	0.241	0.254	453.98	476.09	65.81	67.94	137.66	141.03
%	23	Ÿ. Ĕ	14.75	15.09	0.249	0.259	476.04	496.13	66.03	68.15	139.49	144.72
8	<u>a</u>	Control	15.08	15.47	0.261	0.273	508.71	532.88	66.05	68.63	141.40	145.51
	Mg silicate	V. C	15.18	15.52	0.270	0.282	528.89	552.98	66.89	69.10	143.24	146.82
	~ ∺	Ÿ. Ĕ	15.24	15.74	0.304	0.321	577.77	597.44	68.31	69.73	144.99	149.42
	70	Control	15.28	15.74	0.309	0.323	592.62	616.44	68.58	70.74	146.79	151.74
	ã.	V. C	15.43	15.84	0.316	0.333	603.32	633.67	68.89	71.27	148.89	152.61
\simeq	3	Ÿ. Ĕ	15.83	16.27	0.331	0.347	649.15	681.49	69.26	71.88	150.78	155.14
100% of IR	Kaolin Control	Control	16.36	16.97	0.351	0.368	689.28	721.55	70.19	72.27	152.71	156.42
0	ē	V. C	16.86	17.30	0.366	0.387	734.14	776.29	71.63	73.47	156.55	160.97
%	22	Ÿ. Ĕ	17.08	17.53	0.375	0.393	759.89	792.45	71.95	74.07	158.63	164.44
\subseteq	(1)	Control	17.16	17.69	0.379	0.397	784.97	821.68	72.40	74.41	160.44	164.56
-	€0.52	V. C	17.52	18.06	0.400	0.419	823.45	865.32	73.57	76.50	164.43	170.83
	Mg silicate	Ÿ. Ĕ	17.68	18.11	0.414	0.436	845.72	887.67	76.67	79.50	166.60	172.55
F. T			*	*	*	**	**	**	**	*	**	**
) _{at 5%}		0.67	0.31	0.023	0.007	23.59	15.01	1.31	1.47	1.13	1.17
Αx	B		**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Αx	C		*	**	*	**	**	**	**	*	**	**
Вx	C		*	*	*	**	**	**	**	*	**	**

Means within a row followed by a different letter (s) are statistically different at a 0.05 level

Table 7. Effect of foliar spraying with antitranspirants and vitamins on seed yield and oil traits of the flax plants irrigated under different regimes at 150 days from sowing during seasons of 2023/24 and 2024/25

Treatments	Irrigated under unterent regimes at 150 days from sowing during seasons of 2023/24 and 2024/25									(fod (lva)				
Substitution Subs	Treatments			uies/piant										
80% of IR			rseason	2"season						2"season	rseason	2"season	1° season	2"season
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	900/ of ID		12 620	12 000	7 / 110						22 5 4D	22 40b	170.50b	190 60b
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									525.52 627.45a					
1.11 1.30 0.84 0.55 0.013 0.013 6.62 3.10 0.22 0.03 4.26 1.62														
Sub main factor: Antitranspirant treatments (as foliar applications) 7.67b 7.83c 0.494c 0.507c 538.45c 555.43c 33.54c 34.44c 181.77c 192.57c 14.00b 14.33b 7.94b 8.22b 0.536b 0.554b 580.84b 598.11b 34.24b 35.30b 199.83b 212.08c 15.11a 15.56c 8.33a 8.67a 0.579a 0.579a 621.85a 641.15c 34.87a 35.87a 217.72a 230.93c 15.15b 15.15c 15.56c 8.33a 8.67a 0.579a 0.594a 621.85a 641.15c 34.87a 35.87b 217.72a 230.93c 15.15b 15.56c 15.														
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	LSD at 5%		1.11	1.50							0.22	0.03	7.20	1.02
Kaolin 14.00b 14.33b 7.94ab 8.22b 0.536b 0.554b 580.84b 598.11b 34.24b 35.30b 199.83b 212.08 Magnesium silicate 15.11a 15.56a 8.33a 8.67a 0.579 0.594a 621.85a 641.15a 34.87a 35.87b 217.72a 230.93b 15.15b 15.15b 15.15b 15.56a 8.33a 8.67a 0.579 0.594a 621.85a 641.15a 34.87a 35.87b 217.72a 230.93b 212.08 230.93b 212.08 230.93b 212.08 230.93b 212.08 230.93b 212.08 230.93b 212.08 230.93b 230.95b 230.9	Control (svit	thout)	13 00°	13 30°							33 5/s	3/1 ///c	181 77°	102 57°
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		uiout)												
F. Test ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **		silicate			8 33a	8.67a			621.85a					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Silicate	**	**	*	**	**	**	**				**	
Sub-sub main factor: Vitamin treatments (as foliar applications) Control (without) 13.72° 14.06° 7.83° 8.00° 0.522° 0.539° 566.43° 581.88° 33.93° 35.01° 193.44° 204.97° Vitamin C 14.06°° 14.50°° 7.94° 8.28°° 0.536° 0.550° 580.04° 600.47° 34.25° 35.13° 199.77° 212.17° Vitamin E 14.33° 14.72° 8.17° 8.44° 0.550° 0.566° 594.67° 612.34° 34.47° 35.48° 206.12° 218.43° F. Test * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	LSD at 5%		0.54	0.57	0.52	0.30	0.004	0.014	5.84	1.76	0.47	0.38	4.35	2.10
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$														
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		thout)				8.00 ^b								
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$														
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					8.17 ^a	8.44ª								
Interaction Section 11.33 11.67 7.00 6.67 0.418 0.432 463.13 474.85 31.00 32.10 143.58 152.41 152.04 16.87 1.00 12.33 7.00 7.33 0.432 0.440 478.70 496.32 31.76 32.41 152.04 160.87 1.00 12.33 7.33 7.67 0.446 0.458 495.41 506.63 32.03 32.80 158.65 166.19 1.00 1.0	F. Test													
Email Control 11.33 11.67 7.00 6.67 0.418 0.432 463.13 474.85 31.00 32.10 143.58 152.41 Email V.C 11.67 12.33 7.00 7.33 0.432 0.440 478.70 496.32 31.76 32.41 152.04 160.87 V.E 12.00 12.33 7.33 7.67 0.446 0.458 495.41 506.63 32.03 32.80 158.65 166.19 Control 12.33 12.67 7.33 7.67 0.460 0.478 507.82 519.93 32.29 33.59 164.01 174.62 V.C 12.67 13.00 7.33 7.67 0.472 0.489 524.71 541.42 32.77 33.57 171.99 181.76 V.E 13.00 13.33 7.67 8.00 0.483 0.495 538.80 559.73 32.85 33.96 176.96 190.11	LSD _{at 5%}		0.45	0.47	"INS	0.42			4.82	1./3	0.27	0.28	1.98	1.54
E Control 12.33 12.67 13.00 13.33 7.67 0.446 0.458 495.41 506.63 32.03 32.80 158.65 166.19 V.E 12.00 12.33 7.33 7.67 0.446 0.458 495.41 506.63 32.03 32.80 158.65 166.19 V.E 12.00 12.33 7.33 7.67 0.460 0.478 507.82 519.93 32.29 33.59 164.01 174.62 V.C 12.67 13.00 7.33 7.67 0.472 0.489 524.71 541.42 32.77 33.57 171.99 181.76 V.E 13.00 13.33 7.67 8.00 0.483 0.495 538.80 559.73 32.85 33.96 176.96 190.11		Control	11 22	11.67	7.00	6 67			162 12	171 05	21.00	22.10	1/2 50	152.41
E V.E 12.00 12.33 7.33 7.67 0.446 0.458 495.41 506.63 32.03 32.80 158.65 166.19 E Control 12.33 12.67 7.33 7.67 0.460 0.478 507.82 519.93 32.29 33.59 164.01 174.62 E V.C 12.67 13.00 7.33 7.67 0.472 0.489 524.71 541.42 32.77 33.57 171.99 181.76 E V.E 13.00 13.33 7.67 8.00 0.483 0.495 538.80 559.73 32.85 33.96 176.96 190.11 E Control 13.33 13.67 7.67 8.00 0.498 0.511 553.24 570.27 33.08 34.06 183.06 194.23	<u>H</u>													
Control 12.33 12.67 7.33 7.67 0.460 0.478 507.82 519.93 32.29 33.59 164.01 174.62	,ją T													
Control 12.55 12.67 13.00 7.33 7.67 0.472 0.489 524.71 541.42 32.77 33.57 171.99 181.76 V. E 13.00 13.33 7.67 8.00 0.483 0.495 538.80 559.73 32.85 33.96 176.96 190.11 Control 13.33 13.67 7.67 8.00 0.498 0.511 553.24 570.27 33.08 34.06 183.06 194.23	≅													
8 V.C 13.00 13.33 7.67 8.00 0.483 0.495 538.80 559.73 32.85 33.96 176.96 190.11 22 Control 13.33 13.67 7.67 8.00 0.498 0.511 553.24 570.27 33.08 34.06 183.06 194.23	et et													
8 Control 13.33 13.67 7.67 8.00 0.498 0.511 553.24 570.27 33.08 34.06 183.06 194.23	% g													
~~ _g Colitor 15.55 15.07 7.07 6.00 0.496 0.511 555.24 570.27 55.06 54.00 165.00 194.25	Š ,													
의 및 V C 12.67 14.00 7.67 9.22 0.512 0.522 5.65.95 594.72 22.45 24.15 190.20 100.67	<u>ි</u> න්දු	V. C	13.55	14.00	7.67	8.33	0.498	0.511	565.85	584.72	33.45	34.15	189.30	194.23
\(\frac{\text{\tinitetet{\text{\tinit}}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texicitex{\tinit}}\\ \text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texicitex{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texicitex{\text{\texicitex{\text{\text{\text{\texicitex{\text{\texicitex{\texicitex{\texicitex{\text{\texicitex{\texicitex{\texicitex{\texicitex{\texicitex{\texicitex{\texicitex{\texicitex{\texicitex{\texicitex{\tinitite\taint{\texicitex{\tinit\tinity}\xiiintex{\tiinter{\texi}}}}\tintimetex{\texitilex{\tiintet{\tinititex{\tiii}\	≥∺													206.34
8 Control 14.00 14.33 8.00 8.33 0.524 0.560 584.01 601.25 35.29 35.32 206.07 218.36	<u>x</u>													218.36
E - V.C 14.33 14.67 8.33 8.33 0.556 0.569 597.08 617.88 35.53 36.38 212.15 224.80	Ħ													224.80
0 1/2 1/11 1/11 1/11 1/11 1/11 1/11 1/11	, jo													232.82
Example 1 V.E 14.67 15.00 8.33 8.67 0.569 0.585 612.38 635.63 35.62 36.63 218.16 232.82 5 E Control 15.00 15.33 8.33 8.67 0.585 0.608 625.90 643.48 35.76 36.70 223.82 236.17 5 V.C 15.33 15.67 8.33 8.67 0.601 0.616 638.35 660.02 35.80 36.93 228.52 243.71 6 V.E 15.67 16.00 8.67 8.67 0.610 0.637 649.48 664.11 35.98 37.05 233.67 246.08 2 Control 16.33 16.67 8.67 8.67 0.630 0.644 664.49 681.50 36.13 37.28 240.09 3 Control 16.33 16.67 8.67 0.630 0.644 664.49 681.50 36.13 37.28 240.09	<u> </u>													236.17
9 V.C 15.33 15.67 8.33 8.67 0.601 0.616 638.35 660.02 35.80 36.93 228.52 243.71	de iii													
S V.E 15.67 16.00 8.67 8.67 0.016 0.637 649.48 664.11 35.98 37.05 233.67 246.08	% 'À													245.71
2 Control 16.33 16.67 8.67 8.67 0.530 0.644 664.49 681.50 36.13 37.28 240.09 254.04	8 —													254.04
= 0.00 V.C 16.67 17.33 9.00 9.33 0.644 0.664 675.56 702.46 36.20 37.33 244.61 262.21	_ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~													
	⋝∺													262.21
F. Test * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		V.E												
LSD at 5% 1.11 1.16 0.99 1.03 0.011 0.011 11.80 4.24 0.65 0.69 4.82 3.76	I SD													
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ΔνR													
AXC			*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
BxC			*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Means within a row followed by a different letter (s) are statistically different at a 0.05 level

*NS= non-significant

Table 8. Effect of foliar spraying with antitranspirants and vitamins on seed biochemical commosition of the flax plants irrigated under different regimes at 150 days from sowing during seasons of 2023/24 and 2024/25

seasons of 2023/24 and 2024/25											
Tro	atments		Protei		Carbohyo						
116	aunents		1st season	2 nd season	1st season	2 nd season					
		Main factor		equirement tr							
	of IR		19.31 ^b	. 19.87 ^b	24.70^{b}	25.42 ^b					
1009	% of IR		21.64 ^a	22.26^{a}	27.01 ^a	27.83 ^a					
F. To			**	**	**	**					
LSD			0.13	0.01	0.03	0.20					
		ain factor: Ant	itranspirant tı	reatments (as	foliar applic	ations)					
	trol (witl	hout)	19.56°	20.09°	25.21 ^c	25.93°					
Kao			20.61 ^b	21.27 ^b	25.61 ^b	26.40 ^b					
Mag	nesium	silicate	21.26 ^a	21.84 ^a	26.74 ^a	27.54^{a}					
F. To	est		**	**	**	**					
LSD) at 5%		0.27	0.23	0.28	0.32					
	Sub-s	sub main factor		atments (as f							
Con	trol (witl	hout)	20.20°	20.82 ^b	25.70 ^b	26.45 ^b					
	min C		20.44 ^b	20.98 ^b	25.88ab	26.64 ^a					
	min E		20.79 ^a	21.39 ^a	25.99 ^a	26.80^{a}					
F. To			**	*	*	*					
LSD) at 5%		0.16	0.17	0.21	0.17					
			Interac								
	Contro 1	Control	17.94	18.56	23.46	24.02					
	<u> </u>	V. C	18.25	18.60	23.61	24.29					
~	ŭ	V.E	19.19	19.69	23.76	24.50					
80% of IR	.5	Control	19.41	20.05	23.98	24.53					
ਰ	<u>G</u>	V. C	19.44	20.10	24.19	24.96					
%	22	V. E	19.55	20.18	24.42	25.39					
∞	Mg licate	Control	19.71	20.28	26.07	26.88					
	<u> </u>	V. C	20.01	20.42	26.31	27.06					
	∠ :∄	v. E	20.31	20.98	26.51	27.19					
	0	Control	20.41	20.97	26.68	27.52					
	퉏_	V. C	20.68	21.20	26.89	27.61					
~	Contro 1	V.E	20.92	21.49	26.89	27.65					
100% of IR	_ _	Control	21.50	22.27	26.93	27.81					
of	填	V. C	21.71	22.30	27.06	27.83					
%	'g	V. E V. E									
8	- X		22.03	22.74	27.07	27.91					
1	Mg licate	Control	22.25	22.80	27.11	27.93					
	Σ :3	V. C	22.54	23.25	27.20	28.07					
	.∞	V. E	22.72	23.27	27.26	28.14					
F. To			*		*						
LSD			0.39	0.41	0.50	0.41					
Ax			*	*	*	*					
Ax			*	*	*	*					
Bx(Ü		ጥ	ጥ	ጥ	•					

Means within a row followed by a different letter (s) are statistically different at a 0.05 level

CONCLUSION

According to the obtained findings, the treatment of 100% IR positively reflected on flax yield and its fiber quality compared to the 80% of IR. Magnesium silicate was superior to kaolin. Vitamin E achieved the best performance in facing water deficit compared to Vitamin C. The best flax performance was achieved under the combined treatment of 100 IR x magnesium silicate x Vitamin E. On the other hand, spraying magnesium silicate combined with the vitamin E under irrigation with 80% of IR recorded the results closed to the treatment of 100 IR without foliar applications, indicating the effectiveness of this combination in mitigating the water deficit. Finally, it can be recommended to the combining foliar spraying with magnesium silicate and vitamin E on flax grown under water deficit stress, as this treatment contributes to increasing water use efficiency and maintaining growth, productivity, and quality in the flax crop.

REFERENCES

Abd Allah, A. (2019). Impacts of kaolin and pinoline foliar application on growth, yield and water use efficiency of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) grown under water deficit: A comparative study. Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences, 18(3), 256-268.

AOAC (2000)." Official Methods of Analysis". 18th Ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD, Method 04.

Bakry, A. B., Sabra, D. M., & Ahmed, A. Y. M. (2024). Morphological, biochemical, and molecular analyses to assess the flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) Genotypes under sandy soil conditions. SABRAO J. Breed. Genet, 56(4), 1682-1693.

- Elavarthi, S., & Martin, B. (2010). Spectrophotometric assays for antioxidant enzymes in plants. Plant stress tolerance: methods and protocols, 273-280.
- El-Baz, E. E. T., Arafat, L. A., Ibrahium, E. G., & El-Deeb, M. R. I. (2016). Effect of cobalt and some vitamins as foliar application treatments on productivity and quality of williams banana cultivar. Journal of Plant Production, 7(7), 777-786.
- El-Borhamy, A., Khedr, R. A., & El-Mansoury, M. (2022). Physiological, Biochemical and Agronomic response of some flax cultivars to water deficit under clay soil conditions in North Delta. Journal of the advances in agricultural researches, 27(2), 351-365.
- Elmahdy, S. M., El-Sherpiny, M. A., & Helmy, A. A. (2022). Suppression of irrigation water deficit stress affecting soybean production. Journal of Global Agriculture and Ecology, 25-37.
- Emam, S. (2020). Estimation of straw, seed and oil yields for flax plants (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) cultivars of foliar application of Mn, Fe and Zn under dry environment. Egyptian Journal of Agronomy, 42(1), 35-46.
- Faghihi, E., Aghamir, F., Mohammadi, M., & Eghlima, G. (2025). Kaolin application improved growth performances, essential oil percentage, and phenolic compound of *Thymus vulgaris* L. under drought stress. BMC Plant Biology, 25(1), 892.
- Gomez; K. A., & Gomez, A.A (1984). "Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research". John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.pp:680.
- Kamal, A. M., & Abd Al-Gaid, M. A. (2008). Effect of foliar application with some antioxidants on growth and yield of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) under early planting conditions. Journal of Plant Production, 33(10), 7413-7420.
- Kocięcka, J., Liberacki, D., & Stróżecki, M. (2023). The role of antitranspirants in mitigating drought stress in

- plants of the grass family (Poaceae)—A Review. Sustainability, 15(12), 9165.
- Mady, M. A. (2009). Effect of foliar application with salicylic acid and vitamin e on growth and productivity of tomato (*Lycopersicon Esculentum*, Mill.) plant. Journal of Plant Production, 34(6), 6715-6726.
- Metwaly, E. E., & Nada, M. M. (2020). Impact of foliar application with calcium under different kaolin rates on growth and yield of tomato grown in high temperature condition. Journal of Plant Production, 11(1), 35-40.
- Peterburgski, A. V. (1968)."Handbook of Agronomic Chemistry". Kolos Puplishing House, Moscow, (in Russian, pp. 29-86).
- Radwan, S. R. H. and A. Momtaz (1966). The technological properties of flax fibers and the methods of estimating them. El- Falaha J., 46 (5):466-476.
- Sallam, I.M., KH Abdelhalim, A. E., & Badr Eldin, R. (2023). The Effect of Water Stress on Seeds Production and Fiber Yield of Flax Crop Grown in Clay Soil. Alexandria Science Exchange Journal, 44(1), 57-65.
- Tandon, H. L. S. (2005). Methods of analysis of soils, plants, waters, fertilizers & organic manures. Fertilizer Development and Consultation Organization, 204-204A Bhanot Corner, 1-2 Pamposh Enclave, New Delhi 110 048, India.
- Valenzuela, A. (1991). The biological significance of malondialdehyde determination in the assessment of tissue oxidative stress. Life sciences, 48(4), 301-309.
- Walinga, I., Van Der Lee, J. J., Houba, V. J., Van Vark, W.,& Novozamsky, I. (2013). Plant analysis manual.Springer Science & Business Media.
- Wissa, M. T. (2017). Response of Egyptian Hybrid Rice One Cultivar to Zinc Sulphate, Potassium Sulphate and Magnesium Silicate as Foliar Application. Journal of Plant Production, 8(11), 1085-1092.

التغلب علي العجز المائي في زراعة الكتان من خلال الرش الورقي بالفيتامينات ومضدات النتح أميرة جمال محمد شحاتة ، محمد عاطف الشربيني و هناء محمد المغاوري صقاره

معهد بحوث الأر اضبي و المياه و البيئة، مركز البحوث الزر اعبة، ش الجامعة، الجيزة، 12619 مصر

الملخص

الكتان هو محصول اقتصادي هام في مصر إلا أن ندرة المياه التي يعاني منها القطاع الزراعي المصري تؤثر بشكل سلبي على نموّه الخضري، وإنتاجيته من الزيت، وجودة أليافه. لذا تم اقراض نهجٌ يُسهم في تحسين قدرة نبات الكتان على تحمّل إجهاد العجز المائي . أجريت تجربة حقلية خلال موسمين متتاليين (24/2023 و 24/2023) لتقييم تأثير معاملات مختلفه من متطلبات الري [100 % من متطلبات الري (تعادل 1316 ه قدان أ) و 80 % من متطلبات الري (تعادل 1316 ه قدان أ) و 80 % من متطلبات الري (تعادل 100 هذات أ)] كعامل درسة رئيسي و ومضادات النتج الكتارول (ماء الصنبور)، الكاؤلين (5%، وزن / حجم) وسيليكات المغنيسيوم (5%، وزن / حجم)] كعامل منشق أول، والفيتامينات [الكترول (ماء الصنبور)، فيتامين C (ومنسلات التي وفيتامين E (100 ملجم لتر أ) وفيتامين E (100 ملجم لتر توالصفات البيوكميائية للبذور. أظهرت النتائج أن توافر الاحتياجات المائية الكاملة (100%) من متطلبات الري) انعكس إيجابًا على نمو نبات الكتان المغنيسيوم وفيتامين E (100 ملحم لتر أ بلاي الكامل مع الرش بسيليكات المغنيسيوم وفيتامين E أفضل أداء لنبات الكتان. ومن ناحية أخرى، فإن استخدام سيليكات المغنيسيوم مهيتامين E فضل أداء لنبات الكتان. ومن متطلبات الري أدى إلى الكامل مع الرش بسيليكات المغنيسيوم وفيتامين E أفضل أداء لنبات الكتان. ومن متطلبات الري أدى إلى نتائج مقاربة للري الكامل دون معاملات رش، مما يشير إلى كفاءة هذه التوليفة في التخفيف من حدة الإجهاد المائي.