## Field evaluation of certain oils, plant extracts, pesticides and bio-insecticides and their mixtures on some sucking pests infesting watermelon and pepper plantations

## Nasr, H. M\* and A. Y. Keratum\*\*

\*Department of Pest Control and Environmental Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Alexandria University, Damanhour Branch

#### **ABSTRACT**

The toxic effect of certain compounds including two pesticides [fenitrothion (Sumithion) and etoxazole (Baroque)], mineral oil (K.z-oil), plant oils extracted from citrus such as baladi mandarin, sour orange, acidless orange and blue gum, microbial pesticides (Biofly and Agerin) and mixtures of Kz-oil with plant oils and microbial pesticides, against Thrips tabaci, Aphis gossypii, Empoasca sp., Bemisia tabac and Tetranychus urticae on watermelon (Citrullus lanatus var.cococynthoides L.) and pepper (Capsicum annum L.) plantations have been done under field conditions. The results achieved in this study can be summarized in the following: Fenitrothion was the most toxic compound followed by Kz-oil on T. tabaci. Plant oil extracts were of a moderate toxic effect, while etoxazole was the least toxic compound on T. tabaci. Fenitrothion was the most toxic compound followed by baladi mandarin oil extract and Kz-oil on A. gosspyi. Etoxazole was of a moderate toxic effect, but blue gum, sour orange oil and acidless orange oil extracts were the least toxic treatments on Fenitrothion was the highest effective compound in reducing the population density of Empoasca sp. after the first spray followed by Kz-oil + baladi mandarin oil, Kz-oil + sour orange oil and etoxazole. The other tested compounds were moderately effective except sour orange oil, acidless orange oil, blue gum oil, Biofly and Agerin which were the least effective compounds on Empoasca sp. No significant differences were found among compounds after the second spray. Fenitrothion was the most effective compound in reducing the population density after the first spray followed by baladi mandarin oil, Kz-oil, Kz-oil + baladi mandarin oil and Kz-oil + blue gum oil on B. tabaci. The other tested compounds were moderately effective except sour orange oil, Biofly and Agerin which were the least effective compounds. All the tested compounds gave satisfactory result in reducing whitefly population ranged between 64.27 and 91.62% after the second spray with significant differences. Kz-oil was the most toxic

<sup>\*\*</sup> Department of Pesticides, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh University, Egypt

compound followed by fenitrothion and baladi mandarin oil extract on *T. urticae*. Sour orange oil, blue gum and acidless orange oil extracts have a moderate toxicity, while etoxazole was the least toxic compound on *T. urticae*. The results showed that Biofly and Agerin were highly toxic to *T. tabaci* and *A. gossypii* while they were of a moderate toxic to *T. urticae*. The results showed that all mixtures had potentiation effect against *T tabaci*, *A. gossypii* and *T. urticae*. Generally it can be concluded that, all tested compounds reduced the mean numbers of sucking pests on watermelon and pepper plantations., The tested compounds could be arranged descendingly according to their toxicity to the sucking pests as follows: Pesticides > mixtures > plant oil extracts > mineral oil > microbial pesticides.

### INTRODUCTION

Vegetable crops are liable to a variety of pest infestations. Sucking pests are the most serious and destructive pests to invade watermelon and pepper plantations in Egypt.

The intensive use of chemical compounds for controlling pests resulted many problems such as population outbreaks of the pests and their resistance development against all known pesticide groups which leads to pest control failures, high costs, crop loss and economic disaster, in addition to its effect on the environment which constitute toxic hazards to human health, domestic animals, predators and wildlife. Generally it is important to do our job carefully by both controlling pest populations meanwhile protecting the environment from the potential adverse effect of pesticide uses.

The possibility of controlling sucking pests by a combination of biological and chemical methods had proved to be less costly, safe on the environmental constituents, minimizing chemical residues in the end product and also, more permanent method of control than had pesticides alone (Rizk *et al.*, 1999 and Omar and El-Kholy 2001).

The present study aimed to investigate the effect of the following tested compounds, and their toxic potentiality of fenitrothion and etoxazole, mineral oil (Kz-oil), plant oil extract from citrus fruit peels such as baladi mandarin, sour orange and acid less orange and blue gum, microbial pesticides such as Biofly and Agerin and mixtures of Kz-oil with plant oils and microbial pesticides against *Thrips tabaci*, *Aphis gossypii*, *Empoasca* 

sp., Bemisia tabac and Tetranychus urticae on watermelon and pepper plantations.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Tested compounds:** Nine compounds were tested in the formulated form. The dosages were calculated on the basis of active ingredient as ppm except for the microbial pesticide; Biofly (*Beauveria bassiana*) which calculated on the basis of number of conidia/ml. The tested compounds were as follows:

**Pesticides:** Fenitrothion (50% E.C.) *O,O*-dimethyl *O*-4-nitro-*m*-tolyl phosphorothioate. Etoxazole (10% S.C.) (RS) –S- tert-butyl–2-[2-(2, 6-difluorophenyl) –4,5-dihydro-1.3 oxazol-4-y1] phenetole.

Mineral oil: K.Z. oil (95% E.C.) formulated mineral oil supplied by Kafer El-Zayat Pesticides and Chemicals Company.

Volatile oil extractions from citrus peels: Volatile oil extracted from citrus fruit peels of [(Citrus deliciosa Blanc, baladi mandarin), (Citrus aurantium L., sour orange) and (Citrus sinensis Osbek, acidless orange)] (Family. Rutaceae) in Pesticide Department at Kafr El- Sheikh University, by steam distillation using the method of A.O.A.C. (1990) with Clavenger traps apparatus (oils lighter than water type). Citrus fruits were purified thoroughly washed in detergent solution to remove any surface of pesticide residues and rinsed with tap water. Then fruits were washed in methanol 30%, rinsed with tap water again and finally rinsed with distilled water. The peels should be free from white spongy, the peels were cut into small pieces.

Batches of 50 g small pieces were mixed with distilled water at ratio of 1:4, then blended and the mixture was placed in the distillation flask. Distillation was carried out for 1 hour until no further oil incensement was observed. The oils were separated from the water condensated and dried through anhydrous sodium sulphate and kept in dark containers in the refrigerator in addition to blue gum oil (*Eucalyptus globulus* L.) which was obtained from the market.

Microbial insecticides: Biofly (Beauvaria bassiana) as a liquid microbial pesticide containing 3 X 10<sup>7</sup> conidia/ml. It was supplied by El-Nasser Company for Fertilizers and Pesticides. Egypt. Agerin (Bacillus thuringiiensis kurstaki), 32000 International Units per milligram (6.4% a.i.)

was supplied by Abbott Laboratories Chemical and Agricultural Products Division. North Chicago. 11, USA.

**Field assessments:** Toxicological studies were carried out at the experimental farm of Faculty of Agric., Kafr EI-Sheikh, Tanta Univ. The tested compounds were applied at the recommended doses using a knapsack sprayer with one nozzle. The experimental cultivated area of watermelon and pepper was divided into plots of  $40\text{m}^2$ . Each treatment was distributed in a completely randomized design with four replicates. The tested compounds used and their application rates are shown in Table (1).

Table (1): Tested compounds in the field applications; formulation and

application rates (El-Fakharany, 2005)

application rates (El-rakilai			
Tested compounds	Rat	te of application/liter v	vater
	Thrips	Aphid, Whitefly,	Mite
	-	Leafhopper	
Pesticides			
Fenitrothion (50% E.C.)•	3.75 cc	3.75 cc	3.75 cc
Etoxazole (10% S.C.)•	0.25 cc	0.25 cc	0.25 cc
Mineral oil			
K.Z. oil (95% E.C.)•	17.5 cc	10 cc	10 cc
Plant oil extracts			
Baladi mandarin**	30.1 cc	0.49 cc	15.4 cc
Sour orange**	35.6 cc	5.7 cc	25.6 cc
Acidless orange**	36.4 cc	5.8 cc	40.1cc
Blue gum**	31.8 cc	4.7 cc	28.3 сс
Microbial pesticides			
Biofly (3 X I0 <sup>7</sup> conidia/ml.)•	1.5 cc	1.5 cc	1.5 cc
Agerin (6.4% W.P)•	. l g	1 g	1 g
Mixtures			
K.Z. oil* + Baladi mandarin**	8.75 + 30.1cc	5cc + 0.49 cc	5cc + 15.4 cc
K.Z. oil* + Sour orange**	8.75 + 35.6 cc	5cc + 5.7 cc	5cc + 25.6 cc
K.Z. oil* + Acidless orange**	8.75 + 36.4 cc	5cc + 5.8 cc	5cc + 40.1 cc
K.Z. oil* + Blue gum**	8.75 + 31.8 cc	5cc + 4.7cc	5cc + 28.3cc
K.Z. oil* + Biofly*	8.75 + 0.75 cc	5cc + 0.75cc	5cc + 0.75 cc
K.Z. oil* + Agerin*	8.75 + 0.5 g	5cc + 0.5 g	5cc + 0.5 g

<sup>• =</sup> Recommended dose, \* = Half of the Recommended dose, \*\* =  $LC_{50}$ 

The infestation was determined by counting all available stages appeared on 15 leaves which were taken from each replicate, (5 from lower, 5 from the middle and 5 from the top) for pepper plants, while it was from the first, middle and the end of watermelon plants respectively at random. The counts of thrips (nymphs and adults) aphid (nymphs and adults), whitefly (larvae),

leafhoppers (nymphs and adults) and mites (mobile stages) were done just before spraying, and then after 1,3,5,7 and 14 days of applications.

On watermelon plantations: for thrips, first spary was done on May 24 and the second spary on June 14, 2003 and for aphids and spider mites, sprayed occurred on June 28 and the second spary on July 19, 2003 While for whitefly, sprayed occurred on June 14, the second and third applications were carried out on June 28 and July 19, 2003, respectively. For leafhopper, sprayed occurred on May 24, the second and the third application were done on June 28 and July 19, 2003, respectively.

On pepper plantations for thrips, sprayed occurred on May 24 and the second application on June 7, 2003. For aphids, whitefly and leafhopper, sprayed occurred on August 17 and the second application on September 3, 2003, and for spider mites sprayed occurred on June 7 and the second application on August 17, 2003.

Percentages of reduction for the infestation of the target pests were estimated according to Henderson and Telton (1955) equation as follows:

% of reduction= 100 [1- (B x 
$$A^*$$
) / (A x  $B^*$ )]

Where:

A = No. of individuals before spraying.

B = No. of individuals after spraying.

A\* = No. of individuals in check before spraying

B = No. of individuals in check after spraying

**Statistical analysis:** All data were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan multiple range test (Cohort software Inc., 1985) to determine the significant differences among means values at the probability level of 0.05.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effectiveness of the tested compounds on sucking pests inhabited watermelon plantations:

#### T. tabaci

Fenitrothion was the most effective compound in reducing *T. tabaci* population density (the same result was obtained by Nasseh and Link (1990)

after the first and second sprays (81.44 and 93.24) followed by kz-oil + baladi mandarin oil (78.70 and 86.59 %), kz-oil + blue gum (75.15and 86.59%), Kz-oil + acidless orange oil (71.21and 86.09%), kz-oil + sour orange oil (74.96 and 82.62 %), etoxazole (71.08 and 82.62%), kz-oil + Biofly (70.25 and 76.84%) and Kz-oil + Agerin (68.89 and 86.59 %) respectively. The other tested compounds were moderately effective except Biofly and Agerin which were the least effective compounds. Generally, no significant differences were found among compounds except fenitrothion, Biofly and Agerin (Table 2). Similar results were also obtained by Abdel-Wahab et al., (2001) and Al-Fawaeer and Abu-Abeid (2002).

Table (2): Reduction percentages of the tested compounds on T. tabaci

		s on watermelon p	-14-4:
(number and ad	ulta) nanulatiani	s on watermeion t	าเลทเลเเดทจ
THEOREM AND AD	unis i dodunanom	on waterment	minutations.

(Hymphs and de		The first spra	у	Th	e second spr	ay
Compounds	Initial	Residual	General	Initial	Residual	General
•	effect %	effect	average	effect %	effect	average
		(average)			(average)	
		Pest	ticides			
Fenitrothion	97.95ª	77.31	81.44	99.88	91.58	93.24ª
Etoxazole	80.40°	68.76	71.08ab	83.33°	82.44	82.62ab
		Min	eral oil			
K.Z. oil	64.99 <sup>r</sup>	58.28	59.62*b	66.67°	64.97	65.31 <sup>ab</sup>
		Plant o	il extracts			
Baladi mandarin	79.80 <sup>cd</sup>	62.45	65.92 <sup>ab</sup>	76.67 <sup>d</sup>	64.97	67.31 <sup>ab</sup>
sour orange	72.43°	57.61	60.57 <sup>ab</sup>	73.33°	64.97	66.64 <sup>ab</sup>
Acidless orange	77.63 <sup>d</sup>	58.23	62.11 <sup>ab</sup>	73.33°	64.97	66.64 <sup>ab</sup>
Blue gum	69.96 <sup>t</sup>	65.18	66.14 <sup>ab</sup>	76.67 <sup>d</sup>	94.97	67.31 <sup>ab</sup>
		Microbia	l pesticides			
Biofly	7.94 <sup>j</sup>	67.76	55.79 <sup>ab</sup>	33.33 <sup>f</sup>	64.97	58.64 <sup>b</sup>
Agerin	21.41	60.48	52.67 <sup>b</sup>	33.33 <sup>f</sup>	94.97	58.64 <sup>b</sup>
		Mi	xtures			
K.Z. oil+B.M.	82.22°	77.82	78.70 <sup>ab</sup>	83.33°	87.41	86.59 <sup>ab</sup>
K.Z. oil+S.O.	80.94°	73.36	74.96 <sup>ab</sup>	83.33°	77.47	82.62 <sup>ab</sup>
K.Z. oil+A.O.	73.14 <sup>e</sup>	70.73	71.21 <sup>ab</sup>	86.67 <sup>b</sup>	81.97	86.09ab
K.Z. oil+B.G.	58.08 <sup>b</sup>	72.54	75.15 <sup>ab</sup>	83.33°	87.41	86.59 <sup>ab</sup>
K.Z. oil+Biofly	66.22 <sup>g</sup>	71.26	70.25 <sup>ab</sup>	77.78 <sup>d</sup>	76.61	76.84 <sup>ab</sup>
K.Z. oil+Agerin	59.77 <sup>h</sup>	71.18	68.89 <sup>ab</sup>	83.33°	87.41	86.59 <sup>nb</sup>

B.M. = Baladi mandarin, S.O.= sour orange, A.O.= acidless orange and B.G.= Blue gum. Mean followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level.

## A. gossypii

Data in (Table 3) showed that fenitrothion was the most effective compound in reducing A. gossypii population density after the first and second sprays, followed by Agerin, etoxazole, Kz-oil + Biofly, acidless

orange oil, Kz-oil + Agerin, Kz-oil + baladi mandarin oil, Biofly, Kz-oil + blue gum oil, baladi mandarin oil and blue gum oil. Kz-oil + acidless orange oil and Kz-oil were moderately effective, whereas sour orange oil was the least effective compound. Generally, all treatments gave satisfactory results in reducing *A. gossypii*. The reduction percentages (general average) ranged between 70.10 and 98.92 in the first spray as well as 70.05 and 99.29 in the second spray. These results agreed with the findings of EI-Hariry *et al.*, (1998) Abdel -Aziz et al.(2002), Rizk et al(1999) and Sharaf and EI-Basyouni (2002).

Table (3): Reduction percentages of the tested compounds on A. gossypii (nymphs and adults) populations on watermelon plantations

(nympns and ad	uits) popul	ations on v	vatermeion	plantation	ns.	
		The first spra	У	Th	e second spr	ay
Compounds	Initial	Residual	General	Initial	Residual	General
	effect %	effect	average	effect %	effect	average
		(average)			(average)	
		Pest	ticides			
Fenitrothion	96.80 <sup>t</sup>	99.44	98.92°	98.00 <sup>b</sup>	99.29	99.04ª
Etoxazole	90.41 <sup>e</sup>	96.05	94.94 <sup>ab</sup>	92.00 <sup>d</sup>	95.73	94.98ªb
		Mine	eral oil			
K.Z. oil	85.41 <sup>g</sup>	83.61	83.97°	86.00 <sup>f</sup>	84.23	84.58 <sup>b</sup>
		Plant o	il extracts			
Baladi mandarin	87.89 <sup>f</sup>	91.49	90.77 <sup>abc</sup>	88.00°	92.00	91.20 <sup>ab</sup>
sour orange	72.39 <sup>k</sup>	69.53	70.10 <sup>d</sup>	73.00 <sup>i</sup>	70.05	70.64°
Acidless orange	80.22 <sup>1</sup>	97.50	94.05 <sup>abc</sup>	81.00 <sup>h</sup>	97.55	94.24ªb
Blue gum	99.88ª	87.17	89.91 <sup>abc</sup>	99.90ª	88.1	90.46ab
		Microbia	l pesticides			
Biofly	87.59 <sup>g</sup>	94.46	93.09 <sup>abc</sup>	88.00°	94.73	93.38 <sup>ab</sup>
' Agerin	95.74 <sup>bc</sup>	96.53	97.00 <sup>d</sup>	97.00 <sup>bc</sup>	97.23	97.18 <sup>a</sup>
		Mix	xtures			W
K.Z. oil+B.M.	94.39°	92.98	93.26abc	95.50°	93.75	94.10 <sup>ab</sup>
K.Z. oil+S.O.	85.29 <sup>g</sup>	95.87	93.75abc	86.00 <sup>f</sup>	96.30	94.24ªb
K.Z. oil+A.O.	82.74 <sup>h</sup>	85.25	84.75 <sup>6c</sup>	84.00 <sup>g</sup>	85.88	85.50 <sup>b</sup>
K.Z. oil+B.G.	99.88ª	91.27	92.99abc	99.90ª	91.43	93.10 <sup>ab</sup>
K.Z. oil+Biofly	92.33 <sup>d</sup>	94.50	94.07 <sup>abc</sup>	93.00 <sup>d</sup>	95.07	94.66ab
K.Z. oil+Agerin	76.03 <sup>1</sup>	98.61	94.09 <sup>abc</sup>	77.00	98.42	94.14 <sup>ab</sup>

B.M. = Baladi mandarin, S.O.= sour orange, A.O.= acidless orange and B.G.= Blue gum. Mean followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level.

#### Empoasca sp.

Fenitrothion also was the most potent compound in reducing the population density of *Empoasca* sp. after the first treatment followed by Kzoil + baladi mandarin oil and etoxazole. The reduction percentages of the other compounds were less than 70%. In case of the tested bio-insecticides

(Biofly and Agerin) were the least effective compounds. After the second spray, it was observed that the population density of Empoasca sp. decreased in all treatments except Biofly and Agerin (Table 4).

#### B. tabaci

The data in Tables (5) showed that, Kz-oil + baladi mandarin oil was the most effective compound in reducing the population density of B. tabaci after the first spray, followed by Kz-oil + blue gum oil, etoxazole, Kz-oil + Agerin, Kz-oil + acidless orange oil and sour orange oil. The other tested compounds were of a moderate effect except Kz-oil, Biofly and Agerin which were the least effective compounds. No significant differences were found among treatments on the second spray.

Table (4): Reduction percentages of the tested compounds on Empoasca sp.

populations on v	vatermelor	n plantation	ıs.			
	,	The first spra	у	The second spray		
Compounds	Initial	Residual	General	Initial	Residual	General
	effect %	effect	average	effect %	effect	average
		(average)			(average)	
		Pest	ticides			
Fenitrothion	87.00ª	84.26	84.81ª	86.67ª	82.51	83.34ª
Etoxazole	74.00 <sup>b</sup>	79.39	78.31 <sup>ab</sup>	64.44 <sup>1</sup>	80.53	77.31ª
		Min	eral oil			
K.Z. oil	44.29 <sup>g</sup>	58.99	56.05 <sup>bc</sup>	46.67 <sup>i</sup>	69.96	65.30 <sup>ab</sup>
		Plant o	il extracts			
Baladi mandarin	51.25°	65,76	62.86abc	73.33 <sup>e</sup>	70.87	71.43 <sup>ab</sup>
sour orange	56.67 <sup>d</sup>	64.73	63.12 <sup>abc</sup>	75.38 <sup>d</sup>	66.59	68.35 <sup>ab</sup>
Acidless orange	48.00 <sup>f</sup>	64.72	61.42 <sup>abc</sup>	73.33°	60.81	63.32ab
Blue gum	67.50°	60.01	61.15 <sup>abc</sup>	73.33°	57.54	60.69 <sup>ab</sup>
		Microbia	al pesticides			
Biofly	35.00 <sup>h</sup>	53.44	49.75°	20.00 <sup>k</sup>	57.94	50.36 <sup>b</sup>
Agerin	56.67 <sup>d</sup>	38.18	41.88°	33.33 <sup>j</sup>	54.56	50.31 <sup>b</sup>
		Mi	xtures			
K.Z. oil+B.M.	67.50°	84.66	81.23ab	86.67ª	80.46	81.70°
K.Z. oil+S.O.	48.00 <sup>1</sup>	61.93	59.15abc	84.76 <sup>b</sup>	76.19	77.90°
K.Z. oil+A.O.	44.29 <sup>g</sup>	60.87	57.55 <sup>abc</sup>	82.22°	73.95	75.60 <sup>b</sup>
K.Z. oil+B.G.	56.67 <sup>d</sup>	64.15	62.65 <sup>abc</sup>	86.67 <sup>a</sup>	77.02	78.95ª
K.Z. oil+Biofly	48.00¹	66.81	63.05 <sup>abc</sup>	50.00 <sup>h</sup>	70.77	66.62 <sup>ab</sup>
K.Z. oil+Agerin	35.00 <sup>h</sup>	64.41	58.52 <sup>abc</sup>	62.67 <sup>h</sup>	62.55	62.57 <sup>ab</sup>

B.M. = Baladi mandarin, S.O.= sour orange, A.O.= acidless orange and B.G.= Blue gum. Mean followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level.

Table (5): Reduction percentages of the tested compounds on B. tabaci

(larvae) populations on watermelon plantations.

(lai vac) populai	(lai vac) populations on watermelon plantations.							
		The first spra	ау	The s	second spray			
Compounds	Initial	Residual	General	Initial effect	Residual	General		
	effect	effect	average	%	effect	average		
	%	(average)	_		(average)			
		F	Pesticides					
Fenitrothion	83.33°	70.80	73.31 <sup>ab</sup>	50.00 <sup>d</sup>	72.32	67.86ª		
Etoxazole	99.88ª	72.14	77.69ª	99.88°	64.85	71.85ª		
		M	lineral oil					
K.Z. oil	66.67°	51.49	54.53ab	53.57°	62.16	60.44ª		
		Plan	it oil extrac					
Baladi mandarin	66.67 <sup>e</sup>	60.36	61.62 <sup>ab</sup>	99.88ª	70.76	76.58ª		
sour orange	77.78 <sup>d</sup>	61.71	64.92 <sup>abs</sup>	53.57°	74.48	70.29ª		
Acidless orange	66.67°	63.07	63.79ab	45.83°	80.38	73.07ª		
Blue gum	77.78 <sup>d</sup>	67.04	69.18 <sup>ab</sup>	99.88ª	68.76	74.98ª		
		Micro	bial pestici	des				
Biofly	33.33 <sup>r</sup>	60.42	55.00 <sup>ab</sup>	40.91 <sup>r</sup>	74.42	67.72°		
Agerin	16.67 <sup>g</sup>	47.03	40.95 <sup>b</sup>	56.67 <sup>b</sup>	75.81	71.98ª		
		ľ	Mixtures					
K.Z. oil+B.M.	88.89 <sup>b</sup>	78.15	80.29ª	99.88ª	74.09	79.25ª		
K.Z. oil+S.O.	83.33°	72.59	74.74ª	99.88 <sup>a</sup>	71.74	69.51ª		
K.Z. oil+A.O.	83.33°	72.59	74.74	99.88	64.86	71.86ª		
K.Z. oil+B.G.	88.89 <sup>b</sup>	76.68	79.02 <b>°</b>	99.88ª	64.86	71.86ª		
K.Z. oil+Biofly	66.67 <sup>e</sup>	68.17	67.87 <sup>ab</sup>	45.83 <sup>e</sup>	76.55	70.44*		
K.Z. oil+Agerin	76.78 <sup>d</sup>	75.94	76.11	50.00 <sup>d</sup>	67.55	64.04ª		

B.M. = Baladi mandarin, S.O.= sour orange, A.O.= acidless orange and B.G.= Blue gum. Mean followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level.

#### T. uriticae

Etoxazole was the most effective compound in reducing *T. uriticae*. population among the tested compounds after first spray, followed by kz-oil + baladi mandarin oil while Kz-oil + Agerin, Kz-oil + sour orange oil, acidless orange oil, Kz-oil + acidless orange oil and Kz-oil have a moderate effect, whereas Kz-oil + blue gum oil, baladi mandarin oil, blue gum oil, sour orange oil, Kz-oil + Biofly, Biofly and Agerin were the least effective compounds. After the second spray,Kz-oil + Biofly,Kz-oil + blue gum oil and Kz-oil + acidless orange oil were the most effective compounds in reducing *T. uriticae*. Populations, followed by acidless orange oil, Kz-oil + sour orange oil, Kz-oil + Agerin, Kz-oil + baladi mandarin oil, sour orange oil, etoxazole, baladi mandarin oil and blue gum oil while Agerin, Kz-oil and Biofly were of moderate effect. Fenitrothion was the least effective

compounds (Table 6)., the same result was obtained by Gamieh et al (2000).

Zein et al., (2002) reported that, potentiation effect of KZ-oil + black pepper extract against T. uriticae adults. Moreover, the joint action of mixtures of plant oils on mineral oils with pesticides was found to be effective against T. tabaci, A. gossypii and T. urticae as showed by many investigators (Barakat et al., 1985; Haydar et al., 1996 and Helmy et al., 2002). El-Khodary et al., (2007) revealed that, fenitrothion gave high toxic effect against T. tabaci. A. gossypii and T. urticae but etoxazole was the least toxic compound against T. tabaci while high toxic for T. urticae.

Table (6): Reduction percentages of the tested compounds on T. uriticae

(mobile stages) populations on watermelon plantations.

			nutions.		
Т	he first spra	у		ne second sp	
Initial	Residual	General	Initial		General
effect %	effect	average	effect %	effect	average
	(average)			(average)	
	Pest				
57.85 <sup>i</sup>	52.49	60.29 <sup>ab</sup>		56.54	62.14 <sup>d</sup>
96.50°	79.64	83.04ª	99.88ª	78.72	82.95 <sup>abc</sup>
	Min				
77.30 <sup>cd</sup>	58.24	61.89 <sup>ab</sup>	77.22 <sup>fg</sup>	73.53	74.26 <sup>bcd</sup>
	Plant o	il extracts			
72.75 <sup>el</sup>	52.22			83.22	82.50 <sup>abc</sup>
	51.09	55.49 <sup>ab</sup>		86.79	84.97 <sup>abc</sup>
	61.85	62.90 <sup>ab</sup>		88.53	89.02ªb
70.83 <sup>1g</sup>	53.33	56.84ªb	75.98 <sup>gh</sup>	81.28	80.22 <sup>abc</sup>
	Microbia	al pesticides	3		
14.71 <sup>k</sup>	51.51	44.15 <sup>b</sup>	42.27 <sup>j</sup>	80.86	73.03 <sup>cd</sup>
	51.14	43.04 <sup>b</sup>	69.07 <sup>1</sup>	80.42	78.15 <sup>abc</sup>
	Mi	xtures			
78.07 <sup>hcd</sup>	74.87	75.51 <sup>ab</sup>	81.60°	87.63	86.39ab
79.82 <sup>b</sup>	63.03			89.04	88.59ªb
78.89 <sup>bc</sup>	58.98			89.67	90.11*
	52.93	57.55 <sup>ab</sup>	84.57 <sup>d</sup>	92.02	90.53*
44.33 <sup>j</sup>	54.72	52.61 <sup>ab</sup>		91.87	90.81
70.30 <sup>g</sup>	68.43			91.58	88.14 <sup>abc</sup>
	Initial effect %  57.85 <sup>1</sup> 96.50 <sup>a</sup> 77.30 <sup>cd</sup> 72.75 <sup>ef</sup> 73.12 <sup>e</sup> 67.25 <sup>h</sup> 70.83 <sup>fg</sup> 14.71 <sup>k</sup> 10.63 <sup>1</sup> 78.07 <sup>ked</sup> 79.82 <sup>b</sup> 78.89 <sup>bc</sup> 76.01 <sup>d</sup> 44.33 <sup>j</sup>	Initial effect % Residual effect (average)  Pess 57.85¹ 52.49  96.50° 79.64  Min 77.30°d 58.24  Plant o 72.75°l 52.22  73.12° 51.09  67.25° 61.85  70.83°l 53.33  Microbis 14.71° 51.51  10.63° 74.87  79.82° 63.03  78.89°c 58.98  76.01° 52.93  44.33° 54.72  70.30° 68.43	Initial effect %   Residual   General effect %   effect   average	Initial effect   Residual   General   Initial   effect   average   effect	Initial effect

B.M. = Baladi mandarin, S.O.= sour orange, A.O.= acidless orange and B.G.= Blue gum. Mean followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level.

The effectiveness of the tested compounds on sucking pests inhabited pepper plantations:

## T. tabaci

Fenitrothion was the most effective compound in reducing the population density of *T. tabaci* after the first and second sprays followed by etoxazole and Kz-oil + baladi mandarin oil. The other tested compounds have moderate effects except Kz-oil, sour orange oil, Biofly and Agerin were the least effective compounds. Generally, significant differences were found among the tested compounds (Table 7), as reported by Abdel-Aziz et al (2002) and Iskander and EI-Sisi (2001).

Table (7): Reduction percentages of the tested compounds on T. tabaci

(nymphs and adults) populations on pepper plantations.

_		The first spray	у	T	he second spra	ay
Compounds	Initial	Residual	General	Initial	Residual	General
	effect %	effect	avcrage	effect %	effect	average
		(average)			(average)	•
		Pes	ticides			
Fenitrothion	96.00ª	80.10	84.28ª	97.00°	84.34	86.87ª
Etoxazole	81.00 <sup>cde</sup>	82.66	82.33ªb	82.00 <sup>cd</sup>	83.13	82.90ab
		Min	eral oil			
K.Z. oil	65.00 <sup>i</sup>	59.71	60.77 <sup>bcd</sup>	66.50 <sup>h</sup>	60.88	62.00 <sup>bc</sup>
		Plant o	il extracts			
Baladi mandarin	80.00 <sup>de</sup>	65.59	68.47 <sup>cd</sup>	82.00 <sup>cd</sup>	68.25	71.00 <sup>abc</sup>
sour orange	71.00 <sup>h</sup>	60.62	62.69 <sup>cd</sup>	72.00 <sup>g</sup>	60.75	63.00 <sup>bc</sup>
Acidless orange	75.00 <sup>g</sup>	61.31	64.05 <sup>cd</sup>	76.00 <sup>f</sup>	62.50	65.20 <sup>abc</sup>
Blue gum	70.00 <sup>h</sup>	68.53	68.82 <sup>cd</sup>	71.00 <sup>g</sup>	69.13	69.50abc
		Microbia	l pesticides			
Biofly	15.00 <sup>k</sup>	67.42	56.93 <sup>cd</sup>	17.00 <sup>j</sup>	71.75	60.80 <sup>bc</sup>
Agerin	22.00 <sup>j</sup>	58.67	51.33 <sup>d</sup>	24.00 <sup>i</sup>	65.13	56.90°
		Mix	ctures			
K.Z. oil+B.M.	85.00 <sup>b</sup>	80.81	81.65 <sup>ab</sup>	87.00 <sup>b</sup>	81.75	82.80 <sup>ab</sup>
K.Z. oil+S.O.	82.00 <sup>cd</sup>	76.65	77.72 <sup>abc</sup>	83.00°	77.75	78.80 <sup>abc</sup>
K.Z. oil+A.O.	79.14 <sup>ef</sup>	76.67	77.16 <sup>abc</sup>	80.00 <sup>de</sup>	77.75	78.20abc
K.Z. oil+B.G.	83.50 <sup>bc</sup>	76.05	77.54 <sup>abc</sup>	84.00°	77.11	78.49 <sup>abc</sup>
K.Z. oil+Biofly	77.22 <sup>fg</sup>	74.01	74.65 <sup>abc</sup>	78.00 <sup>ef</sup>	77.25	77.40abc
K.Z. oil+Agerin	70.50 <sup>h</sup>	78.89	77.12 <sup>abc</sup>	71.00 <sup>g</sup>	81.25	79.20 <sup>abc</sup>

B.M. = Baladi mandarin, S.O.= sour orange, A.O.= acidless orange and B.G.= Blue gum. Mean followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level.

## A. gossypii

The data presented in Table (8) concerning A. gossypii show that fenitrothion was the most effective compound in reducing its population density after the first and second sprays followed by etoxazole, Kz-oil +

Agerin, baladi mandarin oil, kz-oil + sour orange oil, kz-oil + baladi mandarin and Kz-oil + acidless orange oil. The other tested compounds were moderately effective except Biofly which was the least effective one. Statistically, significant differences were found among the tested compounds, as reported by Shaheen et al (1992) and Magouz (2003).

Table (8): Reduction percentages of the tested compounds on A. gossypii

(nymphs and adults) populations on pepper plantations.

				<del></del>	
•	The first spra	у			
Initial	Residual	General	Initial		General
effect %	effect	average	effect %	effect	average
	(average)			(average)	
		icides			
99.88ª	99.58	99.84*		99.88	99.90ª
	96.89	97.59 <sup>ab</sup>	76.19 <sup>e</sup>	99.88	95.13 <sup>ab</sup>
	Mine	eral oil			
96.42 <sup>b</sup>	81.53	84.51 <sup>abc</sup>	76.19°	83.05	81.68ab
	Plant o	il extracts			
82.79 <sup>d</sup>	95.80	93.19abc		96.66	96.38ab
81.37 <sup>de</sup>	70.69	72.82 <sup>cd</sup>		83.29	85.88 <sup>ab</sup>
91.26°	81.45	83.41 <sup>cd</sup>		70.40	70.61 <sup>b</sup>
62.18 <sup>f</sup>	81.78	77.86 <sup>cd</sup>	88.09 <sup>d</sup>	65.33	69.88 <sup>b</sup>
	Microbia	al pesticides			
28.71 <sup>g</sup>	69.73	96.53 <sup>d</sup>	6.67'		74.96 <sup>ab</sup>
	93.35	79.93 <sup>cd</sup>	26.98 <sup>h</sup>	91.63	78.69 <sup>ab</sup>
	Mi	xtures			
92.44°	89.01	89.69*bc		96.83	95.56ªb
	96.33	95.34 <sup>abc</sup>		97.41	96.98ªb
89.51°	92.19	91.65abc	96.19 <sup>b</sup>	88.19	89.79 <sup>ab</sup>
79.02°	74.89	75.72 <sup>bcd</sup>	90.84°	73.83	77.16 <sup>ab</sup>
84.27 <sup>d</sup>	81.32	81.91 <sup>cd</sup>	62.90 <sup>g</sup>	99.88	92.48ab
96.07 <sup>6</sup>	96.22	96.19 <sup>ab</sup>	99.88ª	99.88	99.88ª
	Initial effect %  99.88a 99.88a 99.88a  96.42b  82.79d 81.37de 91.26c 62.18f  28.718 26.25g  92.44c 91.49c 89.51c 79.02c 84.27d	The first spra Initial effect %  Residual effect (average)  Pest  99.88* 99.88* 96.89  Mino  82.79* 81.53  Plant of  82.79* 81.45 62.18* 81.78  Microbia  28.71* 69.73 26.25* 93.35  Mi  92.44* 89.01 91.49* 96.33 89.51* 92.19 79.02* 74.89 84.27* 81.32	The first spray Initial Residual General effect (average)  Pesticides  99.88a 99.58 99.84a  99.88a 96.89 97.59ab  Mineral oil  96.42b 81.53 84.51abc  Plant oil extracts  82.79d 95.80 93.19abc  81.37dc 70.69 72.82cd  91.26c 81.45 83.41cd  62.18f 81.78 77.86cd  Microbial pesticides  28.71g 69.73 96.53d  26.25g 93.35 79.93cd  Mixtures  92.44c 89.01 89.69abc  91.49c 96.33 95.34abc  91.49c 96.33 95.34abc  91.49c 96.33 95.34abc  79.02c 74.89 75.72bcd  84.27d 81.32 81.91cd	Initial effect   Residual   General effect   effect   average   effect     effect	The first spray  Initial Residual General effect werrage (average)  Pesticides  99.88a 99.58 99.84a 99.88a 99.88  99.88b 97.59ab 76.19e 99.88  Mineral oil  96.42b 81.53 84.51abc 76.19e 83.05  Plant oil extracts  82.79d 95.80 93.19abc 95.24b 96.66  81.37dc 70.69 72.82cd 96.19b 83.29  91.26c 81.45 83.41cd 71.43d 70.40  62.18d 81.78 77.86cd 88.09d 65.33  Microbial pesticides  28.71e 69.73 96.53d 6.67d 92.03  26.25e 93.35 79.93cd 26.98h 91.63  Mixtures  92.44c 89.01 89.69abc 90.48c 96.83  91.49c 96.33 95.34abc 95.24b 97.41  89.51c 92.19 91.65abc 96.19b 88.19  79.02c 74.89 75.72bcd 90.84c 73.83  84.27d 81.32 81.91cd 62.90e 99.88

B.M. = Baladi mandarin, S.O.= sour orange, A.O.= acidless orange and B.G.= Blue gum. Mean followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level.

Empoasca sp.

The data presented in Table (9) show that, fenitrothion was the most effective compound in reducing the population density of *Empoasca* sp. after the first spray followed by Kz-oil + baladi mandarin oil, Kz-oil + sour orange oil and etoxazole. The other tested compounds were moderately effective except sour orange oil, acidless orange oil, blue gum oil, biofly and agerin which were the least effective compounds. No significant differences were found among compounds after the second spray.

Table (9): Reduction percentages of the tested compounds on Empoasca sp.

populations on pepper plantations.

populations on p		The first spray	у	Th	e second spra	ay
Compounds	Initial	Residual	General	Initial	Residual	General
	effect %	effect	average	effect %	effect	average
		(average)	-		(average)	
			icides			
Fenitrothion	99.88ª	93.07	94.42ª	99.88ª	96.61	97.27°
Etoxazole	62.50°	91.19	85.45 <sup>abc</sup>	87.33°	86.62	86.76ª
		Mine	eral oil			
K.Z. oil	62.50°	73.36	71.19 <sup>abc</sup>	99.88ª	80.44	84.33 <sup>d</sup>
		Plant oi	l extracts			
Baladi mandarin	75.00 <sup>b</sup>	81.98	80.59abc	87.33°	82.48	83.45 <sup>a</sup>
sour orange	62.50°	92.98	62.88 <sup>bc</sup>	76.25 <sup>d</sup>	80.41	79.58ª
Acidless orange	75.00 <sup>b</sup>	58.65	61.92 <sup>bc</sup>	96.00 <sup>b</sup>	83.11	85.69 <sup>a</sup>
Blue gum	62.50°	67.11	66.19 <sup>abc</sup>	99.88ª	73.26	78.58ª
		Microbia	l pesticides			
Biofly	25.00 <sup>d</sup>	67.54	59.03°	33.50°	84.66	74.42ª
Agerin	25.00 <sup>d</sup>	67.35	58.88°	24.00 <sup>f</sup>	90.72	77.38ª
		Mix	tures			
K.Z. oil+B.M.	99.88ª	86.83	89.44ªb	99.88ª	92.79	94.20ª
K.Z. oil+S.O.	99.88ª	83.54	86.81 abc	99.88*	87.64	90.09ª
K.Z. oil+A.O.	99.88ª	77.11	81.66 <sup>abc</sup>	99.88ª	88.67	90.91
K.Z. oil+B.G.	99.88ª	79.73	83.76 abc	99.88ª	83.65	86.89 <sup>a</sup>
K.Z. oil+Biofly	75.00 <sup>b</sup>	82.23	80.78 <sup>abc</sup>	95.53 <sup>в</sup>	89.11	90.39ª
K.Z. oil+Agerin	75.00 <sup>b</sup>	84.73	82.78 <sup>abc</sup>	96.38 <sup>b</sup>	87.48	89.26ª

B.M. = Baladi mandarin, S.O.= sour orange, A.O.= acidless orange and B.G.= Blue gum. Mean followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level.

#### B. tabaci

While for *B. tabaci*, fenitrothion was the most effective compound in reducing the population density after the first spray followed by baladi mandarin oil, Kz-oil, Kz-oil + baladi mandarin oil and Kz-oil + blue gum oil. Negm (2001) found that KZ - oil was the high effective against *B. tabaci*. The other tested compounds in the present study were moderately effective except sour orange oil, Biofly and Agerin which were the least effective compounds. All the tested compounds gave satisfactory result in reducing whitefly population ranged between 64.27 and 91.99% after the second spray with significant differences (Table 10).

Table (10): Reduction percentages of the tested compounds on B. tabaci

(nymphs and adults) populations on pepper plantations.

(ilyinpiis and add	The first spray			The	e second spr	ay
Compounds	Initial	Residual	General	Initial	Residual	General
2 0 j. u	effect	effect	average	effect %	effect	average
	%	(average)			(average)	
		Pes	ticides			
Fenitrothion	86.89ª	67.52	71.39 <sup>a</sup>	95.40 <sup>cd</sup>	77.18	80.83 <sup>abc</sup>
Etoxazole	85.71 <sup>a</sup>	61.96	66.71 <sup>a</sup>	91.19 <sup>f</sup>	91.73	91.62 <sup>a</sup>
***************************************		Min	eral oil			
K.Z. oil	79.99 <sup>b</sup>	66.91	69.52 <sup>a</sup>	75.69 <sup>h</sup>	75.91	75.87 <sup>abc</sup>
		Plant o	il extracts			
Baladi mandarin	79.29 <sup>b</sup>	67.79	70.09ª	87.14 <sup>g</sup>	83.10	83.91 <sup>abc</sup>
sour orange	32.05 <sup>h</sup>	49.78	46.23 <sup>a</sup>	75.45 <sup>h</sup>	81.22	80.07 <sup>abc</sup>
Acidless orange	47.71 <sup>g</sup>	65.76	62.15 <sup>a</sup>	92.50 <sup>ef</sup>	82.33	84.36 <sup>abc</sup>
Blue gum	58.72 <sup>f</sup>	58.08	58.21 <sup>a</sup>	99.88 <sup>a</sup>	90.03	91.99 <sup>a</sup>
		Microbia	al pesticid	es		
Biofly	13.74 <sup>i</sup>	56.61	48.04 <sup>a</sup>	25.00°	79.02	68.21 <sup>bc</sup>
Agerin	14.94 <sup>i</sup>	58.53	49.81 <sup>a</sup>	10.00 <sup>i</sup>	77.84	64.27 <sup>c</sup>
		Mi	xtures			
K.Z. oil+B.M.	71.72°	68.77	69.36 <sup>a</sup>	96.06 <sup>bcd</sup>	90.46	91.58 <sup>a</sup>
K.Z. oil+S.O.	68.61 <sup>d</sup>	65.39	66.04 <sup>a</sup>	97.92 <sup>abc</sup>	87.51	89.59ª
K.Z. oil+A.O.	67.36 <sup>d</sup>	69.26	68.88 <sup>a</sup>	98.50 <sup>ab</sup>	83.80	86.74 <sup>ab</sup>
K.Z. oil+B.G.	73.02°	66.81	68.05 <sup>a</sup>	99.88ª	91.11	92.87ª
K.Z. oil+Biofly	64.58 <sup>e</sup>	55.58	57.38 <sup>a</sup>	94.63 <sup>de</sup>	85.19	87.08 <sup>ab</sup>
K.Z. oil+Agerin	71.94°	61.64	63.69 <sup>a</sup>	98.60 <sup>ab</sup>	82.89	86.03 <sup>ab</sup>

B.M. = Baladi mandarin, S.O.= sour orange, A.O.= acidless orange and B.G.= Blue gum. Mean followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level.

#### T. urticae

Kz-oil + Agerin was the most effective compound in reducing the population density of *Tetranychus* sp. After the first and second sprays followed by Kz-oil + Biofly, Kz-oil + baladi mandarin oil, Kz-oil + acidless orange, Kz-oil + blue gum oil, Kz-oil + sour orange oil and etoxazole. Kz-oil, blue gum oil and fenitrothion were of moderately effective while the other compounds were the least effective and no significant differences were found among them (Table 11). Plant oils were found effective against *T. urticae* by many investigators under field conditions (Castiglioni *et al.*, 2002 and Farrag and Zakzouk, 2002).

The results achieved in this study can be summarized in the following findings:

- All tested compounds reduced the mean numbers of sucking pests on watermelon and pepper plants.
- The tested compounds could be arranged descendingly according to their toxicity as follows: Pesticides > mixtures > plant oil extracts > mineral oil > microbial pesticides.

Table (11): Reduction percentages of the tested compounds on T. urticae

(mobile stages) populations on pepper plantations.

				e second spr	ay
		General	Initial	Residual	General
effect %	effect	average	effect %	effect	average
	(average)			(average)	
	Pes	ticides			
	57.90	59.05ª	64.00 <sup>fg</sup>	59.00	60.00ª
99.88ª	64.43	71.52ª	98.00ª	64.73	71.38ª
	Min	eral oil			
60.00 <sup>g</sup>	62.05	61.64ª	62.00 <sup>g</sup>	65.20	64.56 <sup>a</sup>
	Plant o	il extracts			
	54.32	.58.12ª	74.00 <sup>d</sup>	61.50	64.00 <sup>a</sup>
	49.34	54.55ª	75.00 <sup>d</sup>	55.75	59.75ª
	52.68	56.81ª	73.00 <sup>d</sup>	56.00	59.40°
73.33 <sup>d</sup>	57.66	60.79*	72.90 <sup>d</sup>	61.50	63.78ª
	Microbia	l pesticides			··
	61.30	52.99 <sup>8</sup>	22.00 <sup>h</sup>	63.00	54.80ª
20.00 <sup>h</sup>	67.50	58.00 <sup>a</sup>	21.00 <sup>h</sup>	71.13	60.35ª
	Min	ctures			
	78.66	79.73 <sup>a</sup>	85.00 <sup>b</sup>	79.85	80.88ª
	72.44	73.97 <sup>a</sup>	81.00°	73.38	74.90 <sup>a</sup>
	76.52	78.97ª	83.00 <sup>bc</sup>	77.19	78.40ª
80.00°	74.94	75.95°	81.00°	76.00	77.00ª
	84.50	80.39 <sup>a</sup>	65.75 <sup>ef</sup>	83.13	79.65 <sup>8</sup>
68.00°	83.87	82.68ª	67.50 <sup>e</sup>	82.87	79.69 a
		The first spra Initial effect (average)  Pess  63.64 <sup>fg</sup> 57.90  99.88 <sup>a</sup> 64.43  Min  60.00 <sup>g</sup> 62.05  Plant of 54.32  75.38 <sup>d</sup> 49.34  73.33 <sup>d</sup> 52.68  73.33 <sup>d</sup> 57.66  Microbia 20.00 <sup>h</sup> 61.30  20.00 <sup>h</sup> 61.30  20.00 <sup>h</sup> 78.66  84.00 <sup>b</sup> 78.66  80.00 <sup>c</sup> 72.44  84.00 <sup>b</sup> 76.52  80.00 <sup>c</sup> 74.94  65.71 <sup>et</sup> 84.50	The first spray  Initial effect % Residual effect average (average)  Pesticides  63.64 <sup>fg</sup> 57.90 59.05 <sup>a</sup> 99.88 <sup>a</sup> 64.43 71.52 <sup>a</sup> Mineral oil  60.00 <sup>g</sup> 62.05 61.64 <sup>a</sup> Plant oil extracts  73.33 <sup>d</sup> 54.32 58.12 <sup>a</sup> 75.38 <sup>d</sup> 49.34 54.55 <sup>a</sup> 73.33 <sup>d</sup> 52.68 56.81 <sup>a</sup> 73.33 <sup>d</sup> 57.66 60.79 <sup>a</sup> Microbial pesticides  20.00 <sup>h</sup> 61.30 52.99 <sup>a</sup> 20.00 <sup>h</sup> 67.50 58.00 <sup>a</sup> Mixtures  84.00 <sup>b</sup> 78.66 79.73 <sup>a</sup> 80.00 <sup>c</sup> 72.44 73.97 <sup>a</sup> 84.00 <sup>b</sup> 76.52 78.97 <sup>a</sup> 80.00 <sup>c</sup> 74.94 75.95 <sup>a</sup> 65.71 <sup>ct</sup> 84.50 80.39 <sup>a</sup>	Initial effect week   General effect week   effect week	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

B.M. = Baladi mandarin, S.O.= sour orange, A.O.= acidless orange and B.G.= Blue gum. Mean followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level.

#### REFERENCES

A.O.A.C. (1990). Association of Official Agricultural Chemists, Official Methods of Analysis. Washington, U.S.A.

- Abdel-Aziz, S.Y.; Mohamed, S.A. and Abdel-Wahab, S. (2002). Efficiency of four seed plant oils for controlling certain pests in eggplant fields. 2<sup>nd</sup> Int. Conf. Plant Protect. Res. Inst. Cairo, Egypt, 21-24 December.
- Abdel-Wahab, H.; Taha, A.M.; Zaaky, A.M. and Hassen, M.S. (2001). Effect of controlling *Thrips tabaci* (Lind.) and weed onion and garlic fields, on neighboring cotton field. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 26 (6): 3989-3997.
- Al-Fawaeer and Abu-Abeid (2002). Intergrated pest management of onion thrips *Thrips tabaci* (Thysanoptera: Thipidae) under protective conditions. 2<sup>nd</sup> Int. Conf. Plant Protect. Res. Inst. Cairo Egypt, 21-24 December.
- Barakat, A.A.; Shereef, G.M.; Abdallah, S.A. and Amer, S.A.A. (1985). Joint action of some pesticides and plant extract against *Tetranychus urticae* (Koch). Bull. Ent. Soc. Egypt., 14: 243-249.
- Castiglioni, E.; Vendramim, J.D. and Tamai, M.A. (2002). Evaluation of toxic effects of Meliaceae aqueous extracts and by products on *Tetranychus urticae* (Koch) (Acari. Tetranychidae). Agrociencia 6(2): 75-82.
- Cohort Software Inc. (1985). Costat User's Manual. Version 3. Cohort Tucson, Arizona, USA.
- El-Fakharany, S.K.M. (2005). Integrated control of some vegetable crops pests in Kafr El-Sheikh Province. Ph.D.Thesis, Fac.Agric.Tanta Univ., pp170.
- El-Hariry, M.A.; Marzouk, I.A.; Salem, R.M.; Khalafalla, E.M.E. and El-Sisi, A.G. (1998). Field and laboratory evaluation of environmentally safe chemicals against some aphid species. Egypt J. Agric. Res., 76 (1): 127-139.
- El-Khodary, A.S.; Samy, M.A.; Keratum, A.Y. and El-Fakarany, S.K.M. (2007). Toxicity of some pesticides and oil plant extracts to plant sucking pests. J. Pest Cont. Environ. Sci., 15(2): 49-61.

- J. Pest Cont. and Environ. Sci. 17 (1/2): 103-121 (2009)
- Farrag, R.M. and Zakzouk, E.A. (2002). Integrated pest menagement of cut flowers in Nubaria and Alexandria 2-1-Factors affecting the infestation of gladiolus cultivars. 2<sup>nd</sup> Int. Conf Plant Protect. Res. Inst. Cairo., Egypt. 21-24 December.
- Gamieh, G.N.; Saadoon, S.E.; Massef, A.M. and Younes, A.A. (2000). Efficacy of mineral oils, acaricides and their mixtures against *Tetranychus cucurbitacearium* (Sayed). Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 27(2): 591-601.
- Haydar, M.F.; Dawood, M.Z. and Ibrahim, S. M. (1996). The efficiency of mineral oils, some insecticides and their mixtures against *Aphis gossypii* (Glover). J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 21(6) 2343-2346.
- Helmy, E.; Hemeida, I.A.; El-Shabrawy, H.A. and El-Sahn, O.N.I. (2002). Survey of insects associated with roselle; *Hibiscus sabdariffa* L. and soft control of the most injurous insect *Aphis gossypii* Golover and *Bemisia tabaci* (Gem) at Beni Sweif, Egypt. 2<sup>nd</sup> Int. Conf. Plant Protect. Res. Inst. Cairo, Egypt, 21-24 December.
- Henderson, C.F. and Telton, E.W. (1955). Test with acaricides against the brown wheat mite. J. Econ. Entomol., 48: 157-161.
- Iskander, N.N. and El-Sisi, A.G. (2001). Field evaluation of some local formulated plants and mineral oils against sucking insects infesting bean plants. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 26(7): 4531-4535.
- Magouz, R.S.T. (2003). Integrated spider mite management. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric.Kafrr ElSheikh, Tanta Univ., pp 253.
- Nasseh, O.M. and Link, R. (1990). Actions of insecticides against premature fruit fall in pomegranate (*Punica granatum*) in the Yemen Arab Republic. Mitteilungen der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Allgerneine und Angewandte Entomologie 7 (4-6): 430433.
- Negm, M.F. (2001). Spray distribution and insecticidal activity of certain natural products against whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) infesting eggplant in relation to spraying machines. Egypt, J. Agric. Res., 79 (1): 179-190.

- Nasr, H. M & Keratum, A. Y.
- Omar, B.A. and EI-Kholy, M.T. (2001). Comparative bio-efficacy of certain traditional and nontraditional insecticides against thrips infesting onion. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 26 (4): 2373-2381.
- Rizk, M.A.; EI-Sisi, A.G.; Badr, N.A. and Abdel-Halim, S.M. (1999). Controlling of cotton sucking pests using safe materials. 2<sup>nd</sup> Conf. Pest Control, Mansoura, Egypt, Sept. pp. 211-221.
- Shaheen, F.A.H.; Hosny, A.; Belal, A.H. and Nassef, A.M. (1992). Effect of certain insecticides on *Aphis gossypii* Glov. and its predator *Chrysopr1a carnea* Steph. in cotton fields. J. Agric. Res., Tanta Univ., 18(2): 403-408.
- Sharaf, F.H. and El-Basyouni, S.A. (2002). Searching for chemical can be used in aphid management in cotton field. 2<sup>nd</sup> Int. Conf. Plant Protect. Res. Inst. Cairo, Egypt, 21-24 December.
- Zein, A.A.; Ahmed, F.A.M.; Tag El-Din, M.H. and El-Naggar, J.B. (2002). Toxicological studies of some pesticides on certain vegetable crops pests. I. Toxicity and joint action effect of some compounds against certain vegetable crops pests. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 27(12): 8679-8694.

- Omar, B.A. and EI-Kholy, M.T. (2001). Comparative bio-efficacy of certain traditional and nontraditional insecticides against thrips infesting onion. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 26 (4): 2373-2381.
- Rizk, M.A.; EI-Sisi, A.G.; Badr, N.A. and Abdel-Halim, S.M. (1999). Controlling of cotton sucking pests using safe materials. 2<sup>nd</sup> Conf. Pest Control, Mansoura, Egypt, Sept. pp. 211-221.
- Shaheen, F.A.H.; Hosny, A.; Belal, A.H. and Nassef, A.M. (1992). Effect of certain insecticides on *Aphis gossypii* Glov. and its predator *Chrysopr1a carnea* Steph. in cotton fields. J. Agric. Res., Tanta Univ., 18(2): 403-408.
- Sharaf, F.H. and El-Basyouni, S.A. (2002). Searching for chemical can be used in aphid management in cotton field. 2<sup>nd</sup> Int. Conf. Plant Protect. Res. Inst. Cairo, Egypt, 21-24 December.
- Zein, A.A.; Ahmed, F.A.M.; Tag El-Din, M.H. and El-Naggar, J.B. (2002). Toxicological studies of some pesticides on certain vegetable crops pests. I. Toxicity and joint action effect of some compounds against certain vegetable crops pests. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 27(12): 8679-8694.

# التقييم الحقلي لبعض الزيوت (المعدنية والنباتية المستخلصة) والمبيدات الكيميانية والمبيدات الميكروبية ومخاليطها على بعض الافات الماصة التي تصيب نباتات بطيخ اللب والفلفل

هدي متولى نصر \* \_ عطيه يوسف قريطم \*\*
\*قسم مكافحة الأفات وحماية البينه \_ كلية الزراعه بدمنهور \_ جامعة الاسكندريه
\*قسم المبيدات \_ كلية الزراعه \_ جامعة كفر الشيخ

تم دراسة التاثير السام لبعض المركبات وهي اثنان من المبيدات الموصى بها (الفينتروثيون و الاتوكسازول) والزيت المعدني كزد والزيوت النباتية المستخلصة من قشور ثمار الموالح (يوسفى ، نارنج، برتقال سكرى، زيت الكافور) ومبيدان من المبيدات الحيوية (بيوفلاى والاجرين). بالاضافه الى مخاليط المركبات السابقه مع زيت كزد على التربس ومن البطيخ والذبابه البيضاء والجاسيد والعنكبوت الاحمر على نباتات بطيخ اللب والفلفل تحت الظروف الحقلية، ويمكن تلخيص النتانج المتحصل عليها في النقاط التالية:

- مبيد الفنيتروثيون كان اكثر المركبات تاثيرا على التربس ويليه في السمية زيت كزد وكانت الزيوت النباتية المستخلصة لها سمية متوسطة بينما مبيد الاتوكسازول كان اقل المركبات سمية.
- مبید الفنیتروثیون کان اکثر المرکبات سمیة علی من البطیخ ویلیه زیت البرتقال السکری ثم زیت کزد وکان مبید الاتوکسازول له سمیة متوسطة بینما الزیوت النباتیة المستخلصة الاخری کانت منخفضة السمیة.
- مبيد الفنيتر وثيون كان اكثر المركبات سمية في خفض اعداد الجاسيد بعد الرشه الاولى ويليه مخلوط زيت كزد مع بعض المستخلصات النباتية ثم مبيد الاتوكسازول وكانت بقية المركبات لها سمية متوسطة فيما عدا بعض الزيوت النباتية المستخلصة والمبيدات الميكروبية كانت اقل سمية . وبعد الرشة الثانية لا توجد فروق معنوية بين المركبات المختلفة في خفض تعداد الافة.
- مبيد الفنيتر وثيون كان اكثر المركبات سمية في خفض أعداد الذبابة البيضاء بعد الرشه الاولى ويليه الزيت المعدني مع بعض المستخلصات النباتية الزيتية بينما باقى المركبات كانت لها سمية متوسطة ما عدا مستخلص النارنج والمبيدات الميكروبية كانت اقل سمية . بينما بعد الرشة الثانية كل المركبات اعطت نتيجة مرضية في خفض تعداد الذبابة البيضاء بنسبة تتراوح من 64.27 الى 91.62%.
- زيت كزد كان اكثر المركبات سمية على الاكاروس الاحمر يليه الفنيتروثيون ومستخلص
  زيت البرتقال السكرى. وكانت باقى الزيوت النباتية المستخلصة الاخرى متوسطة السمية
  بينما الاتوكسازول كانت له سمية منخفضة.
- ويمكن القول بصفة عامة ان كل المركبات المختبرة ادت الى خفض تعداد الافات الماصة على نباتات البطيخ والفلق مع اختلاف نسب الخفض فى الاصابه. ويكن ترتيب المركبات المختبرة على حسب التاثير على الافات الماصة كالتالى: المبيدات الكيميانية > المخاليط > الزيوت النباتية > الريوت المعدنية > المبيدات الميكروبية.

121