Population density of aphids and spider mites on cotton using three scouting methods and its relation to some biotic and abiotic factors

El-Naggar, Jehan B.Plant Protection Res. Inst., ARC, Dokki, Giza

ABSTRACT

The present study was carried out at Sakha Agric. Res. Station during 2002 and 2003 cotton seasons to study the population densities of cotton aphid, Aphis gossypii (Glover), spider mites, Tetranychus spp. and their associated predators as well as prevailing climatic factors (daily mean temperature and relative humidity). The obtained results revealed that, in both seasons, the aphid population recorded the highest number during August using three techniques (complete leaf, one inch in the main vein of the leaf and one inch between the two main veins of the leaf). In each of the two techniques of scouting (complete leaf and one inch in main vein of the leaf), the highest peaks were recorded on August 4 and August 14 in the first and second seasons, respectively. While these peaks were recorded on August 18 and 7, in both seasons, in the case of one inch between two main veins of the leaf. The spider mite recorded the highest number during July for the three scouting techniques in both seasons, the highest peak was recorded on July 21 in complete leaf and one inch in the main vein of the leaf in the first season. While it was on July 14 in one inch between two main veins of the leaf in the first season and was on July 10 in the same method in the second season. Also, the population of associated predators recorded the highly number during July and August in both seasons. Positive significant correlation occurred between aphid and total predators only in the first season and spider mite in both seasons. In both seasons, the temperature induced insignificant effect on aphids and spider mites on the three scouting techniques except on aphids in the complete leaf and spider mites in one inch in main vein of the leaf for the first season. Relative humidity had significant positive effect only in the first season. Joint effect of predators and weathering factors was found remarkably high on the density of spider mites than aphids during the two seasons.

Key words: Aphids, spider mites, cotton, scouting techniques, population density, biotic factors, abiotic factors

INTRODUCTION

Cotton is the main cash crop in Egypt and its export contributes substantially towards the country income of foreign exchange. Aphids and spider mites are considered of the most important sucking pests that attack cotton plants causing extensive reduction in yield and quality (Taha *et al.*, 2001 and Slosser *et al.*, 2002). The integrated management of these pests on cotton should take all factors that contribute to the development of pest status into consideration. The natural enemies and climatic conditions are of the most important factors affecting the population dynamics of these pests (Godfrey and Leser, 1999).

Several workers have studied the effect of similar factors on the population dynamics of aphids and spider mites such as: Bleih, (1981); El-Behiery *et al.*, (1985); Mourad (1992); Salem *et al.*, (1993); El-Mezayyen and Abou Attia (1996); Nassef *et al.*, (1996 a,b); Abo Shaeshae (2001) and Abo Sholoa (2001).

Therefore, the present study was carried out to evaluate the population density of aphids and spider mites on cotton plants and its relation to the associated predators and some climatic factors during seasons of 2002 and 2003.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experiments were conducted at the Farm of Sakha Agric. Res. Station during the two growing cotton seasons of 2002 and 2003, to survey the population densities of aphids and spider mites and their associated predators. An area of one feddan (4200 m²) was chosen and divided into 4 plots of ½ fed. The cotton variety of Giza 89 was sown during the first week of April in both seasons. Normal agricultural practices were followed without any pesticidal treatments during the whole experimental period.

1. Population fluctuation of aphids, *Aphis gossypii* and spider mites, *Tetranychus* spp.: Weekly samples of 25 plant leaves were chosen early in the morning at random from each plot. For counting of both aphids and spider mites, sampled leaves were examined by three techniques: complete leaf, one inch on one main vein of the leaf and one inch between the two

main veins of the leaf. These techniques were followed on the three different plant levels. The spider mites counted by using hand-lens of 10 x.

- **2. Population fluctuation of the associated predators:** For counting the associated predators in cotton fields in weekly samples, two plants were shacked on shake sheet (piece of clothes 75 x 75 cm) and the predators which failed on the sheet were counted. The considered predators were *Chrysopa* spp., *Coccinella* spp., *Scymnus* spp., *Orius* spp., *Paederus alfierii* and true spiders.
- **3. Climatic factors:** For studying the effect of climatic factors (daily mean temperature and relative humidity) on the population density of the considered aphids and spider mites, the daily mean of the two factors provided by Meteorological Department at Sakha Agricultural Research Station. The weekly means of the two weathering factors were calculated to determine correlation and regression coefficient values between these factors and predators and both of aphids and spider mites population using a computer program (MREG2).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Population density of aphid, Aphis gossypii Glover and spider mites, **Tetranychus** spp. on cotton plants: The data presented in Table (1) show the population density of both aphids and spider mites on cotton plants during 2002 season. Aphid started to appear at the first week of June whereas the number recorded in complete leaf was 2.7 insects/25 leaves compared to one inch in main vein of the leaf and one inch between two main veins of the leaf that received 1.1 and 2.1 insects, respectively. Also, the highest cotton aphid number was recorded during July and August on the three techniques. Therefore, the insect population was fluctuated showing two peaks on complete leaf technique, the highest peak (1738.2 insect / 25 leaves) was recorded on August 4. The second peak (1566.3 insect / 25 leaves) was recorded on August 18, while aphid population was showing one peak in one inch in main vein of the leaf and in one inch between two main veins of the leaf technique, the recorded peak (266.0 and 148.3 insects / 25 leaves, respectively) occurred on August 4 in one inch in main vein of the leaf and on August 18 in one inch between two main veins of the leaf.

Table (1): Mean numbers of *Aphis gossypii* (Golv.) and *Tetranychus* spp. per 25 cotton leaves during 2002 season.

		Aphids		Spider mites				
Inspection			One inch			One inch		
	Complete	One inch in	between	Complete	One inch in	between		
date	leaf	main vein	two main	leaf	main vein	two main		
	icai	of the leaf	veins of the	icai	of the leaf	veins of the		
			leaf.			leaf.		
May 26	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	3.2	2.4		
Mean	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	3.2	2.4		
June 2	2.7	1.1	2.1	7.2	4.4	4.0		
9	5.3	2.1	0.0	10.4	4.0	6.4		
16	2.0	1.4	0.7	9.2	5.5	5.2		
23	16.3	0.4	0.0	22.4	20.5	4.4		
30	14.0	2.3	2.5	38.0	19.4	10.3		
Mean	8.1	1.5	1.1	17.4	10.8	6.1		
July 7	15.3	3.3	4.3	53.4	18.5	16.3		
14	275.0	13.7	12.0	329.4	31.5	43.5		
21	604.7	26.2	16.3	446.0	47.4	35.0		
28	1171.3	52.5	52.3	128.5	23.2	28.4		
Mean	516.6	23.9	21.2	239.3	30.2	30.8		
Aug. 4	1738.2	266.0	87.5	41.5	14.5	13.2		
11	1394.3	172.4	118.4	34.4	19.4	12.2		
18	1566.3	78.7	148.3	24.3	24.3	11.5		
25	215.4	16.5	11.3	0.5	9.5	8.5		
Mean	1228.6	133.4	91.4	25.2	16.9	11.4		
Sept. 1	21.2	0.4	4.2	8.2	2.5	5.0		
8	134.0	8.0	5.5	29.4	4.4	6.3		
15	95.0	3.4	4.0	7.4	8.3	4.4		
22	167.0	8.2	17.2	2.4	5.5	5.5		
29	271.0	12.4	22.4	2.5	3.2	8.0		
Mean	137.6	6.5	10.7	9.9	4.8	5.8		
General mean	405.69	35.09	26.8	63.03	14.2	12.04		

Concerning spider mites, data revealed that, the appearance of infestation with spider mites was on late May in three techniques. Where the recorded number were 2.5, 3.2 and 2.4 individuals / 25 leaves on complete leaf, one inch in main vein of the leaf and on one inch between two main

veins of the leaf, respectively. The maximum number of spider mites was recorded on July in the three techniques, the highest peak was on July 21 in complete leaf and on one inch in the main vein of the leaf technique, recorded 266.0 and 47.4 individuals / 25 leaves, respectively, while it was on July 14 in one inch between two main veins of the leaf technique (43.5 individual / 25 leaves).

In 2003 cotton season, the population of aphid and spider mites took a trend similar to that of 2002 (Table 2). The initial occurrence of aphid was noticed in last week of May on the three techniques. Also, the highest number was recorded during July and August on the three techniques. Results also indicated that there were three peaks for aphids on complete leaf, the highest peak was on July 24 and August 14 (1156.2 and 1560.4 insects / 25 leaves), respectively and the third peak was in August 28 (270.4 insects / 25 leaves). But, it was on July 24 and August 14 (70.3 and 262.3 insects / 25 leaves), respectively, on one inch in main vein of the leaf. The highest peak (145.0 insect / 25 leaves) was obtained on August 7 in one inch between two main veins of the leaf. The results agreed with the findings of Mourad (1992), Salem et al. (1993). Abo-Sholoa (2001) reported that the maximum number of aphids was in August. Also, El-Mezayyen and Abou Attia (1996) found that the highest cotton aphid populations occurred during July and August. Besides, Taha et al. (2001) indicated that, population of aphid, Aphis gossypii recorded two small peaks on May and July.

With regard to spider mites, in 2003 cotton season, the population of spider mites showed a similar trend to that of 2002. It started to appear at late May on the three techniques of scouting, recorded 5.1, 1.2 and 2 individuals / 25 leaves on complete leaf, one inch in main vein of the leaf and on one inch between two main veins of the leaf, respectively. Also, the maximum number of population was recorded on July, where the highest peak was on July 10 recorded 145.0, 45.3 and 42.2 individuals /25 leaves, respectively on the three techniques. These results agreed with that reported by El-Beheiry *et al.* (1985) who found that the highest number of mites was recorded in late July and early August. Nassef *et al.*, (1996 a) reported that common red spider mites have a broad during the growing season, the maximum number was in July and August. Taha *et al.* (2001) showed that the highest number of common red spider mite, *T. arabius* occurred during May and August.

Table (2): Mean numbers of *Aphis gossypii* (Golv.) and *Tetranychus* spp. per 25 cotton leaves during 2003 season.

Inspection		Aphids		Spider mites				
date	Complete leaf	One inch in main vein of the leaf	One inch between two main veins of the leaf.	Complete leaf	One inch in main vein of the leaf	One inch between two main veins of the leaf.		
May 22	2.0	1.1	2.0	5.1	1.2	2.0		
29	16.2	2.5	3.2	11.2	2.0	5.4		
Mean	14.1	1.8	2.6	8.2	1.6	3.7		
June 5	14.4	2.4	4.0	10.5	6.2	4.2		
12	15.5	4.0	5.2	20.4	18.0	3.2		
19	18.0	2.0	4.5	35.2	20.4	9.0		
26	26.2	1.5	15.3	50.3	19.3	13.0		
Mean	18.5	2.9	7.3	29.1	15.9	7.4		
July 3	27.4	2.4	16.4	120.2	20.4	10.0		
10	260.0	21.2	50.5	430.0	45.3	42.2		
17	598.0	48.3	45.0	325.0	33.2	40.4		
24	1156.2	70.3	85.4	35.5	10.0	12.3		
31	172.0	36.5	115.3	38.5	13.2	10.2		
Mean	442.7	35.7	62.5	189.8	24.4	23.02		
Aug. 7	1380.2	110.5	145.0	30.4	22.2	12.4		
14	1560.4	262.4	12.0	10.2	2.4	2.0		
21	230.2	16.4	6.5	5.4	2.2	3.0		
28	270.4	4.5	8.4	25.5	5.3	7.5		
Mean	860.3	98.45	42.9	17.9	8.03	6.2		
Sept. 4	28.2	8.4	4.4	9.2	2.5	2.4		
11	98.5	4.0	18.0	2.2	1.5	3.0		
18	160.0	2.4	22.2	1.5	1.5	3.2		
25	130.3	5.3	25.4	1.4	2.0	1.5		
Mean	104.3	5.03	17.5	3.6	1.9	2.5		
General mean	324.4	33.1	30.7	59.6	12.7	9.8		

^{2.} Population fluctuation of the presented predators: The obtained results in Table (3) showed the population fluctuation of certain predators associated with pests in cotton field. These results revealed that the

population densities of predacious insects were low in the first season than in the second ones, whereas the high numbers were recorded on July during the two seasons

- **3.** Effect of some weather factors and associated predators on *A. gossypii* and *Tetranychus* spp. in cotton field: The statistical analysis in Table (4) summarizes the effect of temperature, relative humidity and the predators as well as its combined effect on the population of *Aphis gossypii* and *Tetranychus* spp. in cotton field during 2002 and 2003 seasons.
- **3.1. Effect of temperature:** In both seasons, the temperature induced insignificant effect of aphid, *A. gossypii* and *Tetranychus* spp. on the three scouting techniques of the leaf except on the aphid on the complete leaf and spider mite on one inch in main vein of the leaf in the first season, as it showed significant positive effect. Generally, this means that the temperature was within the optimum range for the population activity of these pests.
- **3.2. Effect of relative humidity:** The results revealed that in the first season, the effect of R.H. on aphid was significantly positive on the complete leaf and on one inch in main vein of the leaf and it was high significant positive effect on the population on one inch between two veins of the leaf. This means that the relative humidity was out of the optimum range for the population activity of aphid, but it has insignificant negative effect on spider mites. In the three scouting techniques, during the second season, the effect of R.H. on aphid was insignificant by positive for the three techniques. Also, R.H. induced insignificant by negative effect on spider mite in the three techniques. These contradiction between the results of the two climatic factors in the two successive seasons attract out the attention for presence of unknown masked effects of other climatic factors (i.e. wind speed and direction, short waves, pressure ... etc).
- **3.3. Effect of associated predators:** The results indicated that the total predators had a superior effect on the two pests rather than temperature and relative humidity especially in the first season. Whereas, the effect of total predators on the two pests (aphid and spider mites) was significant and highly significant positive effects on the three scouting techniques were

Sampling date	Season 2002						Sampling	Season 2003					
	<i>Скгузора.</i> spp.	Соссінейа. spp.	<i>Заунинш</i> грр.	Orius spp.	Paelenis alflerii	True spider		spp.	Coccinella. spp.	spp.	Orius spp.	Paeterus alfterä	livie sprier
May 26	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	May 22 29	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.02 1	22 03
Mean	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Mean	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	13
June 2 9	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	June 5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	02 02	1.1
16 23 30	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 1.1 2.1	0.0 8.1 11.1	0.0 4.1 12.0	0.0 21.1 42.4	0.0 60.0 75.0	12 19 26	0.0 0.0 0.2	0.0 1.0 3.1	0.0 11.0 14.1	6.0 15.0	11.0 31.0	2.0 75.0 90.0
Mean	0.0	0.64	3.8	32	12.7	27	Mean	0.05	1.03	63	53	10.6	42.03
July 7 14 21 28	0.0 0.0 0.0	02 11 11 11	14.1 33.0 70.0 74.2	19.0 13.0 27.0 21.0	63.2 51.4 39.0 35.2	89.2 108.0 57.5 65.4	July 3 10 17 24 31	0.4 0.0 0.2 0.2 1.0	4.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 0.0	16.0 45.0 78.0 82.0 95.0	24.2 18.4 32.0 28.2 18.4	74.0 62.0 55.2 49.0 41.2	105 120 65.4 78.2 92.2
Mean	0.0	0.9	47.8	20.0	47.2	80.03	Mean	036	0.48	100	24.2	263	922
Aug. 4 11 18 25	0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0	02 02 02 02	80 100 119 48	14.4 9.2 2.4 19	31.5 19.0 7.0 22.0	73.5 61.5 49.4 71.2	Aug. 7 14 21 28	1.1 0.0 1.1 1.1	1.1 0.2 1.1 2.0	1102 60.5 1352 2.0	133 30 235 1.1	25.4 12.0 30.5 1.1	75.4 60.2 85.4 48.0
Mean	03	0.2	86.8	113	199	63.9	Mean	0.83	1.1	769	10.2	173	673
Sept. 1 8 15 22 29	0.0 3.0 2.0 8.0 15.0	0.2 0.4 0.4 0.2 2.0	101 31 2.1 13 5.1	02 33.2 1.5 22.0 10.5	0.0 16.4 0.0 8.0 6.2	35.0 24.0 40.0 37.4 46.0	Sept. 4 11 18 25	4.0 3.0 11.0 19.0	12 02 02 04	42.0 4.2 18.0 8.2	32 412 280 154	22.2 1.1 12.0 8.4	18.4 28.0 54.2 65.4
Mean	5.1	0.64	30.4	13.5	6.12	36.5	Mean	93	0.5	18.1	219	109	415
General mean	1.5	0.73	32.07	10.8	189	46.4	General mean	22.6	0.85	379	14.2	229	55.8

Seasons	Pests	s Variable	Complete leaf			One inch in main veins of the leaf			One inch between two main veins of the leaf		
			(r)	(b)	EV%	(r)	(b)	EV%	(r)	(b)	EV%
2002	Aphid	Temp. R.H. Predators	0.564* 0.561 0.566*	83.66 41.64 2.18	43.71	0.375 0.507* 0.485*	5.657 7.500* 0.400	31.33	0.444 0.575** 0.511*	0.336 4.727** 0.185	35.19
2002	Spider mite	Temp. R.H. Predators	0.263 -0.015 0.544*	2,696 -13,392 1,339	40.3	0.553* -0.256 0.746**	2.166 -0.937 0.118	64.34	0.438 -0.152 0.693**	0.185 1.272 -1.094 0.119 -7.221	58.52
2002	Aphid	Temp. R.H. Predators	-0.247 0.347 0.445	-112.30 35.278 2.850	31.01	0.161 0.243 0.290	-10.438 3.272 0.244	14.31	0.182 0.125 0.559*	-7.221 0.986 0.269	35.12
2003	Spider mite	Temp. R.H. Predators	0.115 0.231 0.503*	7.895 -7.721 0.89	38.66	0.238 -0.263 0.538*	3.449 -1.274 0.062	52.2	0.137 -0.158 0.579**	ins of the (b) 0.336 4.727** 0.185 1.272 -1.094 0.119 -7.221 0.986	42,65

^{* =} Significant ** = highly significant

noticed. During the second season, the effect of total predators on aphid was insignificant by positive on the complete leaf and on one inch in main vein of the leaf, but it was significantly positive for one inch between two main veins of the leaf. Also, the predators induced significant and highly significant positive effects on spider mites on the complete leaf, on one inch in main vein of the leaf and on one inch between two main veins of the leaf.

3.4. The combined effect of temperature, relative humidity and the predators: In general, the combined effect (percentage of explained variance) of the three factors on aphid and spider mite were higher in the first season than in the second one. Also, it was more obvious on spider mite than aphid during the two seasons. The three factors affected on aphid by 43.71, 31.33 and 35.19 % for the complete leaf, one inch in main vein of the leaf and one inch between two main veins of the leaf, respectively in the first season, and by 31.01, 14.31 and 35.12 % in the second season. The effect of three factors on *Tetranychus* spp. population in the first season was 40.3, 64.34 and 58.42 % on the three techniques, respectively, while it was 38.66, 52.2 and 42.65 %, respectively in the second season. However, the effect of temperature and relative humidity on the population of aphids and spider mites on cotton plant varied from season to another. El-Mezayyen and Abu Attia (1996) and Abo-Sholoa (2001) found that temperature correlated significantly and positively with aphids. Bleih (1981) found that correlation between cotton aphids and temperature was negative while it was positive with relative humidity. Taha et al. (2001) reported that there was negative correlation between aphids population with minimum temperature and positive correlation between mite population and maximum relative humidity. Abo-Shaeshae (2001) found that, the population densities of predators and aphid were positively significant correlation.

Generally, the obtained results indicated that the occurred increase in the population density of predators have the same trend of sucking insects during the two seasons of this study. This means that the population of the predators considered very important in biological control. Also, the best method to estimate the population density of aphids and spider mites in the field was scouting the number on the complete leaf in the plant.

REFERENCES

- Abo-Shaeshae, A. A. (2001). Factors affecting the population of dominant predators and sucking insects on cotton plants. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ., 2 (4): 690-697.
- Abo-Sholoa, M. K. (2001). The simultaneous effect of certain predators and three climatic factors on the population densities of some cotton pests infesting Giza 89 cotton variety at Kafr El-Sheikh. Egypt J. Appl. Sci., 16 (3): 251-268.
- Bleih, S. B. A. (1981). Ecological aspects of some coccinellids (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) with reference to its role in biological control of certain cotton pests. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Menufiya University.
- El-Beheiry, M. M.; W. M. Watson and M. W. Guirguis (1985). Abundance of different pests infesting cotton and soybean in intercropping plantation. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ., 11(2): 455-470.
- El-Mezayyen, G. A. and F. A. Abou Attia (1996). Population fluctuations of certain cotton sucking insect pests and associated predators as influenced by some weather factors at Kafr El-Sheikh. J. Agric. Res., Tanta Univ., 22 (4): 518-531.
- Godfrey, L. D. and J. F. Leser (1999). Cotton aphid management. Status and needs. Proc. Beltwide Cotton Conference, National Cotton Council, pp. 37-40.
- Mourad, E. I. (1992). Population dynamics of *Aphis gossypii* and *Bemisia tabaci* under the cotton chemical control regimes. Egypt. J. Agric. Res. 70 (2): 451-458.
- Nassef, M. A.; A. M. Hamid; Suzan, A. El-Bassiouny and W. M. Watson (1996a). Correlation between sucking pests infesting cotton plants and their associated natural enemies. Egypt. J. Agric. Res. 74 (3): 599-609.
- Nassef, M. A.; R. M. Salem; K. M. Ghouhar and W. M. Watson (1996 b). Population density of cotton aphid, *Aphis gossyii* (Golv.) and whitefly,

- Bemisia tabaci (Genn) as influenced by cotton planting dates and the prevailing climatic factors. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ., 22 (2):308-318.
- Salem, R. M.; E. M. E. Khalafallah and M. B. Abo Salem (1993). Population density of the cotton aphid. *Aphis gossypii* Glov. and the main associated predators on three cotton varieties in Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate. Comm. In Sci. and Dev. Res., 41: 19-33.
- Solsser, J. E.; M. N. Parajulee; D. L. Hendrix; T. J. Henneberry and D. R. Rummel (2002). Relationship between *Aphis gossypii* (Homoptera: Aphididae) and sticky lint in cotton. J. Econ. Entomol., 95 (2): 299-306.
- Taha, H. A.; S. M. Soliman; A. Abd El-Haleam and S. A. El-Raies (2001). Field studies on the main pests infesting cotton with refer to their natural enemies. Proceeding Beltwide Cotton Conf. National Cotton Council, Memphis T.N., 2: 885-888.

J. Pest Cont. & Environ. Sci. 14(2): 131 -142 (2006).