Integrated weed management of wheat under sprinkler irrigation in South Tahreer region in Egypt. II – Effectiveness on wheat productivity

Farid S. Sabra and Fouad S. Soliman

Pesticide Chemistry Dept., Fac. of Agric. Alex. Univ., Alex., Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Two field trials were carried out under sprinkler irrigation system with wheat crop at the Desert Development Center (DDC), El-Khartoum Village, American Univ. Cairo, Egypt, during 1996 - 1998 seasons, to evaluate the effects of pre-irrigated with tillage or contact herbicide (paraguat), non pre-irrigated sowing and twenty herbicidal treatments on wheat productivity. The herbicidal treatments are included tribenuron-methyl, metosulam, chlorosulfuron, isoproturon, fenoxaprop-ethyl, tralkoxydim, diclofop-methyl, flamprop-isopropyl, clodinafop-propargyl imazamethabenz-methyl. Pre-irrigation with tillage or contact herbicide gave higher biological and grains yield, number of grains / spike, number of spikes / m², 1000-kernel weight, plant height, spike length and number of spikelets / spike in the first season. On the other hand, biological and grains yield significantly increased with two pre-irrigated systems compared with non pre-irrigated one, in the second season. Most of the herbicidal treatments significantly increased wheat biological and grains yield, number of spike / m² and number of grains / spike in both seasons. However, the high values were obtained with the combinations between grassy and broadleaves herbicides

INTRODUCTION

Weeds could cause losses in wheat yield from 30 to 50 % (Bhan *et al*, 1985; Jack, 1985; RexLieble and Douglas, 1987 and Rao, 2000). Densities of 70 and 160 wild oat plants per square yard reduced wheat yield 22.1 and 39.1 %, respectively as compared to a crop with a weed free control (Frans and Talbert, 1977; Harry and James, 1985; Rhoads *et al*, 1985 and Milberg and Hallgren, 2004). Weed competition to wheat usually occurs from the two leaf stage to the onset of reproductive growth leading to reduction in tillering ear formation and in stem weight and height besides giving poor grain filling when the removal of *Chenopodium album* was delayed from 35

to 140 days, a reduction percentage of 23.3 in the effective number of tillers was obtained (Kolar, *et al.*, 1977; Rodgers, 1978; Roberts, 1982 and Rathors, 1985). Canary grass at a population of 85 plants per square feet resulted in 60 % reduction in wheat yield (Cudney and Hill, 1979). However, increasing competition from ryegrass caused corresponding yield reduction in wheat, by decreasing fertile tillers and fertile spikelets production and it was found to be more competitive in the late sown crops (Reeves, 1976 and Khodayari *et al.*, 1983). Wheat grain yield was linearly reduced by up to 1.025 gm / m / day, throughout the duration of wild oats competition (Mc-Namara, 1976 and Ravn, 1984).

Weed control techniques are therefore aimed at the reduction in the competitive ability of weeds in a crop and the prevention of weed problems in the next crop. The former is increasingly based on chemical use, and the latter also requires suitable cultural and agronomic practices. Wheat productivity is often improved by efficient application of agronomic practices, among which is weed control. Many herbicides proved to be effective in the control of broad leaved and grasses weeds such as bromoxynil, chlorsulfuron, metchlorsulfuron methyl, isoproturon, tribenuron-methyl, bentazone, diclofop-methyl, difenzoquat, fenoxapropflamprop-isopropyl, clodinafop-propargyl ethyl, tralkoxydin, imazamethabenz-methyl which are well known herbicides in wheat production areas (Singh and Singh, 1992). The post-emergent alaninopropionates, aryloxyphenoxy propionates and the cyclohexanediones has enabled the control of abroad spectrum of annual grasses in wheat crop (Andrew, 1992; Soliman, 1995 and Deirdre et al., 1996). During the 1980s, three new herbicidal classes emerged that were potent, selective, broadspectrum inhibitors of plant growth at field rates measured in grams per hectare. The herbicide groups are sulphonylureas, imidazolinones and triazolopyrimiding.

The present work was conducted in two successive seasons during 1996-1998 in South Tahreer region to transfer and utilization of integrated weed management control of weeds to increase wheat yield and yield components.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tillage, land leveling, method of sowing, seeding date and rate, irrigation system, crop varieties, fertilization, herbicides application and

planting and harvesting machinery is the essential components of a viable integrated weed management system.

An area of 2.5 feddan from unit 17 at Desert Development Center (DDC), El-Khartoum village was selected to conduct integrated weed management program under hand move sprinkler irrigation system. The field was naturally infested with grassy and broadleaf weeds and the soil texture was sand. The soil was ploughed and disked deeply on November, 1996 and 1997, to break up soil, and then the soil was leveled. The field area was divided into three main plots. The lands in the first and second main plots were irrigated on November 20 in two trials, to germinate the first flush of weeds. In this case population of weeds were reduced either by tillage or contact herbicide (paraguat 0.5 L / fed.) before wheat sowing. On the other hand, the third main plot did not irrigate. High quality of wheat grains, variety sides 6 was used. Planting depth was not deeper than 2 inches and cross sowing method of wheat (75 kg / fed.) was done in all field experiments by using seed drill. The date of sowing was at November, 30 in two seasons and sowing irrigation at December, first. The experimental design was a split plot with four replicates. The main plots show either preirrigated with tillage or with contact herbicide and none pre-irrigated. The subplots show herbicidal treatments. The area of subplot was 30 m² (6m x 5m). Four weeks after wheat sowing post-emergence application of herbicides and their combinations were applied using a knapsack sprayer. The herbicidal treatments, their formulations, rates and trade and common names are presented in Table (1). Fifty units from (p) super phosphate and 50 units from (k) potassium sulphate were incorporated with the soil during seedbed preparation and 10 units from (N) ammonium sulphate + 110 units from (N) ammonium nitrate were added per feddan by fertigation system with water irrigation. One liter from Wuxal, foliar fertilizer per feddan was also applied.

Numbers of wheat spikes per square meter were counted by using quadrate (50 cm x 50 cm) for each plot. At harvesting stage, the height of wheat plants was measured three times for each plot. Ten spikes from each plot were collected to determine spike length, number of spikeletes / spike, kernel numbers / spike and 1000-grains weight. Wheat crop in each plot was harvested and weighed to recorded biological yield (grain and straw yield), then the wheat was threshed for each plot and the grain yield was calculated per feddan (ton/fedd.). Obtained data were subjected to analysis of variance (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967).

Table (1): Common and trade names, rate and formulation of herbicide treatments

No of	Common name	Trade name	Rate/fedd.	Formulation
Treat.				
1	Tribenuron methyl	Grandstar	8 gm	75% DF
2	Metosulam	Sinal	40 ml	10% SC
3	Chlorosulfuron	Glean	15 gm	75% DF
4	Isoproturon	Arelon	750 ml	50% FL
5	Isoproturon + fenoxaprop-ethyl	Arelon +	750ml +	50% FL +
		puma super	500ml	75% EW
6	Isoproturon + tralkoxydim	Arelon +	750 ml +	50% FL +
		Grasp	1250 ml	10% EC
7	Isoproturon + Diclofop-methyl	Arelon +	750 ml +	50% FL +
		Illoxan	1250 ml	36% EC
8	Isoproturon+flamprop-isopropyl	Arelon +	750 ml +	50% FL +
		suffix-BW	1250 ml	20% EC
9	Isoproturon+Imazamethabenz-methyl	IPU / Assert	1250 ml	150/240 SC
	(ready mix)			
10	Tribenuron-methyl+tralkoxydim	Grandstar +	8 gm +	75% DF +
		Grasp	1250 ml	10% EC
11	Chlorosulfuron+Diclofop-methyl	Glean +	15 gm +	75% DF +
10	No. 1 1 1 1 0 1	Illoxan	1250 ml	36% EC
12	Metosulam+clodinafop-propargyl	Sinal + Topic	40 ml +	10% SC +
12	T11	C	100 ml	24% EC
13	Tralkoxydim	Grasp	1250 ml	10% EC
14	Diclofop methyl	Illoxan	1250 ml	36% EC
15	Fenoxaprop – ethyl	Puma super Suffix–BW	500 ml	75% EW
16	Flamprop – isopropyl		1250 ml	20% EC
17	Imazamethaenz-methyl	Assert	1250 ml 100 ml	25% FL 24% EC
18	Clodnafop – propargyl	Topic		
19	Diclofop-methyl 250 gm +	Dopler	1500 ml	27% EC
20	fenoxaprop-ethy l23gm (ready mix) Untreated check			
	Unitedied Check			

RESULTS AND DSICUSSION

1. First Season: Wheat yield and yield components on South Tahreer in 1996 – 1997 were presented in Table 2 for comparison between pre-irrigated and tillage or contact herbicide and none-pre-irrigated. Pre-irrigated system didn't have any significant effect on any yield and yield components except the number of grains / spike and 1000-kernel weight, where pre-irrigated with tillage method gave the highest number of grains/spike (55.8) followed by the pre-irrigated with contact treatment (49.1), while the none pre-irrigated gave lowest grains number/spike (42.1).

Also, tillage gave 1000-kernel weight (58.11g), followed by each of contact and none-irrigated methods (54.74 and 53.95 g.), respectively. With regard of herbicidal treatments, they had significant effects on both yield and its components except 1000 – kernel weight.

Both of Glean + Illoxan and Grandstar + Grasp mixtures gave the highest number of spikes per unit area which accounted for 262.6 and 259.6 respectively, followed by Grandstar, Glean, Arelon separate or mixed with Illoxan, IPU / Assert, Sinal + Topic, Suffix-BW, Assert, Topic and Dopler. However, Grasp gave the lowest number of plants per square meter (190), this may be due to phytotoxicity of it. This result agrees with the finding of Tag-El-Din (1987).

Regarding to biological yield and grains yield, Grandstar + Grasp and Arelon + Puma Super caused highest biological yield with 4.219 and 3.900 tons / fed, respectively, whereas Grand star + Grasp and Arelon realized grain yields of 1.394 and 1.307 tons / fed., respectively.

With respect of number of grains / spike, mixing Arelon with Suffix-BW gave the highest value (57.7 grains / spike) followed by Grand star + Grasp, Gleam + Illoxan and Glean where their values were 53.5 and 52.8 grains / spike, respectively.

Data of vegetative characters were presented in Table 3. Pre-irrigated system didn't have any significant effects on the three studied characters, plant height, spike length and number of spikelets / spike. Herbicidal treatments had significant effects only on both of plant height and spike length. In case of graminicide, Topic gave the tallest plants (82.5 cm.), but Glean gave the tallest spikes (12.9 cm.). In contrast, Arelon mixed with Puma Super, IPU/Assert, Grasp, Puma super; Suffix-BW and Assert gave the shortest plants. Plant heights were 77.1, 70.3, 75.4, 76.0, 74.3 and 76.4 cm, for the above mentioned herbicide treatments, respectively.

2. Second Season: Wheat yield and its components in South Tahreer region in 1997 / 98 season are presented in Table 4. Data showed that there were significant differences between the pre-irrigated system on number of spikes per square meter, biological and grain yields, and 1000-Kernel weight, but the differences between the pre-irrigated systems didn't reach to the significant level for the number of grains/spike. Although none pre-irrigated

Table (2): Means of wheat yield and its components of pre-irrigated with Tillage (Till) or contact herbicide (Cont.) and none pre-irrigated (None) as affected by herbicidal treatments (South Tahreer, 1996 – 1997 season)

Ė	Ē	integion Y	ield (top /	(ppa)		Circia Yield (Lm.)	गिन्ति (प्या) b	- G		No. of gral	alne/epika			No of spike?	spika / m²			1000 kansel weight (g.	(g) ságiny]
•	Į	ð	#IEI	74 T	None	Contract	₽	¥	Nose	ŝ	=	*	ž	Contract of	Till:	3) Ponder	Cont	픋	**
-	3.822	3,686	4.183	3.89786	1.262	1.302	1,287	163th	¥ #	31.7	5D.8	43.80	136.1	ä	314.5	ant. Hit	\$2.7	51.0	43.2	53.0
4	200	3	7.00	7.	1.5	360	1.174	1 295qb	**	203	95.0	50.2bc	266.3	227.0	3	229,36	22.7	53.3	26 .3	į
	8	3,393	3.539	3,5336	1.307	981	1 116	1250時	ŧ	#	63.8	52.840	200	251.0	234.3	245.34	33.6	X	\$7.5	\$
4	5	1	3 63	3 51 36	Ñ	Ā	1.433	1.307	9	42.6	51.6	47.300	2	2	330.5	250.345	ĭ	53.5		Ĵ
_	ğ	3510	4.095	3,900	135	2	1.404	1,024c	Ŧ	25	37.8	91 15	9	170.0	246.5	1111	4.	E. 13	<u>.</u>	\$. \$.
•	90.0	3 422	4.037	3.834基	3	90	1,25	841	¥	ä	104	18.90	ě	215.5	â	200 SE	\$	53.4	3	2
r	4.017	969	4.05	3.720	×	H	Ä	1.2#dmb	4.14	909	98.7	50 Sec	246.0	500	234 \$	247 3 b	Ź	51.0	56.6	¥
	3	5	969.	245°C	1	7	1.068	1.115be	*	572	959	20.05	2100	263 0	1870	223.38	52.6	52.6	÷	ž
•	X	1631	77	0.4060	GE.	3	ž	¥	5.2	₹ 9	58.8	₩.	222	240.5	256.0	34 BB	55.9	5,0	3	22.5
2	3930	3686	203	4.21%	SEC.	3 .E.	1.419	7	\$	7.15	3	53.545	27	35	2853	259.44	56.2	53.6	5.5	Ē
=	<u>8</u>	3 422	4.24	3.6160	Ĥ	<u>=</u>	1375	12488	\$	*	72.8	4	Ä	1300	ŝ	262 4	33.2	57	8	Ŷ
ᅼ	3 452	3.656	9	3.1514	100	985	1.433	1 70bc	393	54.6	8	4,14	2360	254 6	257.0	240 246	54.4	# £	919	
≏	2,33	2	3	133	996.0	585	1609	- 0000	99	52.	‡	31. 4	2	187	E	90.05	5	125	3	*
•	Ē	3.586	1	3,6016	686	-	0.935	1.05Bc	F. 9	3	5	¥.5.	26.5	232	500	220 4p	53.9	62.S	7	*
-	3.247	DIS.	\$ 0.4	3.64	1.012	ž	1.507	1277	3.00	3	₹:	¥.	34.5	195.5	Ä	438.4	\$4.0 \$4.0	Ę,	2	*
9	3.136	ä	9	£3.0	-	1	1.1	1 122be	4).\$	47.9	8	# 6 G	283.8	2190	23.5	245.500	52.9	. B2	54.7	\$83
<u>.</u>	3 500	1 276	ş	3.6366	=	659	1448	1,20966	90	42.6	ĭ	45.66	263.0	208.5	2853	242.345	20	50.7	55.0	ŝ
7	588.7	Ž	400	3 SR3B	95	S	HSZ-1		39.4	45.7	₹	1 3.5	318.5	255 5	0,04	244.Tgb	53.8	62.3	Ä	Š
=	1	ਕੂ :	4.1	3,190,6	300	8	380	- 195 - 195	~	\$	4	4. Be	500	138.5	ä	152 tab	ĭ	6	200	**
a	8	7311	£	2,395	0,702	6797	0.903	0.7464	¥.	4 78	52.4	\$6.8 8.0	22	216.0	2002	209.50	53.9	1725	6.19	98
1	1172	1494	40.0		-	2			١	10.0					7 25.0					

							100			2	No of spikelets / spike	spike
		Plant he	Plant height (Cm.	7		Spike In	Spike length (Cm	-			1	٧.
Ę.	None	Cont	Į.	Av.	None	Cont.	Till.	۸۸	None	Conf.		Ž.
	ALC:	33	> 01	79 Sah	10.4	12.5	11.6	11.51.	17.5	17.7	18.7	6.7.1
	80.2	43.0	0	1		0 [13.0	12.75	19.6	18.2	18.5	18.8
	71.9	78.1	87.8	080.//	12.5	12.7	, ,			IR A	19.2	18.5
	۴ ۶۷	80.3	80.4	78.6ab	8.	13.0		7.7				18.0
	0 96	77.0	83.0	78.9ab	12.0	12.4	0.11	1.8	19.3	7.6		
	5 1		400	77 14	11.5	13.0	12.1	12.2f	18.5	20.6	18.4	- C
	9.0	0.0		100		10.0	13.6	12.7b	18.9	80.00	1.6	18.9
	75.8	73.0	0.08	CIRK //		i		12.74	17.2	8	18.9	18.1
	79.0	77.1	81.1	79.1ab	10.8	14.7	0.00		1	0 0	103	18.6
	377	79.6	80.9	79.4ab	10.8	13.8	3.3	12.00	17.7	0.0		
			2	70.3h	12.0	E: 1	13.8	12.4d	18.9	15.9	2.5	0 0
	1.77		10	70 lah	113	13.7	13.4	12.60	19.3	19.3	19.5	19.4
٥	7.97		, ,	1] -	2 1 1	13.7	12.10	18.2	18.4	19.7	28.8
_	75.9	79.1	63.0	0.50	110			12.50	17.4	18.4	18.8	18.2
2	74.1	79.9	6.6	21.080	10.	977	1		1.1	180	17.3	• 18.0
	71.0	79.6	75.6	75.4b	9.01	13.2	11.5	0.			701	10.5
	1.27	70.0	77.0	77.7ab	12.1	13.1	9.11	12.36	20.0	2 1		2 0
*		200	78.6	76.0b	10.5	12.0	101	10.9p	17.4	17.7	בים	0.71
'n			1	74.24	10.7	12.0	13.1	16.1	8.7	8.4		18.7
۰	71.1	15.3	10.1	,		-	-	11.10	17.4	17.3	17.8	17.5
7	26.8	74.8	9.77	40.40					701	183	6.91	18.2
×	\$0.4	0.78	83.0	82.38	1.1	7.7	† ·				12.0	17.6
	100	77.4	88.6	81.0ab	10.7	4.[[11.4	11.2m	17.8	17.1	0.1	
2	50		4 1 2	70 Tah	10.3	671	12.8	11.6k	16.7	18.1	17.8	17.2
20	27.70	9					1		30 30	DC 30	18 43	

gave the

 m^2

number

highest of spikes (308.01) heaviest (52.41), system lowest

and the grains Table (4): Means of wheat yield and its components of pre-irrigated with Tillage (Till) or contact herbicide (Cont.) and none pre-irrigated (None) as affected by herbicidal treatments (South Tabreer, 1997 – 1998 season) but but this gave the

Ì		l				- Industria	POOLITIES SENSE EDGE	3		j	Commercial (maybed)	!		No. of	No. of mann, water			1000		Į,
			3	ş	Z.	COM.	Till	AV	1	3		à	Mose	إ	Ē	,		1	ŀ	J
_	7 2 2	99	-	e F	2	-	2	3.6078	3	=	\$	0.73	2	96		5		919	ķ	Т
м	2	ž	5122	¥	111	=	= 7	4 N. C	Ξ	7	9	190	3	2	:	2			: 5	
_	2670	0 ta	11 .0	725	7 1	†	4. I	4104	\$	9	501	3		:		2	;	. 4	î :	
	3000	0 507	249-0	970	<u>.</u>	90 +	ş	871	9400	2		1	: :		1		1 :	ĭ :	5	
•	105	9120	1560	41.11	7	ą	7	19634	1		9 5		i	•	7		ž :	- :		
	ŝ	\$1.0	240	100	Ä	7	21	9181	50		1 5	1			2 :		Ž :	₽ ;		
r-	¥.	0 18:	÷ fi	8	Ŧ.	4	7	1 M	9	2	: =	1		2	7 5		ž ś	ê i	3 9	
	947	0.687	0 840	ř	3.74	-	*	3.97445	100	9	: =	1		?			* 1			
	5	0 F1	6117	1	<u>‡</u>	er •	ij	41,00	<u> </u>	7		1	î	1	;		:		2 3	
2	î.	Ã	ΞĦ	303 JF	1 E	91 7	꾸	414	87	Ř	-	33.0	;	i 2	. 9				i	
=	PSH.	345.0	FIR	12 4 E	1.23	8	2	3,7746	12	\$	2	100	1			. 5	ž			
2	3550	!	D. C. C.	ĄE ZIĘ	1.27	÷.	4 50	1	=	ž		Ē	Ė		Ě	40.534			1	
=	0.162	£	0.000	207 205	=	1.92	:	4.50	9	-	-	1				1				7
	282.9	2350	273.0	264 34	500	×	ž	1					;		7				ê.	
	391.0	337.0	306.0	ž	2	=	1 46	1	•	:	1	455		341	7	15.00	7	ġ	ž	
•	300.0	2390	245.0	7 78	į		:	107	•		5 3	100	121	7	~	=	e Ç	ĝ	ž	
_	2	1	,	1	!		2 !		3	=	2	15646	ž tE	-	77	17.75	r R	7	ŝ	
. ,			9	30.10	H	4 32	è	3.92%	8	<u>.</u>	9 .	1.189ab	ž	ô	60	39.92	ij	~ #	52.	
	Ê		382.5	3	130	7	\$	386	6	*	5	462	5	ğ	410	14 75gb	548	91.0	33.0	
•	163	3113	362.0	35	41	3	‡	4204	52.1	ş	*	107	450	Ā	7	Pal & IA	32.5	ŝ	2 23	
.	<u>5</u>	g.	158.5	¥	E.	1.29	# 4	3 0424	17.0	1	<u>0</u>	461	582	N.S	12.5	ž Ž	4	÷	ã	
	Mar Die	271 39	271 (28 213 156	-	2	# 12ª	ē		1.090	380	1.1956		100	40.04	3		1	4913		-

biological and grain yields (3.29 and 1.090 ton/fed.), respectively. It may be due to the lowest harvest index for this system compared to the other two systems. For the same reason, pre-irrigated with contact herbicide gave the lowest number of spikes per square meter (271.83) and the lightest grains (50.08 g.), but it gave the highest biological and grain yields (4.14 and 1.296 ton / fed.), respectively. Also, data in Table 4 presented the herbicidal treatment effects on both biological and grain yields and their components. With respect to the number of spikes per square meter, Puma super separate or mixed with Arelon, Glean + Illoxan, Topic and Dopler gave higher spike numbers / m² than the other treatments. Numbers of spikes/m² for the mentioned treatments were 364.7, 332.8, 327.8, 360.5 and 345.8, respectively. As for biological yield, mixing Arelon with both of Puma super, Grasp, Suffix-BW; and Assert; Glean; Illoxan; Topic separate or mixed with Sinal; Dopler; and Grandstar + Grasp gave high biological yields compared with the other treatments. Biological yields for the mentioned treatments before were 3.943, 3.901, 3.974, 3.991, 3.997, 3.869, 3.904, 3.984, 4.283 and 3.923, tons / fed., respectively. However, many herbiida treatments gave high or moderate grain yields compared with unweeded check. Arelone alone or mixed with both of Puma super, Grasp, Suffix-BW, Illoxan; Grasp alone; and Dopler gave higher number of grains/spike than the other herbicidal treatments. They gave 42.33, 41.83, 45.67, 43.83, 42.33, 42.50, 42.50 and 41.67 grains / spike, respectively. However, mixing Arelon with both of Illoxan or Suffix-BW and the check treatments gave the lightest grains compared with the other studied treatments, where their 1000-Kernel weights were 49.3, 50.6 and 48.6 g., respectively.

Table (5) illustrated the main effects of pre-irrigation system and herbicidal treatments on the studied vegetative characters in South Tahreer region in 1997 / 98 season. Data showed that pre-irrigated system affects on the plant height, spike length and number of spikelets/spike didn't reach to the significance level. On the other hand, herbicidal treatments had significant effects only on the number of spikelets/spike. Arelon separate or mixed with both of Puma Super, Grasp, Illoxan, Suffix-BW; IPU/Assert; Glean + Illoxan; Sinal + Topic; Grasp; Puma super; Suffix-BW and Dopler as grassy herbicides gave higher number of spikelets/spike than the other herbicidal treatments. They gave 19.08, 18.40, 19.73, 19.12, 19.13, 18.18, 18.40, 18.25, 18.50, 18.50, 18.50 and 19.40 spikelets / spike, respectively.

Table (5): Means of wheat yield and its components of pre-irrigated with Tillage (Till) or contact herbicide (Cont.) and none pre-irrigated (None) as affected by herbicidal treatments (South Tahreer, 1997 – 1998 season)

Ë		Plant he	Plant height (Cm.)	_		Spike ler	spike length (Cm.	_		No. of	No. of spikelets / spike	'spike
	None	Cont.	Till.	Av.	None	Cont	Till.	Av.	None	Comt.	Till	Av.
-	91.0	91.0	88.5	90.2	9.5	9.4	10.1	6.7	19.25	17.4	16.9	17.85b
7	85.3	82.0	88.5	85.3	9.6	0.6	9.6	9.4	18.6	17.3	16.55	17.48b
٣	82.8	8.16	86.3	86.9	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.9	17.0	9'91	16.3	16.63b
4	88.8	868	80.5	86.3	10.8	10.2	10.2	10.4	20.45	17.92	18.85	19.08ab
ς,	89.5	94.0	81.0	88.2	10,3	10.1	8.6	10.1	18.75	18.5	17.95	18.4ab
9	87.8	92.5	86.3	88.8	0.11	11.2	9.6	9.01	20.95	20.45	17.8	19.73a
7	94.5	94.0	86.0	91.5	8.6	10.4	8.6	10.0	18.75	19.97	18.6	19.12ab
∞	87.0	84.5	87.3	86.3	10.7	10.4	6.6	10.4	20.35	18.62	18.42	19.13ab
6	84.3	88.3	85.5	86.0	10.1	9.3	9.3	9.6	19.65	18.0	16.9	18.18ab
10	91.5	94.5	89.5	8.16	9.5	9.3	8.6	9.6	18.25	16.7	18.25	17.73a
11	92.0	868	87.0	9.68	9.4	10.0	7.6	8.6	18.45	18.95	17.8	18.4ab
12	94.0	87.5	79.8	87.1	6.6	8.6	9.4	9.7	18.6	18.0	18.15	18.25ab
13	87.8	87.5	82.0	82.8	6.6	11.3	9.5	10.2	18.55	19.2	17.75	18.5ab
14	98.3	86.0	87.8	89.0	9.6	9.4	10.0	6.7	17.35	17.8	18.35	17.83b
15	87.8	8.16	80.5	86.7	6.7	10.5	10.0	10.1	18.4	18.9	18.2	18.5ab
91	83.5	88.3	84.0	85.3	9.4	10.5	9.2	8.6	18.4	19.7	16.65	18.25ab
17	89.5	8.06	87.8	87.7	∞ ∞	10.7	10.0	6.6	17.8	19.3	16.9	18.06
8 1	94.5	8.16	8.98	91.0	2.6	9.3	9.6	9.6	18.55	17.1	17.95	17.87b
61	95.0	89.0	82.8	6.68	10.4	10.4	10.0	10.3	20.65	19.0	18.55	19.4ab
20	84.0	86.0	75.5	81.8	8.5	0.6	8.8	8.8	16.45	16.5	16.7	16.55b
Ave.	89.43	89.53	84.30		9.80	10.0	69'6		18.76	18.30	17.68	

Little attention has been paid for controlling grassy weeds along with broad leaf weeds in wheat in Egypt. Information is desired on chemical control of mixed populations of broad leaf and grassy weeds infesting wheat and their effects on biological and grain yield; and yield components under weed management practices at South Tahreer region. The general results in two seasons showed that, pre-irrigated with tillage or contact herbicide (Gramaxon) improved biological and grain yields and some of yield components compared to none-pre-irrigated system. This result agrees with the finding of Samarajecwa et al., 2005 who found that no-tillage system resulted in the lowest wheat yield. Also, the present study showed that most of herbicide treatments increased biological and grain yields compared with un-weeded check and the highest values were obtained with herbicide combinations. These results are in agreement with the findings of Ghanima et al., (1993); Hassanein et al., (1994); Sabra et al., (2003) and Soltani, et al., (2006). They pointed out the importance of integration between more than one method of weed control specially sowing methods and herbicides to increase the efficiency of weed control and grain yield and yield components of wheat crop.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their deep appreciation to Prof. Dr. M. H. Sabbah, The director of the Desert Development Center (DDC) American Univ. Cairo, Egypt, for his cooperation in carrying out this research. This work was funded by Agricultural Technology Utilization and Transfer Project, Ministry of Agriculture of Egypt.

REFERENCES

- Andrew, C. (1992). Herbicides and Plant Physiology. Published by Chapman and Hall, London, New York. Tokyo. Melbourne. Madras. 176 pp.
- Balyan, R. S.; R. K. Malik; R. S. Panwar and S. Singh (1991). Competitive ability of winter wheat cultivars with wild oat. Weed Sci., 39: 154 158.
- Bhan, V. M.; S. K. Yadav; R. S. Panwar and S. Singh (1985). The influence of substituted urea herbicides alone and in combination with 2,4-D on the control of weeds in wheat. Weed Abstract, 35 (5): 1435.

- Cudney, D. W. and J. F. Hill (1979). The response of wheat grown with three population levels of Canary-grass to various herbicide treatments. Proc. W. Soc. Weed Sci., 32: 55 56.
- Deirdre, L.; V. Birgitte and E. Neil (1996). Interaction between wheat and diclofop to reduce the cost of annual ryegrass control. Weed Sci., 44: 634 639.
- Frans, R. E. and R. E. Talbert (1977). Design of field experiments and the measurement and analysis of plant responses. In: Truelove, B. (ed.). Research Methods in Weed Science, Southern Weed Sci. Soc. USA. 15-23 pp.
- Ghanima, E. E.; E. E. Hassanein and M. K. Ismail (1993). Economic evaluation of herbicides, seeding rate and sowing methods on wheat in Assiut governorate. First Ann. Meet, Cairo, 8-9 Sept. NVRP for wild oat control in cereals and some other winter crops. Pp.169 179.
- Harry, L. C. and E. H. James (1985). Wild Oat competition with spring wheat: Effects of nitrogen fertilization. Weed Sci., 34: 29 33.
- Hassanein, E. E.; A. A. Salim; Z. R. Yahia and E. E. Ghanima (1994). Integrated control of wild oat in Assiut Governorate. Second Ann. Meet., Cairo, 11-15 Sept. NVRP for wild oat control in cereals and some other winter crops. Pp 32 34.
- Jack, P. O. (1985). Principles of weed control in California: Agronomic Crops: Thomson publications 237 242 pp.
- Khodayari, K.; R. E. Frans and F. C. Collins (1983). Diclofop-methyl a selective herbicide for Italian ryegrass control in winter wheat. Weed Sci., 31: 436 438.
- Kolar, J. S.; D. S. Bains and G. S. Gill (1977). Competition between wheat and *Chenopodium album* L. Indian J. Ecol., 4 (1): 66 70.
- Lacey, A. J. (1985). Weed control in pesticide application, principles and practice. Hasletice, Haskell, P. T. (ed.) Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press, 456 485 pp.

- J. Pest Cont. & Environ. Sci. 14 (2): 351 364 (2006).
- Mc-Namara, D. W. (1976). Wild oat density and the duration of wild oat competition as it influences wheat growth and yield. Aust. J. Exp. Agric. Animal Husb., 16, 402 406.
- Milberg, P. and E. Hallgren (2004). Yield loss due to weeds in cereals and its large scale variability in Sweden. Field crops Research 86, Issues 2-3, 199 209.
- Rao, V. S. (2000). Principles of Weed Science. Mohan Primlani for Oxford and IBH Co., 66 Jan Path, New Delhi, India.
- Rathore, S. S. (1985). Testing post-emergence herbicides in wheat. Ann. Conf. Indian Soc. Weed Sci., 45.
- Ravn, K. (1984). Chemical control of grass weeds in winter cereals. Weed Abstract 34 (4): 1089.
- Reeves, T. G. (1976). Effect of annual ryegrass (*Lolium rigidum*) on yield of wheat. Weed Res., 16 (1): 57 63.
- RexLieble and W. Douglas (1987). Interference of Italian ryegrass in wheat. Weed Sci., 35: 819 823.
- Rhoads, H.; G. Gowgani; G. Croissant and W. Mitich (1985). Principles of weed control In California Thomson Publications, Fresno, 15 32pp.
- Roberts, H. A. (1982). Weed Control Handbook. BCPC, Blackwell Scientific Publication, London, 252 279 pp.
- Rodgers, E. G. (1978). Weeds and their control. In Fundamentals of plant pest control, D. A. Roberts (ed.) 164 86. San Francisco: W. H. Freeman.
- Sabra, F. S.; I. M. Awwad; F. S. Soliman and A. M. El-Shazly (2003). Integrated weed control strategy in Bangar El-Sokkar district in North Egypt. J. Pest. Cont. Environ. Sci., 11 (1): 29 43.
- Samarajeewa, K.; T. Heriuchi and S. Oba (2005). Weed population dynamics in wheat as affected by *Astragalus sinicus* L. (Chinese milk vetch) under reduced tillage. Crop Protection, 24 (10): 864-869.

- Singh, B.; J. N. Singh (1982). Chemical weed control in wheat. Ann. Conf. Indian Soc. Weed Sci., 3.
- Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran (1967). Statistical Methods 6th ed., Iowa, Iowa State Univ. Press, U.S.A.
- Soliman, F. S. (1995). Assessment of some herbicidal combinations in wheat fields of Dierab, Saudi Arabia. Arab Gulf J. Sci. Res., 13 (3): 521 534.
- Soltani, N.; S. Christy and P. H. Sikkema (2006). Responses of winter wheat to autumn applied post-emergence herbicides. Corp Protection, 25 (4): 346-349.
- Tag-El-Din, A.; Ghandorah, M. Al-Rajhi and S. Mostafa (1987). Effect of glyphosate residues on field performance of some herbicides used in wheat. J. Coll. Agric. King Saud Univ., 9 (2): 295 305.