

## RATE OF DEVELOPMENT OF RESISTANCE TO INSECTICIDES IN THE PREDACIOUS MITE *AMBLYSEIUS GOSSIPI*

M.M. EL-BEHEIRY<sup>1</sup>, A. A. HASAN<sup>1</sup>

S.M. ABO-KORAH<sup>2</sup>, F. M. SALEM<sup>2</sup>

AND M. H. EL-KHAWALKA<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Plant protection Research Institute, Agricultural Research Centre, Dokki, Egypt.

<sup>2</sup> Plant protection Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Menofia University

(Manuscript received 8 April 1991)

### Abstract

Susceptibility and rates of development and reversion of resistance to insecticides in *Amblyseius gossipi* (El-Badry) were studied. The parent strains collected from different locations showed no resistance towards any of the tested compounds. Beheira strain had the highest tolerance to the chemicals tested followed by Menofia and Kafr El-Sheikh. The predatory mite *A. gossipi* acquired resistance to dicofol in generations 6 and 8. A similar trend was observed for detamethrin but to a lesser extent. Thiodicarb produced the least resistance. All the selected strains reverted to susceptibility at different rates.

### INTRODUCTION

Agricultural crops are liable to attack by several pests. The spider mite attacks cotton plants in Egypt. Recently it has become a dangerous pest on cotton. Abo El-Ghar and El-Rafie (1969) and Salama and Faraghal (1975) reported that the development of injurious populations of mites is associated with the increase use of organic insecticides which are known to create mite problems by destroying their nat-

ural enemies. The repeated use of pesticides on crops has a destructive effect on beneficial arthropods (Davis and Cowan, 1974; Kinzer *et al.*, 1977; and Salama and Farghaly, 1979).

Scientists have directed their efforts towards the control of pests with respect to their beneficial arthropods to avoid the hazards of pesticides. Others are studying the development of resistance in predacious mites (Croft and Mayer 1973; Corft *et al.*, 1976). The predatory mite, *A. gossipi* El-Badry is a very important beneficial arthropod attacking phytophagous mites. The purpose of the present work is to evaluate the susceptibility and the rate of resistance development to certain pesticides in the predatory mite, *A. gossipi*. The rate of reversion towards susceptibility was also studied.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Pesticides used

Dicofol (185 g a.i./liter E.C.)

Deltamethrin (25g a.i./ liter E.C.)

Omethoate (80g a.i./ liter L.C.)

Thiodicarb (375g a.i. / liter F.L.)

### Mite source

Samples of *A. gossipi* were collected from cotton fields from Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate then kept away from any pesticidal contamination for 15 successive generations. This strain was considered as the reference strain. Three strains were collected from cotton fields of Kafr El-Sheikh, Beheira and Menofia governorates. The sensitivity of the field strains was tested to several insecticides then selected for resistance to dicofol, deltamethrin, omethoate and thiodicarb.

### Method of selection for resistance

The exposure method described by abo El-Ghar and Boudreaux (1958) was used. Mortality counts were recorded 24h after treatment. Natural mortalities were

corrected according to Abbott's formula (1925). The Lc-p lines were fitted and all data were statistically analyzed according to Litchfield and Wilcoxon (1949).

Selection pressure was conducted at the LC<sub>25</sub> level. This level produced 25% mortality of adult females every generation. For studying the level of resistance, the Lc-p lines were established every two generations until the end of selection. Results of dicofol, deltamethrin, omethoate, and thiodicarb selected strains were compared with those of the susceptible strain. The resistance ratio (RR) was determined according to the equation of Georgiou (1972).

Reversin of resistance was studied every other generation upon cessation of chemical pressure.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The susceptibility of adult female *A. gossipi* El-Badry from different field locations to dicofol, deltamethrin, omethoate and thiodicarb was determined in comparison with the susceptible strain. Data presented in Table 1 show that the collected field strains were sensitive to the chemicals tested compared with the reference strain. Beheira strain had a slight tolerance to dicofol (RR=3.24) while the resistance ratios of the other chemicals for all strains were apparently lower.

The Beheira strain was selected at the LC<sub>25</sub> level with dicofol, deltamethrin, omethoate and thiodicarb for several generations (Table 2).

Table 1. Susceptibility of field strains of *A. gossipi* to certain pesticides under laboratory conditions.

| strain            | Dicofol                 |       |      | Deltamethrin            |       |      | Omethoate               |       |      | Thiodicarb              |       |      |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-------|------|-------------------------|-------|------|-------------------------|-------|------|-------------------------|-------|------|
|                   | Lc <sub>50</sub><br>ppm | Slope | R.R. | Lc <sub>50</sub><br>ppm | Slope | R.R. | Lc <sub>50</sub><br>ppm | Slope | R.R. | Lc <sub>50</sub><br>ppm | Slope | R.R. |
| Kafr<br>El-Sheikn | 400                     | 1.31  | 1.90 | 170                     | 1.49  | 1.70 | 48                      | 1.75  | 1.71 | 25                      | 1.74  | 1.14 |
| Beheira           | 680                     | 1.26  | 3.24 | 240                     | 1.48  | 2.40 | 58                      | 1.66  | 2.07 | 36                      | 2.40  | 1.64 |
| Menofia           | 450                     | 1.69  | 2.14 | 180                     | 2.39  | 1.80 | 40                      | 1.87  | 1.43 | 27                      | 1.43  | 1.23 |
| Reference         | 210                     | 1.54  | -    | 100                     | 1.69  | -    | 28                      | 1.84  | -    | 22                      | 4.92  | -    |

R. R. = Resistance ratio



With regard to dicofol, the resistance ratios (RR) increased gradually with selection until it reached 9.25 - folds in F<sub>6</sub>. In F<sub>8</sub>, resistance shifted to 12.86-folds then increased throughout the selected generations to reach 25.71-folds in F<sub>16</sub>.

The development of resistance to deltamethrin was comparatively slower, but with continuous selection to F<sub>8</sub>, resistance became more evident as it reached 11-folds. Thereafter, resistance increased gradually to reach 27-folds at the end of selection (F<sub>14</sub>).

Resistance to omethoate underwent a slight increase in resistance ratios during the first generations of selection (F<sub>2</sub> - F<sub>14</sub>), then increased appreciably in F<sub>10</sub> (12.14 - folds) followed by 21.43 - folds in F<sub>14</sub>.

Resistance to thiodicarb was the slowest in development as shown by the low RR values during the generations F<sub>2</sub>-F<sub>12</sub>. With the progress of selection, the resistance ratio reached 13.18 - folds in F<sub>18</sub>.

Table 2. Rate of resistance to several pesticides in the predaceous mite *Amblyseius gossypii* adult females (Beheria strain).

| pesticides<br>Generation | Dicofol                 |                         |       | Deltamethrin            |                         |       | Omethoate               |                         |       | Thiodicarb              |                         |       |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
|                          | Lc <sub>25</sub><br>ppm | Lc <sub>50</sub><br>ppm | R.R.  | Lc <sub>25</sub><br>ppm | Lc <sub>50</sub><br>ppm | R.R.  | Lc <sub>25</sub><br>ppm | Lc <sub>50</sub><br>ppm | R.R.  | Lc <sub>25</sub><br>ppm | Lc <sub>50</sub><br>ppm | R.R.  |
| P                        | 190                     | 680                     | 3.24  | 85                      | 240                     | 2.40  | 23                      | 58                      | 2.07  | 18                      | 36                      | 1.64  |
| F <sub>2</sub>           | 330                     | 740                     | 3.52  | 140                     | 350                     | 3.50  | 28                      | 68                      | 2.43  | 22                      | 45                      | 2.05  |
| F <sub>4</sub>           | 600                     | 1400                    | 6.67  | 150                     | 400                     | 4.00  | 37                      | 94                      | 3.36  | 26                      | 74                      | 3.32  |
| F <sub>6</sub>           | 800                     | 2000                    | 9.52  | 330                     | 700                     | 7.00  | 50                      | 120                     | 4.29  | 36                      | 94                      | 4.18  |
| F <sub>8</sub>           | 940                     | 2700                    | 12.86 | 500                     | 1100                    | 11.00 | 70                      | 170                     | 6.07  | 38                      | 120                     | 5.45  |
| F <sub>10</sub>          | 1200                    | 3300                    | 15.71 | 600                     | 1400                    | 14.00 | 80                      | 190                     | 6.79  | 44                      | 130                     | 5.91  |
| F <sub>12</sub>          | 1500                    | 4000                    | 19.50 | 900                     | 1900                    | 19.00 | 140                     | 340                     | 12.14 | 50                      | 150                     | 6.82  |
| F <sub>14</sub>          | 2200                    | 4700                    | 22.38 | 1500                    | 2700                    | 27.00 | 220                     | 600                     | 21.43 | 58                      | 160                     | 7.27  |
| F <sub>16</sub>          | 3100                    | 5400                    | 25.71 | -                       | -                       | -     | -                       | -                       | -     | 80                      | 200                     | 9.09  |
| F <sub>18</sub>          | -                       | -                       | -     | -                       | -                       | -     | -                       | -                       | -     | 120                     | 290                     | 13.18 |
| Susceptible<br>Strain    | -                       | 210                     | -     | -                       | 100                     | -     | -                       | 28                      | -     | -                       | 22                      | -     |

Resistance ratio =  $Lc_{50}$  of the selected strain /  $Lc_{50}$  of the susceptible strain

The present results showed clearly that the predacious mite *A. gossipi* can also acquire resistance to pesticides, a matter that can help develop IPM programs including biological agents and insecticides together. The development of resistance in the predacious mite might also confer cross resistance to several other chemicals, thus enabling the predator to withstand the toxic action of several insecticides.

These results are in agreement with those obtained by croft and mayer (1973), croft et al., (1976), Rouch and Hoy ( 1981) and Kapetanakis and cranhan (1983) . The found that predatory mites acquired resistance against dicofol fater than other compounds. The selected strains were maintained in the laboratory without further selection to study the rate of reversion of resistance. The mortality regression lines were established every other generation using the same leaf-disc spray method.

As indicated in Table 3, the level of resistance to dicofol declined from 7.94-fold in F<sub>16</sub> to 1.47- fold in F<sub>26</sub>.

Table 3. Rate of reversion of resistance to several chemicals in the predacious mite *Amblyseius gossipi*.

| Generation      | Resistant strains |      |                  |       |                  |       |                  |      |
|-----------------|-------------------|------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|------------------|------|
|                 | Dicofol           |      | Deltamethrin     |       | Omethoate        |       | Thiodicarb       |      |
|                 | Lc <sub>50</sub>  | R.R. | Lc <sub>50</sub> | R.R.  | Lc <sub>50</sub> | R.R.  | Lc <sub>50</sub> | R.R. |
| F <sub>14</sub> | 4700              | -    | 2700             | 11.25 | 600              | 10.34 | 160              | -    |
| F <sub>16</sub> | 5400              | 7.94 | 2400             | 10.00 | 400              | 6.90  | 200              | -    |
| F <sub>18</sub> | 5000              | 7.35 | 2000             | 8.33  | 220              | 3.79  | 290              | 9.06 |
| F <sub>20</sub> | 4200              | 6.18 | 1150             | 4.79  | 160              | 2.76  | 180              | 5.63 |
| F <sub>22</sub> | 3300              | 4.85 | 660              | 2.75  | 130              | 2.24  | 165              | 5.16 |
| F <sub>24</sub> | 1900              | 2.79 | 370              | 1.54  | 90               | 1.55  | 140              | 4.38 |
| F <sub>26</sub> | 1000              | 1.47 | -                | -     | -                | -     | 125              | 3.91 |
| F <sub>28</sub> | -                 | -    | -                | -     | -                | -     | 100              | 3.31 |
| Parent          | 680               |      | 240              |       | 58               |       | 36               |      |

R. R. = Lc<sub>50</sub> of generation/ Lc<sub>50</sub> of reference strain

In case of deltamethrin, omethoate and thiodicarb resistance, the selected strains reverted to normal susceptibility after about ten generations from cessation of chemical pressure. These results are in agreement with those of Kong and Hassan (1986) who found that the strain of the predaceous mite, *Phytoseiulus persimilis* resistant to pirimiphos-methyl decreased rapidly after the selection pressure had stopped, while resistance to Afugan (phrazophos) was comparatively stable.

#### REFERENCES

1. Abbott, W. W., 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J. Econ. Entomol., 18 : 265 - 267.
2. Abo El - Ghar, M. R. and H. B. Boudeauz, 1985. Comparative response of five species of spider mites to four acaricides. J. Econ. Entomol., 51 : 518 - 522.
3. Abo El-Ghar, M. R. and M. S. El-Rafie, 1969. Effect of chemical treatments on cotton pests and cotton plants. Bull. Ent. Soc. Egypt. Econ. Ser. III pp.55-74.
4. Corft, B. A. and R. H. Mayer, 1973. Carbamate and organophosphorus resistance patterns in poulation of *Amblyseius fallacis*. Environ. Environ. Entomol., 2(4) : 691 - 695.
5. Corft, B. A., A.W.A. Brown and S. A. Hoying, 1976. Organophosphorus insecticides resistance and its inheritance in the predaceous mite *Amblyseius fallacis*. J. Econ. Entomol., 69:64 - 68.
6. Davis, J. W. and C. B. Cowan, 1974. Early-season insects on cotton: control with two systemic insecticides. J. Econ. Entomol., 67(1) : 130 - 131.
7. Georghiou, G. P., 1972. Studies on resistance to carbamate and organophosphorus insecticides in *Anopheles albimanus*. Amer. J. Trop. Med. & Hyd., 21 : 797 - 806.
8. Kapetanakis, E. G. and J. E. Cranham, 1983. Laboratory evaluations of resistance to pesticides in the phytoseiid predator *Typhlodromus pyri* from English apple orchards. Ann. Appl. Biol., 103 : 389 - 400.

9. Kinzer, R. E., C. B. Cowman, R. L. Ridgway, J. W. Davis, R. Coppedge and S. L.



- Jones, 1977. Population of arthropod predators and *Heliothis* Sp. after applications of Aldicarb and Monocrotophos to cotton. Environ. Entomol., 6(1): 13 - 16.
10. Kong, K. and S. A. Hassan, 1986. Resistance and cross-resistance of the predacious mite *phytoseiulus persimilis* (Athias-Henriot) to organophosphates J. Appl. Ent., 101 (3) : 206 - 215. (C. F. Rev. Appl. Ent., 75 (4) : 1636).
11. Litchfield, J. T. Jr. and F. Wilcoxon, 1949. A simplified method of evaluating dose-effect experiments. J. Pharmacol. and Exp. Therap., 96 : 99 - 113.
12. Roush, R. T. and M. H. Hoy, 1981. Laboratory, glasshouse and field studies of artificially selected carbaryl resistance in *Metaseiulu occidentalis*. J. Econ. Entomol., 74 (2) : 142 - 147.
13. Salama, A. E. and H. E. and H. T. Faraghaly, 1975. Toxicological studies on the effect of certain new acaricides on the spider mites attacking cotton plants. Bull. Ent. Soc. Egypt, Econ. Ser. IX pp 61-66.
14. Salama, A. E. and H. T. Farghaly, 1979. Population of arthropod predators after application of certain insecticides for the control of cotton pests. J. Agr. Res. Tanta. Univ. 5 (2) : 147 - 154.

## تطور المقاومة للمبيدات ورجوعها لحد الحساسية في العنكبوت المفترس *Amblyseius gossipi*

مدحت محمد البحيرى<sup>١</sup> ، عبد المنعم عبد الباقي حسن<sup>٢</sup> ، فتحى سالم<sup>٢</sup>  
سعيد أبو قورة<sup>٢</sup> ، محمد حسين الخوالقة<sup>١</sup>

١ معهد بحوث وقاية النبات - مركز البحوث الزراعية - الدقى

٢ قسم وقاية النبات - كلية الزراعة بشبين الكوم - جامعة المنوفية

أجريت دراسة على تطور صفة المقاومة للمبيدات الدايفوفول ، الدلتامثرين ، الأومثويت ، والثيوديكارب فى العنكبوت المفترس *Amblyseius gossipi* وأظهرت النتائج عدم وجود مقاومة فى الأبناء التى جمعت من أماكن مختلفة تجاه هذه المركبات كما أوضحت النتائج أن سلالة محافظة البحيرة كانت أكثر تحملاً للمبيدات تليها سلالة المنوفية ثم سلالة كفر الشيخ.

تم إختيار سلالة البحيرة لدراسة تطور المقاومة وأظهرت النتائج أن الأفراد المختبرة إكتسبت مقاومة خلال الجيل السادس والثامن لكل من الديكوفول والدلتامثرين على الترتيب ، بينما كان تطور المقاومة بطيئاً بالنسبة لمركب الثيوديكارب.

كل السلالات المقاومة رجعت الى حد الحساسية عندما رفع عنها الضغط الإنتخابى للمبيد.