

## **Journal**

J. Biol. Chem. Environ. Sci., 2018, Vol. 13(3): 99-114 http://biochemv.sci.eg

# RESPONSE OF MATRICARIA CHAMOMILLA, L. PLANTS TO NANO AND CHEMICAL FERTILIZERS.

Toaima, N. M.; H. A. Bosila and R. S.Nada,

Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt.

## **ABSTRACT**

This study was carried out during two successive seasons of 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 on *Matricaria chamomilla*, L. plant at the Experimental Farm of Horticultural Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt. Pot plants experiment was conducted to investigate the response of *Matricaria chamomilla*, L. plants to three rates of nano fertilizers (foliar spraying at 1.5, 3 and 4.5 g/L.) and chemical fertilizers (50, 100 and 150 % of a basic dose).

Results showed that all treatments of nano and chemical fertilizers

improved different vegetative growth characters, promoted flowering parameters and stimulated various chemical constituents compared with control.

The highest rate of nano and chemical fertilizers resulted in significant increases in vegetative growth, best quality and quantity of flower production and various chemical constituents such as chlorophyll a, b, carbohydrates and essential oil in the flowers as well as its content, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium percentage.

Hence, these findings clearly indicated that, nano fertilizers could be used as effective tools instead of chemical fertilization, lowering the productive costs and consequently minimize the pollution of the agriculture environment.

**Key words:** chemical fertilizers, essential oil, *Matricaria chamomilla*. L., nano fertilizers,

#### INTRODUCTION

Matricaria chamomilla, L. is an important ornamental plant belonging to family Asteraceae. It is considered to be one of the valuable medicinal plants. Chamomile has many medical attributes such as antiseptic, antispasmodic, antimicrobial and antiinflamatory (Letchamo and Marquard 1993, Manifesto et al. 2001 Pourohit and Vyas 2004 Franke and Schilcher 2007). One of the most commonly consumed single ingredient herbal tea is chamomile, prepared with dried flowers from Matricaria chamomilla, L. (Crevin and Philpott 1990). Infusions and essential oils from fresh or dried flower heads have aromatic, flavoring and coloring properties. Both are used in a number of commercial products including soaps, detergents, perfumes, lotions, ointments, hair products, baked goods, confections, alcoholic beverages and herbal teas. Chamomile flowers contain 0.24- to 2.0 percent volatile oil that is blue in color (Wald and Brendler 1998). European Pharmacopoeia recommends chamomile contains no less than 4 mL/kg of blue essential oil (European **Pharmacopoeia**). The actual tendency is to consider natural products as non-toxic and presenting few side effects than those used by conventional medicine (Petronilho et al. 2012).

The main objective of this study was to find out whether sufficient and high quality of the *Matricaria chamomilla*, L. crop can be produced without chemical fertilizers but only with the sources allowed by nano fertilizers. This experiment aimed to select the best rate of effective nano fertilizers.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This investigation was carried out in the Experimental Farm of Horticultural Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt, during the two successive seasons 2015/2016 and 2016/2016.

#### The soil used:

The soil used was sandy soil. Its chemical and physical characteristics are presented in **Table (1)**.

Table (1): Physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil.

A-Physical properties:-											
Soil Mixture Clay% Silt% Fine sand% coarse sand						and %					
Sa	Sand			0	6.00		10.10			73.30	
B-Chemical	B-Chemical properties										
Chemical analysis	K	Na	Mg	Ca	SO <sub>4</sub>	Cl	HCO <sub>3</sub>	SP	pН	E.c	
Sand	0.40	4.20	2.20	5.10	7.20	3.5	3.00	25.00	7.55	1.25	

#### **Plant Material:**

*Matricaria chamomilla*, L. seeds were provided by Horticulture Research institute of Medicinal and Aromatic plants Section, Giza, Egypt. Seeds were sown in the nursery beds on October 15<sup>th</sup> of both seasons and regularity irrigated until emergence. At the suitable size of transplanting, seedlings were transferred after 30 days at 15<sup>th</sup> of November in to the field.

#### **Chemical Fertilizers:**

The recommended chemical fertilizer dose was added according to ministry of agriculture. The mineral sources of N, P and K fertilizers were obtained from ammonium sulphate (20.6% N) 250 kg/fed. calcium super phosphate (15.5% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) 200 kg/fed. and potassium sulphate (48% K<sub>2</sub>O) 100 kg/fed. (Recommended dose), respectively. NPK were added at three levels of 50, 100 and 150 % of a basic dose, respectively. The fertilizers application for N and K was done three times: the first addition was started after 15 days from transplanting, and repeated in 30 days for each, while the addition of calcium super phosphate was done as one dose during the soil preparation with various three levels of phosphorus.

#### Nano Fertilizers:

Nano fertilization of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilizers were obtained from National Research Center, Cairo Egypt.

Nano fertilizers were applied at three levels (foliar spraying at three levels 1.5, 3 and 4.5 g/L.). All of the treatments were sprayed in three stages, including 15 days after transplanting, spraying 30 days after first time of spraying and spraying 60 days after first time in flowering stages. All operations were done regularly during two seasons.

## The experimental design:

The layout of the experiment was a complete randomized blocks design during the two seasons in three replicates for each treatment (7 treatments).

#### **Statistical analysis:**

The statistical analysis was performed according to (**Snedecor** and **Cochran 1980**) using M-state program version 4. analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Duncan's Multiple Range test ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

#### **Treatments:**

The fertilization treatments were used as follows:

- 1 Control.
- 2 Nano fertilizer at 1.5 g/L. (Foliar spraying).
- 3 Nano fertilizer at 3 g/L.(Foliar spraying).
- 4 Nano fertilizer at 4.5 g/L. (Foliar spraying).
- 5- NPK at 50 % of recommended dose.
- 6- NPK at 100 % of recommended dose.
- 7- NPK at 150 % of recommended dose.

#### Data recorded:

The following data were recorded at the end of experiment for the two seasons:

- 1-Plant height (cm), as the main plant stem.
- 2-Number of branches/ plant.
- 3-Dry weight (g/plant).
- 4 Flowering date, considered at the first opened flowering head.
- 5 Number of flowering heads/ plant.
- 6 Diameter of flowering head (cm).
- 7 Flowering period, recorded from the first opened flowering head until the end of the flowering.
- 8 Chlorophyll a, b contents in the fresh leaves (mg/g) were determined using the method of (Rami and Dan porath 1980).

- 9 Total carbohydrates (%) was determined by using phenol-sulphuric acid method according to (**Dubois** *et al.* **1956**).
- 10-Total nitrogen (%) was determined according to the method described by (A.O.A., 1995).
- 11- Potassium and phosphorus (%) were determined according to (Cottenie *et al.* 1982).
- 12- Essential oil percentage: the essential oil percentage was determined in air dried head flowers according to (**Balbaa** *et al.* **1981**)

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# 1. Effect of to nano and chemical fertilizers on some vegetative characters.

The data in **Table (2)** revealed that, in most cases, the studied vegetative growth characters, plant height (cm.), branches number/ plant and herb dry weight (g) /plant of Matricaria chamomilla, L. plants were significantly increased in both seasons due to the use of nano and chemical fertilization in comparison to the untreated plants. Corresponding data showed an increase in plant height due to chemical fertilization treatments in comparison to nano fertilizers treatments and to the control especially, when chemical fertilization was used at the rate of NPK100% and NPK150% which produced 85.00 and 95.10 cm. in the 1<sup>st</sup> season, 81.70 and 94.40cm. in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season, respectively. However, application of chemical fertilization at NPK100%, NPK150% and nano fertilization at rate 4.5 g/L. gave the highest values for number of branches 123.53, 136.90 and 121.53 in the 1<sup>st</sup> season and 122.93, 133.80 and 120.30 in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season. Data illustrated showed that, the maximum value of herb dry weight (191.63 g/plant in the 1<sup>st</sup> season, 191.93 g/plant in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season.) was obtained as a result of chemical fertilization (NPK 150%). Then, treatments of chemical fertilization (NPK 100%) and nano fertilization (4.5 g/L.) gave high values of herb dry weight in the1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> season recording 173.63, 170.43,172.90 and 169.57 g/plant, respectively.

Table (2): Effect of nano and chemical fertilizers on vegetative growth characteristics of *Matricaria chamomilla*, L. plants during 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons.

Treatments	Plant height(cm.)		Branches number/plant		Herb dry weight (g/plant)	
Treatments	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
	season	season	season	season	season	season
Control	19.87g	20.20 <sup>f</sup>	22.50 <sup>f</sup>	22.47 <sup>f</sup>	35.90e	36.17 <sup>f</sup>
NPK 50%	69.63 <sup>d</sup>	68.33°	114.23°	113.00°	151.27°	150.33°
NPK 100%	85.00 <sup>b</sup>	81.70 <sup>b</sup>	123.53b	122.93b	173.63b	172.90 <sup>b</sup>
NPK 150%	95.10 <sup>a</sup>	94.40ª	136.90ª	133.80ª	191.63ª	191.93ª
Nano 1.5 g/L.	49.23 <sup>f</sup>	48.50e	95.80e	96.47e	130.77 <sup>d</sup>	128.70e
Nano 3 g/L.	66.07e	60.90 <sup>d</sup>	107.87 <sup>d</sup>	105.03 <sup>d</sup>	145.63°	142.17 <sup>d</sup>
Nano 4.5 g/L.	81.27°	80.73 <sup>b</sup>	121.53b	120.30 <sup>b</sup>	170.43 <sup>b</sup>	169.57 <sup>b</sup>

 $<sup>^{</sup>a\,to\,g}$  Means having different letters exponents in column are significantly different (  $P \leq 0.05).$ 

The results had the same line with **Hammam** (1996) on *Pimpinella anisum*, **El-Sayed** *et al.* (2002) on *Origanum majoranum*, **Helmy and Zarad** (2003) on *Borago officinalis*, **Atta-Alla** *et al.* (2005) on *Capsicum annuum*.

# 1. Effect of nano and chemical fertilizers on some flowering aspects.

Concerning the effect of nano and chemical fertilizers on flowering characters, it could be noticed that the studied flowering growth characters; number of flowering heads/plant, flowering head diameter/plant (cm.) (**Table (3)**), flowering start (days) and flowering period (days) (Table (4)) were significantly increased due to the use of nano and chemical fertilizers in both seasons in comparison to the unfertilized plants. Data presented in **Table (3)** showed that, the highest number of flowering heads was130.43 in the 1<sup>st</sup> season and 125.43 in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season when chemical fertilization at rate 150% was applied, then the treatments chemical fertilization at rate 100% and nano fertilization at rate 4.5 g/L. recorded 104.10 and 102.83 in the 1<sup>st</sup> season and 102.53and 98.55 in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season.

Diameter of flowering head character, All treatments recorded significantly increasing in both seasons in comparison to the unfertilized plants and there is no significant different between them in both seasons.

Data in **Table (4)** reveal that, all applications (chemical fertilization; NPK50%, NPK100% and NPK150% and nano fertilization at rate1.5, 3 and 4.5 g/L) started to flowering earlier in both seasons in comparison to the unfertilized plants but the highest number of flowering earlier character was 30 days in the 1<sup>st</sup> season and 29 days in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season when chemical fertilization at rate 150% was applied, decreasing the start of flowering with 17 days as compared with the untreated plants.

The flowering period extended longer time in all treatments (chemical fertilization; NPK50%, NPK100% and NPK150% and nano fertilization at rate1.5, 3 and 4.5 g/L) during the  $1^{\rm st}$  season and the $2^{\rm nd}$  season as compared with untreated plants (104-105 days) but chemical fertilization at rate 150% recorded the highest number during the  $1^{\rm st}$  season and the $2^{\rm nd}$  season (121 days in the  $1^{\rm st}$  season and 122 days in the  $2^{\rm nd}$  season.

Table (3): Effect of nano and chemical fertilizers on number of flowering heads and flower diameter (cm.) of *Matricaria chamomilla*, L. plants during 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons.

		owering heads /	Diameter flowering heads (cm.)			
Treatments	1	plant				
	1st season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season	1st season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season		
Control	19.50e	20.53f	1.03b	0.97b		
NPK 50%	95.37¢	94.17 <sup>cd</sup>	1.37a	1.37a		
NPK 100%	104.10b	102.53b	1.37a	1.37a		
NPK 150%	130.43a	125.43a	1.53a	1.43a		
Nano 1.5g/L.	86.17d	83.23e	1.37a	1.30a		
Nano 3g/L.	92.80°	91.43d	1.37a	1.33a		
Nano 4.5g/L.	102.83b	98.55bc	1.40a	1.37a		

a to f Means having different letters exponents in column are significantly different (  $P \le 0.05$ ).

Increasing the vegetative growth of plants which, supplied with the highest rate of chemical fertilization and nano fertilization is reflected in increasing flowers yield and improving quality and nutritive value. The enhancement of number of flowers/ plant and flower diameter may be due to beneficial effect of the role of increasing nitrogen in the initiation of new cells (**Rademacher 1994**).

These results were in harmony with those found by **El-Maadawy** (2007) on *Tagetes erecta*, **Vieira et al.** (1999) on Calendula officinalis

Table (4): Effect of nano and chemical fertilizers on flowering start (days) and flowering period (days) of *Matricaria chamomilla*, L. plants during 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons.

Treatments	Flowering	start (days)	Flowering period (days)		
	1st season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season	1st season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season	
Control	47a	46ª	105f	104e	
NPK 50%	34bc	34°	116 <sup>cd</sup>	116 <sup>cd</sup>	
NPK 100%	32de	31e	118b	117b	
NPK 150%	30e	29 <sup>f</sup>	121a	122a	
Nano 1.5g/L.	35b	35b	114e	115 <sup>d</sup>	
Nano 3g/L.	34bc	34 <sup>c</sup>	116 <sup>d</sup>	116°	
Nano 4.5g/L.	33 <sup>cd</sup>	32 <sup>d</sup>	117bc	118b	

a to f Means having different letters exponents in column are significantly different ( $P \le 0.05$ )

# 3. Effect of nano and chemical fertilizers on chemical composition. a.Effect of nano and chemical fertilizers on leaves pigments and carbohydrates percentage.

The effect of nano and chemical fertilizers treatments on photosynthetic pigments Chlorophyll a & b in the leaves of *Matricaria chamomilla*, L. plants are displayed in **Table (5)**. The results showed that, photosynthetic pigments (mg/g fresh weight) were significantly increased due to the application of nano and chemical fertilization in both seasons, in comparison to the unfertilized plants (control). The highest values of Chlorophyll a were 3.19 and 3.34 mg/g fresh weight

in the 1<sup>st</sup> season when chemical fertilizer was applied at100% and 150% while the highest values of Chlorophyll a were 3.22, 3.35 and 3.16 mg/g fresh weight in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season when chemical fertilizer was applied at100% and 150% and nano fertilizer applied at 4.5 g/L., respectively. Moreover, the application of chemical fertilizer at rate 150% and nano fertilizer at rate 4.5 g/L. gave the highest values of Chlorophyll b which recorded 1.20 and 1.14 mg/g fresh weight in the 1<sup>st</sup> season, respectively. The same application of chemical fertilizer and nano fertilizer resulted in the highest values of Chlorophyll b which recorded 1.16 and 1.11mg/g fresh weight in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season, respectively.

Carbohydrates percentage was greatly and significantly increased in response to chemical fertilizers and nano fertilizers in comparison to the unfertilized plants in the two seasons. The highest values of carbohydrates percentage were 62.40, 60.18 and 60.08 % in the 1<sup>st</sup> season,, respectively; when chemical fertilizers was applied at 150%, 100% and nano fertilizers at 4.5 g/L. while, The highest values of carbohydrates percentage were 64.21and 61.36 % in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season,, respectively; when chemical fertilizers was applied at 150% and nano fertilizers was applied at 4.5 g/L.

From the physiological view, the obtained results could be attributed to the role of the chemical fertilizers as a constituent of pyridines, which are in turn constituents of chlorophyll and cytocromes (Joo et al. 1999; Magda Mostafa 2002). These results were in agreement with those obtained by Hammam (1996) on Pimpinella anisum, Jacoup (1999) on Thymus vulgaris, El-Sherbeny et al. (2005) on Sideritis montana, Swaefy et al. (2007) on peppermint and Matter (2009) on Hibiscus subdariffa plant.

Table (5): Effect of of nano and chemical fertilizers on Chlorophll a &b in the leaves (mg/g) and Carbohydrate percentage (%) of *Matricaria chamomilla* L. plants during 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons.

	Chlorophyll a (mg/g		Chloroph	yll b (mg/g	Carbohydrate	
	fresh weight)		fresh weight)		percentage (%)	
Treatments	1st	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1st season	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1st	2 <sup>nd</sup>
	season	season		season	season	season
Control	2.38d	2.34d	0.89d	0.91e	42.50d	41.80d
NPK 50%	3.03bc	2.99bc	0.90 <sup>cd</sup>	0.94de	56.79bc	57.57bc
NPK 100%	3.19ab	3.22ab	1.10ab	1.07abc	60.18ab	60.57abc
NPK 150%	3.34ª	3.35ª	1.20a	1.16ª	62.40a	64.21a
Nano 1.5g/L.	2.83°	2.84°	1.11ab	1.02bcd	54.89°	55.59°
Nano 3g/L.	3.01bc	2.99ы	1.01bc	0.99cde	58.01abc	58.84abc
Nano 4.5g/L.	3.09b	3.16ab	1.14ª	1.11ab	60.08ab	61.36ab

a to e Means having different letters exponents in column are significantly different (  $P \le 0.05$ ).

# 3.2. Effect of nano and chemical fertilizers on minerals percentage:

Relevant data in **Table** (6) showed the percentage of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in dry herb of Matricaria chamomilla, L. plants as affected by nano and chemical fertilizers. The three elements were significantly increased due to the use of all nano and chemical fertilizers treatments in the two seasons in comparison to control plants. When chemical fertilizers was used at 150%, 100% and nano fertilizers was used at 4.5 g/L. the values of nitrogen percentages were 3.79, 3.60 and 3.75 % in the 1<sup>st</sup> season, and 3.92, 3.72 and 3.80 % in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season, respectively. However, application of chemical fertilizers at 100%, 150% and nano fertilizers at 3 g/L. gave the highest value of phosphorus (0.38, 0.35 and 0.35%, respectively) in the 1<sup>st</sup> season and (0.38, 0.35 and 0.32 %, respectively) in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season. In case of potassium the maximum values were obtained as a result of nano fertilizers at 4.5 g/L. and chemical fertilizers at 100% (2.29 and 2.27 % for 1<sup>st</sup> season) and (2.33 and 2.30 % for 2<sup>nd</sup> season, respectively).

The previously obtained results were in harmony with those reported by, Sakr (2001) on *Menta piperita*, Abd El-Latif (2002) on *Carum carvi*, Haroun and Hussein (2003) on *Lupinus termis*, Zaied *et al.* (2003) on wheat, Atta-Alla *et al.* (2005) on *Capsicum annuum*, Abdelaziz *et al.* (2007) on *Rosmarinus officinalis*.

Table (6): Effect of nano and chemical fertilizers on nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium percentage in dry herb of *Matricaria chamomilla* L. plants during 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons.

	Nitrog	en (%)	Phosphorus (%)		Potassium (%)	
Treatments	1st	2nd	1st	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1st	2 <sup>nd</sup>
	season	season	season	season	season	season
Control	1.94d	1.95d	0.17d	0.19 <sup>c</sup>	1.10 <sup>d</sup>	1.15°
NPK 50%	2.65c	2.41c	0.27¢	0.28b	1.25d	1.30 <sup>d</sup>
NPK 100%	3.60a	3.72a	0.38a	0.38a	2.27a	2.30a
NPK 150%	3.79a	3.92ª	0.35ab	0.35ab	1.87bc	1.90b
Nano 1.5g/L.	2.55°	2.59¢	0.30bc	0.28b	1.65°	1.69°
Nano 3g/L.	3.14b	3.18b	0.35ab	0.32ab	1.94b	1.90b
Nano 4.5g/L.	3.75ª	3.80a	0.33abc	0.33ab	2.29ª	2.33ª

a to e Means having different letters exponents in column are significantly different ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

# 3.3. Effect of of nano and chemical fertilizers on Essential oil Percentage:

Essential oil percentage in the flowering heads of *Matricaria chamomilla*, L. (**Table 7**) was greatly and significantly increased in response to nano and chemical fertilizers in comparison to the unfertilized plants at three cuts date in the two seasons. The highest values of volatile percentage were 0.84, 0.82 and 0.82 % in the 1<sup>st</sup> season; and 0.85, 0.83 and 0.83 in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season, respectively; when chemical fertilizers was used at 150%, 100% and nano fertilizers was used at 4.5 g/L.( the First cut). While, at the second cut the highest values of volatile percentage were 0.88, 0.85 and 0.86 % in the 1<sup>st</sup> season, respectively; when chemical fertilizers was used at 150%, 100% and nano fertilizers was used at 4.5 g/L. but the treatment of chemical fertilizers at 150% gave the highest value of volatile

percentage in the  $2^{nd}$  season (0.89%). The same rate of chemical fertilizers (150%) (the third cut) gave the highest values (0.97% in the  $1^{st}$  season and 0.95 % in the  $2^{nd}$  season).

these results were in harmony with those found by **Kassi** *et al.* (2014) on *Matricaria chamomilla* L.

Table (7): Effect of nano and chemical fertilizers on essential oil Percentage of *Matricaria chamomilla*, L. plants during 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons..

Treatments	Firs	t cut	second cut		Third cut	
	1st season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season	1st season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season	1st season	2 <sup>nd</sup> season
Control	0.30 <sup>d</sup>	0.31d	0.32d	0.33e	0.35e	0.36e
NPK 50%	0.71b	0.74b	0.77b	0.78c	0.80c	0.81°
NPK 100%	0.82a	0.83a	0.85a	0.87b	0.91b	0.90b
NPK 150%	0.84ª	0.85a	0.88a	0.89a	0.97a	0.95a
Nano 1.5g/L.	0.65°	0.66c	0.68c	0.69d	0.70d	0.71d
Nano 3g/L.	0.73b	0.72b	0.78b	0.76°	0.81c	0.80c
Nano 4.5g/L.	0.82a	0.83a	0.86a	0.87b	0.90b	0.91b

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a to e</sup> Means having different letters exponents in column are significantly different (  $P \le 0.05$ ).

#### REFERENCES

- **Abd El-Latif, T.A.** (2002). Effect of organic manure and biofertilizer on caraway plants (*Carum carvi*, *L*.). J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 27(5): 3459-3468.
- **Abdelaziz, M. E.; A. H. Hanafy Ahmed; M. M. Shaaban and R.Pokluda, (2007)**. Fresh weight and yield of lettuce as affected by organic manure and bio-fertilizers. Conference of Organic Farming, Czech Univ. Agric., Czech Republic, 212-214.
- **A. O. A. C. (1995)**. Agricultural Chemicals, Contaminants, Drugs. 16th ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, D.C., USA. Methods of Analysis. Vol. (1).

- Atta-Alla, H.; A. K.; Khaleil and A. M. El-Zony, (2005). Effect of some organic manures on the vegetative growth, fruits and chemical composition of *Capsicum annum*, L. VAR. Santoka. proc. 6<sup>th</sup> Arabian Conf. Hort., Ismailia, Egypt.
- **Balbaa, S. I.; S. H. Hilal; and A. Y. Zaki, (1981)**. Medicinal plants constituents 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. General organization for Univ. Books, Cairo, Egypt, 644pp.
- Cottenie, A.; M. Verloo; M. Velghe and R. Camerlynck, (1982). Chemical Analysis of Plant and Soil. Laboratory of Analytical and Agrochemistry. State Univ. Ghent, Belgium.
- **Crevin J.K. and J. philpott** (1990).Herbal. Medicine Past and Present. Vol. II Duke University Press; 1990
- **Dubois, M.; K. A. Gilles; J. K. Hamilton; P. A. Rebors and F.Smith, (1956).** Colourimetric method for determination of sugars and related substance. Analytical Chem. 28 (3): 350-356.
- **El-Maadawy**, **E.I.** ( **2007**) Response of summer annual flowering plants to chemical, organic and bio-fertilization treatments. II-African marigold (*Tagetes erecta*) plants. J. product. and Dev. 12 (1): 173-199.
- **European Pharmacopoeia** (1996)5th ed. Strasbourg, France: European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines of the Council of Europe; 1996:1976-1977.
- El-Sayed, A. A.; H. A. Mansour and E. I. El-Maadawy, (2002). Effect of organic and inorganic fertilization on herb and oil productivity of spearmint and majoram. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 29 (6): 1859-1888.
- **El-Sherbeny, S. E.; M.Y. Khalil and N.Y. Naguib, (2005).** Influence of compost levels and suitable spacings on the productivity of *Sideritis montana L.* plants recently cultivated under Egyptian conditions. Bulletin of Faculty of Agriculture,-Cairo-University. 56 (2): 373-392.
- **Franke R. and H.Schilcher,** ( **2007).** Relevance and use of chamomile (*Matricaria recutita* L.). Acta Hort. 749, 29–43.
- **Hammam, K. H. A.** (1996). Effect of nitrogenous fertilization and irrigation on growth, yield and active constituents of anise plants (*Pimpinella anisum*) M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Cairo. Univ., Egypt.

- **Haroun, S. A. and M.H. Hussein, (2003).** The promotive effect of algae biofertilizers on growth, protein pattern and some metabolic activities of *Lupinus termis* plants grown in siliceous soil, Asian J. Plant Sci., 2, 944-951.
- **Helmy, L. M. and S. S. Zarad** ( **2003**) Effect of different rates of some organic manures on the productivity of borage plant in sandy soil. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ. 28(5): 3911-3926.
- **Jacoub, W. R.** (1999). Effect of some organic and non-organic fertilizers on growth, oil yield and chemical composition of (*Ocimum basilicum*, *L.* and *Thymus vulgaris*, P.) plants. ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- Joo, Y. H.; Y. D. A. Senanayake and U. R. Sangakkara, (1999). Effect of EM on the production of crops and waste treatment in Korea. Fifth International Conference on Kyusei Naturre.
- Kassi E.; Z. Papoutsi; N. Fokialakis; I. Messari; and S. Mitakou (2014). essential oil content and composition of german chamomile at different rates of chemical fertilizers. J Agric Food Chem. 2014; 52(23):6956-61.
- **Letchamo W. and R. Marquard (1993).** The pattern of active substances accumulation in chamomile genotypes under different growing conditions and harvesting frequencies. Acta Hort. 331, 357–364.
- Magda, H. M. (2002). Studies on feitilization of washington navel orange trees. Ph.D. Thesis. Fac. Of Agric., Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., Egypt. Pp. 61-68.
- Manifesto M.M.; A. R. Schlotter; H. E. Hoop and J. Dobcovsky (2001). Quantitive evaluation of genetic diversity in wheat germplasm using molecular markers. Crop Sci. 41, 682–690.
- **Matter, F. M. A. (2009).** Response of roselle plants (*Hibisus sabdariffa, L.*) to chemical and Bio fertilizers. J. Agric. Sci Mahsoura unir, 34(2): 1129-1139.
- **Petronilho S.; M. Maraschin; M.A. Coimbra; and S.M. Rocha,** (2012) In vitro and in vivo studies of natural products: A challenge for their valuation. The case study of chamomile (*Matricaria recutita* L.). *Industrial Crops and Products*, 40, 1-12.
- **Pourohit S.S. and S. P. Vyas (2004).** Medicinal plant cultivation. Agrobios, India
- **Rademacher, W. (1994).** Gibberelln formation in microorganisms. Plant Growth Regulation .15(3): 303-314.

- **Rami, M. and Dan Porath (1980)**. Chlorophyll determination in Physiology. 65(5): 478-479.
- **Sakr, W. R. A.** (2001). Effect of some organic and inorganic fertilizers on *Mentha piperita*. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- **Snedecor, W. C. and W. G. Cochran (1980).** Statistical Methods. 7 th ed., 2 nd printing. The Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, Iowa, U.S.A.
- Swaefy Hend, M.F.; R. A. Weaam; A. Z. Sakr and A. A. Ragab, (2007). Effect of some chemical and bio-fertilizers on peppermint plants grown in sandy soil., Annals., Agric. Sci. Ain Shams Univ. Cairo. 52(2): 451-463.
- Vieira, M. C.; N. A. S. Heredia and M. B. M. Ramos, (1999). Marigold (*Calendula officinalis*, L.) growth and flower head yield as function of semide compost poultry house litter and phosphorus fertilization. Revista Brasilieira de plants medicines, 1(2): 45-51.
- **Wald G. and T. Brendler (1998)** PDR for Herbal Medicines. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Montville, (NJ) Medical Economics Company publishers; 1998. 07645-1742
- Zaied, K. A.; A. H. Abdelhady; H. A. Aida, and M. A. Nassef, (2003). Yield and nitrogen assimilation of winter wheat inoculated with new recombinant inoculants of rhizobacteria, Pakistan J. Biological Sci., 6, 344-358.

# استجابة نبات البابونج للأسمدة النانو والكيماوي

## نبيل محمد طعيمة \_ حسين عبد الحق بصيلة \_ رامي سند ندا

قسم البساتين – كلية الرزاعة- جامعة الاز هر - مدينة نصر

أجريت هذه الدراسة في قسم البساتين - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الأزهر - مدينة نصر خلال موسمين متتاليين2016/2015 و 2017/2016 بهدف دراسة استجابة نبات البابونج لثلاث معدلات من التسميد النانو ( 1.5 - 3.5 + 4.5 - 4.5 والتسميد الكيماوي عند ثلاث معدلات من الجرعة الرئيسية ( 3.5 - 3.5 + 5.5 + 5.5) وتاثير هذة الاسمدة على الصفات الخضرية والزهرية والمكونات الكيميائية ومحصول الزيت لنبات البابونج.

وأوضحت النتائج ان كل معاملات التسميد النانو والكيماوي أدت الي تحسين في المحصول كما ونوعا مقارنة بالكنترول ويمكن ملاحظة أن الاحتياجات السمادية لنبات البابونج مرتفعة، وبناء علية يمكن التوصية بأن التسميد الكيماوي ( 150% من الجرعة الرئيسية ) أوالتسميد النانو

( 4.5 جرام / 1 لتر) هما أفضل المعاملات لإنتاج نبات البابونج تحت ظروف التجربة. ويفضل استخدام التسميد النانو 4.5 جرام/لتر لتقليل الاثار الجانبية الغير مرغوبة للتركيز العالي من التسميد الكيماوي (150%)