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Abstract

Background: Cardiovascular diseases are one of the most important causes of death in hemodialysis patients. Few researches focused on the right ventricle functions in such patients. The rapid development of the 3D echocardiography gave a new dimension in the diagnosis and treatment plan.

Aim of Study: To compare the two dimensional (2D) and three dimensional (3D) echocardiography in the assessment of right ventricular functions in nondiabetic, normotensive, hemodialysis patients.

Patients and Methods: The study included 20 patients who were subjected to complete history taking, full clinical examination, laboratory investigations and assessment of the right ventricular functions using 2D and 3D echocardiography.

Results: The 3D echocardiography showed statistically significant differences compared to the 2D echocardiography in the assessment of the right ventricular functions. Fractional area change showed a mean of $33.25 \pm 9.21$ compared to $38.05 \pm 7.88$ of the ejection fraction gained from the 3D echocardiography. The sensitivity and specificity of the 3D echocardiography were 100% and 80% compared to 73.3% and 60% for the 2D echocardiography. Moreover the degree of accuracy of the 3D echocardiography was 95% compared to 70% for the 2D echocardiography.

Conclusion: The 3D echocardiography was more superior than the 2D echocardiography in the assessment of right ventricular functions in nondiabetic, normotensive, hemodialysis patients.
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Introduction

RIGHT Ventricular (RV) function is a known predictor of outcome in a variety of cardiovascular diseases, including heart failure, pulmonary embolism, and myocardial infarction. Highly sensitive to alterations in pulmonary resistance, the right ventricle dilates and becomes dysfunctional in the setting of abnormally high afterload, whether acute or chronic [1].

Cardiovascular diseases are the most common cause of death in end stage renal disease patients. Several studies showed that left ventricular systolic and diastolic functions deteriorated in patients with chronic renal disease and, this was associated with worse clinical outcomes [1]. Although the relationship between Right Ventricular (RV) dysfunction and adverse outcomes has been shown in many diseases and preclinical conditions [2], little is known about RV function in nondiabetic hemodialysis (HD) patients.

Congestive heart failure is prevalent in End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) and is a leading cause of death in such patients [3]. While most available studies focused their attention on Left Ventricular (LV) dysfunction in ESRD patients, little attention has been directed towards (RV) dysfunction. This RV dysfunction has been associated with increased morbidity and mortality in many cardiovascular diseases [4,5]. In a recent study, RV dysfunction was reported in two-thirds of patients on long-term dialysis; however, no data exists regarding the problem of RV dysfunction in ESRD patients before starting dialysis therapy. This may be important since detection of subclinical RV dysfunction in pre dialysis ESRD patients may help to identify patients at a higher risk of developing heart failure [6].
Echocardiography is the most common diagnostic tool for patients with heart disease, providing detailed information about cardiovascular morphology and hemodynamics previously available only by angiography and catheterization [7].

There are certain limitations for accurate echocardiographic imaging of the right ventricle. Being functionally and anatomically divided into three parts [8]. So, the present study aimed to compare the 2D and 3D echocardiography in the assessment of right ventricular functions in nondiabetic normotensive hemodialysis patients.

**Patients and Methods**

The present study was conducted in Cardiology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University during the period from October 2016 to July 2017 on 20 patients. Patients were recruited from the Nephrology and Dialysis Unit, Tanta University hospital. All the patients gave their written informed consents to participate in this study and. The study was approved by the Faculty Ethical Committee.

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria:**

Patients on regular hemodialysis sessions three times per week were included while patients with evidence of ischemic heart disease, LV dysfunction, valvular diseases, previous renal transplantation, clinical conditions that might predispose to pulmonary hypertension, diabetes mellitus, patients hematological and neoplastic diseases were excluded.

**Methods:**

All patients were subjected to history taking including personal, present history and the past history suggesting presence of ischemia or risk factors for ischemia such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, smoking, obesity and dyslipidemia. General and local cardiac examination for every patient was conducted. Routine 12 lead electrocardiogram (ECG) was done for the patients to detect changes suggestive of coronary artery disease. Routine laboratory investigations including kidney function test, random blood sugar and arterial blood gases were performed.

**Echocardiographic examination:**

All patients were subjected to 2D and 3D echocardiography for the assessment of RV functions. Echocardiographic examinations were done with Vivid 9 dimension (General Electric Medical Systems, Horten, Norway) equipped with a 2.5-MHz variable-frequency transducer S probe for 2D imaging and V3 Matrix array transducer for 3D echocardiography. Standard views (parasternal long and short axis, apical four, five and two chamber, subcostal and suprasternal views) with ECG tracing were obtained in 2D modes.

**A- Two dimensional assessment of RV function:**

- **RV fractional area change:**

  A quantitative evaluation of the RVEF by RV Fractional Area Change (FAC) was done from apical four chamber view focused on RV. Tracing of RV endocardial borders both in systole and diastole without tracing of tricuspid valve leaflets or endocardial trabeculae [13]. Right ventricular ejection fraction was calculated as follows:

  
  \[
  \text{RVEF}_{2D} = \frac{(\text{RVEDA} - \text{RVESA})}{\text{RVEDA}}
  \]

  Where RVEDA is right ventricular end diastolic area. RVESA is right ventricular end systolic area. Normal values of RVEF by FAC (RVEF2D) ranged from 46% to 60% [13].

- **Tricuspid Annular Plane Systolic Excursion (TAPSE):**

  TAPSE was calculated by placing an M-mode cursor through the tricuspid annulus and measuring the amount of longitudinal motion of the annulus at peak systole in the standard apical 4-chamber view. It is a measure of RV longitudinal function. TAPSE <16mm indicates RV systolic dysfunction. It is measured from the tricuspid lateral annulus [14].

**B- Three dimensional assessment of RV function:**

- **Disc summation method:**

  A quantities measurement of RV ejection fraction was calculated using 3D summation method (RVEFDS) which was used as the reference method owing to its high correlation with MRI derived ejection fraction in different studies. From the apical window, the right ventricle is divided into evenly spaced, parallel, horizontal slices. Manual tracing of RV endocardial boundaries was done at end-systolic and end-diastolic frames. Right Ventricular Ejection Fraction by DISC summation Method was calculated as follows: \( \text{RVEFDS} = \frac{(\text{RVEDV}-\text{RVESD})}{\text{RVEDV}} \) where as RVEDV is right ventricular end diastolic volume. RVESD is right ventricular end systolic volume. Also Normal values of RVEFDS ranges from 46%-60% [18].

- **Real time 3D echocardiography (RT3DE) method of assessment of RVEF:**

  Real Time 3D Echocardiography (RT3DE) of the RV was obtained in full volume data sets in the parasternal short axis and apical four chamber windows. Respiration was suspended for 7 cycles.
and ECG leads were connected during the whole examination time [15].

RT3DE data sets were sliced from anterior-posterior (apical view) and from base to apex (short axis) to obtain six standardized plans (anterior, mid, posterior, basal, mid and apical views). RVEF was calculated in the same manner as the 2D views in each plane by calculation of the change in the RV area from diastolic to systolic and mean ejection fraction was derived from the six planes (M RVEF3D).

Each plane RVEF3D = (RVEDA-RVES)/RVEDA. The mean RVEF3D (MRVEF3D) = sum of 6 RVEF3D/6 where is RVEDA is right ventricular end diastolic area and. RVESA is right ventricular end systolic area.

**Results**

The study populations:

The study was conducted on 20 patients. The patients’ ages ranged from 19-77 years with a mean of 44.9±16.2 years including 9 male patients and 11 female patients. The study included 5 smokers and 11 dyslipidemic. The mean BMI of the 20 patients was 23.7±3.6kg/m², one patient was underweight, 12 average patients, 6 overweight and one obese patient with a range of 18-32kg/m².

Echocardiographic data:

The mean right ventricle ejection fraction was 38.0±7.9% using the real time 3D method compared to 38.05±8.8 when measured by the 3D disc method without statistically significant differences, Fig. (1). Moreover the mean right ventricular ejection fraction was 33.25±9.21% by the 2D method compared to 38.05±7.88% with the 3D disc methods with a statistically significant differences (p=0.001), (Table 1).
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**Table (1):** The right ventricular ejection fraction by 2D versus 3D echocardiography disc method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3D method echo echocardiography disc</th>
<th>2D Echocardiography</th>
<th>3D disc method echo echocardiography disc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitivity %</td>
<td>Specificity %</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired</td>
<td>73.3%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table (2):** Sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy for 2D echocardiography compared with 3D echocardiography disc method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rt. Ventricular function</th>
<th>3D Echocardiography</th>
<th>3D disc method echo echocardiography disc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impaired</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table (3):** Sensitivity, specificity and accuracy for 2D echocardiography compared with 3D echocardiography disc method.
Agreement between the used techniques:

The 2D echocardiography had detected 11 of 15 patients with impaired right ventricle function giving specificity of 60% and failed to detect 4 out of 15 patients giving the sensitivity of 73.3% while the diagnostic accuracy was 70% (Table 2). Also, the 3D echocardiography had detected 15 out of 15 patients with impaired RV function giving sensitivity of 100% and failed to detect one normal patient giving the specificity of 80% while the diagnostic accuracy was 95% (Table 3).

Discussion

Cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of mortality in patient undergoing hemodialysis, accounting for 50% of death. In particular, congestive heart failure is the most common finding in these patients and is associated with poor prognosis [9]. Hemodialysis which is usually carried out through a surgically created arteriovenous fistula has been associated with increased risk of pulmonary hypertension and left to right shunt leading to chronic volume overload, thus worsening right ventricular function [9,10].

Most available studies focus on left ventricular function in dialysis patients, the impact of dialysis treatments on the development of right ventricular function has not been fully investigated [11]. Assessment of the Right Ventricle (RV) is challenging, as the 2 dimensional (2D) approaches to the evaluation of the size and function of the RV fail to give accurate results due to the complexity of the anatomy of the RV [12]. Several 2D echocardiography measures or indices have been proposed but none of them is of great clinical impact. The current study has employed real time 3D echocardiography as a novel method to estimate the right ventricular ejection fraction and compared it with the RV FAC method of 2D echocardiography using the disc summation method as a reference method.

In the present study, RVFAC was used to assess RV function like that reported by Anavekar et al., [13] who reported that RVFAC is a 2D assessment that correlates well with RVEF, determined by volumetric analysis using cardiac MRI, and is superior to two other 2D methods to assess RV function. Sakuma et al., [16] studied the RVFAC predominantly reflecting transverse contractility in the horizontal long axis plane. The mean RVFAC of the present study was 37±8.5 which agree with with the findings reported by karavelio glu et al., [17] (40.1±7.7).

In the current study, TAPSE was also used to assess systolic function of the RV. Di Lullo et al., [16] Considered that it represents the systolic descent of the tricuspid annulus and reflects the longitudinal motion of the right ventricle. In the present study the mean TAPSE value was 15mm±2 while in Di Lullo et al., [18] it was 18mm±4. Although it measures longitudinal function, it has shown good correlation with techniques estimating RV global systolic function, such as radionuclide derived RV EF, 2D RV FAC, and 2D RV EF.

The comparison between the mean RVEF derived by RT3DE and the mean RVEF derived by the disc method showed non-significant values (p-value=0.675). Meanwhile the same comparison as regarding RV FAC and disc method showed significant difference (p-value=0.001). Van der Zwaan et al., [19-21], tested the use of RT3DE to identify RV dysfunction in patients with congenital heart disease who required regular monitoring of RV function. Cardiac MRI was the reference method. Forty one healthy control patients and 100 patients with congenital heart disease were examined using both RT3DE and MRI for evaluation of EDV, ESV and EF by delineation of RV endocardial border. RT3DE results were compared to MRI and they reported 95% sensitivity, 89% specificity, and negative predictive value of 99%. They concluded that RT3DE was regarded as a sensitive tool for RV dysfunction detection.

Moreover Jenkins et al., [22] in 2007, recruited patients who had been referred to the echocardiography laboratory for the assessment of cardiac structure and function after experiencing an acute myocardial infarction, and who were scheduled to undergo 2DE, RT3DE, and MRI. In 54 patients, RV involvement was suspected from standard imaging, and an additional medial RV view was obtained using RT3DE. They tested the reproducibility of RT3DE and 2D echo in assessment of right ventricular dimensions and ejection fraction when compared to cardiac MRI. They studied the RV volumes and ejection fraction by 2D echocardiography including Area-length (A-L) and the Simpson method of disc. RT3DE and CMR in 50 patients with a mean age of 61±11. The results of this study of patients with ischemic LV dysfunction and suspicion of RV involvement indicated that the RV volume measurements using RT3DE imaging were comparable with MRI. RT3DE also provided low test-retest variation and high reproducibility of RV measurements between observers.

Conclusions, the 3D echocardiography was more superior than the 2D echocardiography in
the assessment of right ventricular functions in nondiabetic, normotensive, hemodialysis patients.
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مقارنة استخدام الموجات فوق الصوتية على القلب ثمانية وثلاثة الأبعاد
في تقدير ووظائف البطين الأسفل في مرضى الفشل الكلوي
غير المصابين بداء السكري أو إرتفاع ضغط الدم

لوحظ في الأونة الأخيرة زيادة معدل الوفيات في المرضى الذين يعانون من المراحل الأخيرة لمرض الفشل الكلوي ومنظمين على إجراء الفشل الكلوي ولا يعانون من مرض السكري. وقد إهتمت الدراسات والأبحاث بتقييم حالة البطين الأيسر للقلب ولم يحظ البطين الأيمن بمثل ذلك الاهتمام والأبحاث المتشرورة حوله قليلة.

وقد هدفت الدراسة إلى مقارنة استخدام الموجات فوق الصوتية على القلب ثمانية وثلاثة الأبعاد في تقييم ووظائف البطين الأيمن في مرضى الفشل الكلوي. تم تنفيذ الدراسة على عشرين مريضاً ضمن مجموعة من المراحل الأخيرة لمرض الفشل الكلوي ومنظمين على إجراء الفشل.

كما تم عمل التحليل بالإضافة إلى عمل تخطيط صدى القلب ثمانية الأبعاد مرة وثلاثة الأبعاد مرة أخرى لتقييم ووظائف البطين الأيمن.

وقد أظهرت النتائج تفوق الموجات فوق الصوتية ثمانية الأبعاد على الموجات فوق الصوتية ثمانية الأبعاد في تقدير ووظائف البطين الأيمن في مرضى الفشل الكلوي غير المصابين بداء السكري أو إرتفاع ضغط الدم مع وجود فروق إحصائية بين طريقة القياس، كان معدل حساسية وتخصيص حوالي 100% و 80% مقارنة 20% و 10% في الموجات فوق الصوتية ثمانية الأبعاد في دقة قياس ووظائف البطين الأيمن، بلغ مستويات دقة قياس الموجات فوق الصوتية ثلاثية الأبعاد حوالي 95% مقارنة بحوالي 70% في الموجات فوق الصوتية ثمانية الأبعاد عند فحص وظائف البطين الأيمن.