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ABSTRACT

The Lower-Middle Miocene succession penetrated in four wells in Ras El Ush oil field (Ras El Ush 7,8,12 and 14), East G. Zeit, Gulf of Suez is examined in detail for its foraminiferal and nannofossil contents. The Rudeis, Kareem and Belayim formations are distinguished. One hundred and fifty-one foraminiferal and forty-five nannofossils species are identified. Three planktonic foraminiferal and two nannofossils biozones are distinguished and integrated. The planktonic foraminiferal zones are Catapsydrax dissimilis (M3) of early Miocene (Burdigalian) age, Praeorbulina sicana (M5) of early-middle Miocene (Burdigalian-Langhian) age and Fohsella peripheroacuta (M7) of middle Miocene (Serravallian) age. The nannofossil biozones are Sphenolithus bellemnos Zone (NN3) of early Miocene (Burdigalian) age and Helicosphaera ampliaperta Zone (NN4) of early Miocene (Burdigalian) to middle Miocene (Serravallian) age.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Ras El Ush Oil Field was discovered in February 1995 by Marathon Oil Company. The production from the field started in Jan. 1996 by two wells (Ras El Ush-2 from the Matulla Formation and Ras El Ush-3 from the Nubia Sandstones). The total number of producing wells in the field now is four wells (Ras El Ush 3,7,8 and 12). The main producing horizons of the field are the Nubia and Matulla reservoirs. The Ras El Ush Oil Field represents the nearest oil field to the hydrocarbon seepage in the area. It covers onshore and offshore area along Ras El Ush fault trend. Ras El Ush oilfield is located on the eastern side of Gebel El Zeit range. Gebel Zeit Range is one of the main topographic features on the southwestern shore of the Gulf of Suez (Fig.1). It extends for about 30 km from north to south close to the Gulf shore. Its average breadth is 5-6 km. Since the beginning of the last century, the Gulf of Suez has been a highly attractive hydrocarbon location and the focus of much oil exploration. The Miocene successions in the Gulf of Suez display radical vertical and lateral facies changes due to its tectonic rift events. Gulf of Suez tectonic setting played an important role in facies distribution, configuration of the depositional sequences and resulted in the initiation of many palaeo-highs during most of the Miocene age (Evans and Moxon, 1986). Microfaunal zonation and paleoecologic interpretation of the Gulf of Suez area were attempted before (Macfadyen, 1931; Souaya, 1966; El-Heiny and Martini 1981; Andrawis and Abdel Malik, 1981; Evans, 1988; Haggag., et al 1990; El-Azabi 2004; Abul-Nasr et al., 2009; Mandur, 2009; Mandur and Baioumi, 2011; Hewaidy et al. 2013,2016 and Ayyad., et al 2018). The aim of the present work is to apply the results of analyses of planktic foraminiferal and calcareous nannoplankton assemblages to construct lower-middle Miocene integrated biostratigraphic schemes and a high-resolution correlation for the Lower-Middle Miocene succession in Ras El Ush Oil Field at Gebel Zeit area.

1. LITHOSTRATIGRAPHY

Lithostratigraphically, the Miocene successions in the Gulf of Suez area have been studied and classified by many authors (e.g. Moon and Sadek 1923, 1925; Ghorab & Marzouk (1967), Said & El Heiny (1967), the National Stratigraphic Sub-Committee (1976), Grafunkel & Bartov (1977), El Heiny (1982), El-Azabi, (2004), Ied et al.(2011),Soliman et al. (2012),Hewaidy et al. (2013), and Hewaidy et al., (2016). According to the National
Stratigraphic Sub-Committee, (1976), The Miocene sequence in the Gulf of Suez is classified into two major lithostratigraphic units from older to younger as follows:

1. The lower mainly clastic Gharandal Group, subdivided into the Nukhul, Rudeis and Kareem formations from base to top.

2. The upper Ras Malaab Group, subdivided into the Belayim, South Gharib, and Zeit formations from base to top.

In the present study, the Rudeis, Kareem and Belayim formations are examined and described in detail.

**Rudeis Formation**

Ghorab (1964) firstly introduced the term ‘Rudeis Formation’ at its type section (Rudeis-2 well) in west central Sinai. The Rudeis Formation is formally approved by the National Stratigraphic Subcommitteee of the Geological Sciences of Egypt (NSSGS), 1974.

The Rudeis Formation overlies unconformably the Nukhul Formation and underlies unconformably the Kareem Formation at Ras El Ush 8, Ras El Ush 12 and Ras El Ush 14, while at Ras El Ush 7 it unconformably underlies the Belayim Formation due to the tectonic event. The Rudeis Formation is widely distributed and well developed on both sides of the Gulf of Suez region. It is separated by the mid-Clysmic event (Garfunkel and Bartov, 1977; Hewaidy et al., 2013; Hewaidy et al., 2016). It is composed of white and offwhite, cryptocrystalline, argillaceous limestone. A major lateral
thickness variation of Rudeis Formation is noticed. 2095 feet (between 2960 to 865 feet) at Ras El Ush 7 well; 2011 feet from (2749 to 738 feet) at Ras El Ush 8 well; 16951 feet (from 2705 to 1010 feet) at Ras El Ush 12 well and in Ras El Ush 14 well it attains about 2667 feet thick (from 3360 to 693 feet).

Kareem Formation

Moon and Sadek (1923) firstly introduced the term ‘Kareem Formation’ at its type section (Gharib North-2 Well). The Kareem Formation is formally approved by the National Stratigraphic Subcommittee of the Geological Sciences of Egypt (NSSGS), 1974. This unit is well represented in the central part of the Gulf of Suez basin where it was deposited in a structurally deep faulted area.

The Kareem Formation is of middle Miocene (Langhian) age. It unconformably overlies the Rudeis Formation and represents the oldest extensive evaporites development in the Gulf of Suez. In the present study, it is recorded in Ras El Ush 8, Ras El Ush 12 and Ras El Ush14 wells unconformably overlying the Rudeis Formation, while it is missing in Ras El Ush 7 well due to tectonic activity. It consists mainly of calcareous shales and argillaceous limestones with few anhydrites at the base. The thickness of this formation differs from place to another. In the Ras El Ush 8 well the Kareem Formation occupies the depth from 738 to 524 feet with a total thickness of about 214 feet, In the Ras El Ush 12 well it occupies the depth from 1010 to 750 feet with a total thickness of about 260 feet while at Ras El Ush 12 well, the thickness of this formation is about 174 feet from depth 693 to 519 feet.

Belayim Formation

Ghorab, (1964) firstly introduced the Belayim Formation at its type locality at the Belayim Oil Field, Gulf of Suez. The Belayim Formation is formally approved by the National Stratigraphic Subcommittee of the Geological Sciences of Egypt (NSSGS), 1974. The Belayim Formation is of the middle Miocene (Serravalian) age. It unconformably overlies the Kareem or Rudeis formations respectively and underlies South Gharib or Zeit formations due to tectonic activity. It consists mainly of anhydrites, argillaceous limestones and calcareous shales.

3. MATERIAL AND METHODS

3.1. Sample preparation

Sixty ditch-cutting samples from four offshore wells penetrated in the Ras El Ush Oil Field, east G. Zeit. Ras El Ush-7, 8, 12 and 14 wells are prepared for foraminiferal and calcareous nannofossil contents. The obtained residues were dried, packed, and studied under Binocular Olympus Stereoscopic Microscope.


3.2. Faunal pattern

One hundred and fifty-one foraminiferal species, of which 47 are planktics, 104 are benthics, in addition to 45 calcareous nannoplankton species, are identified and their first occurrence (=FO) and last occurrence (=LO) events are recorded. The SEM photographs of important species were taken and shown on plate 1 for the planktic foraminiferal species and plate 2 for the nannofossil species. The planktic foraminifera and nannoplankton assemblages in the Burdigalian-Serravallian sediments from Ras El Ush wells are moderate to well preserved.

4. BIOSTRATIGRAPHY

Planktic foraminifera and calcareous nannoplankton fauna were used for providing good resolution of biostratigraphic biozonation. The age determination for the study area is based on these fossil groups. Furthermore, the significant bioevents between planktic
foraminifera and calcareous nannoplankton are discussed too.

4.1. Planktic foraminiferal biozones

The biostratigraphic scheme of Wade et al. (2011) is used here. Three planktic foraminiferal biozones were determined in ascending stratigraphic order. The distribution of planktic foraminifera are shown on Figs.3, 4, 5 and 6.

4.1.1. Catapsydrax dissimilis Concurrent Range Zone (M3)

**Definition:** Wade et al. (2011) defined this zone as the concurrent range of the nominate taxa between the LO of *Globigerinatella* sp. and the HO of *Catapsydrax dissimilis*. The *Globigerinatella* species is not recorded in the studied successions. In the present study, this zone is defined as the biostratigraphic interval of the nominate taxon (the HO of *Catapsydrax dissimilis*).

**Author:** Bolli, 1957; emended by Blow, 1969; Berggren et al. (1995) and Wade et al. (2011).

**Age:** early Miocene (Burdigalian); 19.66–17.62 Ma.

**Assemblage:** Species of the genus *Globigerinoides* are the most common taxa in this zone. These are *Globigerinoides altiaperturus* Bolli, *Gs. immaturus* (Le Roy), *Gs. quadrilobatus* (d’Orbigny), *Gs. sacculifer* Brady and *Gs. trilobus* (Reuss). The following species are common too: *Globorotalia mayeri* Cushman & Ellisor, *Gt. munda* Jenkins, *Gt. semivera* (Hornibrook), *Cassigerinella chipolensis* (Cushman & Ponton), *Gg. Globigerina angustiumbilicata* (Bolli) *Gg. preabulloides* (Bolli), *Gg. leroyi* (Bolli), *Gg. occlusa* (Bolli), *Gg. bulloides* d’Orbigny, *Gg. falconensis* Blow, *Globigerinella obesa* (Bolli), (figs.2,3,4 and 5).

**Occurrence:** This zone is represented by the lower part of the Rudeis Formation in all the studied wells (figs.2, 3, 4 and 5). It varies in thickness from well to the other. It attains a thickness of about 1140 feet at Ras El Ush 7, 700 feet at Ras El Ush 8, 290 feet at Ras El
Ush12, whereas at Ras El Ush 14 it is about 1300 feet. The distribution of planktic foraminifera is plotted on Figs.2, 3, 4 and 5.

Remarks: This zone has been established initially by Blow (1969, 1979). However, The LAD of Catapsydrax sp. represents a distinct bioevent which have been occurred in transitional and high-latitude areas as well and serves as a point of regional correlation in the late early Miocene (Berggren et al., 1995). It is equivalent to the Globigerinoides altiaperturus (M3) Zone of Bolli (1957). The most characteristic feature of this zone is that the genus Globigerinoides becomes more common and represented by different species (Figs.2, 3, 4 and 5). The M4 Zone is not recorded in the present study due to the ‘‘mid-Clysmic’’ or ‘‘mid-Rudeis’’ event during which basin asymmetries in the rift basin were formed (Patton et al. 1994).

4.1.2. Praeorbulina sicana Interval Zone (M5)

Definition: Initially, this zone is defined as the biostratigraphic interval between the LO of Praeorbulina sicana and the LO of Orbulina suturalis.


Age: Early to middle Miocene (Burdigalian-Langhian); 16.40–15.10 Ma.

Assemblage:M5 Zone is characterized by the co-occurrence of the planktic foraminiferal species: Globigerina bulloides d'Orbigny, Globigerina praebulloides Blow, Globigerinella obesa Bolli, Globigerina concinna Reuss, Globigerinoides immaturus Le Roy, Globigerinoides quadrilobatus d'Orbigny, Globigerinoides bisphericus Todd & Post, Globigerinoides sacculifer Brady, Praeorbulina sicanus De Stefani, Praeorbulina glomerosa (Blow), Praeorbulina transtoria, Praeorbulina curva Blow and Globigerinoides trilobus Reuss.

Occurrence: This zone is recorded in the uppermost part of the Rudeis Formation at Ras El Ush 7 and Ras El Ush 14, whereas it is represented by the top part of the Rudeis Formation and the lower part of the Kareem Formation at Ras El Ush 8 and Ras El Ush 12. It attains a thickness of about 1090 feet at Ras El Ush 7, 800 feet at Ras El Ush 8, 590 feet at Ras El Ush 12 and 690 feet at Ras El Ush 14. It is distinguished by high diversity with moderately preserved planktic foraminiferal assemblage (figs.2, 3, 4 and 5).

Remarks: According to Iaccarino (1985), this zone is defined as the interval from the FO of P. sicana De Stefani to the LO of P. glomerosa (Blow). The evolutionary transition from Praeorbulina to Orbulina took place during this zone (Berggren et al. 1995). It is approximately equivalent in stratigraphic level to the Praeorbulina glomerosa Zone of Bolli (1957, 1966), Bolli and Bermudez (1965), Stainforth et al. (1975), Postuma (1971), Globorotalia peripheroronda Zone of Bolli and Saunders (1985) and Praeorbulina glomerosa of Iaccarino (1985). In Egypt, this zone corresponds to the Praeorbulina glomerosa Zone of Kerdany (1967) in the Gulf of Suez, and (Farouk et al., 2014) in the Nile Delta. This zone can be correlated with the lower part of the Globigerinoides sicanus / Globigerinoides transitoria of Wasfi (1968), Globigerinoides sicanus of Beckmann et al., 1986 and Praeorbulina sicanus /Orbulina suturalis Zone (M5) of (Hewaidy et al., 2013 and 2016). Berggren et al. (1995) classified the M5 Globigerinoides sicanus /Orbulina suturalis Zone into two subzones Praeorbulina sicanus (M5a) and Praeorbulina glomerosa (M5b).

4.1.2a: M5a Subzone (Praeorbulina sicana Interval Subzone)

Definition: Biostratigraphic interval between the HO of Praeorbulina sicana and the LO of Praeorbulina glomerosa.

Age: early Miocene (Burdigalian); 16.38–16.27 Ma.
Remarks: This subzone is strongly matched with the upper part of the *Globigerinatella insueta* Zone of Cushman and Stainforth (1945; as emended in Bolli, 1957b) and to the lower part of Zone N8 of Blow (1969, 1979). It was renamed according to the convention of Berggren and Pearson (2005).

4.1.2b: M5b Subzone (*Praeorbulina glomerosa* Interval Subzone)

**Definition:** Biostratigraphic interval between the LO of *Praeorbulina glomerosa* and the LO of *Orbulina suturalis*.

**Age:** early-middle Miocene (Langhian); 16.27–15.10 Ma.

**Remarks:** This subzone coincides tightly, but not accurately, with the *Praeorbulina glomerosa* Zone of Jenkins (1967) and Kennett and Srinivasan (1981, 1983) in which the FAD of *Pr. glomerosa curva* was used to indicate the base of the zone. It also coincides with the upper part of Zone N8 of Blow (1969, 1979). The zone of M6 was not recorded in the present study due to tectonic activity.

4.1.3. Barren interval

The lower part of the Belayim Formation is barren of the planktic foraminifera and calcareous nannoplankton in all the studied wells (figs.2, 3, 4 and 5).

4.1.4. *Fohsella peripheroacuta* Interval Zone (M7).

**Definition:** Biostratigraphic interval between the LO of the nominate taxon *Fohsella peripheroacuta* and the LO of *Fohsella praefohsi*.

**Authors:** Berggren et al., (1995).

**Age:** middle Miocene; 14.24–13.77 Ma.

**Assemblage:** This zone is characterized by the common presence of *Globoquadrina dehiscens* Chapman, *Globigerinoides obliquus* Bolli, *Globigerinoides subquadratus* Brönnimann, *Globorotalia mayeri* (Cushman & Ellisor), *Orbulina bilobata* (d'Orbigny), *Orbulina suturalis* (Brönnimann), *Globigerinoides sacculifer* (Brady), *Praeorbulina sicana* (Di Stefani) and *Praeorbulina glomerosa* (Blow).

**Occurrence:** It is recorded in the upper part of the Belayim Formation at Ras El Ush 8 borehole (Fig. 3). It attains a thickness of about 400 feet. While it is barren in both planktonic foraminifera and calcareous nannoplankton in other boreholes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>System</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Distribution chart of the planktic foraminiferal species recorded at Ras El Ush 12 section.

Fig. 5. Distribution chart of the planktic foraminiferal species recorded at Ras El Ush 14 section.
**Remarks:** This zone corresponds to *Orbulina suturalis / Globorotalia peripheroacuta* Zone of Iaccarino (1985) and *Fohsella peripheroacuta* Zone of Berggren et al. (1995). In the Mediterranean Sea, Kennett and Srinivasan (1983) recorded *Globorotalia siakensis* Zone (N15) which coincides with this zone. Biostratigraphical correlation of the Early-Middle Miocene foraminiferal biozones are summarized in (Fig.6).

![Fig.6. Planktic foraminiferal biozones used by different authors for the Early Miocene (Aquitanian) – middle Miocene (Serravallian).](image-url)
Fig. 7. Distribution chart of the nannoplankton species recorded in Ras El Ush 7 section.

Fig. 8. Distribution chart of the nannoplankton species recorded at Ras El Ush 8 section.
Calcareous nannofossil biozones

The calcareous nannofossils are a primary fossil group used in the biostratigraphic classification for their great abundance, rapid rate of evolution and planktic nature that allows a full dispersal throughout the world oceans (Mandur, 2015). 45 calcareous nannoplankton species in the studied sections belonging to 13 genera are identified and shown in distribution charts (Fig.7, 8, 9 and 10). The most important calcareous nannoplankton species are shown on plate (2). In the present study, two calcareous nannoplankton biozones recognized in the studied four boreholes and following the nannoplankton zonal scheme presented in Martini, 1971 and Perch-Nielsen, 1985.

These biozones are discussed here, from base to top as follows.

4.3.1. **Sphenolithus belemnos Zone (NN3)**

**Definition:** It is defined from LO of *Triquetrorhabdulus carinatus* to the LO of *Sphenolithus belemnos* (Bramlette and Wilcoxon, 1967) and (Martini, 1971). In the present study, it is distinguished by the common and continuous presence of *Sphenolithus belemnos*.

**Authors:** Bramlette & Wilcoxon, 1967, emended by Martini, 1971.

**Age:** Early Miocene (Burdigalian); 18.28 to 17.95 Ma.

**Assemblage:** the following well preserved and dominant species are recorded in this zone:

- *Sphenolithus belemnos* Bramlette and Wilcoxon, *S. moriformis* Bronnimann and Stradner,

**Stratigraphic position:** It is recorded in the Rudeis Formation at the four studied wells. It attains a thickness of about 900 feet at Ras El Ush 7, 700 feet at Ras El Ush 8, 80 feet at Ras El Ush 12 and 1000 feet at Ras El Ush 14 (figs.7, 8, 9 and 10).
Fig. 10. Distribution chart of the nannoplankton species recorded at Ras El Ish 8 section.

4.3.2. *Helicosphaera ampliaperta* Zone (NN4)

**Definition:** It is represented by the interval from the HO of *Sphenolithus belemnos* to HO of *Helicosphaera ampliaperta* Martini, 1971. In the present study it is characterized by a biostratigraphic interval of LO of *Sphenolithus heteromorphus* with presence of *Helicosphaera ampliaperta* above the HO of *Sphenolithus belemnos*.

**Authors:** Bramlette & Wilcoxon, 1967; emended by Martini, 1971.

**Age:** Early Miocene (Burdigalian) to Middle Miocene (Langhian); 17.95–14.91 Ma.

**Assemblage:** the following well preserved and dominant species are recorded in this zone: *Sphenolithus belemnos* Bramlette and Wilcoxon, *S. moriformis* Bronnimann and Stradner, *Helicosphaera carteri* Kampfner, *H. intermedia* Martini, *H. mediterranea* Muller, *H. scissura* Miller, *Pontosphaera multipora* (Kampfner), *Braarudosphaera beglowii* (Gran and Braarud), *Ericsonia robusta* (Bramlette and Sullivan), *Ericsonia formosa* Black, *Discoaster deflandreii* Bramlette and Riedel, and *Cyclicargolithus floridanus* Bukry. (Figs. 7, 8, 9 and 10).

**Stratigraphic position:** This zone has been recorded in the upper part of the Rudeis Formation and Kareem Formation in all wells. It attains a thickness of about 1330 feet at Ras El Ush7, 1200 feet at Ras El Ush 8,750 feet at Ras El Ush 12 and 1400 feet at Ras El Ush 14.

**Remarks:** This zone is equivalent to *H. ampliaperta* NN4 Zone of Bramlette & Wilcoxon (1967), Martini (1971), Backman et al., 2012 and Holcovkà (2013). In the present study, the *H. ampliaperta* Bramlette and Wilcoxon is abundant in the four studied boreholes when *Sphenolithus belemnos* is disappearing. So, it is considered that the LO of *H. ampliaperta* is a more likely marker event for *H. ampliaperta* Zone in the investigated subsurface sections. Previously, the LO of *H. ampliaperta* is likely marker event for *H. ampliaperta* Zone in this study. In Egypt, this zone is approximately corresponding to the *Helicosphaera ampliaperta* Zone of El-Heiny and Martini (1981), Marzouk (1998, 2009), Sadek (2001), Mandur (2009), Faris et al., (2007, 2009), Soliman et al., (2012) and Hewaidy et al., (2013, 2016).

5. **SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

1. Detailed biostratigraphic studies of the planktic foraminifera and calcareous nannofossils led to identification of three foraminiferal biozones (M3, M5a, M5b and M7) and two calcareous nannoplankton biozones (NN3, NN4). They are discussed in ascending stratigraphic order as follows *Catpsydrax dissimilis* Zone (M3) of early Miocene (Burdigalian) age, *Praeorbulina sicana* Zone (M5) of early-middle Miocene (Burdigalian-Langhian) age and *Fohsella peripheroacuta* Zone (M7) of middle Miocene (Serravallian) age, in addition to *Sphenolithus belemnos* Zone (NN3) of early Miocene (Burdigalian) age and *Helicosphaera ampliaperta* Zone (NN4) of early Miocene (Burdigalian) to middle Miocene (Serravallian) age.

2. The ranges of both planktic foraminifera and calcareous nannofossil zones proved to match reasonably with each other. These biozones were correlated with those recorded in Egypt and in other parts of the world.

3. The Burdigalian Stage is represented by one planktic foraminiferal zone M3. M4 Zone is not recorded in the present study due to Mid-Rudeis Event. M3 of planktonic foraminifera coincides with the calcareous nannofossil zones NN3 and the lower part of NN4.
4. The base of the Langhian Stage is cited based on the FO of *Orbulina suturalis* near the top of *Helicosphaera ampliaperta* Zone.

5. The Langhian/Serravallian boundary is recognized by the FO of the planktic foraminiferal *Globorotalia peripheroacuta* (M7) Zone, at the Belayim Formation at Ras El Ush 8. The Serravallian Stage includes the planktic foraminiferal *Fohsella peripheroacuta* Zone.

6. Variable patterns in the timing of regional deposition and erosion indicate different tectonic and regime, which encompass progressively greater periods of time. There are two observable hiatuses; the first separates Zones M3 and M5 and is evidenced by the absence of Zone M4. The boundary between these two rock units marks the “mid-Rudeis” or “mid-Clysmic” event as unconformity surface. On the other hand, the jump from a position within Zone M5b of the Burdigalian to a position within the lower part of Zone M7 based on the absence Zone M6 indicates a second hiatus, which resulted from the effect of the post-Kareem tectonic event.
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الملخص العربي

1. أدت الدراسة التفصيلية لمنطقة راس العش إلى تعريف ثلاثة نطاقات من الفورامنيفرا ونطاقان من النانوبلانكتون وهي من الأقدم للحدث Catysdrax dissimilis Zone (M3) وعمري الميوسين العليا Burdigalian ونطاقين Praeorbula sicana Zone (M5) وعمري الميوسين الأوسط Burdigalian-Langhian وال облаست Fohsella peripheroacuta Zone (M7) وعمري الميوسين الأوسط Burdigalian-Langhian بالإضافة إلى Sphenolithus belemnos Zone (NN3) وعمري الميوسين الأسفل (Burdigalian) NN4 و (Burdigalian-Serravallian).

2. نطاقات الفورامنيفرا والنانوبلانكتون متواافقة مع بعضها وتم مقارنتها مع تلك التي سجلت في مصر وخارجها. للنطاقات الـ Burdigalian Stage تمثل بنطاق واحد من الفورامنيفرا وهو نطاق M3 بينما نطاق M4 لا يمثل بنطاق واحد من الفورامنيفرا. وهذا النطاق يتوافق تماما مع نطاق من النانوبلانكتون NN3 والجزء الأسفل من نطاق NN4 من نطاق Veriglandian بالقرب من نهاية Orbulina suturalis ونطاقHelicophsaera ampliaperta Zone.

3. تم تمييز بداية ال Langhian Stage من ناطق foraminiferal Globorotalia تميز بداية ظهور Langhian / Sarravallian في متكون البلاعيم في راس العش. الاختلاف الكبير في زمن الترسيب والتعريش يدل على حركات تكتونية مختلفة والتي استغرقت فترة كبيرة من الزمن.

4. هناك فجوات يمكن ملاحظتها الأولى التي تفصل بين نطاق M3 ونطاق M4 وغياب نطاق M5 وغياب نطاق M5b في الصخور. هاتين الفجوات تدل على عدم تواجد حركة الفجوات من ناطق M5b في الجزء السفلي من نطاق M7 بسبب غياب نطاق post-Kareem tectonic event علي الفجوة الزمنية الثانية والتي نتجت من تأثير "mid-Rudeis" أو "mid-Clysmic" event للنطاقات من ناحية أخرى الانقلاب من نطاق M5b إلى الجزء السفلي من نطاق M7.