Assessment of the Correction of Rectus Abdominis Muscles Diastasis Using Two Different Techniques
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The technique used for correction of rectus abdominis diastasis has been a debatable issue since the original description of abdominoplasty. The aim of this study is to assess the durability of the correction of midline diastases done by two different techniques (the rectus abdominis myofascial release technique and the conventional midline plication) and their effect on waist enhancement and its safety in the terms of the intraabdominal pressure changes and respiratory affection that occur after plication.

Patients and Methods: The study was conducted on 12 females with body mass index less than 30, having Rohrich type IV B deformities. The patients were divided randomly into two groups based on the technique used for plication of anterior rectus sheath: Group (A) 6 patients underwent myofascial release technique, while group (B) 6 patients underwent conventional midline plication. The two groups were compared as regards; 1- The width of rectus diastasis using computed tomography of their anterior rectus abdominis sheath pre-and post-operatively at 1 and 6 and as regards 3 points: (A) At the umbilicus, (B) Midway between umbilicus and xiphoid process and (C) Midway between umbilicus and symphysis pubis 2- Waist hip ratio pre-and post-operatively at 1 and 6 months. 3- Evaluation of ventilatory function using spirometry and intraoperative measurement of intra-abdominal pressure before and after plication.

Results: Post-operative follow-up time averaged 8 months (range 6-10 months). Group A showed significant decline in the diastasis distance and increase in WHR than group B. There was a significant reduction in spirometry values and increase in IAP in group A rather than group B after surgery but there was no clinical differences in the respiratory function between both groups.

Conclusion: Plication of the anterior rectus sheath using myofascial repair technique showing nonsignificant residual diastasis six months post operatively and has a better effect on the waist enhancement than the conventional plication with no respiratory complication.
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INTRODUCTION

Abdominoplasty aims to correct the anterior abdominal wall deformities through resection of redundant skin and musculoaponeurotic reinforcement. The goal of any abdominoplasty procedure is to increase the resultant aesthetic outcomes through correcting the abdominal laxity, improving the waist definition, and restoring the lean curvatures [1].

Correction of rectus diastasis is a procedure very frequently performed during abdominoplasty [1-3]. Several techniques of fascial plasty of the anterior abdominal wall have been described to improve abdominal contour. These techniques includes Plication from a transverse to vertical orientation, at single or multiple sites using absorbable or nonabsorbable sutures [2-4]. However, midline plication of the rectus sheath all through its length from origin to insertion has remained the most popular method of reducing musculoaponeurotic laxity [5,6].

The durability of the plication was an important issue to discuss beside its effect on waist enhancement, the restoration of a proper waist is becoming more and more one of the most frequent inquiry asked by patients. So, procedures focusing on correction of the stretched and thinned and diastatic sheath have been described to achieve lean curvature and more harmonious contour of the anterior abdominal wall [7,8].

The search for the best and safest technique for enhancement of the waist during correction of the abdominal myoaponeurotic laxity is still going involving L and inverted L plication of the external oblique muscle and rectus abdominis myofascial release [9]. However, there is paucity of reserches evaluating durability of these techniques.

That is why we aim in this study to prospectively evaluate the durability of the correction of two different methods used in rectus sheath plica-
tion during abdominoplasty and its implication on waist definition which are rectus abdominis myofascial release and conventional mid line plication.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

Prospective, randomized study including 12 female patients seeking abdominoplasty with body mass index less than 30 having Rohrich type IV B deformities in the period from November 2016 to December 2018. Age ranging from 31-43 years. Patients with comorbidities especially respiratory disorders, previous abdominal surgery and BMI >30 were excluded from the study.

Detailed history was taken and clinical examination was done for assessment of body mass index, presence/absence of hernias and confirmation of rectus diastasis. All patients underwent a C-T scan on the abdomen pre-operatively to assess the distance of rectus diastasis at 3 points: (A) At the umbilicus, (B) Midway between umbilicus and xiphoid process and (C) Midway between umbilicus and symphysis pubis. Routine pre-operative investigations were done to all patients. Pre and post-operative anterior photographs were taken.

All patients had foley catheter inserted pre-operatively to measure the vesical pressure which directly reflects the intraabdominal pressure [10].

After muscle relaxation and when the intravesical volume is below the volume required to initiate the bladder muscle contraction (approximately 350ml). This volume was achieved by emptying the bladder and inserting 350ml of normal saline. All manometric measurements were obtained when the zero standard level was at the level of the patient’s heart and recorded in centimeters of saline. Measurements was recorded preoperatively after initiation of anesthesia and postoperatively while the patient was still intubated and fully relaxed.

Standard abdominoplasty under general anesthesia was performed in all patients, then the patients were divided randomly into two groups based on the technique used for anterior rectus sheath plication: Group (A) included 6 patients who underwent the rectus abdominis myofascial release technique (Ramirez, 2000) [9]; this was done by incising the anterior rectus sheath longitudinally at the junction between the inner and the middle thirds of the rectus muscle width. These incisions meet at the level of the xiphoid process superiorly and the pubis inferiorly. The medial edges are sutured together using round nylon loop 0 sutures and the lateral edges sutured together using the same kind of suture (Fig. 1).

**Fig. (1):** (A) Incision of the right anterior rectus sheath, (B) Incision of the left anterior rectus sheath, (C) Suturing of the medial edges, (D) Suturing of the lateral edges.

Group (B) included 6 patients who underwent conventional midline plication of the rectus sheath using rounded nylon loop 0 sutures in a continuous fashion.

The two groups were compared using three methods:

1- The width of rectus diastasis; using computed tomography of their anterior rectus abdominis muscle pre-operative and post-operatively at 1 and 6 months at 3 points:
   A- At the umbilicus.
   B- Midway between umbilicus and xiphoid process.
   C- Midway between umbilicus and symphysis pubis.

2- Waist Hip Ratio (WHR); using a measure tape pre-operative and post-operatively at 1 and 6 months (the waist circumference was measured at a horizontal level 2cm above the umbilicus and hip circumference was measured at the level of the greater trochanter).

3- Intra-Abdominal Pressure (IAP) before and after plication of the anterior rectus sheath was measured intraoperatively and its effect on ventilatory
function was assessed by using pre-and 1 week post-operative spirometry (measuring the volume of inhaled and exhaled air and respiratory flows, beneficial in analysis of data resulting from forced expiratory maneuver and the Peak Expiratory Flow (PEF) [11].

In addition; complications as seroma, hematoma, infection, wound dehiscence and others were recorded.

Statistical analysis were done for the collected data through Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) program, Version 20. Standard methods of descriptive statistics were used (mean, median, standard deviation). In a symmetric distribution of frequencies we applied parametric statistical analysis (student's $t$-test) and in asymmetric distribution, nonparametric statistical analysis methods ($\chi^2$ test and Mann-Whitney test). Fisher's exact test was used for comparing frequency of success in two treated groups. The value of $p \leq 0.05$ was considered as significant.

RESULTS

The mean age of patients in group A was 37.2 ±4.5 years, range (28-42). In group B was 38.7± 3.2 years, range (30-41). The mean Body Mass Index (BMI) of patients in Group A was 28.9±1.8, range (27.5-29.8), range. In Group B was 29.1±0.8, range (27.8-30). There were no statistical difference between the two groups as regard both.

The pre-operative measurements of the diastasis of the rectus muscle measured in cm revealed a non-significant difference in both groups. After a follow-up of 1 month there were no residual diastasis and the distance was 0 cm with no significant difference between the two groups. After a follow-up of 6 months post-operatively Figs. (4,5), there was a significant difference in the mean residual diastasis distance between the two groups as shown in Table (1). However the both group were within the normal measurements of the width of the linea alba as detected by Beer et al., [12] regarded to their ages (Table 2).

Fig. (2): Female patient 32 years old underwent myofascial release technique (A) Anterior view pre-operative, (B) Anterior view post-operative. The orange line represents the Waist Hip Ratio (WHR).

Fig. (3): Female patient 30 years old underwent conventional midline plication technique (A) Anterior view preoperative, (B) Anterior view post-operative. The orange line represents the Waist Hip Ratio (WHR).
The mean pre-operative WHR in Group A was 1.13±0.03, range (0.95-1.26) and in group B was 1.12±0.02, range (0.92-1.30). There were no statistical difference between both groups.

All patients showed improvement of the waist post-operatively. The mean WHR after 1 month post-operatively in Group A was 0.70±0.02, range (0.67-0.73) and in Group B was 0.73±0.03, range (0.69-0.76) however this was of no statistically significant difference between both groups. At six months post-operatively, WHR in group A was 0.74±0.04 range (0.72-0.78) which was better and significant statistically than patients in group B 0.80±0.03 range (0.78-0.84).

The mean pre-plication IAP in Group A was 5.51±0.81, range (4.86CM H₂O-6.89CM H₂O) and the mean pre-plication IAP in Group B was 5.43±0.64, range (4.16CM H₂O-6.56CM H₂O). There were no statistical difference between the two groups.

All patients showed an increase in IAP reflected by increased intra-vesical pressure after repair and plication of the musculoaponeurotic system. The mean IAP post-plication in Group A was 10.46±1.24, range (8.74CM H₂O-12.2CM H₂O), and the mean post-plication IAP in Group B was 9.54±0.23, range (8.16CM H₂O-11.4CM H₂O). Patients in group (A) showed a higher increase in IAP than patients in group (B) however, there were no statistically significant difference and no post-operative respiratory complications in both groups.

The results of PEF values in the pre-and 1 week post-operative periods of patients in the two groups are shown in (Table 3). Statistical analysis showed no significant difference in the pre-operative values in between the two groups. Group B showed better PEF than group A at 1 week post-operatively with no statistically significant differences and no post-operative respiratory complication in both groups.

As regard complications in Group A, wound infection was occurred in one patients. In Group B, wound dehiscence was occurred in one patients and seroma in another one. All were managed conservatively. None of them required secondary intervention.
DISCUSSION

Patients seeking abdominoplasty usually aim to get a more ideal contour of their silhouette [13], thus abdominoplasty must address not only skin and subcutaneous tissue but also the muscular abdominal wall to maximize aesthetic outcome of the procedure. The traditional method of tightening the abdomen and correcting diastasis of recti is by plication both intact anterior rectus sheath to each other. Manipulations of the musculoaponeurotic layer of the abdomen during abdominoplasty has been described by different authors using different techniques in efforts to enhance the waistline [4,14-16].

The durability of plication has been evaluated by a number of studies [17,18,23], but the use of retrospective methodology, small sample size and a short-term follow-up have been limiting factors [19]. This prospective study compared two techniques for correction of rectus diastasis, the rectus abdominis myofascial release technique and the conventional midline plication technique as regard the durability of the correction and the residual diastasis persist six months postoperatively and their effect on waist enhancement and the safety in terms of the intraabdominal pressure changes and respiratory affection that occur after plication in patients who underwent abdominoplasty.

Measuring of the rectus diastasis has been performed in different studies either using Computed Tomography (CT), ultrasound or Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) [2,20-22]. Ultrasound has been shown to be non-invasive, inexpensive and repeatable without any exposure to radiation, however it was seen inaccurate in the infraumbilical area and operator-dependent. The MRI proved to be safe, with great dependability as it is not operator-dependent. However it is not cost-effective and we think it cannot be used as a routine tool for abdominoplasty. CT has the advantage of using bony points for measurement and thus give precise measurements.

Van Uchelen et al., [3] evaluated the long-term durability of vertical plication with ultrasonography and the post-operative effect of abdominoplasty on the waist definition, they founded residual or recurrent diastasis in 40 percent of the patients. As regard the effect of plication on the waist, they detected 67 percent of patients felt it was unchanged and 33 percent felt their waist had become slimmer. They stated that vertical plication alone was not enough to improve the waistline and could eventually lead to epigastric bulging.

Tadiparthi et al., [19] showed that none of the patients in their study had recurrent diastasis and all ultrasound measurements at the 12-month post-operative period were within those defined by Beer.

In a study done by Mestak et al., [18] they evaluated the ultrasonographic measurements of the residual distance between rectus muscles in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table (1): Mean residual diastasis between the two groups.</th>
<th>Above umbilicus pre</th>
<th>Above umbilicus post</th>
<th>At umbilicus pre</th>
<th>At umbilicus post</th>
<th>Below umbilicus pre</th>
<th>Below umbilicus post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group A:</strong> Mean</td>
<td>3.3±0.03</td>
<td>0.5±0.02</td>
<td>3.1±0.05</td>
<td>0.320.06</td>
<td>2.1±0.01</td>
<td>0.2±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group A:</strong> Range</td>
<td>2.8-4.1</td>
<td>0.3-0.8</td>
<td>2.4-3.7</td>
<td>0.1-0.5</td>
<td>1.8-2.5</td>
<td>0.1-0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group B:</strong> Mean</td>
<td>3.2±0.05</td>
<td>±0.04</td>
<td>3.0±0.09</td>
<td>0.820.02</td>
<td>2.2±0.03</td>
<td>0.6±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group B:</strong> Range</td>
<td>2.2-4.5</td>
<td>0.8-1.3</td>
<td>2.4-4.8</td>
<td>0.6-1.2</td>
<td>1.9-3.5</td>
<td>0.4-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>p-value</strong></td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.017*</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td>0.023*</td>
<td>0.654</td>
<td>0.014*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) Statistically Significant at p<0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table (2): Beer classification.</th>
<th>Normal width of the linea alba</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level</td>
<td>Age &lt;45 years (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At the level of the xiphoid</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3cm above the umbilicus</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2cm below the umbilicus</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table (3): Measurements of PEF pre and 1 week post-operatively in both groups.</th>
<th>PEF pre</th>
<th>PEF post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group A</strong></td>
<td>485.4±70.9</td>
<td>354.2±81.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group B</strong></td>
<td>478.2±67.1</td>
<td>381.9±80.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>p-value</strong></td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
patient underwent conventional midline plication of the rectus sheath. Their result were as following: At halfway between the xiphoid and umbilicus, the mean distance was 0.1cm; at the level just above the umbilicus, the mean distance was 0.87cm and at halfway between the umbilicus and pubis, the mean distance was 0.08cm.

Another study used a midline vertical plication of the rectus muscle and the myofascial component was performed using a nylon 0-loop suture in continuous fashion from the xiphoid to the suprapubic region. The authors did not consider ultrasound as a suitable method of investigation for diastasis because it is operator-dependent. They used magnetic resonance imaging, and they found that there was no rectus diastasis seen in any of the cases followed-up between 6 and 25 months. The mean post-operative diastasis was 0.5cm [23].

As regard the waist definition, in this study, their data was different from Van Uchelen et al., [3] they showed that the inner circumference and abdominal anteroposterior imaging measurements were decreased post-operatively compared with the pre-operative measurements; which indicated a reduction in waist line in both the anteroposterior and transverse dimensions measured by magnetic resonance imaging. These changes may apparently due to change of shape of the muscle mass because of its fascial plication.

In this study we used a Computed Tomography (CT) for assessing the rectus diastasis. The post-operative measurements at 3 months of follow-up showed no diastasis between recti in both groups with no significant difference between both. However, at 6 months of the follow-up period the diastasis was detected in both groups but still the distance within normal as described by Beer et al., the mean in group A were (0.5, 0.3, 0.2cm) at the 3 levels of measurements above the umbilicus, at the umbilicus, below the umbilicus respectively which were minimal than that for group B (1, 0.8, 0.6cm) and the difference was significant statistically which may determine the durability of the plication using myofascial release rather than using the conventional method.

As regard the waist enhancement, their data was different from Van Uchelen et al., [3] they showed that the inner circumference and abdominal anteroposterior imaging measurements were decreased post-operatively compared with the pre-operative measurements; which indicated a reduction in waist line in both the anteroposterior and transverse dimensions measured by magnetic resonance imaging. These changes may apparently due to change of shape of the muscle mass because of its fascial plication.

Increased intraabdominal pressure is common after abdominoplasty especially with the manipulation of the musculoaponeurotic layer. The intraabdominal hypertension may result in elevation of intrathoracic pressure leading to pulmonary complications [24-26]. On the other hand, some other studies claimed that there were no significant differences in lung function and IAP were found after abdominoplasty with plication [27,28].

Talisman et al., [29] proved that the Intraabdominal Pressure (IAP) increases after the manipulation of the abdominal musculoaponeurotic structures and showed a direct relationship between the increased IAP and a higher incidence of respiratory complications.

Neto et al., [30] performed analysis of post-operative intraabdominal pressure after abdominoplasty. All subjects of their study were healthy female with ages varying from 28 to 47 years old, which is similar group of patients like in this study. They reported complications of two cases with mild dyspnea, characterized by tachypnea without radiographic, laboratory or chest auscultation changes. In this study there were no post-operative respiratory complications.

In both studies monitoring of IAP was performed through a bladder catheter, which is a simple, minimally invasive, low-cost and easily performed method. IAP of their study vary from 12-13cm H$_2$O. In this study values of post-operative IAP were lower with group B ranging between (8.16CM H$_2$O-11.4CM H$_2$O), while in a group A was between (8.74CM H$_2$O-12.2CM H$_2$O).

Al-Basti et al., [30] studied the intraabdominal pressure changes after full abdominoplasty in obese multiparous patients, they were performing the conventional vertical plication of the rectus fascia technique for all patients, and they discovered that the increase in intraabdominal pressure is not statistically or clinically significant.

In this study, we found that IAP was higher in group A than group B but there was no clinical or statistically significant difference between the two performed techniques.

Rodrigues et al., [28] stated that the changes in the intraabdominal pressure recording before and after repair of the musculoaponeurotic system are considered statistically significant and clinically non-significant. It is an indication of the real tolerance of the abdominal cavity in adjustment and its accommodation to its normal content. There-
fore, abdominal cavity tolerance depends on whether the abdominal contents are normal and the absence of severe pathologic abnormalities in the musculoaponeurotic system.

As regard the ventilatory function, any abdominal surgery can affect ventilatory function, especially procedures involving a muscle. Some studies [24,32–34] addressed ventilatory function after the plication of the anterior rectus sheath. Gabriel et al., [32] detected a significant reduction in spirometry values after surgery, and proved that there was no correlation between Body Mass Index (BMI), pain, the increase of IAP, and the width of diastasis and changes in spirometry \( p \leq 0.05 \) in any of the post-operative evaluations. They stated that the Spirometric parameters change on the 2nd and 7th post-operative days and tend to normalize on the 15th day.

In this study we measured peak expiratory flow PEF pre and one week post-operatively. There was no significant difference in the pre and post-operative values between both groups, however, PEF was higher in group B rather than group A, which may indicate more compression in group A that affect the ventilator function, but not to a limit that require any intervention. We thought that changes in ventilatory function may be due to increased IAP in group A than in group B.

The limitations of this study are the limited period of follow-up and the relatively small number of cases included. On the basis of this study, it is recommended that a further investigations to be done on a wider scale of cases.

Conclusion:

The rectus abdominis myofascial release technique, although more invasive, it was more durable in the correction of the rectus diastasis, and has a better effect on the waist enhancement more than the conventional method, with no respiratory complication.
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