

Zagazig J. Agric. Res., Vol. 43 No. (1) 2016



EFFICIENCY OF THREE BIOINSECTICIDES SPRAY IN PREHARVEST TO CONTROL PEACH FRUIT FLY DURING POSTHARVEST OF GUAVA SEEDLESS FRUITS

Naglaa M.A. Yassin^{1*} and Anas A. Ahmed²

1. Hort. Res. Station, Sabahia, Alex., Hort. Res. Inst., ARC. Giza, Egypt

2. Plant Prot. Inst., Agric. Res. Cent., Station, Sabahia., ARC. Giza, Egypt

ABSTRACT

This experiment was carried out during 2013 and 2014 seasons on guava seedless fruits to study the effect of spray of three bioinsecticides; Biozeid 2.5%, Pritecto 9.4% and Bioarc 6% three levels each (300, 250 and 200g/100 l water) at preharvest to control peach fruit fly during postharvest of guava fruits. Stored fruits at 8°C and RH.85-90%. Weight loss,firmness,total soluble solides, carotenoids, acidity and vitamin C, were determind. The highest effect for controlling peach fruit fly observed with Bioarc 6% treatments of guava fruits in both seasons. The results indicated that, there was lower weight loss with fruits which were treated by Biozeid 2.5% and by Pritecto 9.4%. Firmness, carotenoids and vitamin C. were significantly higher with fruits treated by Biozei 6%, but total soluble solid was significantly higher in fruits treated by Biozeid 2.5% in both seasons. In both seasons, weight loss percentage, total soluble solid contents (TSS) and carotenoids were increased significantly by the advancing of storage periods but firmness, total acidity and vitamin C. decreased during storage.

Key words: Bioinsecticides, peach fruits fly, biozeid, pritecto, bioarc.

INTRODUCTION

Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) is an important resource in the domestic economy of many countries in the tropics (Yavada, 1996). Guava is a very popular fruit, it is, generally a good source of lycopene, beta carotene, vitamin C, protein, fats, carbohydrate, fibers, minerals and vitamin B. In Egypt, guava trees are widely planted especially in Beheira, Elsharkia, around Alexandria and newly reclaimed lands. In Egypt guava occupy about 38000 faddans, yielded about 314000 tons as annual fruit production with an exported range about 16.312.38 metric tons to many countries (Cheaour et al., 1990). Guava exports from Egypt are increased through air flight as the main transport system. The limiting factor for export is the high costs that reduce the profit level to the grower. Refrigeration appears to be a suitable way for sea transport. The guava is highly perishable, susceptible to mechanical damage and chilling injury and has a limited postharvest shelf life. The peach fruit fly Bacterocero zomata (saunders) in damagerous pest for many fruit crops in different countries. Control depends upon bioinsecticides (Mahmoud, 2009). The insect feeds on the internal fruit contents. Al l damaged fruit must be destroyed to break the cycle of infection. Remove any fruit from the tree with dimples or weeping clear sap as this is a sign that egges have already been laid in the fruit (Rahmann and Akhsoy, 2014). The aim of this investigation was to study the effects of bio insecticied spray on preharvest and postharvest guava fruits to control the peach fruit flies.

^{*} **Corresponding author: Tel. :** +201097210752 **E-mail address:** nagla_ys@yahoo.com

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The investigation was carried out during 2013 and 2014 seasons on seedless guava fruits (Psidium guajava L.). Fruits were harvested from plants grown in Sabahia station, Alexandria. The experiment was conducted in four feddans contained of guava. It harvested at mature stage, according to Robert and Ching (2014). Fruits were immediately transported to the laboratory of handling and postharvest at sabahia station Alexandria, horticulture research of Giza, Egypt. Fruits were sorted for size uniformity and absence defects. Sound selected fruits were washed using fresh tap water, and air dried. Then fruits divided into four groups each group contained six fruits. Guava fruits were received the following treatments at preharvest and postharvest as follows:

At preharvest, three bioinscticides were used Biozeid 2.5%, Pritecto 9.4% and Bioarc 6% and sprayed with three concentrations 300, 250 and 200 g/100l water, each separately.

A week beforharvest, fruits were spraied with bioinscticides, then all treatments stored at 8° C and RH. (85-90%).

Each treatments were packed in three carton boxes at dimension of $(50 \times 30 \times 20 \text{ cm})$ each box contain six fruits represented one replicate and all treatments stored at 8°C. The changes in physical and chemical properties of fruits were followed up each seven days interval through the experimental period as following:

Physical Characteristics

Weight loss percentage (%): It was calculated according to the following equation:

Initial weight- weight at sampling date Weight loss (%) = ______x 100 Initial weight

Three fruits were labeled in every replicate and initially weighted to calculate the fruits weight loss percentage during the cold storage in relation to its original weight.

Firmness (g/cm²)

Pulp texture: was determined by Lifra texture analyzer instrument using a penetrating cylinder of 1 mm in diameter, to a constant distance (2 mm) inside the pulp of fruits, and by a constant speed 2 mm per sec., and the peak of resistance was recorded per g.

Determining the Infestation of Fruits by Peach Flies

Is known by the number of holes in the fruit itself were recorded the more number of holes means the more infestation percentage and the number of eggs increase.

Chemical Properties

Total soluble solids content (TSS)

The obtained juice was used to determine the percentage of Total soluble solids content (TSS) by the use of a hand refractometer according to Chen and Mellenthin (1981)

Titratable acidity (%)

Total acidity was determined by titrating 5 ml of the extracted juice against 0.1 N of NaOH using phenol phethalin indicator, titratable acidity was expressed as percentage of citric acid (g citric acid/100 ml juice) according to (AOAC, 2005).

Ascorbic acid content

Five ml of sample of fruit juice + 5 ml metaphosphric acid were added to each sample, which was titrated with 2.6 dichlorophenol indophenol solution. Ascorbic acid content was expressed as milligrams of ascorbic acid per 100 ml of fruits juice, according to (AOAC, 2005).

Carotenoids content

Carotenoids content of fruits pulp was extracted by direct dipping of 10 g of blended fruit pulp into solution containing (40 ml acetone, 60 ml hexane and 0.1 g Mg CO_3 and blended for 5 min. to determined by colorimeter according to (AOAC, 2005).

Decay percentage

Determined by count the number of fruits decayed and expressed as percentage from sound fruits.

Statistical Analysis

The experimental design was factorial Randomized complete bloch design (RCBD) with three replicates, and all the obtained data were statistically analyzed according to Snedecor and Cochran (1980). The individual comparisons were carried out by using the least significant difference (LSD) according to SAS Institute (1985).

The experiment was distributed in split –split design and the means were compared by LSD at 0.05 according to Steel and Torrie (1980).

RESULTS

Mean of Three Replicates + SE

The data was recorded in Table 1 reveal that There were highly significant differences between the three bio-insecticides and the means can be arranged according to their effects as follows at first season 23.11 flies for Biozeid 2.5%, 22.55 flies for Pritecto 9.4% and (17.66) for Bioarc 6%. The second season was highly significant 43.56 for Biozeid 2.5%, 7.56 for Bio arc 6% and 5.56 for Pritecto 9.4% this result are in line with Hanafy *et al.* (2009).

Grand Mean of Replicates + SE

The result shown in Table 2 clear that low grand means of peach fruit fly at first season (33.92 flies) and (32.44 flies) for second season at first concentration; at second concentration first season recorded 39.50 flies while 39.17 flies were recorded for second season and the third concentration in first season were 44.41 flies and 42.75 flies for second season. These results agreed with those reported by Hanafy *et al.* (2009).

Physical Characteristics

Fruit weight loss percentage

Data presented in Table 3 show that, the two seasons, weight loss percentage had lower in fruits were treated (Biozeid 2.5%, Pritecto 9.4% and Bioarc 6%) with concentration 200g/100 1 water compared with untreated fruits in both seasons these results were agree with Khyber (2014).

The weight loss percentage was gradually increased as an average for all treatments, by increasing the storage period. The differences among all storage periods were significant in both seasons of study. The weight loss attributed respiration and the higher storage temperature. The later result agree with those reported by El-Yaten and Kader (1984), El-Saedy and El-Naggar (2005).

Fruit firmness

From the tabulated data in Table 4, it was noticed that fruits treated with Bioarc 6% (300g/ 1 water) had the highest value of firmness compared with all treatments in both seasons. This result agree with Singh and Pal (2009). Fruit firmness of all treated fruits was decreased with the duration in this really cold storage in both seasons. Similar, results were found by Rippon and Trochoulias, (2007) and Yassin and Tayel (2011). As a conclusion, loss in fruits firmness with the decomposition progress of storage period is mainly due to, enzymatic degredation of insoluble protopectins to more simple soluble pectin, solubilization of cell and cell wall contents as a result of the increasing in pectin esterase activity and subsequent development of juiciness and loss in peel and pulp hardness. These results were in agreement with those previously found by many researches such as Ponomarev (1968) and Siddiqui et al. (1996).

Chemical Characteristics

Total soluble solids contents (TSS)

The data illustrated in Table 5 show that soluble solids contents TSS in (untreated) fruits control and fruits were treated Bioarc 6% were significantly higher compared with all treatments in both seasons. Esam Eldin and Osman (2014). TSS in the fruits by the storage periods was more than three folds its initial value at beginning of the storage period. The above finidings are in harmony with those of Lopez *et al.* (2003) on cactus pear and David and Whyte (2009).

Carotenoids content

The changes in carotenoids content of guava fruits in the two seasons of the study are presented in Table 6. Generally, there were higher significant differences between fruits were treated with Biozeid 2.5%, Pritecto 9.4%, and Bioarc 6% compared with untreated fruits.

These results agreed with Pen *et al.* (1999). It was noticed that carotenoids content were significantly increased during storage periods in both seasons. Similar results were found by Nurul and Mosharraf (2012) on banana.

Bio-insecticide	Concentrations	The mean number	The mean number of B.Z. flies in traps				
	g/100 l water	First season (2013)	Second season (2014)				
	300	15.67	38.00	26.84			
Biozeid 2.5%	250	21.67	44.33	33.00			
	200	32.00	48.33	40.17			
	Mean	23.11	43.56	33.34b			
	300	16.33	1.67	9.00			
	250	23.00	6.33	14.67			
Pritecto 9.4%	200	28.33	8.67	18.50			
	Mean	22.55	5.56	14.06c			
	300	11.33	4.00	7.67			
D!	250	19.33	6.00	12.67			
Bioarc 6%	200	22.33	12.67	17.50			
	Mean	17.66	7.56	12.61d			
		92.33	98.00	95.17			
Control		94.00	99.67	96.84			
Control		95.67	101.33	98.50			
	Mean	94.00	99.67	96.84a			
Grand mean		39.33a	39.09b				

 Table 1. Efficiency of certain biological insecticides spray on guava trees against Peach fruit fly

 Bacterocera zonata at two seasons (2013 and 2014)

LSD 0.05 for seasons = 0.12, LSD 0.05 for bioinsecticide=1.00

Table 2. Evaluation	of three	bioinsecticides	against	Peach	fruit fly	Bacterocera	zonata	at two
seasons in t	he field							

Season	Bioinsecticide	0	Concentration			
		300 g	250 g	200 g	-	
	Biozeid 2.5%	15.67	21.67	32.00	23.11	
	Pritecto 9.4%	16.33	23.00	28.33	22.56	
First season (2013)	Bioarc 6%	11.33	19.33	22.33	17.67	
	Control	92.33	94.00	95.00	94.00	
	Mean	33.92	39.50	44.41	39.28a	
	Biozeid 2.5%	38.00	44.33	48.33	43.56	
	Pritecto 9.4%	1.67	6.33	8.67	5.56	
Second season (2014)	Bioarc 6%	4.00	6.33	12.67	7.67	
	Control	98.00	99.67	101.33	99.67	
	Mean	32.44	39.17	42.75	39.11b	
Grand mean		34.67c	39.34b	43.58a		

LSD 0.05 for concentration = 0.92, LSD 0.05 for seasons=0.12

Treatment g/100 l water	Storage period (week)							
-	1	2	3	4	Means (B)			
			Season 20	013				
Biozeid 2.5% 300	0	3.9	4.77	8.10	4.19a			
Biozeid 2.5% 250	0	3.5	4.60	8.0	4.03b			
Biozeid 2.5% 200	0	3.0	4.5	7.8	3.83c			
Pritecto 9.4% 300	0	4.10	4.83	7.50	4.18a			
Pritecto 9.4% 250	0	4.00	4.50	7.00	3.88a			
Pritecto 9.4% 200	0	3.90	4.30	6.80	3.75b			
Bioarc 6% 300	0	4.50	5.43	8.61	4.64a			
Bioarc 6% 250	0	4.00	5.00	8.30	4.33a			
Bioarc 6% 200	0	3.80	4.80	7.80	4.10b			
Control	0	5.56	6.93	11.98	6.11a			
Means (A)	0a	3.76b	4.97c	8.19d				
	Season 2014							
Biozeid 2.5% 300	0	3.50	3.79	6.84	3.53a			
Biozeid 2.5% 250	0	3.20	3.10	6.20	3.13b			
Biozeid 2.5% 200	0	3.00	2.90	6.00	3.00c			
Pritecto 9.4% 300	0	3.73	4.28	6.70	3.68a			
Pritecto 9.4% 250	0	3.20	4.00	6.20	3.35a			
Pritecto 9.4% 200	0	3.00	3.70	5.80	3.13b			
Bioarc 6% 300	0	3.73	4.12	8.98	4.21a			
Bioarc 6% 250	0	3.50	4.00	8.50	4.00b			
Bioarc 6% 200	0	3.30	3.50	8.30	3.78c			
Control	0	5.20	5.00	10.90	5.28a			
Means (A)	0a	3.53b	4.19c	7.44d				
LSD 0.05 2013 A=1.22,B=0.25		LSD 0.05	2014 A=1.00	, B=0.32				

Table 3. Effect of three bioinsecticides spray in preharvest to control peach fruit fly during
postharvest on weight loss (%) of guava fruits during 2013 and 2014 season

Treatment g/100 l water	Storage period (week)							
	1	2	3	4	Means (B)			
	Season 2013							
Biozeid 2.5% 300	2.50	1.50	1.45	1.00	1.61a			
Biozeid 2.5% 250	2.50	1.20	1.20	0.95	1.46b			
Biozeid 2.5% 200	2.50	1.10	1.10	0.93	1.40c			
Pritecto 9.4% 300	2.50	1.27	1.85	1.30	1.73a			
Pritecto 9.4% 250	2.50	1.10	1.50	1.10	1.55a			
Pritecto 9.4% 200	2.50	0.98	1.30	0.95	1.43b			
Bioarc 6% 300	2.50	2.33	1.73	1.50	2.02a			
Bioarc 6% 250	2.50	2.10	1.30	1.20	1.78a			
Bioarc 6% 200	2.50	0.98	1.20	1.10	1.45a			
Control	2.50	2.05	2.03	1.20	1.95a			
Means (A)	2.50	1.46b	1.56c	1.12d				
	Season 2014							
Biozeid 2.5% 300	1.80	1.23	1.20	1.00	1.31a			
Biozeid 2.5% 250	1.80	1.00	0.98	0.97	1.19b			
Biozeid 2.5% 200	1.80	0.95	0.93	0.95	1.16b			
Pritecto 9.4% 300	1.80	1.20	1.30	1.05	1.34a			
Pritecto 9.4% 250	1.80	1.10	0.98	0.97	1.21a			
Pritecto 9.4% 200	1.80	0.98	0.95	0.93	1.17b			
Bioarc 6% 300	1.80	1.78	1.72	1.30	1.65a			
Bioarc 6% 250	1.80	1.30	1.40	1.00	1.38b			
Bioarc 6% 200	1.80	1.20	1.20	0.98	1.29b			
Control	1.80	1.28	1.70	1.00	1.45a			
Means(A)	1.80a	1.20b	1.21c	1.02d				

Table 4. Effect of three bioinsecticides spray in preharvest to control peach fruit fly during
postharvest on firmness of guava fruits during 2013 and 2014 season

LSD 0.05 2013 A=1.50, B=0.18

LSD 0.05 2014 A=0.75, B = 0.20

Treatment g/100 l water		Stor	rage period (week)				
	1	2	3	4	Means (B)			
	Season 2013							
Biozeid 2.5% 300	8.50	13.33	13.60	14.53	12.49a			
Biozeid 2.5% 250	8.50	13.20	13.20	14.30	12.3b			
Biozeid 2.5% 200	8.50	13.00	13.10	14.10	12.18			
Pritecto 9.4% 300	8.50	9.37	12.67	15.96	11.63a			
Pritecto 9.4% 250	8.50	9.10	12.40	15.50	11.38a			
Pritecto 9.4% 200	8.50	9.00	12.20	15.20	11.22b			
Bioarc 6% 300	8.50	12.00	13.80	15.70	12.50a			
Bioarc 6% 250	8.50	11.98	13.50	15.50	12.37b			
Bioarc 6% 200	8.50	11.50	13.30	15.30	12.15b			
Control	8.50	14.13	15.97	16.73	13.83a			
Means(A)	8.50a	11.66b	13.37c	15.28d				
			Season 20	14				
Biozeid 2.5% 300	14.20	15.26	15.97	16.43	15.46a			
Biozeid 2.5% 250	14.20	14.90	15.50	16.20	15.20a			
Biozeid 2.5% 200	14.20	14.80	15.30	16.10	15.10b			
Pritecto 9.4% 300	14.20	10.33	15.07	16.03	13.91a			
Pritecto 9.4% 250	14.20	10.10	15.02	16.00	13.83b			
Pritecto 9.4% 200	14.20	10.00	14.98	15.98	13.79c			
Bioarc 6% 300	14.20	12.90	13.87	15.33	14.08a			
Bioarc 6% 250	14.20	12.50	13.50	15.10	13.83b			
Bioarc 6% 200	14.20	12.20	13.20	15.00	13.65b			
Control	14.20	14.73	16.20	16.80	15.48a			
Means (A)	14.20a	13.77b	15.89c	15.89c				

Table 5. Effect of three bioinsecticides spray in pre harvest to control peach fruit fly during
postharvest on total soluble solids (%) of guava fruits during 2013 and 2014 season

LSD 0.05 2013 A=4.01, B=0.25 LSD 0.05 2014 A=6.50, B=0.28

Treatment g/100 l water	Storage period (week)							
	1	2	3	4	Means (B)			
	Season 2013							
Biozeid 2.5% 300	2.50	3.58	4.22	4.71	3.75a			
Biozeid 2.5% 250	2.50	3.20	4.00	4.50	3.55b			
Biozeid 2.5% 200	2.50	3.00	3.98	4.40	3.47c			
Pritecto 9.4% 300	2.50	3.48	4.18	4.95	3.78a			
Pritecto 9.4% 250	2.50	3.20	4.00	4.50	3.55b			
Pritecto 9.4% 200	2.50	3.00	4.40	4.40	3.47c			
Bioarc 6% 300	2.50	3.84	4.89	4.89	3.91a			
Bioarc 6% 250	2.50	3.50	4.50	4.50	3.68b			
Bioarc 6% 200	2.50	3.20	4.30	4.30	3.00c			
Control	2.50	2.71	3.87	4.40	3.37a			
Means(A)	2.50a	3.27b	4.08c	4.56d				
			Season 2014	L				
Biozeid 2.5% 300	2.90	3.37	4.47	4.38	3.78a			
Biozeid 2.5% 250	2.90	3.20	4.30	4.00	3.70a			
Biozeid 2.5% 200	2.90	3.10	4.20	3.98	3.55b			
Pritecto 9.4% 300	2.90	3.66	4.52	4.70	3.95a			
Pritecto 9.4% 250	2.90	3.50	4.30	4.50	3.80a			
Pritecto 9.4% 200	2.90	3.20	4.00	4.20	3.58b			
Bioarc 6% 300	2.90	3.32	4.12	4.90	3.81a			
Bioarc 6% 250	2.90	3.00	4.00	4.50	3.60b			
Bioarc 6% 200	2.90	2.99	3.98	4.20	3.52c			
Control	2.90	2.72	3.79	4.37	3.45c			
Means (A)	2.90a	3.24b	4.17c	4.37d				

Table 6. Effect of three bioinsecticides spray in preharvest to control peach fruit fly during
postharvest on carotenoids 100mg/ml of guava fruits during 2013 and 2014 season

LSD 0.05 2013 A=0.82,B= 0.35 LSD 0.05 2014 A=0.38 ,B= 0.27

Titratable acidity (TA)

The data presented in Table 7 indicated that titratable acidity percentages in treated guava in both seasons were significantly higher than untreated fruits. The obtained results are in agreement with Rosario *et al.* (2013). Reported that TA were higher than treatments on guava. The data pointed out also that there was slight decreased in TA percentage with the increase of storage period. Data could be attributed due to the increase of its consumption in respiration activities as an organic substrate. This result agreed with those reported by El-Seidy (2000) on peach, Nurul and Mosharraf (2012) on banana and Muhammad *et al.* (2012) on peach.

Vitamin C

The obtained data in Table 8 showed that ascorbic acid content value of guava, which treated with (Biozeid 2.5%, Pritecto 9.4% and Bioarc 6%) and concentration 300g/100l water were higher significantly than untreated fruits.

The above mentioned results agree with those Rahmann and Akhsoy (2014). On the other hand, ascorbic acid content was decreased from the beginning and the end of experiment. These results are in good line with those observed by Nurul and Mosharraf (2012) on banana fruits. The reduction in vitamin c contents during ripening might be attributed to the oxidation of ascorbic acid as ripening proceeded in the untreated fruits.

Decay of fruits

Data pointed out in both seasons Table 9 clear, in both seasons, that untreated fruits had higher significantly values compared with all treatments and Preticto 9.4% treatment had higher value of decay compared with Biozeid 2.5% and Bioarc 6% this results agree with Michael and Palmateer (2 013). Advanced with storage period, all treatments increased, significantly. Omayma *et al.* (2010) came to similar results.

 Table 7. Effect of three bioinsecticides spray in preharvest to control peach fruit fly during postharvest on titratable acidity (%) of guava fruits during 2013 and 2014 season

Treatment g/100 l water	Storage period (week)							
-	1	2	3	4	Means (B)			
	Season 2013							
Biozeid 2.5% 300	0.72	0.42	0.38	0.30	0.46a			
Biozeid 2.5% 250	0.72	0.41	0.37	0.28	0.45b			
Biozeid 2.5% 200	0.72	0.40	0.36	0.27	0.44c			
Pritecto 9.4% 300	0.72	0.40	0.32	0.26	0.43a			
Pritecto 9.4% 250	0.72	0.39	0.30	0.25	0.42b			
Pritecto 9.4% 200	0.72	0.38	0.29	0.22	0.40c			
Bioarc 6% 300	0.72	0.37	0.27	0.24	0.40a			
Bioarc 6% 250	0.72	0.35	0.26	0.22	0.39b			
Bioarc 6% 200	0.72	0.32	0.24	0.20	0.37c			
Control	0.72	0.70	0.54	0.46	0.61a			
Means(A)	0.72a	0.41b	0.48c	0.27d				
	Season 2014							
Biozeid 2.5%300	0.60	0.37	0.35	0.30	0.41a			
Biozeid 2.5% 250	0.60	0.35	0.31	0.29	0.39b			
Biozeid 2.5% 200	0.60	0.32	0.30	0.27	0.37c			
Pritecto 9.4% 300	0.60	0.42	0.36	0.32	0.43a			
Pritecto 9.4% 250	0.60	0.40	0.35	0.30	0.41b			
Pritecto 9.4% 200	0.60	0.38	0.32	0.27	0.39c			
Bioarc 6% 300	0.60	0.37	0.30	0.25	0.38a			
Bioarc 6% 250	0.60	0.31	0.29	0.22	0.36b			
Bioarc 6% 200	0.60	0.30	0.25	0.20	0.34c			
Control	0.60	0.56	0.42	0.40	0.50a			
Means (A)	0.60a	0.38b	0.33c	0.28d				

LSD 0.05 2013 A=0.35, B=0.03 LSD 0.05 2014 A= 0.25, B=0.04

Treatment g/100 l water	Storage period (week)								
	1	2	3	4	Means (B)				
	Season 2013								
Biozeid 2.5% 300	72.00	60.50	57.00	54.67	61.17a				
Biozeid 2.5% 250	72.00	60.20	56.90	52.67	60.44b				
Biozeid 2.5% 200	72.00	60.00	56.80	51.50	60.08c				
Pritecto 9.4% 300	72.00	63.48	60.50	52.83	62.42a				
Pritecto 9.4% 250	72.00	63.50	60.20	52.50	62.05a				
Pritecto 9.4% 200	72.00	63.20	60.00	52.00	61.80b				
Bioarc 6%300	72.00	69.40	64.38	57.38	65.92a				
Bioarc 6% 250	72.00	68.40	64.20	57.30	65.48a				
Bioarc 6% 200	72.00	68.20	64.00	57.20	65.35a				
Control	72.00	59.83	55.03	52.50	59.96b				
Means (A)	72.00a	63.71b	59.90c	54.06d					
		S	Season 2014						
Biozeid 2.5% 300	70.25	66.58	61.90	56.90	63.91a				
Biozeid 2.5% 250	70.25	66.40	61.50	55.90	63.51b				
Biozeid 2.5% 200	70.25	66.20	61.20	54.80	63.11c				
Pritecto 9.4% 300	70.25	67.03	57.63	54.30	62.30a				
Pritecto 9.4% 250	70.25	65.02	57.50	54.00	61.69a				
Pritecto 9.4% 200	70.25	65.00	57.30	53.90	61.61b				
Bioarc 6% 300	70.25	62.92	66.43	64.75	66.08a				
Bioarc 6% 250	70.25	62.70	66.20	64.00	65.79b				
Bioarc 6% 200	70.25	62.50	66.00	63.98	65.68c				
Control	70.25	60.83	57.38	53.43	60.47b				
Means(A)	70.25a	64.52b	61.40c	57.59d					

Table 8. Effect of three bioinsecticides spray in preharvest to control peach fruit fly during
postharvest on vitamin C of guava fruits during 2013 and 2014 season

LSD 0.05 2013 A=8.50, B=0.80 LSD 0.05 2014 A=5.80, B=0.50

Treatment g/100 l water	Storage period (week)							
	1	2	3	4	Means (B)			
	Season 2013							
Biozeid 2.5% 300	6	7	8	8	7.25a			
Biozeid 2.5% 250	5	6	6	7	6.00b			
Biozeid 2.5% 200	5	6	7	8	6.50c			
Pritecto 9.4% 300	8	9	9	10	9.00a			
Pritecto 9.4% 250	5	9	10	10	8.50b			
Pritecto 9.4% 200	6	7	8	9	7.50c			
Bioarc 6% 300	5	6	6	7	ба			
Bioarc 6% 250	4	5	5	6	5b			
Bioarc 6% 200	3	4	4	5	4c			
Control	10	15	18	24	16.75a			
Means (A)	5.90a	7.40	8.10c	9.40d				
	Season 2014							
Biozeid 2.5% 300	7	8	9	10	8.50a			
Biozeid 2.5% 250	6	7	7	8	7.00b			
Biozeid 2.5% 200	5	6	7	8	6.50c			
Pritecto 9.4% 300	9	10	11	12	10.50a			
Pritecto 9.4% 250	8	9	10	10	9.22b			
Pritecto 9.4% 200	8	10	11	12	10.25c			
Bioarc 6% 300	5	6	7	8	6.50a			
Bioarc 6% 250	4	5	7	7	5.75b			
Bioarc 6% 200	2	4	5	6	4.25c			
Control	12	17	23	28	20a			
Means (A)	6.60a	8.20b	9.70c	10.90d				

Table 9. Effect of three bioinsecticides spray in preharvest to control peach fruit fly during
postharvest on decay (%) of guava fruits during 2013 and 2014 season

LSD 0.05 2013 A=2.00, B=1.50 LSD 0.05 2014 A=2.10, B =2.20

REFERENCES

- AOAC (2005). Official Methods of Analysis.
 18th Ed. Association of Official Analysis Chemists. Washington, DC, USA
- Cheaour, F.C., J. Willemote, Y. Aruk, Y. Desjardin, P.M. Makhlouf and A. Gosselin (1990). A foliar application of calcium chloride delays postharvest ripening of strawberry. J. Ame. Sci., 115:789-792.
- Chen, P.M. and W.M. Mellenthin (1981). Effects of harvest date on ripening capacity and post-harvest Life of d'Anjou pears. J. Ame. Soc. Hort. Sci., 106 (1): 38 – 42
- David, B. and Whyte (2009). 1-MCP on banana. Food Sci., 12-16.
- El-Seidy, R.M. (2000). Postharvest prestorage calcium treatments in relation to quality changes and storage ability of peaches. Ph.D. Thesis Alex. Univ., Alex., Egypt.
- El-Saedy, R.M. and N.I. El-Naggar (2005). Effect of pre and postharvest calcium treatments on polyphon 1 oxidase and peroxidase activity of seedless guava fruits. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 20 (4): 224 – 231
- El-Yaten, A. and A. Kader (1984). Controlled atmosphere alternatives to the postharvest use of sulfurs dioxide to inhibit the development of botrytis cinerea in table grapes, Univ. Calf., 3 : 160 – 164.
- Esam Eldin, B.M.K. and O.E. Nasr (2014). Effect of guava fruit color and size on fruit fly incidence in khartoum state.www. neppo. org/wp.content
- Hanafy, A.H. (2000). Laboratory evaluation of some local food attraction of the med fly *Ceratitis capitata* (wied). Annal. Agric. Sc. Moshtohor, 38 (u):2517-2527.
- Hanafy, A.H., A.A. Amed, A.M.Z. Mosallm and M.G.M. El-Sherief (2009).The efficiency of new natural compound as insecticides against peach fruit fly *Bacterocero zomata* (saunders). J. Agric. Mansoura Univ., 34 (1): 479-486.
- Khyber, P. (2014). Insect pests and postharvest losses of guava (posted on bitlanders). www. bitlanders. com./biogs/insect

- Lopez, A.C., A.C. Hernandez, F.G. Lara and O.P. Lopez (2003). Physio-chemical changes during ripening in storage of two varieties of prickly pear stored at 18°C. J. Food Technol., 40 (5): 461 – 464.
- Mahmoud, F.M. (2009). Susceptibility of the peach fruit fly *Bacterocero zomata* (saunders) (Diptera : Tephritidae) to three Entomopa thogenic Fungi. Egyptian J. Biol. Pest Control, 19 (2) : 169-179.
- Michael, M. and A. Palmateer (2013). Florida Plant Disease Management Guide : Guava (*psidium guajava*). Publication, 232.
- Muhammad, J.T., A.A. Nadeem and A.H. Ishfaq (2012). Effect of salicylic acid treatments on storage life of peach fruits cv. "Flordaking."
 J. Pak. J. Bot., 44 (1): 119 124.
- Nurul, A. and H. Mosharraf (2012). Reduction of postharvest loss and prolonging the shelf. life of banana fruits through hot water treatment. J. Chem. Engin., IEB, 27 : 1.
- Omayma, M.I., E.A.A. El-Moniem, A.S.E. Abd Allah and M.A.A. El-Naggar (2010). Influence of some postharvest treatments on guava fruits. Agric. and Biol. J. North Ame., 2151-2177.
- Pen, J.E., R. Duncan, T. Vasquez and M. Hennessey (1999). Guava arthropod seasonality and control of fruit flies in South Florida. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc., 112-206-209.
- Ponomarev, P.F. (1968). Changes in content of pectin and in activities of pectolytic enzymes during ripening and storage of pears. Tovaroredenie 3: 6 10 C.F. Fed. Sci. Technol. Abst., 2 (10): 1092.
- Rahmann, G. and U. Akhsoy (2014). Control of fruit flies pest on guava fruit by using organic insecticide. Building organic bridges, johann Heinrich vonthunen-Institute, Braunschwei, Germany, Thuenen Rep., 675-678.
- Rippon, L.E. and Trochoulias (2007). Ripening responses of banana to temperature, Aust. J. Exp. Agric., 16 (78): 140 – 144 SAS (1985).
- Rosario, R.C., J.S. Guillen, E.B. Griffin and M.A.A.V. Lopez (2013). Irradiation effects on the chemical quality of guavas. Advance J. Food Sci. and Technol., 5 (2): 90-98.

- Robert, E.P. and C.C. Ching (2014). Postharvest quality-maintenance guidelines, Fruit, nut and beverage crops, F-N-41.
- SAS uses 'guide statistics for personal computers version 5th Ed. SAS Inst. Cary N Co.
- Siddiqui, S., A. Brackmann, J. Sterif and Bangenrth (1996). Controlled atmosphere storage of Apples: cell wall composition and fruit softening. J. Hort, Sci., 71 (4): 613-620 (C.F. Hort. Abst., 66 (11): 9219).
- Singh, S.P. and R.K. Pal (2009). Ionizing radiation treatment to improve postharvest life and maintain quality of fresh guava fruit. Rad. Phys. and Chem., 78 (2): 135-140.

- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1980). Statistical Methods. 7th Ed., 4th printing, the Iowa state Univ. Press Ames., Iowa USA.
- Steel, R.G.D and J.H. Torrie (1980). Principals and Procedures of Statistics. A biometrical approach. 2nd MC Grow-Hill kogakusha Ltd., 633.
- Yassin, N.M. and E.A. Tayel (2011). Regulating of ripening and maintaining the quality of banana fruits treated with 1-MCP. Exogenous Ethylene and storage temperature. Alex. Sci. Exchange J., 32 : 3.
- Yavada, U.T. (1996). Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). An exotic tree fruit with potential in south eastern United states .Hortsci.31:789-794.

كفاءة الرش بثلاثة مبيدات حشرية حيوية قبل الحصاد للتحكم فى حشرة ذبابة الخوخ على ثمار الجوافة البناتي أثناء تداولها

نجلاء محمد عبد الرحيم ياسين^١ أناس عبد العزيز أحمد ^٢

١ ـ محطة بحوث البساتين- الصبحية بالإسكندرية – قسم تداول الفاكهة - مركز البحوث الزراعية - مصر ٢ ـ محطة بحوث وقاية النبات -الصحية بالإسكندرية - مركز البحوث الزراعية - مصر

أجريت التجربة خلال موسمى ٢٠١٣ و ٢٠١٤ على أشجار الجوافة البناتي لدراسة تأثير رش ثلاث مبيدات حيوية بيوزيد ٢.٥ % وبريتكتو ٢.٤ % وبيوارك ٦ % (٣٠٠-٢٥٠-٢٠٠ جم/١٠٠ لتر ماء) قبل الحصاد، خزنت الثمار على درجة ٨ م ورطوبة نسبية ٥٠-٩ %، تم تقدير كلا من نسبة الفقد في الوزن والصلابة ونسبة المواد الصلبة الكلية ومحتوى الثمار من الكار وتينويدات والحموضة وكذلك فيتامين ج، أشارت النتائج أن بيوارك ٦ % أعطى أعلى تأثير في مكافحة حشرة ذبابة من الكار وتينويدات والحموضة وكذلك فيتامين ج، أشارت النتائج أن بيوارك ٦ % أعطى أعلى تأثير في مكافحة حشرة ذبابة من الكار وتينويدات والحموضة وكذلك فيتامين ج، أشارت النتائج أن بيوارك ٦ % أعطى أعلى تأثير في مكافحة حشرة ذبابة الكونو على أشجار الجوافة خلال موسمى التجربة كما وجد خلال فترة تخزين الثمار انخفاض نسبة الفقد في الوزن للثمار المعاملة بمبيد بيوزيد ٥.٢ % والثمار المعاملة بمبيد بريتكتو ٢.٤ % ٢٠٠ جم / ١٠٠ لتر ماء كما وجد أن أعلى نسبة معنوية نسبة الفقد في الوزن الثمار المعاملة بمبيد بيوزيد ٥.٢ % والثمار المعاملة بمبيد بريتكتو ٢.٤ % ٢٠٠ جم / ١٠٠ لتر ماء كما وجد أن أعلى نسبة معنوية نسبة المعاملة بمبيد بريتكتو ٤.٤ % معاملة بمبيد بيوراك ٦ % المر ماء كما وجد أن أعلى نسبة معنوية المعاملة بمبيد بيوزيد ٥.٢ % والثمار المعاملة بمبيد بريتكتو ٤.٤ % معاملة بمبيد بيوراك ٦ % بينما كانت أعلى نسبة معنوية نسبة المعاملة بمبيد بيوراك ٦ % وذلك في كلا الموسمين، كما وجد زيادة معنوية في نسبة الفقد للمواد الصلبة الثمار المعاملة بمبيد بيوزيد ٥.٢ % وذلك في كلا الموسمين، كما وجد زيادة معنوية في الورن ونسبة المواد الصلبة الكلية ومحتوي الكاروتينيات مع طول فترات التخزين خلال موسمي التجربة بينما وجد في الوزن ونسبة المواد الصلبة الكلية والموضة وفيتامين ج أثناء التخزين.

أستاذ المبيدات – كلية الزراعة – جامعة الزقازيق.

المحكمون :

۱ ـ أ.د. صفاء عبدالغني نمير

۲ ـ أ.د. على أحمد على أيوب

أستاذ الفاكهة – كلية الزراعة – جامعة الزقازيق.