# **Journal of Plant Production**

Journal homepage: <u>www.jpp.mans.edu.eg</u> Available online at: <u>www.jpp.journals.ekb.eg</u>

# Organic Cowpea "Vigna unguiculatea" Production by Smart Agritechnique of Organic Fertilizers Mixture "OFM" and Vermitea Levels and Beneficial Microbes "BM"

### Amal K. Abou El-Goud\*



Department of Botany Department "Organic Agriculture", Agricultural Faculty, Damietta University, Egypt,

## ABSTRACT



Positive response of cowpea to three levels (4, 6 and 8 t/fed.) of MOF "the mixture of 25% vermicompost+ 25% chicken manure+25% cow dump+25% plant composted" alone and in combination with beneficial microbes B.M. (the mixture of Azotobacter, Bacillus megatherium and Bacillus circulance) and three times of vermitea (VTS3) were adding (3 times on soil drench) were studied. Two field experiments were carried out at a private farm El Amryia, Egypt in two different sites. Experimental field was laid out with 13 treatments in RCBD with three replicates. On results, T13=MOF (8T/fed.)+B.M.+VTS3 gave the highest mean values of plant height (281.5cm), No. of branches (12.7), No. of pods/plant (37.6), total chlorophyll (60.25 SPAD) in leaves, pod weight (141.0 g/plant) and total pod yield (2924.704 kg/fed) as an average of both sites. The lowest means values at T1 "the control" were (81.6 cm, 2.8, 10.6, 16.8 SPAD, 39.3 g/plant and 815.555 kg/fed as an average of both sites, respectively). As well as; T13 lead to significant increases in grain quality of (N, P and K % and proteins %) were (4.7,0.5,0.9 % and 29.8%, respectively) compared to T1 (1.0, 0.11, 0.21 and 6.7%, respectively). While T13 was caused significantly increases in mean values by the mixture of bio-organic fertilizers and bio-pesticides provided best results in vegetative growth, total pod yield and grain quality more than T1 in poor nutrients soil. Organic cowpea was produced by bio-organic fertilizers "MOF+B.M." and vermitea "VTS" really "chemicals free", health protection, cost lowest and environmental friendly.

Keywords: MOF, VTS3, B.M., yield, quality, cowpea

## INTRODUCTION

Cowpea (Vigna unguiculatea, L.) as a summer crop and it is a major grain legume grown in Africa; it is a high nutritive value and major source of protein but a cheap source of quality protein for both rural and urban dwellers in Africa (Fatahi et al., 2014 and Joshi et al., 2016). Cowpea leaves and green pods are consumed as organic wastes, animal feeding and the dried grain is used in food preparations. Cowpea leaves protein ranged from 27 to 43% and protein% of dry grain ranged from 21 to 33%. It is estimated that cowpea able to fix nitrogen up to 200 kg/ha and leave a positive soil N balance of up to 92 kg/ha (Yoganathan et al., 2013; Ragab et al., 2016 and Umadevi et al., 2019). Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L.) has been promising double purpose as plant proteins for humans and animals forage for animals introduced to the Egyptian agriculture (Lyngdoh et al., 2017). Ezeaku et al., 2015 pointed out that, a cup of cooked dry beans/day should lower in the low-density cholesterol, regulate blood sugars and insulin, prevent gastrointestinal troubles, lower blood pressure and regulate the bowels even for hemorrhoids and cancer. Cowpea supplies about 40% of the daily proteins requirement to the most of people in Africa. It improves soil fertility fixing the atmospheric nitrogen "fix 46 to 103 kg N/h" annually. They can reduce the need of N chemical fertilizer reflects on the environmental cleaning, because of biological N fixation for environmentally friendly and is a

good idea for sustainable agriculture (Ezeaku *et al.*, 2015; Lyngdoh *et al.*, 2017 and Htwe *et al.*, 2019)

Organic farming for boost agricultural production to important multi features e.g., it is rich in nutrients, vitamins, plant hormones, growth regulators and free from pathogens. Different sources of organic fertilizers provide all nutrients, hormones and vitamins in readily available forms and enhance uptake them by plants and play a major role for developing plant growth and yield and raising the soil quality. Significantly affected on the quality and mineral content of NPK in seeds of pea plants (Htwe et al., 2019) and increased its content of zinc and other minerals under application of farmyard manure compost and biofertilizers. Organic manures stimulate the beneficial microorganisms activities, which makes the plants to get the macro and micro-nutrients by enhancing biological, chemical and physical properties, increasing nutrient solubility, alter soil salinity (Rajiv et al., 2009; Yoganathan et al., 2013; Lyngdoh et al., 2017; Piya et al., 2018 and Htwe et al., 2019). Chicken manure, vermicompost can be a good substitute for chemical fertilizers and remove the pesticides and adverse the chemical pollution in newly sandy soil. Friendly-environment is like the mixture of organic fertilizers with biofertilizers to enhance the aeration, waterholding capacity, microbial activity and drainage. Interaction between red wiggler worm and microbes in chicken manure, cow dump and plant composted to increase the biodegradation of red wiggler intestine for producing the bio-organic fertilizer. They contain macro and micro nutrients in plant available, vitamins, plant regulators and hormone for initial healthy plant growth (Yadavi et al., 2014; Ramnarin et al., 2018 and Abou El Goud, 2020). As well as, MOF have large surface areas lead to provide many sites for beneficial microbial activity and for strong retention of nutrients (Ye et al., 2019). Due to their different productions of plant composted, cow and chicken manures and vermicast might exhibit different physicochemical and microbial features, reflected that on the excellent growth and crop yield (Arancon et al., 2003; Arancon et al., 2008; Moraditochaee et al., 2011; Moradi et al., 2014; Mahmud et al., 2018; Abou El Goud and Yousry, 2019 and Soraya et al., 2020). Organic fertilizers have high nutritional values of especially N, P, K, Ca , S, Zn, Fe and Mg, while the contamination with heavy metals and other toxicity are biochelating and not apsorbion from roots (Moradi et al., 2014; Agyenim et al., 2015; Amoah et al., 2018 and Gao et al., 2020).

Vermitea extraction from vermicompost (Sundararasu and Jeyasankar, 2014) has positive effects in growth, flowering, fruiting and soil quality. So that, it has the Integrated Plant Nutrition System (IPNS) and plays the important roles for rooting initiation system, nutritional plant, promoting growth regulators and improve plant production (Abou El Goud, 2020 and Soraya et al., 2020). It is as liquid fertilizer for excellent plant feeding, enhances enzymatic activity, plant growth regulators, antibiotics and hormons (Brown, 1995; Musa et al., 2017; Birla et al., 2018 and Soraya et al., 2020). As well as, it contains antibiotics, different kinds of beneficial microbes like "Azotobacter, Azosperillium, Rhizobium, B.magatherium, B. circulance, B.subtilus, Psedomonaus fluorescence, Trichoderma spp. "for using as a biological control for soil borne pathogens and different insects (Edwards et al., 2006 and Soraya et al., 2020). Chemical fertilizer causes environmental hazards; surface water pollution by nitrate leaching (Yadavi et al., 2014 and Ye et al., 2019; Gao et al., 2020 and Abou El Goud, 2020). Usage of chemicals "fertilizers and pesticides" should be prevent by the recycling of different organic wastes by composting or vermicomposting processes (Ramnarin et al., 2018 and Gao et al., 2020).

Beneficial microbes are commonly used as inoculants for improving the growth and yield to replace chemical fertilizers, pesticides and supplements biological nitrogen fixing bacteria like "Azotobacter" to fix 180 & 10 6 metric tons/ year; Bacillus megatherium and B. circulans able to phosphate and potassium solubilizing, respectively; to stimulate plant growth, nutrition increasing the uptake of N,P K and Fe ; which they are rapidly colonize the rhizosphere, suppress soil borne pathogens at the root surface to control plant pests, produce metabolites e.g. antibiotics, phytohormones, volatile compounds, have efficient systems for uptake and catabolism of organic compounds in root exudate (Abou El Goud, 2006; Ban et al., 2011; Singh et al., 2012 and Soraya et al., 2020;). They able to act with soil beneficial microbes caused enhancable of microbial communities within rhizosphere, decrease the rates of chemical fertilizers (Abou El Goud, 2006) and increase the grain yield of Trigonella foenum and Nigella sativa. Therefore, this trial is to use many different varieties sources of organic fertilizers (the mixture of 25%

vermicompost + 25% plant composted + 25% cow dump + 25% chicken manure), benefits microbes (*Azotobacter*+ *B.magatherium* + *B. circulance*) and vermitea on total cowpea pods yield and grain quality in sandy soil.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field trials were conducted in the summer season at 5th April, 2019 at a private farm El Amryia, El King-Mariout, Egypt, in a newly soil, to investigate efficiency response of mixed organic fertilizers MOF (25% vermicompost + 25% plant composted + 25% cow dump + 25% chicken manure) at three levels (4,6 and 8t/fed.), benefits microbes "BM" (Azotobacter+ B.magatherium + B. circulance) and vermitea "VTS3" was added three times as a soil drench on total pods yield and grain quality in sandy soil. The field experiment was conducted in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications, it consists of 13 treatments: T1= control (recommended doses of ammonium nitrate 33.5% = 250 kg/fed. + super phosphate 15.5%=150 kg/fed. + potassium sulphate 48% = 75 kg/fed.), T2= MOF (4 t/fed.), T3 = MOF (4 t/fed.) + VTS3, T4 = MOF (4 t/fed.) + B.M.,T5 = MOF (4 t/fed.) + B.M. +VTS3, T6= MOF (6 t/fed.), T7= MOF (6 t/fed.) + VTS3, T8= MOF (6 t/fed.) + B.M., T9= MOF (6 t/fed.) + B.M. +VTS3, T10= MOF (8 t/fed.), T11= MOF (8 t/fed.) + VTS3, T12= MOF (8 t/fed.) + B.M., T13=MOF (8T/fed.) +B.M. + VTS3. Soil sample (0-30cm) was taken before sowing to determine the physical and chemical properties , details: soil texture was sandy (90.74%), silt (4.31%) and clay (4.95%), (pH= 8.0, O.M. %= 1.86%, O.C. %= 1.08%, available of N= 19.53 mg/kg, P= 14.5 mg/kg and K= 475 mg/kg and E.C. (1:1 water extract) = 1.51 ds/m and CaCO<sub>3</sub> %= 4.2%) according to Evenhuis, 1976; Chapman and Pratt, 1978; Page et al., 1982 and Klute 1986. Mixed organic fertilizers "MOF" contain of the mixture of (25% vermicompost + 25% plant composted + 25% cow dump + 25% chicken manure, w: w) as equal weight quantity by composting and vermicomposting processes of them for 25 days and two months, respectively. Then sample was taken from them (MOF) before adding to the soil to estimate (organic carbon%= 17.3%, total organic matter% = 30.3% , C/N ratio= 3.4:1, pH (1:10)= 7.6, E.C.(1:10, water extract) = 4.3 dS/m, total amount of macro elements; N=3.24%, P=2.42% and K=6.4%) according to Jackson, 1973; Everhuis, 1976; Chapman and Pratt, 1978; Page et al., 1982 and Klute 1986. It was added at 7th. March, 2019. Beneficial microbes "B.M." were the mixture of Azotobacter + B.magatherium + B. circulance from Cairo MERCEN, Fac. of Agriculture, Ain Shams Univ., at Shoubra El- Khima. They were added at the rate of (7ml/ hill) from each species with sowing. Vermitea was produced by mixed of vermicompost (250g), yeast, salicylic acid (5 g), humic acid (100g), rabbit urine (200 ml), coffee (100 g) and molasses soaked in 2 liters of tap water for 72 hr. and then it sieved through net and diluted by concentration (1:10) to produce vermitea (VT) according to (Sundararasu and Jeyasankar, 2014 and Chaulagain et al., 2017). It was applied as a soil drench (200 ml/ plant) three times per 10 days were beginning after 30 days from sowing. Total plot area was (3.4 m length and 0.8 m width =  $2.7 \text{ m}^2$ ) as an experimental unit and total number of plant was 14 plant and

the distance between two hills was 17 cm. Cutting harvest of cowpea pods after 85 days from sowing at 1st. July, 2019 as the end growing season. From each plot randomized, two plants were taken to determine plant height (cm), No. of branches/plant, No. of pods/plant, pod weight g/plant, total pod yield kg/fed were recorded. At a green/vegetative stage, total chlorophyll in leaves (SPAD) according to Roods and Blood-Worth, 1964, which is a light weight hand held to measure the chlorophyll content of leaves without causing damage to plant by at a green stage, total chlorophyll in leaves (SPAD); Signal Passed At Danger. Samples of cowpea grains were washed by distilled water and oven dried at 75°C for fixing dry weights (72h.) to measure grain contents of N, P and K after dryness samples. Dried samples of grain was finely ground, then wet digested by using concentrate of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> /H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> according to Lowther, 1980 to determine the percentage of potassium (flam photometer) according to Jackson, 1973; phosphorus (vanaomolybdophosphoric method).Total nitrogen was estimated by Nessler's method (Chapman and Pratt, 1978) in grains. Proteins % were calculated by the equipment =N% & 6.25in grains. Statistical analyzed for all data by using the SAS program (SAS, 2001) and means of 13 treatments were compared by using Duncan's Multiple Range test at 5% level of probability in this investigation.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Vegetation, pod yield and its components

Sandy soil texture is 90.74% sand, poor in macronutrients (N=19.53 mg/kg, P=14.5 mg/kg and K=475 mg/kg), organic carbon (1.08%) and organic matter (1.86%), which they below ranged for a good plant nutritional requirement, yield and fruit quality (Landon, 1991). Mean values of plant height, No. of branches / plant, No. of pods / plant, Total chlorophyll in leaves (SPAD) were (281.5, 12.7, 37.7 and 60.25 SPAD, as an average for two different sites, respectively) at T 13 in table (1). T13 was MOF (8T/fed.) + VTS3. +B.M., which were indicated that, the highly significant increases in vegetation parameters in Table (1), more than T1 by the increasing percentage were (244.9, 370.4, 258.1 and 258.6 %, respectively). Results in Table (2) detected that, T13 lead to the highest significant increases in pod weight (g/plant), total pod weight (g/plot) and total pod weight (kg/fed) were (140.9, 1973.3 and 2923.407 as an average for both sites, respectively) compared to T1 as controlled once. All tested treatments from T13 to T1 lead to significant differences in vegetation parameters and total yield in Table (1 and 2), but T13 cause the highly significant increases of them compared to T1 the control once. The highly significant of treatments at T13 for both different sites, 2019 in grain components is an clear evident about (8 t/fed of mixture of organic fertilizers +B.M. + VTS3) able to enhance the nutritional powerful statues, which reflected on total pod yield and grain component cleared that in Table (1,2 and 3). Means comparison in Table 3 shown that, its components in N%, protein%, P% and K % in grains at T13 were (4.8, 29.8, 0.5 and 0.9 % in grains, as an average for both sites, respectively) compared to T1. The lowest values were (N% =1.1, protein%=6.7, P %= 0.11 and K%= 0.12 %, as an average for both sites) at the controlled once (T1) in Table (3). In general we can say that the treatments of different levels (4,

6 and 8 t/fed) of the mixture of organic fertilizers + VTS3 (three times of vermitea as a soil drench) as a biological controlled with bio-fertilizers "B.M. = the mixture of Azotobacter + B. megatherium + B. circulans" have the best modify in poor nutrients soil; because of B.M. which they lead to fix the atmospheric N, dissolving P and K and adding equipment of them. That reflected on plant strength growth, health yield and pods quality among all treatments from T13 to T 2 where gave an average high significant differences in growth parameters, yield and its components compared to control treatment T1 (R100% of NPK chemical fertilizers) in the both sites, 2019. Organic fertilizers lead to soil fertility and productivity was maintained by the soil organic carbon and matter. Humus acts directly as a source for nutrional plant and indirectly effects on the physico- chemical properties compared to heavy applications of chemical "fertilizers and pesticides" were cause depletion of certain nutrients and pollution in soil, plant, animal, fish and air. Mixture of different organic fertilizers i.e. vermicompost, chicken, cow manures and plant composted are slow releasing of macro and micro nutrients, huge amounts of vitamins, hormones, plant regulators, enzymes "protease, amylase, unease and phosphatase", antibiotics and organic acids, which they caused dissolving the minerals, humus chelating them and transporting into the root cells for a powerful nutritional plant, safety crop production (Fatahi et al., 2014; Amoah et al., 2018; Al Ali et al., 2019 and Abou El Goud, 2020). Physicochemical and microbial properties of soil, by biofertilizers ""B.M. = the mixture of Azotobacter + B. megatherium + B. circulans" for enhancing the microbial activity, increasing the availability of N, P and K uptakes for positively reflection on health growth, yield and quality characters (Joshi et al., 2016; Lyngdoh et al., 2017; Amoah et al., 2018 and Abou El Goud and Yousry, 2019). Improving cowpea growth by adding 100% of the mixture of organic fertilizers + B.M. combined were attributed to the positive effects of microbial communities in rhizosphere zone; which they contains huge amounts of humic acids, hormones, folvice acid, amino acids, macro and micro nutrients, vitamins, plant regulators and antibiotics. They able to increase the growth, yield and its quality, this finding were agreement with (Rajiv et al., 2009; Ezeaku et al., 2015; Dalorima et al., 2018; Umadevi et al., 2019 and Abou El Goud, 2020). Organic fertilization lead to enhance the cations exchange, water holding capacity, soil pH, and total amounts of C, O.M., N, P and K ultimately resulted in the accumulation of plant biomass. As well as, B.M. were caused not only dissolving P and K, fix the atmospheric N, but also stimulate the growth regulators and hormones "auxins, cytokinins and gibberellins" production to enhance the beneficial microbes "microbial communities" to inhibit the soil borne pathogens (Birla et al., 2018; Bellitürk, 2018; Al Ali et al., 2019 and Soraya et al., 2020) and increase plant defense, healthy growth and quality food. These are agreement with (Ragab et al., 2016; Lyngdoh et al., 2017; Piya et al., 2018; Htwe et al., 2019 and Umadevi et al., 2019). Positive effects of different sources of organic fertilizers combination with B.M. and vermitea as a soil drench on cowpea grown in poor nutrients soil in this study. They had significant differences between all treatments from T13 to T1, because of (MOF+ B.M.) as bio-organic fertilizers for plant establishment was a well-improved root rhizosphere to tap

nutrients by B.M. and beneficial microbes of MOF, this argument in this investigated. Vermitea contains antibiotics, vitamins and minerals to be the best organic fertility and biological control to increase yield and its quality of cowpea plant. The same trend by (Abou El Goud, 2010; Kyei-Boahen et al., 2017; Birla et al., 2018; Dalorima et al., 2018 and Abou El Goud, 2020) were found that, organic fertilizers improve growth and yield of plant, it has promising nutrients value more than traditional manures or chemicals. Due to increase the mineralization rate by microbial action, biological agents and humidity cation degree. This was influenced as a perfect result of vermicompost produces "biodegradation of Red wiggler intestine" to increase the activity of beneficial microbes lead to positive effects of the mixture of chicken, cow manures and plant composted on potential plant growth and subsequently yield and products enhancement (Agyenim et al., 2015; Kyei- Boahen et al., 2017; Mainga et al., 2018 and Gao et al., 2020). Organic fertilizers activated many species of living organisms to release phytohormones and stimulate the growth and nutritional status. They detected that, safety food; production by bio-organic fertilization and biopesticides; which they contain more vitamins, antioxidants and less unhealthy fatty acids like organic wheat, potatoes, cabbage, tomatoes, onions, lettuce, cucumber, squash, eggplant, watermelon and molokhia (Abou El Goud, 2006 and 2010; Audi et al. 2013, Aniekwe and Nwokwu, 2015; Zhao et al., 2018 and Abou El Goud, 2020). The productivity study of MOF (8t/fed) + B.M.+ VTS3; which is contains nitrogen fixing bacteria "Azotobacter; Rhizobium and Azospirrillum"; *"B*. potassium solubilizing bacteria *circulans*" and phosphate solubilizing bacteria *"B*. megatherium". They are combination with "B.M." beneficial microbes, which lead to fix huge amounts of the atmospheric nitrogen and dissolve phosphorus and potassium in rhizosphere for enhancable nutritional plant (Abou El Goud et al, 2010; Yoganathan et al., 2013; Fatahi et al., 2014; Joshi et al., 2016; Abd El Lateef et al., 2018; Umadevi et al., 2019 and Soraya et al., 2020).

Table 1. Effect of MOF, VTS3 and B.M. on vegetative and yield parameters of cowpea "Vigna unguiculatea" at two different sites, 2019

uniter ente bi							
Plant height cm		No. of branches / plant		No. of pods / plant		Total chlorophyll in leaves (SPAD)	
Site1	Site 2	Site1	Site 2	Site1	Site 2	Site1	Site 2
81.6 i	81.7 m	2.67 k	3.0 j	10.5 m	10.73 L	16.9 m	16.77 m
89.43 hi	89.57 L	3.67 j	3.33 ji	11.2 L	11.5 L	18.4 L	18.3 L
100.37 ghi	100.0 k	4.33 ji	4.33 hi	13.0 k	13.07 k	21.03 k	20.53 k
110.5 ghi	110.23 j	5.0 hi	4.67 gh	14.13 j	14.57 j	23.23 j	23.37 ј
122.47 gh	123.3 i	5.67 gh	5.77 fg	16.13 i	16.07 i	25.30 i	25.4 i
135.4 gf	136.6 h	6.33 gf	6.0 f	17.67 h	17.9 h	28.57 h	28.43 h
106.27 ghi	151.7 g	7.0 ef	6.67 ef	19.63 g	19.4 g	31.63 g	31.47 g
167.27 f	166.47 f	7.65 e	7.67 de	22.2 f	22.03 f	35.13 f	35.27 f
186.53 e	185.37 e	8.67 d	8.33 d	24.5 e	14.27 e	39.13 e	39.07 e
207.43 d	208.03 d	9.33 d	9.68 c	27.6 d	27.5 d	43.20 d	43.33 d
230.47 с	230.2 c	10.33 c	10.67 bc	30.27 c	30.30 c	48.23 c	48.33 c
258.0 b	258.58 b	11.67 b	11.33 b	33.23 b	33.27 b	54.0 b	53.9 b
281.47 a	281.57 a	12.67 a	12.67 a	37.6 a	37.7 a	60.27 a	60.23 a
	Plant h Site1 81.6 i 89.43 hi 100.37 ghi 110.5 ghi 122.47 gh 135.4 gf 106.27 ghi 167.27 f 186.53 e 207.43 d 230.47 c 258.0 b	Site1         Site 2           81.6 i         81.7 m           89.43 hi         89.57 L           100.37 ghi         100.0 k           110.5 ghi         110.23 j           122.47 gh         123.3 i           135.4 gf         136.6 h           106.27 ghi         151.7 g           167.27 f         166.47 f           186.53 e         185.37 e           207.43 d         208.03 d           230.47 c         230.2 c           258.0 b         258.58 b	Plant height cmNo. of branSite1Site 2Site181.6 i81.7 m2.67 k89.43 hi89.57 L3.67 j100.37 ghi100.0 k4.33 ji110.5 ghi110.23 j5.0 hi122.47 gh123.3 i5.67 gh135.4 gf136.6 h6.33 gf106.27 ghi151.7 g7.0 ef167.27 f166.47 f7.65 e186.53 e185.37 e8.67 d207.43 d208.03 d9.33 d230.47 c230.2 c10.33 c258.0 b258.58 b11.67 b	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Plant height cm & No. of branches / plant \\ \hline Site1 & Site2 & Site1 & Site2 \\ \hline $81.6 i & $81.7 m & $2.67 k & $3.0 j$ \\ \hline $89.43 hi & $89.57 L & $3.67 j & $3.33 ji$ \\ 100.37 ghi & $100.0 k$ & $4.33 ji$ & $4.33 hi$ \\ \hline $110.5 ghi & $110.23 j$ & $5.0 hi$ & $4.67 gh$ \\ \hline $122.47 gh & $123.3 i$ & $5.67 gh$ & $5.77 fg$ \\ \hline $135.4 gf$ & $136.6 h$ & $6.33 gf$ & $6.0 f$ \\ \hline $106.27 ghi & $151.7 g$ & $7.0 ef$ & $6.67 ef$ \\ \hline $167.27 f$ & $166.47 f$ & $7.65 e$ & $7.67 de$ \\ \hline $186.53 e$ & $185.37 e$ & $8.67 d$ & $8.33 d$ \\ \hline $207.43 d$ & $208.03 d$ & $9.33 d$ & $9.68 c$ \\ \hline $230.47 c$ & $230.2 c$ & $10.33 c$ & $10.67 bc$ \\ \hline $258.0 b$ & $258.58 b$ & $11.67 b$ & $11.33 b$ \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	Plant height cmNo. of branches / plantNo. of poperationSite1Site 2Site1Site 2Site1 $81.6$ i $81.7$ m $2.67$ k $3.0$ j $10.5$ m $89.43$ hi $89.57$ L $3.67$ j $3.33$ ji $11.2$ L $100.37$ ghi $100.0$ k $4.33$ ji $4.33$ hi $13.0$ k $110.5$ ghi $110.23$ j $5.0$ hi $4.67$ gh $14.13$ j $122.47$ gh $123.3$ i $5.67$ gh $5.77$ fg $16.13$ i $135.4$ gf $136.6$ h $6.33$ gf $6.0$ f $17.67$ h $106.27$ ghi $151.7$ g $7.0$ ef $6.67$ ef $19.63$ g $167.27$ f $166.47$ f $7.65$ e $7.67$ de $22.2$ f $186.53$ e $185.37$ e $8.67$ d $8.33$ d $24.5$ e $207.43$ d $208.03$ d $9.33$ d $9.68$ c $27.6$ d $230.47$ c $230.2$ c $10.33$ c $10.67$ bc $30.27$ c $258.0$ b $258.58$ b $11.67$ b $11.33$ b $33.23$ b	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Plant height cmNo. of branches / plantNo. of pods / plantTotal chlorophyll inSite1Site 2Site1Site 2Site1Site 2Site1 $81.6$ i $81.7$ m $2.67$ k $3.0$ j $10.5$ m $10.73$ L $16.9$ m $89.43$ hi $89.57$ L $3.67$ j $3.33$ ji $11.2$ L $11.5$ L $18.4$ L $100.37$ ghi $100.0$ k $4.33$ ji $4.33$ hi $13.0$ k $13.07$ k $21.03$ k $110.5$ ghi $110.23$ j $5.0$ hi $4.67$ gh $14.13$ j $14.57$ j $23.23$ j $122.47$ gh $123.3$ i $5.67$ gh $5.77$ fg $16.13$ i $16.07$ i $25.30$ i $135.4$ gf $136.6$ h $6.33$ gf $6.0$ f $17.67$ h $17.9$ h $28.57$ h $106.27$ ghi $151.7$ g $7.0$ ef $6.67$ ef $19.63$ g $19.4$ g $31.63$ g $167.27$ f $166.47$ f $7.65$ e $7.67$ de $22.2$ f $22.03$ f $35.13$ f $186.53$ e $185.37$ e $8.67$ d $8.33$ d $24.5$ e $14.27$ e $39.13$ e $207.43$ d $208.03$ d $9.33$ d $9.68$ c $27.6$ d $27.5$ d $43.20$ d $230.47$ c $230.2$ c $10.33$ c $10.67$ bc $30.27$ c $30.30$ c $48.23$ c $258.0$ b $258.58$ b $11.67$ b $11.33$ b $33.23$ b $33.27$ b $54.0$ b

T1= control (recommended doses of ammonium nitrate 33.5% = 250 kg/fed. + super phosphate 15.5% = 150 kg/fed. + potassium sulphate 48% = 75 kg/fed.), T2= MOF (4 t/fed.), T3 = MOF (4 t/fed.) + VTS3, T4 = MOF (4 t/fed.) + B.M., T5 = MOF (4 t/fed.) + B.M. + VTS3, T6= MOF (6 t/fed.), T7= MOF (6 t/fed.) + VTS3, T8= MOF (6 t/fed.) + B.M., T9= MOF (6 t/fed.) + B.M. + VTS3, T10= MOF (8 t/fed.), T11= MOF (8 t/fed.) + VTS3, T12= MOF (8 t/fed.) + B.M., T13=MOF (8 t/fed.) + B.M. + VTS3.

 Table 2. Effect of MOF, VTS3 and B.M. on total pod yield of cowpea "Vigna unguiculatea" at two different sites, 2019

Treat	Pod weigh	Pod weight (g / plant)		eight (g/ plot)	Total pod weight (kg/ fed)		
	Site1	Site 2	Site1	Site 2	Site1	Site 2	
T1	39.03 m	39.53 m	546.47 m	553.47 m	810.370 m	820.740 m	
T2	44.43 L	44.67 L	622.07 L	625.33 L	921.481 L	925.926 L	
T3	49.0 k	49.43 k	686.0 k	692.07 k	1016.296 k	1025.185 k	
T4	54.57 j	54.63 j	763.93 j	764.87 j	1131.852 j	1133.333 j	
T5	60.4 i	59.80 i	845.6 i	837.2 i	1253.333 i	1240.000 i	
T6	66.73 h	67.33 h	934.27 h	942.67 h	1383.704 h	1397.037 h	
T7	75.27 g	7503 g	1053.73 g	1050.47 g	1561.482 g	1556.296 g	
T8	83.47 f	83.70 f	1168.53 f	1172.27 f	1732.852 f	1736.296 f	
Т9	92.67 e	92.80 e	1297.33 e	1299.2 e	1921.482 e	1924.444 e	
T10	102.67 d	102.87 d	1437.33 d	1440.13 d	2129.889 d	2133.333 d	
T11	114.87 c	114.4 c	1608.13 c	1601.6 c	2382.222 c	2373.333 с	
T12	127.57 b	127.03 b	1785.93 b	1778.47 b	2646.926 b	2636.556 b	
T13	141.07 a	140.83 a	1974.93 a	1971.67 a	2926.926 a	2922.482 a	

T1= control (recommended doses of ammonium nitrate 33.5% = 250 kg/fed. + super phosphate 15.5% = 150 kg/fed. + potassium sulphate 48% = 75 kg/fed.), T2= MOF (4 t/fed.), T3 = MOF (4 t/fed.) + VTS3, T4 = MOF (4 t/fed.) + B.M., T5 = MOF (4 t/fed.) + B.M. + VTS3, T6= MOF (6 t/fed.), T7= MOF (6 t/fed.) + VTS3, T8= MOF (6 t/fed.) + B.M., T9= MOF (6 t/fed.) + B.M. + VTS3, T10= MOF (8 t/fed.), T11= MOF (8 t/fed.) + VTS3, T12= MOF (8 t/fed.) + B.M., T13=MOF (8 t/fed.) + B.M. + VTS3.

Т.	N % i	N % in grains		Protein % in grains		P % in grains		K % in grains	
	Site1	Site 2	Site1	Site 2	Site1	Site 2	Site1	Site 2	
T1	1.17 L	0.97 m	7.29 L	6.04 m	0.11 m	0.12 m	0.21m	0.20m	
T2	1.43 k	1.33 L	8.96 k	8.34 L	0.14 L	0.15 L	0.27 L	0.28 L	
T3	1.73j	1.70 k	10.84 j	10.82 k	0.16 k	0.17 k	0.30 k	0.30 k	
T4	1.86 j	1.87 j	11.69 j	11.67 ј	0.19 j	0.20 j	0.34 j	0.33 j	
T5	2.07 i	2.13 i	12.92 i	13.34 i	0.22 i	0.23 i	0.37 i	0.37 i	
T6	2.31 h	2.33 h	14.63 h	14.59 h	0.23 h	0.24 h	0.42 h	0.42 h	
Г7	2.57 g	2.55 g	16.04 g	16.00 g	0.26 g	0.27 g	0.46 g	0.46 g	
Г8	2.82 f	2.79 f	17.53 f	17.29 f	0.29 f	0.28 f	0.52 f	0.50 f	
T9	3.07 e	3.13 e	19.17 e	19.59 e	0.33 e	0.34 e	0.57 e	0.56 e	
T10	3.47 d	3.53 d	21.67 d	22.09 d	0.36 d	0.37 d	0.64 d	0.62 d	
T11	3.9 c	3.8 c	24.38 c	24.59 c	0.40 c	0.41 c	0.71 c	0.70 c	
T12	4.3 b	4.4 b	27.33 b	27.29 b	0.45 b	0.44 b	0.79 b	0.79 b	
T13	4.77 a	4.81 a	29.79 a	29.75 a	0.49 a	0.48 a	0.89 a	0.88 a	

 Table 3. Effect of MOF, VTS3 and B.M. on grain components of cowpea "Vigna unguiculatea" at two different sites, 2019

T1= control (recommended doses of ammonium nitrate 33.5% = 250 kg/fed. + super phosphate 15.5% = 150 kg/fed. + potassium sulphate 48% = 75 kg/fed.), T2= MOF (4 t/fed.), T3 = MOF (4 t/fed.) + VTS3, T4 = MOF (4 t/fed.) + B.M., T5 = MOF (4 t/fed.) + B.M. + VTS3, T6= MOF (6 t/fed.), T7= MOF (6 t/fed.) + VTS3, T8= MOF (6 t/fed.) + B.M., T9= MOF (6 t/fed.) + B.M. + VTS3, T10= MOF (8 t/fed.), T11= MOF (8 t/fed.) + VTS3, T12= MOF (8 t/fed.) + B.M., T13=MOF (8 t/fed.) + B.M. + VTS3.

#### CONCLOUSION

Organic production of crops by "Smart-Agritequnice", usage the high levels of the mixture of organic fertilizers as different sources, which they supplied all nutrients, vitamins, hormone, plant regulators, antibiotics, enzymes, humus, organic carbon and organic matter to soil stimulant. Vermitea as a biological control with the mixture of beneficial microbes B.M. "Azotobacter + B. megatherium + B. circulans" to increase the plant defines, health growth, safety production, improve the soil quality and fertility. Mixture of bio-organic fertilizers (MOF + B.M.) and bio-pesticides (VT) provide the best answer for ecological Smart Agriculture, which are farmers can use the mixture of different sources of organic fertilizers "MOF" at 8t/fed, biofertilizer (B.M.) and vermitea "VTS3" for replacing the chemicals (fertilizers and pesticides), reducing the total cost, protection the environment from chemical pollution and human's health.

### REFERENCES

- Abou El Goud, A.K. 2006. Studies on the interaction between endomycorrhizal fungi and other soil microorganisms on *Nigella sativa* and *Trigonella foenum*. M.SC. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Alexandria Univ. (Saba-Basha), Egypt, pp. 104.
- Abou El Goud, A.K. 2010. Effect of endomycorrhizal fungi and compost on the yield and quality of maize and sunflower plants in poor nutrients soil. Ph. Thesis, Fac. of Organic Agric., KASSEL Univ., Germany, pp.141
- Abou El-Goud, A.K. and Yousry, M.M. 2019. Organic summer squash production using chicken manure, compost and AMF for enhancing soil fertility. Current Science International, ISSN: 2077-4435, Vol. 08, Issue 04, pp. 711-720
- Abou El- Goud, A. K. 2020. Efficiency Response of Vermicompost and Vermitea Levels on Growth and Yield of Eggplant (*Solanum Melongena*, L.). Alex. Sci. Exch. J., Vol. 41, No.1, PP. 61-67
- Abou El-Goud, A.K. 2020. Efficiency impact of chicken manure and its tea under chemical NPK fertilizers regime on yield and quality of Molokhia (*Jew's mellow*).

- Al Ali, M., C. Gencoglan, and Gencoglan, S. 2019. The effect of organic and inorganic fertilizer applications on yield and plant vegetative growth of eggplant (*Solanum melongena*, L.). Int.J. of Plant & Soil Sci. 29 (1): 1-9.
- Arancon, N. Q., C.A.Edwards, A.Babenko, J. Cannon and Metzger, J. 2008. Influences of vermicomposts, produced by earthworms and microorganisms from cattle manure, food waste and paper waste on the germination, growth and flowering of petunias in the greenhouse. Applied Soil Ecology. 39: 91- 99
- Arancon, N.Q., P. Galvis, C. Edwards and Yardim, E. 2003. The trophic diversity of nematodes communities in soil treated with vermicompost. Pedobiologia. 47: 736-740.
- Audi, W., J.N. Aguyoh and Gao-Qiong, L. 2013. Yield and Quality of Watermelon as affected by Organic and Inorganic Nitrogen Sources. Asian Online Journals (www.ajouronline.com) 180, Volume 01– Issue 04, Asian Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences (ISSN: 2321–1571)
- Aniekwe,l. and Nwokwu, G. 2015. Effects of Organic Manure Sources on the Growth and Yield of Watermelon in Abakaliki, Southeastern Nigeria. International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR), Vol. 4, Iss. 1.
- Agyenim, K., B. Gyapong and Larbi Ayisi, C. 2015. The Effect of Organic Manures on Soil Fertility and Microbial Biomass Carbon, Nitrogen and Phosphorus under Maize-cowpea Intercropping System. Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences. ISSN: 2346-7002, Vol. 3(4): 65-77
- Amoah, A.A., S. Miyagawa and Kawakubo, N. 2018. Effect of Supplementing Inorganic Fertilizer with Organic Fertilizer on Growth and Yield of Rice - Cowpea Mixed Crop. Plant Production Science. ISSN: 1343-943, pp. 1349-1008
- Abd El Lateef, E. M., M. S. Abd El-Salam, T. A. Elewa and Wali, A. M. 2018. Effect of Organic Manures and Adjusted N Application on Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp) Yield, Quality and Nutrient Removal in Sandy Soil. Middle East Journal of Applied Sciences. Vol. 08, Issue .01, PP. 07-18

- Ban, D., Ban, S.G., Oplanić, M., Horvat, J., Novak, B., Žanić, K. and Žnidarčič, D. 2011. Growth and yield response of watermelon to in-row plant spacing and mycorrhiza. CHILEAN JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH 71(4)
- Baniya, R. and G.S. Vaidya. 2011. Antifungal activity of actinomycetes from vermicompost and their morphological and biochemical characterization. Nepal J. of Sci. and Tech. 12: 97-102.
- Brown, G.G. 1995. How do earthworms affect microfloral and faunal community diversity?. In Plant and Soil. 170: 209-231.
- Birla, J., B.M. Patel, P.M. Patel, Y.A. Tamboli and Patil, D. 2018. Yield and quality of cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp] as influenced by organic sources of nitrogen Legume Research, 41(6): 899-902, ISSN: 0976-0571
- Bellitürk, K. 2018. Vermicomposting in Turkey: Challenges and opportunities in future. Eurasian J. of Forest Sci. 2018 6(4): 32-41.
- Chaulagain, A., P.Dhurva and Lamichhane, G.J. 2017. Vermicompost and its role in plant growth promotion. Int. J. of Res. 4 (8): 849-864.
- Chapman, H.D. and Pratt, P.F. 1978. Methods of analysis for soil plant and waters. Univ. of California, Div. Agric. Sci., Priced public. 4043.
- Dalorima, T., Khandaker, M.M., Zakaria, A. J. and Hasbullah, M. 2018. Impact of organic fertilization in improving bris soil conditions and growth of watermelon (*CITRULLUS LANATUS*). Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science, 24 (No 1) 2018, 112– 118, Agricultural Academy
- Ezeaku, I.E., B. N. Mbah and Baiyeri, K. P. (2015). Planting date and cultivar effects on growth and yield performance of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp. African Journal of Plant Science. Vol. 9 (11), pp. 439-448, http://www.academicjournals.org/AJPS
- Evenhuis, B. 1978. Nitrogen determination. Dept. Agric. Res. Royal tropical inst. Amesterdam. Jackson, M.L. 1973. Soil Chemical Analysis. Constable and Co. LTD. London.
- Edwards, C.A., Arancon, N.Q. and Greytak, S. 2006. Beneficial response may be due to plant growth regulators or hormones produced by the high microbial activity in vermicomposts. THE ORGANICS RECYCLING AUTHORITY 63 S. Seventh Street, Emmaus, PA 18049-3755, 610-967-4135 • www.BioCycle.net
- Fatahi, E., H. R. Mobasser and Akbarian, M. M. 2014. Effect of organic fertilizer on wet weight, dry weight and number of leaves in cowpea. Journal of Novel Applied Sciences Available online at www.jnasci.org. -3-4/440-443
- Htwe, A.Z., K. M. Soe, K. Moe, and Yamakawa, T. 2019. Effects of Biofertilizer Produced from Bradyrhizobium and Streptomyces griseoflavus on Plant Growth Nodulation, Nitrogen fixation, nutrient uptake, and Seed Yield of Mung Bean, Cowpea, and Soybean. Agronomy, 9, 77; www.mdpi.com /journal/ agronomy

- Gao, C., El-Sawah, A.M., Ali, D.F.I., Hamoud, Y.A., Shaghaleh,H. and Sheteiwy,M.S., 2020. The Integrat ion of Bio and Organic Fertilizers Improve Plant Growth, Grain Yield Quality and Metabolism of Hybrid Maize (*Zea mays L.*). Agronomy.10, 319
- Jackson, M.L. 1973. Soil Chemical Analysis. Constable and Co. LTD. London.
- Joshi, D., K.M. Gediya, S. Gupta and Birari, M. M. 2016. Effect of organic manures on soil and quality parameters of cowpea *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp] under middle Gujarat conditions. Agric. Sci. Digest., 36 (3): 216-219
- Kyei- Boahen, S, C. E. N. Savala, D. Chikoye and Abaidoo, R. 2017. Growth and Yield Responses of Cowpea to Inoculation and Phosphorus Fertilization in Different Environments. Plant Science, 1 May, Vol.8, Article 646. www.frontiersin.org
- Klute, A. 1986. Methods of soil analysis part 1, 2 nd ed., Agron. Monor. GASA and SSSA, Madison, W.I.
- Lowther, G.R. 1980. Use of a single H2SO4 H2O2 digest for the analysis of Pinus radiate needles. Common Soil Sci. Plant Analysis. 11: 175-188.
- Landon, J.R. 1991. Booker tropical soil manual: A handbook for soil survey and agricultural and evaluation in the tropics and sub-tropics. Longman Scientific and Technical, Essex, New York. 474.
- Lyngdoh, C., V. Bahadur, A.A. David, V.M. Prasad and Jamir, T. 2017. Effect of Organic Manures, Organic Supplements and Biofertilizers on Growth and Yield of Cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci., 6 (8): 1029-1036
- Moradi, H., Fahramand, M., Sobhkhizi, A., Adibian, M., Noori, M., Abdollahi, S. and Rigi, K. 2014. Effect of vermicompost on plant growth and its relationship with soil properties. International Journal of Farming and Allied Sciences. -3-3/333-338/ 31 March, 2014, ISSN 2322-4134 ©2014 IJFAS
- Mahmud, M., Abdullah, R. and Yaacob, J.S. 2018. Effect of Vermicompost Amendment on Nutritional Status of Sandy Loam Soil, Growth Performance, and Yield of Pineapple (Ananas comosus var. MD2) under Field Conditions. Agronomy, 8, 183; doi: 10.3390 /agronomy8090183www.mdpi.co/journal/ agronomy
- Mainga, B., Saha, H.M. and Mwololo, J.K. 2018. Use of cattle manure, calcium ammonium nitrate and ammonium phosphate in watermelon (Citrullus lanatus (Thunb.) Matsum. & Nakai production increases fruit quality and maximize small holder farmers net returns and profits. Volume 2 Issue 5 – 2018, Horticult Int J.; 2(5):244 – 251. 244
- Musa, I.S., K.L. Njoku, C.C. Ndirib and Oke, F.M. 2017. The effect of vermitea on the growth parameters of *Spinacia oleracea*, L. (Spinach). J. of Environmental Sci. and Pollution Research..3(4): 236-238.
- Moraditochaee, M., H. R. Bozorgi and Halajisani, N. 2011. Effects of Vermicompost Application and Nitrogen Fertilizer Rates on Fruit Yield and Several Attributes of Eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) in Iran. World Applied Sci. J. 15 (2): 174-178.

- Nath, G. D.K. S. and Singh, K. 2011. Productivity enhancement and nematode management through vermicompost and biopesticides in brinjal (*Solanum melongena*, L.). World Applied Sci. J. 12(4): 404-412.
- Piya, S., I.Shrestha, P. Dhurva and Lamichhane, J. 2018. Vermicomposting in organic agriculture: Influence on the soil nutrients and plant growth. Int. J. of Res. 05(20):1055-1063.
- Page, A.L., R.H. Miller and Keeny, D.R. 1982. Methods of soil analysis. Amer. Soc. Agric. Inc., Madison.
- Ragab, M. E. 1; M.Araf 2; S. Shahin 2 and EL-Gamal, A. B. 2016. Effects of some chemical, organic and bio fertilizers on seed yield and yield components of KAFER EL-SHAIKH cowpea variety and its relationship with rust disease infection. J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., Vol. 7 (3): 331-337
- Rajiv, S., H. Sunil, V. Dalsukhbhai and Krunalkumar, C. 2009. Earthworms Vermicompost: A Powerful Crop Nutrient over the Conventional Compost & Protective Soil Conditioner against the Destructive Chemical Fertilizers for Food Safety and Security. American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Sciences. 5 (S): 01-55, 2009
- Ramnarin, Y.I., Ori, L. and Ansari, A.A. 2018. Effect of the use of vermicompost on the plant growth parameters of Pak Choi (*Brassica rapa var.chinensis*) and on the soil structure in Suriname. Journal of Global Agriculture and Ecology, 8(1): 8-15, ISSN: 2454-4205
- Roods, F.M. and Blood-Worth, M.E. 1964. Area measurement of cotton leaf by dry weight method, Agronomy J., 56(5): 520-525.
- Singh, C.A. and Hussain, A. 2015. Effect of vermicompost on growth, yield and quality of vegetable crops. Int. J. of Applied and Pure Sci. and Agri. 1-8.
- Singh, R., S.K. K. Soni, A. Awasthi and Kalra, A. 2012. Evaluation of vermicompost doses for management of root-rot disease complex in Coleus forskohlii under organic field conditions. Australasian Plant Pathology. 41: 397-403.
- Sundararasu, K. and Jeyasankar, A. 2014. Effect of vermiwash on growth and yield of brinjal (*Solanum melongena*, L.).Asian J. of Sci. and Tech. 5(3): 171-173.

- Statistical Analysis System. 2001. SAS/STAT Users' Guide for Personal Computers. Release 6.12, SAS Instt. Inc., Cary, N.C., SA. The national workshop for production and utilizing Biofertilizer Amman, Jordan, Arab Countries Union, Arab Agric. Dev. Org. 1988.
- Soraya, I., C. Sulaiman and Mohamad. P. 2020. The Use of Vermiwash and Vermicompost Extract in Plant Disease and Pest Control. Natural Remedies for Pest, Disease and Weed Control. 187-201
- Umadevi, G.D., V Sumathi, A. P. K. Reddy, P Sudhakar and Kumari, K. L. 2019. Effect of organic manures and phosphorus on cowpea and their residual effect on succeeding little millet. Journal of Pharma. and Phytochem.; 8(3): 2236-2239
- Yoganathan, R., H. K. L. K. Gunasekera and Hariharan, R. 2013. Integrated Use of Animal Manure and Inorganic Fertilizer on Growth and Yield of Vegetable Cowpea (*Vigna uniquiculata*). World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology International Journal of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering Vol. 7, No. 8
- Yadavi, A., Pireh, P. and Balouchi, H.2014. Effect of Vermicompost fertilizer and Arbuscular mycorrhiza on physiological and morphological characteristics of soybean (M9 variety) under cadmium chloride toxicity. Advances in Environmental Biology, 8(13) August 2014, Pages: 1168-1175.
- Ye, L., Zhao, X., Bao, E., Cao, K. and Zou, Z. 2019. Effects of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi on Watermelon Growth, Elemental Uptake, Antioxidant, and Photosystem II Activities and Stress-Response Gene Expressions under Salinity-Alkalinity Stresses Frontiers in Plant Science. www.frontiersin. org. Vol. 10 Article 863
- Zhao, J., Liu, J., Liang, H., Huang, J., Chen, Z., Nie, Y., Wang, C. and Wang, Y. 2018. Manipulation of the rhizosphere microbial community through application of a new bio-organic fertilizer improves watermelon quality and health. PLOS ONE, https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192967February 16.

الإنتاج العضوي للوبيا بواسطة تكنيك الزراعة الذكية بإستخدام مستويات من مخلوط الأسمدة العضوية و شاي الفيرمي و الميكروبات النافعة إمال كرم أبو الجود

قسم النبات الزراعي – تخصص الزراعة العضوية - كلية الزراعه – جامعه دمياط

تم در اسة إستجابة النمو الخضري و إنتاج و مكونات حبوب اللوبيال 3 مستويات (4,6,8 طن / الفذان) من مخلوط الأسمدة العضوية MOF (25% فير ميكمبوست+25% سبلخ ماشية +25% كمبوست نباتي) بمفردة أو مختلط بمجموعة الميكروبات النافعة. B.M. مع 3 مرات إضافة لشاي الفيرمي VTS3 كميا للتربة. حيث تم إجراء تجربتين حقليتين بموقعين مختلفين بمزرعة بالعامرية بالكينج مربوط في 5 إبريل لعام 2010. وكل تجربة بها 13 معاملة وكل معاملة تكرر 3 مرات و تم التحليل الإحصائي ببنظم القطاعات المتكاملة عشوائيا و المعاملات هي كالاتي: معرف مي 2015. وكل تجربة بها 13 معاملة وكل معاملة تكرر 3 مرات و تم التحليل الإحصائي بنظم القطاعات المتكاملة عشوائيا و المعاملات هي كالاتي: AMG (6 t/fed.) + VTS3, T4 = MOF (4 t/fed.) + B.M., T2 = MOF (4 t/fed.), T3 = MOF (4 t/fed.) + VTS3, T7 = MOF (6 t/fed.) + VTS3, T8 = MOF (6 t/fed.) + B.M., T9 = MOF (6 t/fed.) + B.M., T13 = MOF (8 t/fed.) + B.M., T13 = MOF (8 t/fed.) + B.M. + VTS3, T10 = MOF (8 t/fed.) + VTS3, T12 = MOF (8 t/fed.) + B.M. + VTS3, T10 = MOF (8 t/fed.) + UTS3, T12 = MOF (8 t/fed.) + UTS3, T13 = MOF (8 t/fed.) + UTS3, T12 = 0 (60.5%) بالنبات و المحتوي الكي للكولو فيل بالورقة (20.6%) و وزن القرون (14 اجم/ النبات) و المحصول الكي للقرون (24.7%) و عدد الفرون (37.6%) بالنبات و المحتوي الكي للقرون (37.6%) و النبات (3.6%) و عدد الفرون (37.6%) و المحصول الكي للقرون (36.7%) و النبات (6.5%) بالنبات و المحتوي الكي للترون (36.6%) و النبات (10.6%) و الون النبات (6.5%) و المحصول الكي للقرون (10.6%) و المحتوي الكي لصباح النبات و المحتوي الكي لصباح النبات و المحصول الكرو فيل بالور فيل بالور فيل (36.5%) و وزن القرون (14 اجم/ النبات) و المحصول الكرو فيل بالور و (37.5%) و الون النبات (3.6%) و المعاملات (39.5%) و عد القرون بالنبات (10.6%) و المحتوي الكي لصبغة الكي ووغيل بلور وفيل بالوريو (36.5%) و النبات) و المحصول الكرو فيل اللور و الغرون (36.5%) و المول النبات (3.6%) و البونسينوو (30.5%) و البونسينو (39.5%) و البور وين (39.5%) و المحصول الكرون (36.5%) و المحصول الكرون (36.5%) و المول النبات) و المحصول الكرو و القرون (36.5%) و المول النبات (3.6%) و النبون (36.5%) و المحصول الكرون (36.5%) و المول النبات) و وزن القرون (36.5%) و الموم