



Length-weight relationships of 12 indigenous fishes and 3 shellfishes from mangrove and floodplain ecosystems in Southwestern Bangladesh

Md. Robiul Hasan^{1,*}, Abdullah-Al Mamun¹ and Md. Yeamin Hossain²

¹Department of Fisheries and Marine Science, Noakhali Science and Technology University, Noakhali-3814, Bangladesh

²Department of Fisheries, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi-6205, Bangladesh

*Corresponding author: rhasan_rony@yahoo.com

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received: July 6, 2020

Accepted: July 16, 2020

Online: July 19, 2020

Keywords:

Shell-fish,
Bangladesh,
Fisheries management,
Length-weight
relationship,
Mangrove fisheries

ABSTRACT

The length-weight relationships (LWRs) were studied for 616 individuals covering 10 families of 12 fish species (i.e., *Planiliza subviridis*, *Opsarius barna*, *Nandus nandus*, *Clarias batrachus*, *Odontamblyopus rubicundus*, *Taenioides cirratus*, *Anabas testudineus*, *Mystus gulio*, *Mystus tengara*, *Oreochromis mossambicus*, *Puntius sophore* and *Hyporhamphus limbatus*) and 3 shellfish species (i.e., *Penaeus monodon*, *Fenneropenaeus indicus*, *Metapenaeus brevicornis*). Total length (cm) and body weight (g) were measured for each individual. The allometric co-efficient, *b* values of studied species ranged between 2.03 (*M. gulio*) to 3.27 (*O. mossambicus*) and were highly significant ($P < 0.001$) for all species. Our results contribute to updating the online database (FishBase or SeaLifeBase) of respective fish and shellfish species.

INTRODUCTION

Length-weight relationships (LWRs) have potential effects on fisheries resource management and population dynamics (Erzini, 1994). They are essential for studying the life history pattern of fishes among different geographic territories, which can be very much useful in the preservation and maintenance of fisheries resources (Ahmed *et al.*, 2012; Hossain *et al.*, 2013). Moreover, fisheries management and research frequently utilize LWRs for converting field data into understandable forms (Ecoutin & Albaret, 2003). LWRs are considered to be useful tools for the study of population biomass assessment while direct weight measurement is quite cumbersome in the natural population (Santos *et al.*, 2002; Baek *et al.*, 2015). Besides, biomass indicates an indirect size of the production (Geisler *et al.*, 1979). While several regression data are available

for freshwater fishes in Bangladesh, a few studies show regression data regarding fish and shell-fish species available in mangrove and coastal floodplain areas (**Hossain *et al.*, 2012; Kaushik & Bordoloi, 2015; Hanif *et al.*, 2018**).

The Sundarban mangrove forest is situated in the Gangetic Delta (Ganges–Brahmaputra–Meghna) of Bangladesh and India (**Chaudhury & Naithani, 1985**). The mangrove forest is one of the significant features of the coastline of Bangladesh, which is recognized as the world largest mangrove forest. Artisanal fisheries are mainly predominant in the mangrove and adjacent tributaries which comprises various kinds of traditional fishing gears and crafts. These fisheries activities are most often occurred in coastal areas to catch fish and shrimps. Many fish and shrimp are highly dependent on mangroves for completing their life cycle, and thus mangroves serve as a higher fisheries biodiversity (**Kabir *et al.*, 2019**). Mangrove also acts as a nursery ground for a variety of fishes and shell-fishes, including *P. monodon*, *M. brevicornis*, *O. barna*, *T. cirratus* (**Islam & Haque, 2005**). Besides, a floodplain refers to an land area close to river gaining full of water during flooding time, also a significant source of freshwater fish (**Craig *et al.*, 2004; Goudie, 2004**). However, in the area mentioned above, the LWRs data for numerous species has not been recorded in FishBase or SeaLifeBase (**Froese & Pauly, 2020; Palomares & Pauly, 2020**) because of lack of understanding the commercial value and economic significance in extensive fisheries. Thus our goal was to provide information on LWRs for 12 fish (*P. subviridis*, *O. barna*, *N. nandus*, *C. batrachus*, *O. rubicundus*, *T. cirratus*, *A. testudineus*, *M. gulio*, *M. tengara*, *O. mossambicus*, *P. sophore*, and *H. limbatus*) and 3 shellfish species (*P. monodon*, *F. indicus*, and *M. brevicornis*) covering 10 families (Mugiliade, Cyprinidae, Nandidae, Clariidae, Gobiidae, Anabantidae, Bagridae, Cichlidae, Hemiramphidae, and Penaeidae), which would be helpful to update the online database (FishBase or SeaLifeBase).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study area is located in greater Khulna ndistrict (included Khulna, Satkhira and Bagerhat District), a mangrove and coastal floodplain areas, southwestern (SW) Bangladesh. It appears to be significant feeding and breeding ground of many fish species including freshwater and brackish water. We collected fish and shell-fish samples fortnightly from Bhairab river (22^o71'43"N; 89^o76'30"E) in Bagerhat, Shibsra river (22^o58'99"N; 89^o32'57"E) in Paikgacha, Khulna and Kholpetua river (22^o25'75"N; 89^o24'81"E) in Shyamnagar, Satkhira, with the help of local fishermen between July 2017 and June 2018. Several gears were used to catch fishes such as gill net (1.0-3.0 mesh size), cast net (1.0-2.0 mesh size), square lift net (~1.0 cm mesh size) and a range of traps (conical and box type).

Total length (TL) in cm and total body weight (BW) in g for each individual were measured using digital slide calipers and an electronic balance, respectively. For shellfishes, total length estimation was observed between the tip of the rostrum and the end of the telson. We calculated LWR using the equation: $\mathbf{BW} = a \times \mathbf{TL}^b$, where BW is the total body weight (g), and TL is the length (cm). The estimation of parameters a and b was done by linear regression analyses, which follows equation such as $\ln(W) = \ln(a) + b \ln(L)$. Additionally, 95% confidence interval was calculated for parameters a and b . We also calculated the coefficient of determination (r^2). Regression analyses were performed to eliminate outliers (Froese, 2006).

GraphPad Prism 6.5 software was used to perform statistical analyses (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA). The statistical difference from the isometric value ($b = 3$) for LWRs were determined by t-test. All statistical analyses were considered at 5% significance level ($P < 0.05$).

RESULTS

Results of the LWRs analyses for 616 individuals of 12 fish (*P. subviridis*, *O. barna*, *N. nandus*, *C. batrachus*, *O. rubicundus*, *T. cirratus*, *A. testudineus*, *M. gulio*, *M. tengara*, *O. mossambicus*, *P. sophore*, and *H. limbatus*) and 3 shellfish species (*P. monodon*, *F. indicus*, and *M. brevicornis*) from 10 families (Mugiliade, Cyprinidae, Nandidae, Clariidae, Gobiidae, Anabantidae, Bagridae, Cichlidae, Hemiramphidae, and Penaeidae) were shown in **Table 1**. Lowest and highest TL for fish were observed as 5.8 cm for *P. sophore* (~4 g BW) and 30.5 cm for *O. barna* (~86 g BW), respectively. Besides, lowest and highest TL for shellfish were found as 4.6 cm for *M. brevicornis* (~1.8 g BW) and 18 cm for *P. monodon* (~45 g BW), respectively. The obtained b values for fishes were estimated as 2.09 for *P. subviridis*, 2.41 for *O. barna*, 2.66 for *N. nandus*, 2.39 for *C. batrachus*, 2.67 for *O. rubicundus*, 2.45 for *T. cirratus*, 2.55 for *A. testudineus*, 2.03 for *M. gulio*, 2.27 for *M. tengara*, 3.27 for *O. mossambicus*, 2.83 for *P. sophore*, and 2.84 for *H. limbatus*. Besides, the b values for shell-fish species were estimated as 2.33 for *P. monodon*, 2.15 for *F. indicus*, and 2.77 for *M. brevicornis*. All LWRs were highly significant ($P < 0.001$), with all r^2 values was ≥ 0.950 (**Table 1**).

Table 1. Descriptive statistics and estimated length-weight relationships ($BW = a*TL^b$, BW in g and TL in cm) parameters for 12 fish and 3 shell-fish species captured from mangrove and floodplain ecosystems of Southwestern Bangladesh

Species	Family	n	TL (cm)		BW (g)		Regression parameter		95% CL of <i>a</i>	95% CL of <i>b</i>	<i>r</i> ²
			Min	Max	Min	Max	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>			
<i>Planiliza subviridis</i> (Valenciennes, 1836)	Mugilidae	52	9.9	20.3	33	99	0.21	2.09	0.1471-0.3046	1.96-2.22	0.952
<i>Osparius barna</i> (Hamilton, 1822)	Cyprinidae	50	11.7	30.5	12	86	0.03	2.41	0.0168-0.0440	2.25-2.56	0.950
<i>Nandus nandus</i> (Hamilton, 1822)	Nandidae	30	10.9	17.0	29	88	0.05	2.66	0.0299-0.1007	2.43-2.90	0.950
<i>Clarias batrachus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Clariidae	40	14.7	28.5	44	208	0.08	2.39	0.0483-0.1405	2.21-2.57	0.950
<i>Odontamblyopus rubicundus</i> (Hamilton, 1822)	Gobiidae	40	9.9	19.1	13	66	0.02	2.67	0.0168-0.0332	2.54-2.79	0.981
<i>Taenioides cirratus</i> (Blyth, 1860)	Gobiidae	30	12.5	25.4	14	66	0.02	2.45	0.0154-0.0380	2.30-2.61	0.974
<i>Anabas testudineus</i> (Bloch, 1792)	Anabantidae	40	9.1	15.2	16	63	0.06	2.55	0.0443-0.0852	2.42-2.68	0.977
<i>Mystus gulio</i> (Hamilton, 1822)	Bagridae	50	9.7	20.3	23	98	0.24	2.03	0.1976-0.2973	1.96-2.11	0.984
<i>Mystus tengara</i> (Hamilton, 1822)	Bagridae	50	9.1	15.5	17	56	0.11	2.27	0.0734-0.1527	2.12-2.42	0.952
<i>Oreochromis mossambicus</i> (Peters, 1852)	Cichlidae	34	9.7	16.0	14	73	0.01	3.27	0.0052-0.0195	3.01-3.54	0.952
<i>Puntius sophore</i> (Hamilton, 1822)	Cyprinidae	40	5.8	9.2	4	15	0.03	2.83	0.0205-0.0365	2.69-2.97	0.977
<i>Hyporhamphus limbatus</i> (Valenciennes, 1847)	Hemiramphidae	50	7.4	16.0	3	37	0.02	2.84	0.0100-0.0249	2.65-3.03	0.950
<i>Penaeus monodon</i> (Fabricius, 1798)	Penaeidae	30	9.9	18.0	9	45	0.05	2.33	0.0277-0.0779	2.14-2.53	0.954
<i>Fenneropenaeus indicus</i> (Milne-Edwards, 1837)	Penaeidae	35	5.3	8.9	2.8	7.6	0.08	2.15	0.0546-0.1066	1.98-2.32	0.951
<i>Metapenaeus brevicornis</i> (Milne-Edwards, 1837)	Penaeidae	45	4.6	7.9	1.8	7	0.02	2.77	0.0153-0.0310	2.58-2.97	0.952

n, sample size; TL, total length; BW, body weight; Min, minimum; Max, maximum; *a* and *b* are regression parameter; CL95%, 95% confidence limits; *r*², coefficient of determination

DISCUSSION

The present results add to the evidence for LWRs of fish and shellfish species, which may act as complementary to the few LWRs datasets available in the online database of FishBase or SeaLifeBase (Froese & Pauly, 2020; Palomares & Pauly, 2020). Allometric co-efficient (b) value had been from 2.03 to 3.27 for our studied species, which was also found within the acceptable limit described by (Froese, 2006). Previous studies showed that b values of LWRs were 3.156 for *P. subviridis* (Hussain *et al.*, 2010), 2.89 for *B. barna* (Freitas *et al.*, 2017), 3.27 for *N. nandus* (Hossain *et al.*, 2017), 2.70 for *C. batrachus* (Garcia, 2010), 2.90 for *O. rubicundus* (Xu *et al.*, 2016), 2.59 for *T. cirratus* (Chu *et al.*, 2011), 2.90 for *A. testudineus* (Hossain *et al.*, 2015), 3.18 for *M. gulio* (Panda *et al.*, 2016), 3.05 for *M. tengara* (Hossain *et al.*, 2016), 3.302 *P. sophero* (Kaushik & Bordoloi, 2015), 2.945 for *H. limbatus* (Karna *et al.*, 2017), and that those values were higher compared to our present results (Table 1). However, previously found b value of LWR for *O. mossambicus* was 3.172 (Blühdorn & Arthington, 1990), which was lower compared to our present finding (Table 1). Besides previous studies found that b values of LWRs were 3.250 for *P. monodon* (Devi, 1987), 3.693 for *F. indicus* (Ivanov & Krylov, 1980), 2.845 for *M. brevicornis* (Mane *et al.*, 2019) and that those values were higher than our present results (Table 1).

The allometric co-efficient (b) value of the LWRs can be useful for a range of studies including fish physiology, gonadal improvement, nourishing rate, ecological niche, seasonal impact, sex, diet, wellbeing and protection strategies (Ricker, 1975; Froese, 2006; Hossain *et al.*, 2006). As such, the accurate determination of b value is crucial for fisheries management. It is known that the precise calculation of b might vary because of sampling bias, for example, small sample sizes or unrepresentative samples concerning a size class. In our study, while we likely maintained a standard sample size, sometimes little sized individuals were not always caught due to fishing gear selectivity (personal observation). Thus, the information of LWRs exhibited here could be restricted to the length ranges given in Table 1.

In conclusion, our present data regarding LWRs for 12 fish and 3 shellfish species from the mangrove and floodplain ecosystems, SW Bangladesh could serve as a baseline for future studies. The present data would also contribute to the conservation and management of these species in the mangrove and floodplain areas, SW Bangladesh and surrounding regions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank commonwealth scholarship commission, UK for the additional research fund for conducting the fieldwork. Scholarship fund ID: BDCA07-2012. We declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, Z. F.; Hossain, M. Y. and Ohtomi, J.** (2012). Modeling the growth of silver hatchet Chela Chela cachius (Cyprinidae) from the old Brahmaputra river in Bangladesh using multiple functions. *Zoological Studies*, **51(3)**: 336–344.
- Baek, S. H.; Jang, M. H.; Yoon, J. D.; Kim, J. H.; Park, S. H.; Lee, J. W. and Byeon, M. S.** (2015). Length-weight relationships of 19 freshwater fishes from the Daechung Reservoir in South Korea. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, **31**: 937–938.
- Blühdorn, D. R. and Arthington, A. H.** (1990). Somatic characteristics of an Australian population of *Oreochromis mossambicus* (Pisces: Cichlidae). *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, **29**: 277–291.
- Chaudhury, A. B. and Naithani, H. B.** (1985). *A comprehensive Survey of Tropical Mangrove Forests of Sundarbans and Andamans. Part-I*. International Book Distributors, Dehra Dun, India.
- Chu, W. S.; Wang, J. P.; Hou, Y. Y.; Ueng, Y. T. and Chu, P. H.** (2011). Length-weight relationships for fishes off the southwestern coast of Taiwan. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, **10**: 3945–3950.
- Craig, J. F.; Halls, A. S.; Barr, J. J. F. and Bean, C. W.** (2004). The Bangladesh floodplain fisheries. *Fisheries Research*, **66**: 271–286.
- Devi, S. L.** (1987). Growth and population dynamics of three penaeid prawns in the trawling grounds off Kakinada. *Indian Journal of Fisheries*, **32**: 245–264.
- Ecoutin, J. M. and Albaret, J. J.** (2003). Length-weight relationship of 52 fish species from West African estuaries and lagoons. *Cybius*, **27**: 3–9.
- Erzini, K.** (1994). An empirical study of variability in length- at- age of marine fishes. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, **10**: 17–41.
- Freitas, T. M. S.; Dutra, G. N. and Salvador, G. M.** (2017). Length – weight relationships of 18 fish species from Paraíba do Sul basin , Minas Gerais , Brazil. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, **00**: 1–3.
- Froese, B. R.** (2006). Cube law, condition factor and weight – length relationships: history, meta-analysis and recommendations. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, **22**: 241–253.
- Froese, R. and Pauly, D.** (2020). FishBase: World Wide Web electronic publication, Available at: <http://www.fishbase.org>.
- Garcia, B. L. M. B.** (2010). Species composition and length-weight relationship of fishes in the Candaba wetland on Luzon Island , Philippines. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **26**: 946–948.
- Geisler, R.; Schmidt, G. W. and Sookvibul, S.** (1979). Diversity and biomass of fishes in three typical streams of Thailand. *Revue Gesamte Hydrobiologie*, **64**, 673–697.
- Goudie, A. S.** (2004). *Encyclopedia of Geomorphology*. vol. 1. Routledge, New York. ISBN 0-415-32737-7.
- Hanif, M. A.; Islam, M. A.; Siddik, M. A. B. and Chaklader, M. R.** (2018). Length-

- weight relationships of three estuarine fish species from Bangladesh. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, **34**: 1065–1067.
- Hossain, M. Y.; Ahmed, Z. F.; Leunda, P. M.; Roksanul Islam, A. K. M.; Jasmine, S.; Oscoz, J.; ...and Ohtomi, J.** (2006). Length-weight and length-length relationships of some small indigenous fish species from the Mathabhanga River, southwestern Bangladesh. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, **22**: 301–303.
- Hossain, M. Y.; Hossen, M. A.; Ahmed, Z. F.; Hossain, M. A.; Pramanik, M. N. U.; Nower, F.; ... and Islam, M. A.** (2017). Length – weight relationships of 12 indigenous fish species in the Gajner Beel floodplain (NW Bangladesh). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, **33**:842–845.
- Hossain, M. Y.; Hossen, M. A.; Pramanik, M. N. U.; Sharmin, S.; Naser, S. M. A.; Bahkali, A. H.; and Elgorban, A. M.** (2016). Length – weight and length – length relationships of five *Mystus* species from the Ganges and Rupsha rivers , Bangladesh. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, **32**: 994–997.
- Hossain, M. Y.; Rahman, M. M.; Miranda, R.; Leunda, M.; Oscoz, J.; Jewel, M. A. S.; ... and Ohtomi, J.** (2012). Size at first sexual maturity, fecundity, length-weight and length-length relationships of *Puntius sophore* (Cyprinidae) in Bangladeshi waters. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, **28**: 818–822.
- Hossain, M. Y.; Rahman, M. M.; Abdallah, E. M. and Ohtomi, J.** (2013). Biometric relationships of the pool barb *Puntius sophore* (Hamilton 1822) (Cyprinidae) from three major rivers of Bangladesh. *Sains Malaysiana*, **42**: 1571–1580.
- Hossain, M. Y.; Sayed, S. R. M.; Rahman, M. M.; Ali, M. M.; Hossen, M. A. and Elgorban, A. M.** (2015). Length-weight relationships of nine fish species from the Tetulia River , southern Bangladesh. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **31**: 967–969.
- Hussain, S. M.; Paperno, R. and Khatoon, Z.** (2010). Length – weight relationships of fishes collected from the Korangi-Phitti Creek area (Indus delta , northern Arabian Sea). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, **26**: 477–480.
- Islam, S. and Haque, M.** (2005). The mangrove-based coastal and nearshore fisheries of Bangladesh: ecology, exploitation and management. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries*, **14**: 153–180.
- Ivanov, B. G. and Krylov, V. V.** (1980). Length-weight relationship in some common prawns and lobsters (macrura, natantia and reptantia) from the western Indian ocean. *Crustaceana*, **38**: 279–289.
- Kabir, K. A.; Saha, S. B. and Phillips, M.** (2019). Aquaculture and Fisheries in the Sundarbans and Adjacent Areas in Bangladesh: Resources, Productivity, Challenges and Opportunities. In *Coastal Research Library, vol 30*. Springer, Cham.
- Karna, K. S.; Mukherjee, M.; Suresh, V. R.; Manna, R. K.; Manas, H. M. and Raman, R. K.** (2017). Length–weight and length–length relationship of *Strongylura strongylura* (van Hasselt, 1823) and *Hyporhamphus limbatus* (Valenciennes , 1847) from Chilika Lake , India. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, **33**: 640–641.

- Kaushik, G. & Bordoloi, S.** (2015). Length-weight and length-length relationships of four species of genus *Pethia* and genus *Puntius* from wetlands of Lakhimpur district. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, **31** (6), 1150–1152.
- Mane, S.; Sundaram, S.; Hule, A.; Sawant, M. and VD, D.** (2019). Length weight relationship of commercially important penaeid prawns of Maharashtra , India. *International Research Journal of Science and Engineering*, **7**: 35–40.
- Palomares, M. L. D. and Pauly, D.** (2020). SeaLifeBase: World Wide Web electronic publication, Available at: <http://www.sealifebase.org>.
- Panda, D.; Karna, S. K.; Mukherjee, M.; Manna, R. K.; Suresh, V. R. and Sharma, A. P.** (2016). Length – weight relationships of six tropical fish species from Chilika Lagoon , India. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, **32**: 1286–1289.
- Ricker, W. E.** (1975). *Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations. Bulletin of the fisheries research Board of Canada*, **191**. Fisheries research Board of Canada, Ottawa.
- Santos, M. N.; Gaspar, M. B.; Vasconcelos, P. and Monteiro, C. C.** (2002). Weight-length relationships for 50 selected fish species of the Algarve coast (southern Portugal). *Fisheries Research*, **59**: 289–295.
- Xu, H.; Gu, D.; Qi, R. W. H. and Qiao, L. G. X.** (2016). Length – weight relationships of five Gobiidae species from Bohai Bay , China. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, **32**: 998–999.