

Health-Related Quality of Life of Cancer Patients Receiving Chemotherapy

¹Manal M. Anwar, ¹Heba R. Elareed and ²Ahmed Hassan

¹Public Health and Community Medicine, ²Clinical Oncology Department Faculty of Medicine, Beni-Suef University, Egypt

Abstract

Background: Cancer is one of the chronic diseases highly influence people's health and Quality of life (QOL). QOL has become a significant outcome measure in the treatment of cancer patients. **Objective:** To assess cancer patients' QOL and its related consequences in relation to different chemotherapy treatment schedules. **Method:** This was a cross-sectional study among 200 cancer patients in Oncology Clinic, Beni-Suef University hospital. The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of life Questionnaire was used to measure quality of life for the participating patients. **Results:** The study showed that 40.5% of patients with unfavorable physical functioning and 36.5% with emotional dysfunction, while the favorable category represented 50.5%, 43% and 41% for role, social and cognitive functioning respectively. Symptoms scales showed that 62.5%, 60%, 56%, 55.5% and 50.5% of studied cancer patients had fairly favorable fatigue, pain, appetite loss, financial difficulties and insomnia respectively, while 60%, 59% and 43.5% of them had favorable symptoms of constipation, diarrhea and nausea-vomiting respectively. There was a statistically significant association between quality of life and income. The fairly favorable and enough income was 54.5% vs. 48.7% for not enough income ($P=0.0001$). As for onset of cancer; the fairly favorable and the less than one-year onset was 58.5% vs 34.3% for more than one-year onset ($P=0.005$). As for stage of cancer; the favorable and cancer stage I showed 46.7% vs 13.7% for stage 4 ($P=0.001$). A strong association was found between QOL and number of CT cycles. Patients treated with ≤ 2 CT cycles and fairly favorable QOL represented 56.7% ($P < 0.001$), 66.1% for those received 3-5 cycles and fairly favorable QOL ($P < 0.001$) and 42.9% for those treated with ≥ 6 cycles and unfavorable QOL ($P < 0.001$). **Conclusion:** A Chemotherapy cycle may improve QOL in patients with solid tumors. Half of cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy treatment had fairly favorable QOL.

Keywords: Cancer; Chemotherapy (CT); Quality of life (QOL).

Correspondence: Heba R. Elareed, Email: dr_heba_alareed@yahoo.com

Introduction

Performance of cancer patients might be impaired because of their sufferings related to the symptom burden and advancement of the disease stage. Alleviating their symptoms (e.g. fatigue, dyspnea, cough, loss of appetite and pain) and effective management would relieve their suffering and help improving their quality of life (QOL). The

prevalence of many symptoms reflects an advanced stage and has been associated with emotional ill-being, poor physical and societal involvement with a decrease in the global QOL.^{1,2}

One of the recent concepts accepted as a criterion to evaluate treatment results in patients with chronic physical (cancer) and mental diseases, is quality of life

(QOL). Health-related quality of life (HRQOL) - an accepted concept to evaluate cancer treatment results- is a multi-dimensional concept that includes domains related to physical, mental, emotional, and social functioning.³ Quality of life is defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as an individual's perception of life, culture, values, goals, expectations, standards, and concerns.⁴ Cancer poses a physical and mental stress for example: pain, depression, nutritional disturbances and disease progression consequences may be reflected on the whole family social well being as well as posing an economic burden.^{5, 6}

Recently, progress in cancer treatment improved survival; thus, an important surrogate is to improve the treatment related quality of life of cancer patients; especially for those with long term survivorship.⁷

Cancer survivors might suffer from long-term social/emotional problems. Hence, their support (spiritual/ philosophical) and maintaining their body image is of a major concern.⁸⁻¹⁰

Specialized cancer specific health care team aims at maximizing the occupational abilities and improving physical, mental and social aspects performance of cancer patients.^{7, 11}

The aim of this study is to assess cancer patients' QOL and its related consequences in relation to different chemotherapy treatment cycles.

Method

Study site: This study was carried out in a tertiary care hospital (Beni-Suef University hospital) – Beni Suef Governorate, Egypt. *Study design:* This is a descriptive cross-sectional study.

Sample size: A convenient sample of 200 patients who presented to the oncology clinic from February to August 2018. The sample size was estimated using

Epi-Info version 7 Stat Calc, [Center for Disease Control (CDC), WHO], depending on the following criteria; confidence level of 95%, a margin of error of 5%.

Inclusion criteria: Age ≥ 18 years, Confirmed diagnosis with cancer, Under chemotherapy treatment, No history of other chronic disease such as diabetes or heart disease.

Data collection tool

The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer QOL Questionnaire (EORTC QLQ-C30) was used to measure QOL for the participating patients.¹² The questionnaire is in English language; it was translated into Arabic and then back translated into English, to ensure correct translation of the questions. The Arabic version was revised by 7 experts and tested in a pilot study on 20 patients. The reliability of the questionnaire was tested by Cronbach alpha and found to be 0.81.

The questionnaire included 44 questions in two parts: The first part: (14 Questions) including socio-demographic variables and patients' characteristics such as age, gender, educational level, marital status, employment, residence, and monthly income. In addition to tumor characteristics such as: stage, timing and number of chemotherapy cycles. **The second part** (30 Questions): European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of life questionnaire (EORTC-QOL-C30). Each question had an equal value and the QOL was quantified as the sum of the scores. The QOL-C30 is composed of both multi-item scales and single-item measures. All of the scales and single-item measures range from score 0 to 100. A high scale score represents a higher response level. A high score for a functional scale represents a high / healthy level of functioning; a high score for the global

health status / QOL represents a high QOL, but a high score for a symptom

scale / item represents a high level of symptoms / problems.

Table (1): The scoring system for the Quality of life questionnaire was according to the following

Scale	Degree of Quality of Life		
	Unfavorable	Fairly favorable	Favorable
Functional	0-33.3	33.3-66.6	≥ 66.6
Symptom	≥ 66.6	33.3-66.6	0-33.3

The subjects were asked to rate how true each statement is 7 days ago with a response scale ranging from 1 to 4 “Not at all”, “A little”, “Quite a bit” and “Very much.”.

Regarding the overall QOL, the respondents were asked to circle the number that represents how they feel about their health and quality of life at the present time ranging from 1 to 7. The number (1) represents the worst possible life and the number (7) represents the best possible life. The scores were classified into three categories: unfavorable, fairly favorable, and favorable. The higher the scores the better QOL is.

The patients were given approximately twenty minutes to complete the questionnaire aided by medical students to fill up the questionnaire by reading loud the questions for them and their answers were recorded.

Statistical analysis

Data were collected, coded and entered to computer before being analyzed using the software, Statistical Package for Social Science, (SPSS) version 20 (IBM, USA). For analysis purpose, frequency distribution as percentage and descriptive statistics in the form of mean and standard deviation were calculated. Comparisons of qualitative data were performed using Chi-squared test. The level of statistical significance was set to be ≤ 0.05

Ethical consideration

After institutional approvals, The Faculty of Medicine, Beni-Suef University

Research Ethics Committee has approved the study protocol. The study objectives and procedures were explained in details for each participant and it was clearly explained to participants that the results would not be declared. Participation in the study was intended to be voluntary and a written informed consent was obtained from each participant prior to inclusion in the study.

Results

Regarding functional scales, 40.5% of patients showed unfavorable physical functioning and 36.5% were emotional functioning, while the favorable category represented 50.5%, 43% and 41% for role, social and cognitive functioning respectively.

Symptoms scales showed that 62.5%, 60%, 56%, 55.5% and 50.5 % of studied cancer patients had fairly favorable fatigue, pain, appetite loss, financial difficulties and insomnia respectively, while 60%, 59% 43.5% of them had favorable symptoms of constipation, diarrhea and nausea-vomiting respectively (**Table 1**)

Table 2 showed that the study patients' mean age was 51.19 ± 14.4 years (range 20-87 years). Female participants were 56.5% and 43.5% were males; 68.5%, of study participants were illiterate, 62.5% were jobless, 84% were married and 91% resided in rural areas. Among the study group; 65% were diagnosed with cancer for more than one year, 37% had stage III disease and 53.5% were diagnosed to

have distant metastasis. Breast cancer patients constituted 22%, followed by lung cancer 12.5%, gastrointestinal malignancies 11%, lymphomas 12.5%,

Table (1): Quality of life scoring among cancer patient.

Items		Unfavorable N (%)	Fairly favorable N (%)	Favorable N (%)
Global Health Status/ QOL		64 (32)	100 (50)	36 (18)
Functional scales	Physical functioning	81(40.5)	71 (35.5)	48 (24)
	Role functioning	48 (24)	51 (25.5)	101(50.5)
	Emotional functioning	73 (36.5)	68 (34)	59 (29.5)
	Cognitive functioning	44 (22)	74 (37)	82 (41)
	Social functioning	41 (20.5)	73 (36.5)	86 (43)
Symptoms scales	Fatigue	58 (29)	125 (62.5)	17 (8.5)
	Nausea and vomiting	28 (14)	85 (42.5)	87 (43.5)
	Pain	51(25.5)	120 (60)	29 (14.5)
	Dyspnea	42 (21)	79 (39.5)	79 (39.5)
	Insomnia	45 (22.5)	101 (50.5)	54 (27)
	Appetite loss	31 (15.5)	112 (56)	57 (28.5)
	Constipation	11 (5.5)	69 (34.5)	120 (60)
	Diarrhea	12 (6)	70 (35)	118 (59)
Financial difficulties	30 (15)	111 (55.5)	59 (29.5)	

genitourinary 15%, liver cancer 17%, and others 10%.

As regard the global health status in relation to disease and socio-demographic factors; the study illustrated statistically significant difference between global health status / QOL and income ($P=0.0001$), onset of cancer ($P=0.005$) and cancer stage ($P=0.001$).

Relation between global health status / QOL and number of chemotherapy cycles is shown in **Table 3**. Half of patients showed a fairly favorable QOL status. A strong association was found between QOL and number of CT cycles with a significant difference between the levels of QOL for patients treated with ≤ 2 CT cycles ($P < 0.001$), with 3-5 cycles ($P < 0.001$) and those treated with ≥ 6 cycles ($P < 0.001$).

Discussion

Cancer treatment related mental and health effects were found to affect patients' health related QOL; particularly in advanced stages. Several studies support these findings especially with adoption of chemotherapy.

Functional scales; the present study showed that favorable role, social, cognitive functioning were reported in 45-50%. Findings that was similar to the reported physical well being of patients undergoing chemotherapy^{13,14} and contrary to a report showing significant affection of physical domain on QOL in breast cancer patients'.¹⁵ Psychological domain had no correlation with mode of treatment of cancer in agreement with a similar study finding.¹⁶

Symptoms scale; study participants had *favorable* gastrointestinal side effects followed by nausea & vomiting. *Fairly favorable* scale was highest for pain, loss of appetite and financial difficulties (**Table1**), findings that were comparable to international reports showing that poor health-related quality of life (HRQOL) among cancer patients is associated with multiple factors, including sleep disturbances, fatigue, pain, anxiety, and depression.^{13,17} Discrepancies between our findings and others might be attributed to the adoption of different study tools, different spectrum of cancer patients' population demographics, e.g.: history of disease onset, different

chemotherapy regimens and performance of the patients.

Similar results were reported internationally¹⁸ and only one study

The present study showed no association between QOL and demographic data.

Table (2): Global health status in relation to disease and socio-demographic factors

Characters of the patients	N (%) Total = 200	Global Health Status/ QOL			P-Value
		Un favorable N (%)	Fairly favorable N (%)	Favorable N (%)	
Age Mean \pm SD; (range)		51.19 \pm 14.4; (20-87)			
Sex					
• Male	87 (43.5)	25 (28.7)	50 (57.5)	12 (13.8)	0.154
• Female	113 (56.5)	39 (34.5)	50 (44.2)	24 (21.3)	
Education					
• Illiterate	137 (68.5)	43 (31.4)	66 (48.2)	28 (20.4)	0.205
• Primary	27 (13.5)	12 (44.5)	11 (40.7)	4 (14.8)	
• Secondary	23 (11.5)	5 (21.7)	17 (73.9)	1 (4.4)	
• University	13 (6.5)	4 (30.8)	6 (46.1)	3 (23.1)	
Occupation					
• Not working	125 (62.5)	40 (32)	65 (52)	20 (16)	0.774
• Farmer	38 (19)	14 (36.8)	18 (47.4)	6 (15.8)	
• Worker	19 (9.5)	6 (31.6)	8 (42.1)	5 (26.3)	
• Employee	18 (9)	4 (22.2)	9 (50)	5 (27.8)	
Income					
• Enough	44 (22)	14 (31.8)	24 (54.5)	6 (13.7)	0.0001
• Not enough	156 (78)	50 (32)	76 (48.7)	30 (19.3)	
Marriage					
• Single	9 (4.5)	4 (44.5)	2 (22.2)	3 (33.3)	0.206
• Married	168 (84)	53 (31.5)	88 (52.4)	27 (16.1)	
• Widow	22 (11)	7 (31.8)	10 (45.5)	5 (22.7)	
• Divorced	1 (0.5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (100)	
Residence					
• Urban	18 (9)	5 (27.8)	11 (61.1)	2 (11.1)	0.571
• Rural	182 (91)	59 (32.4)	89 (48.9)	34 (18.7)	
Onset of cancer					
• One year ago	130 (65)	34 (26.2)	76 (58.5)	20 (15.3)	0.005
• More than one year	70 (35)	30 (42.9)	24 (34.3)	16 (22.9)	
Cancer stage (TNM)					
• 1	15 (7.5)	5 (33.3)	3 (20)	7 (46.7)	0.001
• 2	60 (30)	28 (46.7)	25 (41.7)	7 (11.6)	
• 3	74 (37)	19 (25.7)	40 (54)	15 (20.3)	
• 4	51 (25.5)	12 (23.5)	32 (62.8)	7 (13.7)	
Metastasis					
• Yes	107 (53.5)	38 (35.5)	47 (43.9)	22 (20.6)	0.180
• No	93 (46.5)	26 (28)	53 (57)	14 (15)	
Type of cancer					
• Breast cancer	44 (22)	13 (29.5)	20 (45.5)	11 (25)	0.682
• Lung cancer	25 (12.5)	8 (32)	10 (40)	7 (28)	
• GIT	22 (11)	7 (31.8)	9 (40.9)	6 (27.3)	
• Lymphoma	25 (12.5)	9 (36)	10 (40)	6 (24)	
• GU	30 (15)	8 (26.7)	15 (50)	7 (23.3)	
• Liver	34 (17)	10 (29.4)	16 (47.1)	8 (23.5)	
• Others*	20 (10)	6 (30)	7 (35)	7 (35)	

*Others: Head and neck, Soft tissue sarcoma

Table 3: Global Health Status/QOL in cancer patients according to number of chemotherapy cycles

Chemotherapy cycles	Global Health Status/QOL				P- Value
	Unfavorable N (%)	Fairly favorable N (%)	Favorable N (%)	Total N (%)	
Less than 2	17 (28.3)	34 (56.7)	9 (15)	60 (30)	0.001
3-5	11 (19.6)	37 (66.1)	8 (14.3)	56 (28)	0.001
More than 6	36 (42.9)	29 (34.5)	19 (22.6)	84 (42)	0.001
Total	64 (32)	100 (50)	36 (18)	200 (100)	0.001

reported that increased age is associated with reduced QOL of cancer patients.¹⁹

A strong association between disease onset and QOL is shown in table 2. Similar findings were reported confirming an impaired QOL with the longer elapsed time since initial diagnosis²⁰ and opposite to one study report showing no association between disease onset and QOL.²¹ The difference might be due to the fact that more than half of the study population was diagnosed with metastatic disease.

In this study, 66.1% and 14.3% of patients who completed 3-5 cycles of chemotherapy had a fairly favorable and favorable level of QOL (**Table 3**), similar to other international studies reporting that the majority of cancer patients had moderate QOL after 2-4 chemotherapy cycles¹⁸ and a good / appropriate QOL post chemotherapy,⁽²²⁾ whilst contrary to others who reported below average / poor QOL among cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy.^{8, 13, 23, 24} Difference between our findings and others might be explained by the diversity of the inclusion criteria and the prevalence of advanced stage diseases of other studies.

Recently; QOL has been accepted as an endpoint for treatment comparisons and an early indicator of disease progression for many cancer types, particularly in advanced stages. Improving QOL is as important as the survival benefit offered by recent medications. Reducing mortality and ensuring optimal health

related QOL are considered the main objectives of medical care nowadays.²⁵

Conclusion

Cancer chemotherapy treatment related issues affect health related QOL. In the present fairly favorable quality of life was experienced by half of the study patients with a statistically significant difference between global QOL and number of Chemotherapy cycles. Patients' income, cancer stage, onset of cancer and socio-demographic characteristics had no impact on QOL. Un-favorable physical and emotional functional scales in addition to fairly favorable pain, fatigue, loss of appetite and financial difficulties are unwanted effects of cancer related treatment affecting HRQOL that need to be dealt with in order to improve cancer patients' well being. Socioeconomic support is hence a necessity to improve health related quality of life for such patients.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Funding

There was no source of funding.

Authors' contributions

MA, HE and AH conceived and designed study, finalized the methodology and tools used. AH helping in data collection, MA and HE analyzed and drafted the manuscript. All the authors made significant contributions in manuscript

writing and finalizing the manuscript. The final manuscript has been read and approved by all the authors.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank medical students; Taha Ragab Rashed and Reda Sayed Abdel Shafy - faculty of medicine - Beni-Suef University. They helped in data collection and filling the questionnaire for illiterate patients. Moreover, we would like to thank all of the patients who participated in this study.

References

- 1- Paleri A, Kumar S, Thankam K. Manual for Palliative Care. 2005.
- 2- Heidrich SM, Egan JJ, Hengudomsb P, Randolph SM. Symptoms, symptom beliefs, and quality of life of older breast cancer survivors: A comparative study. *Oncol Nurs Forum*. 2006; 33:315–22.
- 3- Kuehner C, Buerger C. Determinants of subjective quality of life in depressed patients: the role of self-esteem, response styles, and social support. *J Affect Disord* 2005; 86(2-3): 205-13.
- 4- WHO (World Health Organization): WHOQOL: Measuring Quality of Life. Available at <https://www.who.int/healthinfo/survey/whoqol-quality-of-life/en/> Accessed March 28, 2018.
- 5- Shakeri J, Abdoli N, Paianda M, Chareh-Ga G. The frequency distribution of depression among patients with breast cancer in Kermaneshahu.m.s chemotherapy centers in 2007. *Journal of Medical Council of Islamic Republic of Irans*. 2009;27(3):324–328.
- 6- Safaee A, Moghimi-Dehkordi B, Zeighami B, Tabatabaee HR, Pourhoseingholi MA. Predictors of quality of life in breast cancer patients under chemotherapy. *Indian Journal of Cancer*. 2008;45(3):107–111.
- 7- Lianqi Liu, Lavinia Fiorentino, Michelle Rissling, Loki Natarajan, Barbara A. Parker, Joel E. Dimsdale, Paul J. Mills, Georgia Robins Sadler, Sonia Ancoli-Israel. Decreased Health-Related Quality of Life in Women with Breast Cancer is Associated with Poor Sleep. *Behav Sleep Med*. 2013 July; 11 (3): 189–206.
- 8- Casso D, Buist DS, Taplin S. Quality of life of 5–10 year breast cancer survivors diagnosed between age 40 and 49. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2004; 2:25.
- 9- Ganz PA, Desmond KA, Leedham B, Rowland JH, Meyerowitz BE, Belin TR. Quality of life in long-term disease-free survivors of breast cancer: a follow-up study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2002; 94:39-49.
- 10- Kornblith AB, Herndon JE, Silverman LR, Demakos EP, Reissig, Kornblith AB et al. Long-term adjustment of survivors of early stage breast carcinoma 20 years after adjuvant chemotherapy. *Cancer* 2003; 98:679–689.
- 11- Mardani Hamule M, Shahraky Vahed A. The Assessment of Relationship between Mental Health and Quality of Life in Cancer Patients. *Scientific Journal of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences*. 2009;16(2):33-38.
- 12- EORTC QLQ-C30 Reference Values. Fayers PM, Weeden S, Curran D, on behalf of the EORTC Quality of Life Study Group. Brussels: EORTC, 1998. ISBN: 2-930064-11-0. (The reference values are also available on CD-ROM)
- 13- Shalini S, Jeseena K, Vivek K, Shashi Bhushan S, Mithilesh K. Study on Quality Of Life of Cancer Patients In Relation To Treatment Modality in a Tertiary Health Institute of Jharkhand *IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS)* 2016; 5(15):16-20
- 14- Kanayamkandi J, Sunderam S. Quality of life among breast cancer patients: a cross sectional study *Int J Community Med Public Health*. 2017;4(3):686-689
- 15- Yedukondala Rao A, Sudhakar G. A Prospective Observational Analysis Reasons for Poor Quality of Life in Cancer Patient Population of South Indian Territory Hospital. *Int J Scientific Research*. 2015;4(9):654-6.
- 16- Chaturvedi S. What's important for quality of life to Indians in relation to cancer? *Indian J Palliat Care*, 2003; 9:62-70.
- 17- Liu L, Fiorentino L, Rissling M, Natarajan L, Parker B, Dimsdale J et al.

Decreased Health-Related Quality of Life in Women with Breast Cancer is Associated with Poor Sleep. *Behav Sleep Med.* 2013; 11(3): 189-206.

18- Dehkordi AH, Heydarnejad MS, Fatehi D. Quality of life in cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy. *OMJ.* 2009;24:204-207

19- Aghabarari M, Ahmadi F, Mohamadi A, Hajizadeh A, Farahani A. Physiology, psychology and social dimensions quality of life in woman with breast cancer treatment with chemotherapy. *Iranian Journal of Nursing Research* 2006; 1(3): 55-6.

20- Holzner B, Kemmler G, Kopp M, Moschen R, Schweigkofler H, Dünser M et al. Quality of life in breast cancer patients— not enough attention for long-term survivors. *Psychosomatics* 2001;117-123.

21- Heydarnejad MS, Dehkordi AH, Dehkordi SK. Factors affecting quality of

life in cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy *African Health Sciences* 2011; 11(2): 266- 270

22- Farahnaz A, Shima SA, Azad R, Iraj AK. Quality of Life in Cancer Patients and its Related Factors *Journal of Caring Sciences*, 2012;1(2), 109-114

23- Kannan G, Rani V, Ananthanarayanan RM, Palani T, Nigam N, Janardhan V et al. Quality of life of cancer patients. *Journal of Cancer Research and Therapeutic* 2011; 7: 275-9

24- Holly.G. Pigerson, Bao Y, Shah MA. Chemotherapy use, performance status, and quality of life at the end of life. *JAMA Oncol.* 2015;(6):778-784

25- Love C, Sabiston CM. Exploring the links between physical activity and posttraumatic growth in young adult cancer survivors. *Psychooncology* 2011; 20(3): 278-86.