Journal of Food and Dairy Sciences

Journal homepage: www.jfds.mans.edu.eg Available online at: www.jfds.journals.ekb.eg

Chemical and Microbiological Qualities of Certain Local Dairy Products in Assiut City

Azza H. Z. El-deen^{1*}; A. M. Abd El-Rahim¹; F. E. El-Gazzar¹; Dina M. Ossman¹ and Ghada A. Mahmoud²



¹Dairy Science Department –Faculty of Agriculure Assiut University.

²Plant and Microbiology Department –Faculty of Science Assiut University.



ABSTRACT

Thirty samples (10 raw milk, 10 yoghurt and 10 cheeses) were randomly collected from local markets in Assiut city. All samples were chemically analyzed for acidity, fat, total nitrogen, soluble nitrogen, salt and ash contents, microbiologically for total counts of bacteria, molds & yeasts and for the incidence of coliform bacteria. The obtained results were (0.13-0.20), (0.63 – 0.81) and (0.27-0.97) for titratable acidity, (3-7.3), (3.0-4.7) and (1.00-35.00) for fat contents, (0.47-0.60–), (0.70-0.83) and (2.05-3.7) for total nitrogen (TN%), (0.28-0.45), (0.011-0.029) and (0.014-1.33) for soluble nitrogen (SN%), (0.17-0.29), (0.16-0.31) and (2.34 – 9.56) for salt contents and (0.40-1.05), (0.70 – 0.89) and (2.5 – 7.52) for ash contents of liquid raw milk, yoghurt and cheese samples respectively. microbiological analysis the total bacterial counts (TBC) were $(2.25 \times 10^5 - 5.25 \times 10^7)$, $(4.9 \times 10^5 - 7.25 \times 10^7)$ and $(4.1 \times 10^5 - 18.75 \times 10^7)$, molds & yeasts ($1 \times 10^2 - 45 \times 10^2$), ($1 \times 10^2 - 40 \times 10^2$) and ($1 \times 10^2 - 17 \times 10^2$) for liquid raw milk, yoghurt and cheese samples respectively. The results also showed that, most of the investigated samples were free from coliform bacteria except for raw milk.

Keywords: Milk, white soft cheese, Roquefort cheese, Ras cheese.

INTRODUCTION

Fresh milk is considered as a complete diet because it contains the essential nutrients such as lactose, fat, protein, minerals and vitamins in balanced ratio rather than the other foods (Hossain and Dev, 2013). Moreover, milk can be considered as a source of macro and micronutrients, and contains a number of active compounds that play a significant role in both nutrition and health protection (Ceballos et al., 2009). Yogurt is an important dairy product, particularly for consumers with lactose intolerance. As well as Yogurt is considered a healthy food because it contains viable bacteria that is considered a probiotics. (Trowell et al., 1976; Lunn and Buttriss, 2007). Cheese is an important integral part of diet consumed in Egypt. It is consumed almost three times a day. There are many traditional local cheese type produced in local regions. Kariesh cheese is one of the most popular local type of fresh soft cheese in Egyptian cities and Arabian countries, similar to Domiati(A.M.Abd-Ehamid, 2012; R.C.Brown, 2004). Domiati cheese is the most popular type of pickled soft cheese by all socioeconomic classes in Egypt due to its nutritional value, convenience and good taste. When fully ripened it has strong sharp flavor as well as smooth body and texture (Yousef et al., 2001 and Kepary et al., 2007). Ras cheese is the national hard cheese type produced in Egypt. It is known in Egyptian markets as "Romi cheese". It is similar to the Greek variety "Kefalotyri cheese". The manufacture of Ras cheese was described by Hofi et al. (1970). As recently reviewed by Abou-Donia (2002). Blue veined cheese

(Roquefort type) is semi hard cheese represents a cheese type of considerable commercial importance in the United States (Gripon, 1993). Milk and dairy products are important components of a healthy diet. However, they can present a health hazard due to the possible contamination with pathogenic bacteria when there are consumed unpasteurized or expose to environment, (Angulo *et al.*, 2009).

This study conducted to throw the light on the chemical and microbiological quality of raw milk and some dairy products in Assiut city

MATERIALS AND METHODS

30 samples of milk and dairy products (6 raw buffalo's milk and 4 raw cow's milk, 4 soft cheeses, 3 Ras cheeses and 3 Roquefort cheeses and 10 brands of yoghurt) were collected from different shops in Assiut city, were kept in ice box at 5 °C and transferred immediately to the laboratory for analysis. Samples were analyzed for titratable acidity, total nitrogen and the soluble nitrogen which was determined by Kjeldahl method according to the method described in A.O.A.C. (2000). Fat contents of were determined using Gerber method (Ling ,1963). Ash content was determined by ignition at 550°C in an electric muffle furnace (AOAC, 2005). Salt content in cheese was determined according IDF standards (1972).

Microbiological analysis was done by weighing and emulsifying 10 ml.or gram of the examined sample in a sterile mortar with 90 ml sodium citrate solution to obtain 1:10 dilution required for the microbial analysis.

 * Corresponding author.

E-mail address:: azzahassan34@yahoo.com DOI: 10.21608/jfds.2020.106386 Total Plate Counts(TPC) were done by plating on agar medium and incubated for 48 hours at 30- 37°C. Each dilution was plated in duplicated, and plates containing 30-300 colonies were considered Frank and Youssef (2004). Yeast and moulds counts were enumerated in one ml of the appropriate dilution of molds on potato dextrose agar medium and incubated at 28-30°C for 6 days in (Smith and Dawson.1944) and one ml of the appropriate dilution of yeast on yeast- molds extract medium and incubated at 28-30°C for 3 days in yeast.

For determining the presence of coliforms inoculation of dairy samples or their dilution was carried out into Mac Conkey broth (Mohran, 1971).

Statistical analysis was done using the Statistix version 8.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data in Table (1) illustrate the chemical composition of both cow's and buffalo's milks collected from Assiut city. Results of cow's milk analysis showed that $0.133 \pm$ 0.144,3.908 $\pm 0.688, 0.48 \pm$ 0.0135, 0.305 ± 0.0198 , 0.231 ± 0.048 and 0.681 of titratable acidity, fat content, total nitrogen, soluble nitrogen, salt content and ash, respectively, while the corresponding results of buffalos milk were 0.180± 0.014, 6.572±4.184, 0.56 ± 0.0217 , 0.418 ± 0.0172 , 0.200 ± 0.039 and 0.691titratable acidity, fat content, total nitrogen, soluble nitrogen, salt content and ash, in the same order. Higher mean values of titratable acidity, fat content, total nitrogen and soluble nitrogen were obtained in buffalo's than cow's milk and the differences between them were significant $(P \le 0.05)$.

Table 1. Gross chemical composition of Cow's Milk and Buffalo's Milk:

Comples	Chemical properties (Mean± SD)						
Samples	Acidity	Fat	T.N	S.N	Salt	Ash	
Cow's	0.133	3.908	0.48	0.305	0.231	0.681	
Milk	± 0.144	± 0.688	±0.0135	±0.0198	± 0.048	0.061	
Buffalo's	0.180	6.572	0.56	0.418	0.200	0.691	
Milk	±0.014	± 4.184	± 0.0217	±0.0172	± 0.039	0.091	
P- value	0.183	0.032*	0.0000	0.0000	0.231	0.90	
General Mean	0.156	5.24	0.52	0.3615	0.431	0.687	

In the same column, means with the same letter are not significantly different (p<0.05) \ast significant

Data in Table (2) indicate the mean values of microbiological examination of cow's and buffalo's milks being collected from Assiut city. The total bacterial counts (TBC) were $1.231\times10^7\pm1.638$ in cow's milk samples, compared with $1.465 \times 10^7 \pm 1.797$ in buffalo's milk. Total count of fungi and yeasts in cow's and buffalos milk samples were $8.853\times10^2\pm7.103$, and $23.417\times10^2\pm21.309$ $10.833 \times 10^2 \pm 10.739$ and $22.389 \times 10^2 \pm 19.947$, respectively. On the other hand the incidence of coliform bacteria in both milk samples were examined and the results revealed that 75% of the cow's milk samples showed a positive presence of coliform bacteria, compared with 100% of buffalo's milk samples were showed a positive presence for the coliform bacteria test. The results showed nonsignificant differences between all examined properties ($P \le 0.05$).

Table 2. Microbiological properties of Cow's Milk and Buffalo's Milk:

	Microbiological examination (Mean± SD)						
Samples	Total bacterial Fungi counts cfu/g counts		Yeasts	Total of yeast and Fungi	Coliform bacteria group incidence		
Cow's milk	$1.231\times10^7 \pm 1.638$	$8.853 \times 10^2 \pm 7.103$	$23.417 \times 10^2 \pm 21.309$	32.27×104 ±28.412	75%		
Buffaloes milk	$1.465 \times 10^7 \pm 1.797$	$10.833 \times 10^2 \pm 10.739$	$22.389 \times 10^2 \pm 19.947$	$33.22 \times 10^4 \pm 30.686$	100%		
P- Value	0.386	0.0830	0.3907	0.4737			
General mean values	1.348×10^{7}	9.843×10^{2}	22.903×10^{2}	32.745×10^4	87.5%		

In the same column, means with the same letter are not significantly different (p<0.05) * significant

Mean values of titratable acidity, fat content, total nitrogen, soluble nitrogen, salt content and ash content of different types of cheese collected from local market in Assiut city were presented in Table (3). It could be observed that the highest value of titratable acidity was for Ras cheese with 0.84±0.11 determined as lactic acid followed by Roquefort cheese with 0.740±0.078 and the lowest values was for Baramiely cheese with 0.48±0.104, it would be also observed that there are no significant differences between Kareish cheese and Baramiely cheese

(P≤ 0.05), while in case of Ras cheese and Roquefort cheese it was significant difference at (P≤ 0.05). from the same Table it was observed that the fat content of Roquefort cheese recorded the highest mean values with (32.33±2.066) followed by Ras cheese with (31.67±2.16) while the while the Karfeish cheese recorded the lowest mean value with (2.00±0.894). The results showed singnificant differences between all cheese verities (P≤ 0.05).

Table 3. Gross chemical composition of different types of cheeses.

	Chemicals properties of cheese (Mean+SD)						
Cheese Samples	Titratable Acidity	Fat content	Total nitrogen	Soluble nitrogen	Salt content	Ash content	
Ras cheese	0.84 ±0.11a	$31.67 \pm 2.16a$	3.42 ±0.32a	0.41 ±0.05b	5.097 ±0.493b	6.63 ± 0.65 b	
Roquefort cheese	$0.740 \pm 0.078b$	32.33 ±2.066a	$3.50 \pm 0.14a$	$1.25 \pm 0.05a$	4.340 ±0.729b	$4.08 \pm 0.52c$	
Kareish cheese	$0.440 \pm 0.125c$	$2.000 \pm 0.894c$	$2.42 \pm 0.22b$	$0.35 \pm 0.04c$	$2.912 \pm 0.370c$	$2.84 \pm 0.27d$	
Baramiely (Domiati)	$0.48 \pm 0.104c$	$21.67 \pm 1.862b$	$2.11 \pm 0.09c$	$0.015 \pm 8.944d$	$5.445 \pm 0.845a$	$7.22 \pm 0.25a$	
General mean values	0.4835	21.92	2.86	0.51	5.20	5.19	

In the same column, means with the same letter are not significantly different (p<0.05) * significant

From the data presented in the previously mentioned Table could be observed also that both of total nitrogen and soluble nitrogen of Requeforti cheese were of

higher values than the rest cheese with 3.50 ± 0.14 and 1.25 ± 0.05 , followed by Ras cheese with 3.42 ± 0.32 and 0.41 ± 0.05 , respectively. The examined samples of Baramiely

cheese showed the lowest mean values of total nitrogen and soluble nitrogen with 2.11 ±0.09 and 0.15 ±8.94, respectively. Statistically it was observed that the differences between total nitrogen and soluble nitrogen in all studied cheese were significant ($P \le 0.05$). As with the salt content tabulated in the previous Table showed that the Barameily cheese had the highest value of salt of 5.445% ±0.845, followed by Ras cheese of 5.097% ±0.493, while the lowest values of salt percentage was for Kareish cheese 2.912%±0.370.from the statistical analysis it was observed there is a significant difference between different type of investigated cheeses ($P \le 0.05$). From previous finding it was concluded that the differences in the chemical composition of different type of investigated cheeses may be related to the difference in starter culture used in its manufacture, the repining period, storage condition moisture percentage and the salt contents manufacturing procedure which reflects on its final chemical composition.

Data in Table 4 represents the mean values of some microbiological properties of different types of cheese collected from Assiut city. The results indicated that the

total bacterial counts of cheese 0.375×107 ± 0.320, $0.248\times107 \pm 0.161$, $1.213\times107\pm 1.566$ and $1.269\times107 \pm$ 1.406 for Ras cheese, Roquefort cheese, Kareish cheese and Baramiely cheese, respectively. It was observed from these data that the total bacterial counts were closely related to each other, to the extent that there are no statistically significant differences between the investigated cheese types. Total yeasts and moulds were calculated and the results indicated that, Baramiely cheese recorded the highest total yeasts and moulds with mean values of 12.8×104 followed by Roquefort cheese with mean values of 4.837×104. The difference between the investigated cheese types in their contents of total yeasts and moulds may be related to the different parameters and conditions of during its manufacture procesure and during storage period . as well as the cheese were tested for the incidence of coliform bacteria, the obtained results indicated that, coliform bacteria group had not detectedinall cheeses varieties, except for Ras cheese which about 66.66% of the investigated samples confirm the presence of coliform bacteria.

Table 4. Microbiological properties of different types of cheeses.

	Cheese microbiological properties (Mean ±SD) cfu/gram of cheese.						
Cheese samles	Total bacterial counts	Mould counts	Yeasts	Total Yeast & Mould	Coliform bacteria group incidence		
Ras cheese	$0.375 \times 10^7 \pm 0.320a$	$2.04 \times 10^2 \pm 1.67$ b	$1.33 \times 10^2 \pm 1.966$ b	3.37×10^4	66.66%		
Requforti cheese	$0.248 \times 10^7 \pm 0.161a$	$3.67 \times 10^4 \pm 2.58a$	$1.167 \times 10^2 \pm 1.941$ b	4.837×10^{4}	ND		
Kareish cheese	$1.213 \times 10^7 \pm 1.566a$	$3.00 \times 10^2 \pm 2.450 \text{ b}$	$0.00 \pm 0.00b$	3.00×10^{2}	ND		
Baramiely cheese	$1.269 \times 10^7 \pm 1.406a$	$2.8 \times 10^2 \pm 2.858b$	$10 \times 10^2 \pm 9.960a$	12.8×10^4	ND		
General mean values	0.776×10^7	3.12×10^{2}	3.124×10^{2}	6.24×10^4			

In the same column, means with the same letter are not significantly different (p<0.05) * significant

Results tabulated in Table 5 represent the chemical analysis of ten brands of yoghurt collected from Assiut city. Regarding to the titratable acidity, it was noticed that all titratable acidity were closely related with general mean value of 0.731% determined as lactic acid this may be due to the starter culture used in its manufacturing, the incubation temperature and the storage condition was the same in all investigated brands. So, there are no singnificant differences was found between measurements of titratable acidity in the tenth brands. Looking at the fat percentages, it was observed that, brand 3, 7 and 10 gained the highest fat percentage with 4.367 ±0.709,4.400±0.794and 4.167 ± 0.764 respectively, followed by brand 2 and 4 which recorded the same fat percentage near to 3.7%. The rest brands 1,5,8 and 9 had the lowest mean values with 3.333 ± 0.289 , 3.233 ± 0.252 , 3.167 ± 0.289 and 3.067 ± 0.116 respectively. The differences between the highest fat contents were nonsignificant also the same between the lowest values, but a significant differences were found between the highest measurements of brand 3,7 and 10 from side and the lowest measurements of the rest brand . This may be due to the difference in the raw milk composition used in the manufacture process and may be related to unfollowed the milk standardization approach at the beginning of yoghurt manufacture process. With regard to the total nitrogen content, it was found that, the total nitrogen content was ranged from 0.717 \pm 0.01 for Brand 1 to 0.811 \pm 0.015 for Brand 5 with an general average of 0.77. from other side the results showed that, the soluble nitrogen contents was

ranged from 0.014 ± 2.517 E-03 for Brand 3 to 0.028 \pm 2.082E-03 for Brand 8 with a general average of 0.0215. It was observed also that, the differences between both of total nitrogen in all investigated yoghurt brands and soluble nitrogen in all brands were not big to be statically significant to some extent. The salt percentage results should that, all brands mean value werein between the range 0.183 \pm 0.025 for Brand4 to 0.283 \pm 0.025 for Brand6 with an average of 0.2068. finally the ash content data showed that all values in the range of 0.75 \pm 0.02 for Brand2 and 0. 87 \pm 0.01 for 6 and Brand8, with general average of 0.799.

Data in Table 6 represents the microbiological properties of 10 brands of yoghurt collected from Assiut city. From these data it could be concluded that, the total bacterial counts was ranged from 1.19×107± 1.702 for Brand9 to 2.735×107± 3.928 for Brand 10 with an average of 1.7629×107 cfu/gram. Furthermore all the obtained results showed no significant differences between all Barnds. This may be due to the same starter cultures used for their manufacture, and the same storage conditions in the smarkets before saleing. In case of mould counts the obtained results revealed that, the total mould counts ranged from $1\times102\pm$ 1d cfu/gram for Brand -5 to $25\times102\pm$ 13.23ab for Brand- 9 with an average of 9.831×102 cfu/gram yoghurt. While in case of yeasts count it could be observed that, the total yeasts count was ranged from 0.00 cfu/gram for Brand-1 to 26.67×102± 2.887 for Brand (8,9 and 10) with an average of 14.3337×102 cfu/gram yoghurt. Collectively it was found that, the mould and yeasts counts were ranged between 4.667×104 cfu for Brand-7 to 51.67×104 cfu for Brand-9 with an average of 24.16×104 cfu/gram yoghurt. Finally with regard to the incidence of coliform bacteria groups in studied samplesthe obtained

results revealed that, all investigated Brands (1-10) had no coliform bacteria group except for Brands (2and 6).

Table 5. Gross chemical composition of different brands of yoghurt.

Vo alama		yoghurt chemical properties (Mean \pm SD)							
Yoghurt brand	Titratable	Fat	Total nitrogen	Soluble nitrogen	Salt	Ash			
DI AHU	acidity	content	content	content	content	content			
Brand-1	0.730	3.333	0.717	0.015	0.237	0.77			
	±0.700ab	±0.289bc	±0.01c	$\pm 0.001527 d$	±0.031c	$\pm 0.02bcd$			
D 1 2	0.727	3.767	0.75	0.014	0.277	0.75			
Brand-2	±0.667ab	±0.751abc	±0.015bc	$\pm 0.002517 d$	±0.031ab	± 0.02 cd			
Brand-3	0.693	4.367	0.75	0.016	0.211	0.78			
Dianu-3	±0.035ab	±0.709a	± 0.020 bc	$\pm 0.001527 d$	±0.028cd	$\pm 0.02bc$			
D 1 4	0.720	3.733	0.78	0.02	0.183	0.81			
Brand-4	±0.076ab	±0.701abc	±0.02ab	\pm 0. 001527 c	±0.025d	$\pm 1.000e-02b$			
Brand-5	0.770	3.233	0.811	0.021	0.243	0.74			
Diana-3	±0.036ab	±0.252bc	±0.015a	$\pm 0.002082 c$	±0.015bc	± 0.04d			
Brand-6	0.680	3.333	0.79	0.023	0.283	0.87			
Diana-o	±0.020b	±0.289bc	±0.015ab	$\pm 0.002000 bc$	±0.025a	$\pm 0.01a$			
Brand-7	0.777	4.400	0.79	0.025	0.210	0.86			
	±0.042a	$\pm 0.794a$	±0.02ab	± 0.002517 ab	±0.01cd	± 0.02a			
Brand-8	0.703	3.167	0.79	0.028	0.230	0.87			
	±0.075ab	±0.289c	$\pm 0.03a$	±0.002082 a	±0.01c	± 0.02a			
Brand-9	0.760	3.067	0.73	0.026	0.217	0.76			
	±0.036ab	±0.116c	±0.03c	$\pm 0.0026 ab$	±0.015cd	± 0.06 cd			
Brand-10	0.750	4.167	0.78	0.027	0.187	0.78			
	±0.062ab	±0.764ab	±0.15ab	± 0.001527 a	±0.015d	$\pm 5.774e-03bc$			
General mean	0.731	3.66	0.77	0.0215	0.2068	0.799			

In the same column, means with the same letter are not significantly different (p<0.05) * significant

Table 6. Microbiological properties of different brands of yoghurt.

	yoghurt microbiological properties (Mean±SD / cfu/gram)							
yoghurt samples	Total bacterial	Mould	Yeasts	Total of yeast	Coliform bacteria			
samples	counts	counts	counts	&mould	group incidence			
Brand -1	$1.470 \times 10^7 \pm 2.072a$	$27.33 \times 10^2 \pm 23.46a$	ND c	27.33×10^4	ND			
Brand -2	$1.48 \times 10^7 \pm 1.665a$	$4.33 \times 10^2 \pm 4.163$ cd	$5 \times 10^2 \pm 5c$	9.33×10^{4}	+			
Brand -3	$1.59 \times 10^7 \pm 2.269a$	$18.33 \times 10^2 \pm 5.774$ abc	12.33 ± 11.37 bc	30.66×10^4	ND			
Brand-4	$2.244 \times 10^7 \pm 3.182a$	$10 \times 10^2 \pm 4.360$ bcd	$12.33 \times 10^2 \pm 11.37$ bc	22.33×10^4	ND			
Brand -5	$2.24 \times 10^7 \pm 3.182a$	$1 \times 10^2 \pm 1d$	$13 \times 10^2 \pm 10.583$ abc	14×10^{4}	ND			
Brannd -6	$1.19 \times 10^7 \pm 1.664a$	$1.66 \times 10^2 \pm 0.577$ d	$19 \times 10^2 \pm 10ab$	20.66×10^4	+			
Brand -7	$1.65 \times 10^7 \pm 2.354a$	$3\times10^2\pm1$ cd	$1.667 \times 10^2 \pm 1.528c$	4.667×10^{4}	ND			
Brand -8	$1.844 \times 10^7 \pm 2.354a$	$4.33 \times 10^2 \pm 5.132$ cd	$26.67 \times 10^2 \pm 2.887a$	31×10^{4}	ND			
Brand-9	$1.19 \times 10^7 \pm 1.702a$	$25 \times 10^2 \pm 13.23$ ab	$26.67 \times 10^2 \pm 2.88a$	51.67×10^4	ND			
Brand -10	$2.735 \times 10^7 \pm 3.928a$	$3.33 \times 10^2 \pm 5.77$ cd	$26.67 \times 10^2 \pm 2.887a$	30×10^{4}	ND			
General mean values	1.7629×10^7	9.831×10^{2}	14.3337×10^2	24.16×10 ⁴				

In the same column, means with the same letter are not significantly different (p<0.05) * significant

REFERENCE

Abd-Ehamid. A. M. (2012). Production of Functional Kariesh Cheese by Microencapsulation of Bifidobacterium adolescentis ATCC 15704. Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology 4 (2): 112-117.

AbouDonia, S.A. (2002). Recent development in Ras cheese, a review. Egypt. J. Dairy Sci., 30:155.

Angulo FJ, Lejeune JT, Rajala-Schultz PJ (2009). Unpasteurized milk: a continued public health threat. Clin. Infect. Dis. 48(1):93-100.

A.O.A.C.(2000). Association of Official Analytical Chemists.Official Methods of Analysis Association of Official Agriculture Chemists Wisconsin: Georgea Banta Co.Inc. A.O.A.C.(2005). Official Methods of Analysis. 18th ed. Association of Official Analytical chemists.AOAC, Gaithersburg,MD.

Brown, R. C. (2004). The Complete Book of Cheese, Chapter (3). Roquefort cheese dressing, bottled USA.

Ceballos, L.S., E.R. Morales, G.T. Adarve, J.D. Castro, L.P. Martinez, and M.R.Z. Sampelyo, (2009). Composition of goat and cow milk production under similar conditions and analyzed by identical methodology, Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 22, 322-329.

Frank, J.F and Yousef, A.E.(2004).Test for groups of microorganisms. P.227-248 in standard methods for the examination of dairy products. 17th ed. H.M. Wehr and J. Frank, ed. Am. Public Health Assoc.,Wa Shington,DC.

- Gripon, J.C. (1993). Mould- ripened cheeses.In; Cheese chemistry, physics and microbiology.Vol.II Major Cheese Groups.Edited by Fox, P.F., Elsevier Science Publisher Ltd, Cambridge, England.
- Hofi, A.A., Yossef, E.H., Ghoneim, M.A. and Tawab, G.A. (1970). Ripening changes in Cephalotyre "Ras" cheese manufactured from raw and pasteurized milk with special reference to flavour. Journal Dairy Science, 53: 1207:1212
- Hossain, M.B., and S.R. Dev,(2013). Physiochemical characteristics of various raw milk samples in a selected dairy plant of Bangladesh, International Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, 1 (3), 91-96.
- IDF (1972). Derermintion of sodium chloride contents of cheese. IDF standard NO. 17A, Int. Dairy Federation Brussels, Belgium.
- Kepary, M.; Kamaly, K.; Zedan, N. and Zaghlol, A. (2007). Acceleration of ripening of Domiati cheese by accelase and lipozyme enzyme. Egyptian Journal of Dairy Science 35 (1)75-90.

- Ling, E.R. (1963). A text book of dairy chemistry. Vol.II 3rd ed., Chapman and Hall, Ltd. London.
- Lunn and Buttriss, (2007). Carbohydrates and dietary fiber, Nutr. Bull., 32, pp. 21-64.
- Mohran, M.A. (1971). Cleaning and disinfecting of farm dairy equipment. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac.Agric., Assiut Univ.
- Statistix 8.1. (2003) User's Manual. Analytical Software, Tallahassee.
- Smith, J.E and Dawson, V.T .(1944). The bacteriostatic action of rose Bengal in medium used the plate count of soil fungi. Soil science. 58:467-471.
- Trowell. H., D.A.T. Southgate, T.M.S. Wolever (1976). Dietary fiber redefined, Lancet, 1, p. 967.
- Yousef, H.; Sobieh, M. and Nagedan, K.; (2001). Microbial status of Domiati cheese, at El-Gassiem area, Saudi Arabia. 8th Sci. Cong., Fac. Vet. Med., Assiut Univ., 91-97.

تقييم الجودة الكيميائية والميكروبيولوجية لبعض منتجات الألبان بمدينة أسيوط عثمان 1 و غادة عبد المنصف محمود 2 قسم ألالبان كلية الزراعة 1 مليه أسيوط. 1 قسم ألالبان كلية الزراعة 1 أسيوط. 1 قسم النبات والميكروبيولوجي كلية العلوم 1 جامعة أسيوط 1

تم جمع ثلاثين عينة من منتجات ألبان مختلفة من الأسواق المحلية بمدينة أسيوط. شملت العينات 10 لبن خام و 10 زبادي و 10 عينات من الجبن. تم تحليل جميع العينات كيميانيا (الحموضة ، الدهون ، النيتر وجين الكلي ، النيتر وجين القابل للذوبان ، الملح والرماد) وكذلك ميكر وبيولو جياً لـ (العدد الكلي البكتيريا ، المحاثر والفطريات ومدي تو اجد بكتيريا القولون). و كانت النتائج المتحصل عليها كالتالي (0.13-0.20) ، (0.63 - 0.81) و (0.07-0.27) و (0.07-0.20) و (3.00-0.01) لمحتوي العينات من الدهن ، (0.47 - 0.60) و (0.09-0.01) و (0.23-3.7) للنيتر وجين القابل للذوبان في الماء، (0.17 – 0.02) ، (0.01 – 0.01) و (0.23-0.21) للنيتر وجين القابل للذوبان في الماء، (0.17 – 0.020) ، (0.01 – 0.01) و (0.23-3.7) للرماد في كل من اللبن الخام والجبن وعينات الزبادي علي التوالي . بينما كانت التالج المحكر وبيولوجي كالتالي (2.25 × 10 - 2.55 × 10) ، (0.25 × 10 - 2.55 × 10) للحد الكلي للخمائر والفطريات لعينات اللبن الخام والجبن والزبادي على التوالي . على التوالي . كما دلت النتائج المتحصل عليها على خلو العينات موضع الدراسة من مجاميع بكتير القولون فيما عدي عينات اللبن الخام .