

Microbes and Infectious Diseases

Journal homepage: https://mid.journals.ekb.eg/

Original article

Susceptibility pattern of enteric bacteria isolated during raining season in some areas of Ado-Ekiti to macrolide antibiotics

Oluwafemi Adeyemi Ajenifuja *, Oluwakemi Esther Oni

Department of Science Technology, School of Science and Computer Studies, Federal Polytechnic, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria.

ARTICLEINFO

Article history: Received 16 June 2020 Received in revised form 4 August 2020 Accepted 6 August 2020

Keywords: Antibiotics Enteric bacteria Macrolides Raining season Susceptibility pattern

ABSTRACT

Background: Macrolides are considered one of the oldest classes of antibiotics which have been regarded among the best-tolerated antibiotic for almost several years. They are characterized by their large lactone ring structures and by their growth-inhibiting (bacteriostatic) effects on bacteria. Aim: The potency of macrolide antibiotics were determined against enteric bacteria (E. coli, Shigella spp. and Salmonella spp.) isolated during the raining season between June to September in the year 2018 from locations in Ado Ekiti metropolis. The consequences of the presence of these bacteria can be fatal hence the need to investigate their susceptibility to macrolide antibiotics. Methods: The bacteria were isolated from well water, soil and drainage samples obtained at Erifun, Omisanjana, Fiyinfoluwa, Ajilosun areas using serial dilution method. Results: It was observed that the bacteria were susceptible to $250 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ and $500 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ concentration of azithromycin, erythromycin, and clarithromycin though with varying degrees of susceptibility. Azithromycin showed the highest potency. Conclusion: The present study indicated samples of the well water, soil and drainage at Erifun, Omisanjana, Ajilosun and Fiyinfoluwa areas of Ado-Ekiti were severely contaminated with E. coli, Salmonella spp., and Shigella spp. this is due to the lack of adequate sanitary measures. However, unhygienic behaviour like indiscriminate disposal of waste and open defecation should be discouraged.

Introduction

Macrolides are of antibiotics class characterized by their large lactone ring structures and by their growth-inhibiting (bacteriostatic) effects on bacteria. The macrolides were first discovered in the 1950s, when scientists isolated erythromycin from the soil bacterium Streptomyces erythraeus. In the 1970s and 1980s synthetic derivatives of erythromycin, including clarithromycin and azithromycin, were developed [1]. Macrolides are usually administered orally, but they can be given parenterally. They are used in treating pneumonias caused either by Mycoplasma species or by Legionella pneumophila (the organism that causes Legionnaire disease); they

are also used in treating pharyngeal carriers of *Corynebacterium diphtheriae*, the bacillus responsible for diphtheria [2].

Antibiotic macrolides are used to treat infections caused by Gram-positive bacteria such as *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and limited Gram-negative bacteria which are *Bordetella pertussis*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, and some respiratory tract and soft-tissue infections. The antimicrobial spectrum of macrolides is slightly wider than that of penicillin, and, therefore, macrolides are a common substitute for patients with a penicillin allergy [3]. Macrolides have been reported to exhibit activity against many Gram-positive

DOI: 10.21608/MID.2020.36088.1037

^{*} Corresponding author: Oluwafemi Adeyemi Ajenifuja E-mail address: joseyajenifuja@yahoo.com

^{© 2020} The author (s). Published by Zagazig University. This is an open access article under the CC BY 4 license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

bacteria excluding enterococci and methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* [4], and also have variable activity against respiratory Gram-negative pathogens such as *Mycobacterium avium* infections, gonorrhea [1].

Enteric bacteria naturally live in the intestines of animals and humans. However, some types of bacteria reside in intestinal tracts of animals that can cause disease and harsh reactions when humans become infected with them. They can cause a mild infection, such as a simple case of food poisoning, or they can cause severe community-wide infections and lead to plagues. Examples of enteric bacteria include *Salmonella* spp., *E. coli, Campylobacter jejuni* and *Shigella dysenteriae* [5].

The primary means of bacterial resistance to macrolides occurs by post-transcriptional methylation of the 23S bacterial ribosomal RNA [6]. This acquired resistance can be either plasmid-mediated or chromosomal, that is, through mutation, and results in cross-resistance to macrolides, lincosamides, and streptogramins. Azithromycin has been used to treat strep throat (Group A streptococcal infection caused by *Streptococcus pyogenes*) in penicillin-sensitive patients, however, macrolide-resistant strains of Group A streptococci are not uncommon [6].

Macrolides have a common structure formed by a large lactone ring [7], and this may partially explain its intrinsic activity against Gram-negative bacteria. Macrolide antibiotics inhibit Gram-negative bacteria by binding reversibly to the P site on the 50S subunit of the bacterial ribosome [7]. Macrolides are actively concentrated within leukocytes, and thus are transported into the site of infection.

Enteric bacteria find their way into water systems by the activities of man and animal in form of fecal pollution, they are abundant in drainage systems as a result of the release of sewage and other waste materials, and they are also found in abundance in the soil. Therefore, the aim of this study is to determine the potency of macrolide antibiotics against enteric bacteria isolated from well-water, drainage, and soil during the raining season.

Material and Methods

The study area and collection of samples

The study was carried out in four locations in Ado-Ekiti metropolis (**Figure 1**). The locations include Erifun, Omisanjana, Fiyinfoluwa and Ajilosun. Samples were obtained from different well water, soils and drainages. These samples were obtained during the raining season between June and September.

Preparation of medium

The method described by **Ajibade et al.** [8] was adopted. Culturing of samples was done on McConkey agar. The agar was prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions. 3.9 g of McConkey agar was dissolved in 100 mL of distilled water, and then autoclaved in an electronic autoclave for 15 minutes at a temperature of 121°C. The molten agar was allowed to cool to 45°C and aseptically poured into sterile Petri dishes and allowed to solidify before use.

Culturing of the samples

The method described by **Ajibade et al.** [8] was adopted. Serial dilutions of the samples were made in test tubes to obtain a dilution factor of 10⁵. Half mL of the dilution factor was streaked evenly onto the surface of a properly labeled solidified overdried McConkey agar plates. The plates were inverted and incubated at 37°C for 18 hours. Discrete colonies were picked, sub-cultured and stored in the refrigerator on a nutrient agar slant.

Reactivation and identification of bacterial isolates The method described by **Ajibade et al.** [8] was adopted Colonies were picked with a flamed inoculated loop and cultured in the test tube of McConkey broth, incubated in an incubator at 37°C for 18 hours. Subsequently, a loop full of the suspension was streaked on an overdried McConkey agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

The pure bacterial isolates were identified based on their morphological and biochemical tests such as pigmentation, shape, elevation, consistency, margin, Gram staining, catalase test, fermentation of sugars, indole production and sensitivity tests [9]. In order to determine the identity of bacteria isolates, results were compared with standard references of Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology as described by **Buchanan and Gibbons** [10].

Concentration of macrolide antibiotics

The method described by **Khan et al.** [11] was adopted. Four different macrolide antibiotics (azithromycin, clarithromycin and erythromycin) were ground into powder form in different containers. Two different concentrations of the macrolide antibiotic were made for each of the antibiotics i.e. 250 and 500 μ g/mL, and dissolved in 1 mL of distilled water.

Impregnation of the paper disk

Disk diffusion method described by **Khan et al.** [11] was adopted. Paper discs were prepared from Whatman filter No. 1 filter paper (5 mm) and then sterilize in the hot air oven for 60 °C for 1 hr. The disks

were incorporated into the different concentrations of the prepared macrolide antibiotics and were allowed to stand for 24 hours at room temperature.

Antibiotic susceptibility test

The guideline described by Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [12] was adopted. **Figure 1.** Map of Ado-Ekiti showing the study areas Reactivated bacterial suspensions were spread evenly on the prepared nutrient agar using a sterile wire loop. Macrolide antibiotic discs were placed on the plates in three different locations. The plates were properly labeled and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Zones of inhibition/susceptibility patterns were measured and recorded in millimeters.



Satistical analysis

Analysis of variance was computed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 15 software for each attribute and the Duncan multiple range test was used to separate the means where significant difference existed.

Ethical approval

All authors hereby declare that all research methodologies have been examined and approved by the appropriate ethics committee and have therefore been performed in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki.

Results

The results of this work are shown in the tables below. **Table 1** shows the prevalence of the different bacterial isolates from each sample for each months (June – September). The three bacterial were isolated in June in the soil sample; while two (*Salmonella* spp. and *Shigella* spp.) were isolated from drainage and well water samples respectively. The samples collected in July, August and September had two bacterial isolates each. There was a significant difference between the samples.

The result of the susceptibility pattern of bacterial (*Salmonella* spp., *E. coli*, and *Shigella* spp.) isolated from Erifun in June – September is showed in **table (2)**. During June, the isolates from well-water were susceptible to the three macrolides with a diameter of zone of inhibition ranging between 9.00 -

28.00 mm, 8.00 – 36.00 mm, and 5.00 – 37.00 mm respectively. The isolates from the soil sample were susceptible to the three macrolides with a diameter of zone of inhibition ranging between 6.00 - 32.00 mm, 7.00 - 36.00 mm, and 8.00 - 36.00 mm respectively; isolates from drainage were also susceptible to the three macrolides with a diameter of zones of inhibition between 8.00 - 36.00 mm, 8.00 - 33.00 mm, and 6.00 - 32.00 mm respectively at $250 - 500 \ \mu g/mL$ concentrations. There was a significant difference (p < 0.05) between the samples.

The result of the susceptibility pattern of bacterial (Salmonella spp., E. coli, and Shigella spp.) isolated from Omisanjana in June - September is showed in table (3). During June, the isolates from well-water were susceptible to the three macrolides with a diameter of zone of inhibition ranging between 7.00 - 29.00 mm, 7.00 - 30.00, and 9.00 - 37.00 mm respectively. The isolates from soil sample were susceptible to the three macrolides with a diameter of zone of inhibition ranging between 7.00 - 28.00 mm, 6.00 - 32.00 mm, and 9.00 - 38.00 mm respectively; isolates from drainage were also susceptible to the three macrolides with the diameter of zones of inhibition between 8.00 - 36.00 mm, 8.00 - 33.00 mm, and 10.00 - 36.00 mm respectively at 250 - 500 µg/mL concentrations. There was a significant difference (p < 0.05) between the samples.

The result of the susceptibility pattern of bacterial (*Salmonella* spp., *E. coli*, and *Shigella* spp.) isolated from Ajilosun in June – September is showed

in **table** (4). During June, the isolates from well-water were susceptible to the three macrolides with a diameter of zone of inhibition ranging between 9.00 - 36.00 mm, 10.00 - 43.00 mm, and 7.00 - 29.00 mm respectively. The isolates from the soil sample were susceptible to the three macrolides with a diameter of zone of inhibition ranging between 9.00 - 30.00 mm, 10.00 - 35.00 mm and 9.00 - 39.00 mm respectively; isolates from drainage were also susceptible to the three macrolides of zones of inhibition between 10.00 - 33.00 mm, 8.00 - 33.00 mm, and 7.00 - 33.00 mm respectively at 250 - 500 µg/mL concentrations. There was a significant difference (p < 0.05) between the samples.

The result of the susceptibility pattern of bacterial (*Salmonella* spp., *E. coli*, and *Shigella* spp.) isolated from Fiyinfoluwa in June – September is showed in **table (5)**. During June, the isolates from well-water were susceptible to the three macrolides with a diameter of zone of inhibition ranging between 7.00 - 43.00 mm, 10.00 - 37.00 mm, and 6.00 - 35.00 mm respectively. The isolates from soil sample were

susceptible to the three macrolides with a diameter of zone of inhibition ranging between 7.00 - 32.00 mm, 6.00 - 36.00 mm, and 8.00 - 37.00 mm respectively; isolates from drainage were also susceptible to the three macrolides with the diameter of zones of inhibition between 6.00 - 40.00 mm, 5.00 - 30.00 mm, and 7.00 - 36.00 mm respectively at $250 - 500 \mu g/mL$ concentrations. There was a significant difference (p < 0.05) between the samples.

	Samples							
Months	Organisms isolated							
	Soil Drainage		Well water					
June	E, Sal, Sh	E, Sal	Sal, Sh					
July	Sal, Shi	Sal, Sh	Sal, Sh					
August	E, Sal	E, Sal	E, Sal					
September	Sal, Sh	Sal, Sh	E, Sal					

Table 1. Prevalent bacteria from samples.

E – *Escherichia coli*, Sal – *Salmonella* spp., Sh – *Shigella* spp.

	Diameter of zone of inhibition (mm)								
Macrolides/	Concentration of antibiotics (µg/mL)								
Sources	June		July		August		September		
	250	500	250	500	250	500	250	500	
Salmonella spp.									
Well water									
Azithromycin	28.00±0.01ª	24.00±0.02b	29.00±0.01°	33.00±0.00 ^d	24.00±0.01 ^b	26.00±0.01e	21.00±0.02 ^f	23.00±0.01g	
Clarithromycin	16.00±0.02 ^a	18.00±0.03 ^b	15.00±0.00°	16.00±0.03ª	12.00±0.01 ^d	14.00±0.02 ^e	8.00±0.04 ^f	12.00±0.00 ^d	
Erythromycin	11.00±0.01ª	12.00±0.01b	12.00±0.01 ^b	13.00±0.01°	10.00±0.00 ^d	11.00±0.01ª	9.00±0.03e	12.00±0.02b	
Soil									
Azithromycin	25.00±0.01ª	29.00±0.01 ^b	32.00±0.01°	36.00±0.01 ^d	27.00±0.02 ^e	28.00±0.03 ^f	24.00±0.01 ^g	27.00±0.02 ^e	
Clarithromycin	9.00±0.02 ^a	12.00±0.03 ^b	6.00±0.02 ^c	8.00±0.01 ^d	11.00±0.00 ^e	13.00±0.02 ^f	10.00±0.03 ^g	11.00±0.00e	
Erythromycin	8.00±0.01ª	10.00±0.01b	7.00±0.01°	9.00±0.01 ^d	11.00±0.01e	12.00±0.01 ^f	12.00±0.01 ^f	13.00±0.01g	
Drainage									
Azithromycin	32.00±0.01ª	35.00±0.01 ^b	34.00±0.00°	36.00±0.02 ^d	26.00±0.04 ^e	30.00±0.01 ^f	32.00±0.00ª	33.00±0.01g	
Clarithromycin	14.00±0.01ª	16.00±0.02 ^b	12.00±0.02°	14.00±0.02ª	8.00±0.01 ^d	10.00±0.01e	11.00±0.01 ^f	12.00±0.00°	

Table 2. Susceptibility patterns of bacterial isolates in Erifun.

Erythromycin	8.00±0.01 ^a	9.00±0.03 ^b	9.00±0.02 ^b	11.00±0.01°	11.00±0.00°	13.00±0.02 ^d	8.00±0.03ª	11.00±0.01°	
E. coli									
Well water									
Azithromycin	32.00±0.02ª	34.00±0.00 ^b	26.00±0.01°	27.00±0.01 ^d	31.00±0.01e	34.00±0.01 ^b	26.00±0.01°	36.00±0.01 ^f	
Clarithromycin	15.00±0.01ª	16.00±0.01 ^b	12.00±0.01°	15.00±0.01ª	11.00±0.01 ^d	13.00±0.02e	12.00±0.02°	16.00±0.00 ^b	
Erythromycin	15.00±0.01 ^a	13.00±0.01 ^b	14.00±0.01°	15.00±0.01 ^a	9.00±0.01 ^d	12.00±0.01e	8.00±0.01 ^f	9.00±0.01 ^d	
Soil									
Azithromycin	34.00±0.01ª	36.00±0.02 ^b	27.00±0.01°	31.00±0.01 ^d	36.00±0.01 ^b	37.00±0.00e	32.00±0.00 ^f	34.00±0.00ª	
Clarithromycin	7.00±0.01 ^a	10.00±0.00 ^b	6.00±0.02 ^c	8.00±0.03 ^d	11.00±0.01e	$12.00{\pm}0.01^{\rm f}$	$12.00{\pm}0.01^{\rm f}$	14.00±0.01g	
Erythromycin	10.00±0.00 ^a	12.00±0.01 ^b	8.00±0.02 ^c	9.00±0.03 ^d	11.00±0.01 ^e	12.00±0.02 ^b	9.00±0.00 ^d	10.00±0.02ª	
Drainage									
Azithromycin	24.00±0.01ª	32.00±0.01 ^b	32.00±0.01 ^b	33.00±0.01°	28.00±0.01 ^d	29.00±0.00e	30.00±0.01 ^f	32.00±0.01 ^b	
Clarithromycin	16.00±0.01 ^a	16.00±0.01 ^a	13.00±0.01 ^b	14.00±0.01°	12.00±0.02 ^d	13.00±0.01 ^b	11.00±0.03 ^e	14.00±0.01°	
Erythromycin	10.00±0.02 ^a	12.00±0.01 ^b	8.00±0.04 ^c	9.00±0.00 ^d	10.00±0.02 ^a	12.00±0.01 ^b	11.00±0.00 ^e	12.00±0.01 ^b	
				Shigella spp.					
Well water									
Azithromycin	36.00±0.02 ^a	37.00±0.00 ^b	31.00±0.02°	34.00±0.01 ^d	30.00±0.01e	31.00±0.02°	29.00±0.01 ^f	31.00±0.01c	
Clarithromycin	7.00±0.04 ^a	10.00±0.01 ^b	5.00±0.04 ^c	9.00±0.01 ^d	11.00±0.00 ^e	12.00±0.02 ^f	13.00±0.00 ^g	16.00±0.01 ^h	
Erythromycin	11.00±0.02 ^a	12.00±0.00 ^b	11.00±0.03 ^a	11.00±0.02 ^a	9.00±0.01°	10.00±0.01 ^d	11.00±0.01 ^a	12.00±0.01 ^b	
Soil									
Azithromycin	28.00±0.01ª	29.00±0.01 ^b	22.00±0.02°	26.00±0.01 ^d	28.00±0.02 ^a	29.00±0.03 ^b	33.00±0.01 ^e	36.00±0.02 ^f	
Clarithromycin	11.00±0.00 ^a	13.00±0.01 ^b	9.00±0.04°	12.00±0.02 ^d	8.00±0.01e	11.00±0.01ª	11.00±0.01ª	12.00±0.02 ^d	
Erythromycin	9.00±0.02ª	12.00±0.01 ^b	12.00±0.00 ^b	13.00±0.01°	12.00±0.02 ^b	13.00±0.01°	10.00±0.01 ^d	11.00±0.01e	
Drainage									
Azithromycin	19.00±0.02ª	22.00±0.00b	25.00±0.01°	30.00±0.01 ^d	31.00±0.01e	32.00±0.01 ^f	28.00±0.01g	29.00±0.01h	
Clarithromycin	11.00±0.00 ^a	12.00±0.02 ^b	8.00±0.00 ^c	10.00±0.04 ^d	8.00±0.01°	9.00±0.02 ^e	13.00±0.03 ^f	14.00±0.02 ^g	
Erythromycin	12.00±0.01ª	13.00±0.01 ^b	6.00±0.01°	9.00±0.03 ^d	9.00±0.02 ^d	10.00±0.02e	7.00±0.03 ^f	8.00±0.01 ^g	

	Diameter of zone of inhibition (mm)									
Macrolides/	Concentration of antibiotics (µg/mL)									
Sources	Iune		July		Angust		September			
	250	500	250	500	250	500	250	500		
				Salmonella spp.						
Well water										
Azithromycin	26.00±0.04ª	27.00±0.01b	21.00±0.02°	23.00±0.01 ^d	28.00±0.01°	29.00±0.01 ^f	23.00±0.01 ^d	25.00±0.00g		
Clarithromycin	9.00±0.00ª	12.00±0.00 ^b	8.00±0.02°	12.00±0.01 ^b	15.00±0.02 ^d	16.00±0.02°	12.00±0.00 ^b	14.00±0.01 ^f		
Erythromycin	9.00±0.00ª	10.00±0.01 ^b	6.00±0.01°	8.00±0.01 ^d	7.00±0.01°	9.00±0.00ª	13.00±0.01 ^f	15.00±0.03 ^g		
Soil										
Azithromycin	19.00±0.01ª	22.00±0.00b	24.00±0.04°	28.00±0.00 ^d	28.00±0.01 ^d	29.00±0.01°	26.00±0.02 ^f	27.00±0.03 ^g		
Clarithromycin	10.00±0.01ª	11.00±0.01 ^b	13.00±0.02°	15.00±0.01 ^d	10.00±0.00 ^a	11.00±0.02 ^b	8.00±0.00 ^e	9.00±0.03 ^f		
Erythromycin	7.00±0.02ª	9.00±0.01 ^b	9.00±0.00 ^b	9.00±0.02 ^b	8.00±0.00°	11.00±0.04 ^d	9.00±0.01 ^b	12.00±0.02°		
Drainage										
Azithromycin	31.00±0.03ª	35.00±0.01 ^b	32.00±0.00°	33.00±0.01 ^d	34.00±0.00°	$36.00 {\pm} 0.02^{\rm f}$	32.00±0.03°	35.00±0.02 ^b		
Clarithromycin	9.00±0.01ª	10.00±0.01 ^b	13.00±0.00°	15.00±0.01 ^d	14.00±0.01°	17.00 ± 0.00^{f}	18.00±0.02g	19.00±0.03 ^h		
Erythromycin	8.00±0.02ª	10.00±0.01 ^b	12.00±0.02°	14.00±0.02 ^d	11.00±0.01°	12.00±0.01°	15.00±0.00 ^f	16.00±0.03g		
	1	1	1	E. coli	T	1	1	1		
Well water										
Azithromycin	23.00±0.02ª	26.00±0.01 ^b	23.00±0.00ª	25.00±0.02°	28.00±0.02 ^d	30.00±0.02°	23.00±0.01ª	25.00±0.04°		
Clarithromycin	7.00±0.01ª	12.00±0.02 ^b	9.00±0.00°	10.00±0.01 ^d	13.00±0.02°	$17.00{\pm}0.02^{\rm f}$	11.00±0.00g	15.00±0.02 ^h		
Erythromycin	9.00±0.00ª	11.00±0.01 ^b	10.00±0.02°	18.00±0.02 ^d	12.00±0.02°	15.00±0.02 ^f	10.00±0.02°	13.00±0.02 ^g		
Soil										
Azithromycin	23.00±0.01ª	27.00±0.00b	22.00±0.02°	25.00±0.00 ^d	22.00±0.02°	27.00±0.01 ^b	28.00±0.01°	32.00±0.02 ^f		
Clarithromycin	11.00±0.02ª	15.00±0.01 ^b	9.00±0.02°	12.00±0.01 ^d	11.00±0.01ª	14.00±0.02e	8.00±0.01 ^f	10.00±0.02 ^g		
Erythromycin	7.00±0.01ª	10.00±0.02 ^b	6.00±0.01°	9.00±0.00 ^d	10.00±0.01 ^b	13.00±0.00e	8.00±0.02 ^f	10.00±0.02 ^b		
Drainage										
Azithromycin	28.00±0.00ª	33.00±0.02 ^b	27.00±0.02°	31.00±0.01 ^d	31.00±0.02 ^d	34.00±0.01°	28.00±0.02ª	29.00±0.00 ^f		
Clarithromycin	8.00±0.00 ^a	12.00±0.01 ^b	11.00±0.01°	13.00±0.00 ^d	15.00±0.03°	$17.00{\pm}0.01^{\rm f}$	12.00±0.01 ^b	15.00±0.01°		
Erythromycin	10.00±0.01ª	12.00±0.02 ^b	10.00±0.01 ^b	13.00±0.02°	9.00±0.01 ^d	11.00±0.01°	12.00±0.00 ^b	$14.00{\pm}0.01^{\rm f}$		
	1		1	Shigella spp.	1	1	1			
Well water										
Azithromycin	23.00±0.01ª	37.00±0.01 ^b	20.00±0.02°	23.00±0.02ª	23.00±0.00ª	25.00±0.01 ^d	23.00±0.03ª	26.00±0.00e		
Clarithromycin	10.00±0.02ª	12.00±0.01 ^b	9.00±0.01°	12.00±0.02 ^b	13.00±0.03 ^d	16.00±0.01°	12.00±0.01 ^b	15.00±0.01 ^f		
Erythromycin	16.00±0.00ª	18.00±0.01 ^b	9.00±0.02°	12.00±0.02 ^d	14.00±0.00°	17.00±0.02 ^f	13.00±0.01g	15.00±0.00 ^h		
Soil										
Azithromycin	29.00±0.00ª	32.00±0.02 ^b	34.00±0.01°	38.00±0.03 ^d	28.00±0.01°	23.00±0.01 ^f	26.00±0.02g	32.00±0.01 ^b		
Clarithromycin	11.00±0.01ª	13.00±0.02 ^b	13.00±0.01 ^b	15.00±0.03°	10.00±0.02 ^d	13.00±0.02 ^b	11.00±0.01ª	14.00±0.01°		
Erythromycin	9.00±0.03ª	11.00±0.02 ^b	9.00±0.02ª	15.00±0.01°	11.00±0.02 ^b	14.00±0.01 ^d	9.00±0.02 ^a	12.00±0.02e		
Drainage	ļ									
Azithromycin	30.00±0.01ª	32.00±0.02 ^b	28.00±0.00°	33.00±0.03 ^d	32.00±0.01 ^b	36.00±0.02°	31.00±0.01 ^f	32.00±0.00 ^b		
Clarithromycin	10.00±0.01ª	10.00±0.02ª	13.00±0.01 ^b	15.00±0.01°	14.00±0.03 ^d	17.00±0.00°	$18.00{\pm}0.01^{\rm f}$	19.00±0.02 ^g		
Erythromycin	10.00±0.02 ^a	12.00±0.02 ^b	12.00±0.01 ^b	14.00±0.02°	11.00±0.01 ^d	15.00±0.00e	15.00±0.02e	$17.00{\pm}0.01^{\rm f}$		

Table 3. Susceptibility patterns of bacterial isolates in Omisanjana.

	Diameter of zone of inhibition (mm)								
Macrolides/	Concentration of antibiotics (ug/mL)								
Sources	June		Inly		August		September		
	250	500	250	500	250	500	250	500	
				Salmonella spp.					
Well water									
Azithromycin	25.00±0.02ª	27.00±0.01 ^b	19.00±0.02°	23.00±0.02 ^d	25.00±0.02ª	28.00±0.02°	33.00±0.02 ^f	36.00±0.02 ^g	
Clarithromycin	9.00±0.01ª	11.00±0.02 ^b	9.00±0.02ª	12.00±0.02°	13.00±0.00 ^d	14.00±0.01°	12.00±0.03°	15.00±0.02 ^f	
Erythromycin	12.00±0.02ª	14.00±0.01b	10.00±0.02°	12.00±0.02ª	13.00±0.02 ^d	15.00±0.00°	11.00±0.01 ^f	13.00±0.01 ^d	
Soil									
Azithromycin	23.00±0.00ª	25.00±0.02 ^b	24.00±0.02°	28.00±0.02 ^d	24.00±0.02°	28.00±0.02 ^d	26.00±0.01°	30.00±0.00 ^f	
Clarithromycin	10.00±0.01ª	13.00±0.00 ^b	12.00±0.01°	15.00±0.00 ^d	9.00±0.01°	11.00±0.00 ^f	11.00±0.00 ^f	13.00±0.01 ^b	
Erythromycin	9.00±0.00 ^a	11.00±0.01 ^b	10.00±0.00°	11.00±0.00 ^b	10.00±0.01°	12.00±0.01 ^d	12.00±0.00e	14.00±0.02 ^f	
Drainage									
Azithromycin	29.00±0.00ª	32.00±0.01 ^b	28.00±0.00°	30.00±0.01 ^d	30.00±0.01 ^d	34.00±0.02e	31.00±0.01 ^f	33.00±0.01g	
Clarithromycin	10.00±0.01ª	12.00±0.02 ^b	12.00±0.01b	14.00±0.01°	14.00±0.01°	16.00±0.01 ^d	11.00±0.00°	15.00±0.01 ^f	
Erythromycin	9.00±0.02ª	10.00±0.02 ^b	11.00±0.01°	13.00±0.01 ^d	11.00±0.01°	13.00±0.02 ^d	11.00±0.01°	13.00±0.02 ^d	
				E. coli					
Well water									
Azithromycin	35.00±0.01ª	37.00±0.03 ^b	39.00±0.03°	43.00±0.01 ^d	35.00±0.02ª	38.00±0.02°	33.00±0.03 ^f	36.00±0.02g	
Clarithromycin	10.00±0.02ª	11.00±0.01 ^b	10.00±0.02ª	12.00±0.02°	11.00±0.01 ^b	13.00±0.03 ^d	12.00±0.00°	15.00±0.01°	
Erythromycin	13.00±0.01ª	15.00±0.01 ^b	10.00±0.02°	13.00±0.01ª	11.00±0.01 ^d	15.00±0.02 ^b	11.00±0.01 ^d	12.00±0.02e	
Soil									
Azithromycin	33.00±0.00ª	35.00±0.01 ^b	24.00±0.02°	28.00±0.02 ^d	23.00±0.03e	25.00±0.01 ^f	26.00±0.02g	29.00±0.01 ^h	
Clarithromycin	11.00±0.01ª	12.00±0.02 ^b	12.00±0.00 ^b	14.00±0.02°	10.00±0.02 ^d	13.00±0.01°	13.00±0.00 ^e	16.00±0.02 ^f	
Erythromycin	10.00±0.02ª	13.00±0.01 ^b	11.00±0.00°	13.00±0.01 ^b	11.00±0.01°	14.00±0.02 ^d	12.00±0.01°	15.00±0.00 ^f	
Drainage									
Azithromycin	29.00±0.01ª	33.00±0.01 ^b	25.00±0.01°	28.00±0.03 ^d	27.00±0.01e	33.00±0.00 ^b	30.00±0.00 ^f	35.00±0.02g	
Clarithromycin	9.00±0.01ª	10.00±0.02 ^b	11.00±0.02°	13.00±0.02 ^d	11.00±0.02°	13.00±0.02 ^d	12.00±0.02°	15.00±0.02 ^f	
Erythromycin	9.00±0.00 ^a	12.00±0.01 ^b	11.00±0.00°	12.00±0.01 ^b	9.00±0.01ª	11.00±0.02 ^c	8.00±0.02 ^d	10.00±0.00°	
	1	1	1	Shigella spp.	1	1	1	1	
Well water									
Azithromycin	25.00±0.00ª	27.00±0.01 ^b	29.00±0.03°	23.00±0.01 ^d	25.00±0.01ª	28.00±0.02e	23.00±0.01 ^d	26.00±0.02e	
Clarithromycin	9.00±0.03ª	10.00±0.02 ^b	10.00±0.02 ^b	15.00±0.01°	10.00±0.01 ^b	13.00±0.01 ^d	12.00±0.02e	13.00±0.00 ^d	
Erythromycin	8.00±0.02ª	9.00±0.01 ^b	10.00±0.02°	11.00±0.00 ^d	7.00±0.04°	9.00±0.03 ^b	7.00±0.03°	10.00±0.02°	
Soil									
Azithromycin	33.00±0.01ª	36.00±0.02b	27.00±0.03°	28.00±0.02 ^d	29.00±0.03°	32.00±0.02 ^f	36.00±0.02 ^b	39.00±0.01g	
Clarithromycin	10.00±0.01ª	11.00±0.02 ^b	13.00±0.01°	15.00±0.03 ^d	10.00±0.01ª	13.00±0.00°	13.00±0.02°	15.00±0.01 ^d	
Erythromycin	9.00±0.01ª	12.00±0.02 ^b	10.00±0.01°	13.00±0.01 ^d	11.00±0.01e	13.00±0.02 ^d	12.00±0.00 ^b	16.00±0.02 ^e	
Drainage									
Azithromycin	28.00±0.02ª	33.00±0.01 ^b	23.00±0.01°	27.00±0.02 ^d	24.00±0.03°	26.00±0.01 ^f	19.00±0.00g	23.00±0.02 ^c	
Clarithromycin	9.00±0.03ª	11.00±0.01 ^b	8.00±0.02°	10.00±0.02 ^d	11.00±0.01 ^b	14.00±0.02e	12.00±0.04 ^f	14.00±0.02 ^e	
Erythromycin	7.00±0.03ª	8.00±0.00 ^b	10.00±0.01°	$11.00{\pm}0.02^d$	9.00±0.01°	$11.00{\pm}0.00^{d}$	8.00±0.00 ^b	10.00±0.01°	

Table 4. Susceptibility patterns of bacterial isolates in Ajilosun.

	Diameter of zone of inhibition (mm): Concentration of antibiotics (µg/mL)									
Macrolides/	June		July		Anonst		September			
Sources	250	500	250	500	250	500	250	500		
Salmonella spp.										
Well water										
Azithromycin	27.00±0.01ª	29.00±0.03 ^b	39.00±0.01°	43.00±0.01 ^d	25.00±0.01e	28.00±0.02 ^f	33.00±0.02 ^g	36.00±0.01 ^h		
Clarithromycin	10.00±0.02ª	13.00±0.01 ^b	16.00±0.02°	18.00±0.01 ^d	10.00±0.01ª	15.00±0.01°	12.00±0.01 ^f	15.00±0.01°		
Erythromycin	7.00±0.00ª	9.00±0.01 ^b	10.00±0.02°	14.00±0.02°	7.00±0.01ª	10.00±0.01°	9.00±0.01 ^b	10.00±0.01°		
Soil										
Azithromycin	23.00±0.01ª	26.00±0.01 ^b	27.00±0.01°	32.00±0.01 ^d	29.00±0.01°	32.00±0.01 ^d	26.00±0.01 ^b	29.00±0.01°		
Clarithromycin	7.00±0.03ª	9.00±0.01 ^b	10.00±0.02°	11.00±0.02 ^d	13.00±0.03e	15.00±0.01 ^f	11.00±0.02 ^d	14.00±0.01g		
Erythromycin	9.00±0.01ª	10.00±0.03 ^b	10.00±0.01 ^b	11.00±0.01°	9.00±0.02ª	10.00±0.01 ^b	7.00±0.03 ^d	9.00±0.01ª		
Drainage										
Azithromycin	38.00±0.01ª	40.00±0.02 ^b	33.00±0.00°	37.00±0.01 ^d	34.00±0.00°	36.00±0.01 ^f	29.00±0.01g	33.00±0.02°		
Clarithromycin	6.00±0.04ª	10.00±0.00 ^b	8.00±0.02°	10.00±0.01 ^b	10.00±0.02 ^b	13.00±0.01 ^d	11.00±0.00°	13.00±0.01 ^d		
Erythromycin	7.00±0.01ª	9.00±0.01 ^b	10.00±0.02°	13.00±0.01 ^d	10.00±0.00°	13.00±0.00 ^d	8.00±0.01°	12.00±0.01 ^f		
				E. coli						
Well water										
Azithromycin	22.00±0.01ª	24.00±0.02 ^b	32.00±0.00°	33.00±0.03 ^d	35.00±0.01°	37.00±0.01 ^f	23.00±0.02g	25.00±0.00 ^h		
Clarithromycin	10.00±0.02ª	11.00±0.01 ^b	10.00±0.01ª	13.00±0.00°	$9.00{\pm}0.04^{d}$	10.00±0.02ª	12.00±0.01°	14.00±0.04 ^f		
Erythromycin	10.00±0.00ª	13.00±0.01 ^b	9.00±0.00°	11.00±0.02 ^d	9.00±0.01°	12.00±0.02e	11.00±0.01 ^d	13.00±0.02 ^b		
Soil										
Azithromycin	33.00±0.02ª	36.00±0.00 ^b	24.00±0.02°	26.00±0.01 ^d	25.00±0.01°	29.00±0.00 ^f	36.00±0.02 ^b	37.00±0.02g		
Clarithromycin	8.00±0.01ª	10.00±0.01 ^b	9.00±0.03°	11.00±0.01 ^d	10.00±0.01 ^b	13.00±0.02e	7.00±0.01 ^f	9.00±0.02°		
Erythromycin	9.00±0.01ª	12.00±0.01 ^b	8.00±0.02°	9.00±0.01ª	10.00 ± 0.00^{d}	11.00±0.03e	$6.00{\pm}0.02^{\rm f}$	8.00±0.01°		
Drainage										
Azithromycin	28.00±0.00ª	30.00±0.01 ^b	23.00±0.01°	25.00±0.01 ^d	24.00±0.01°	26.00 ± 0.01^{f}	21.00±0.01g	23.00±0.02°		
Clarithromycin	5.00±0.03ª	7.00±0.01 ^b	8.00±0.02°	11.00±0.01 ^d	11.00±0.02 ^d	14.00±0.01°	9.00±0.00 ^f	10.00±0.01g		
Erythromycin	9.00±0.01ª	12.00±0.01 ^b	13.00±0.01°	15.00±0.01 ^d	10.00±0.01°	13.00±0.01°	$11.00{\pm}0.01^{\rm f}$	15.00±0.02 ^d		
	1	1		Shigella spp.	1					
Well water										
Azithromycin	32.00±0.01ª	34.00±0.02 ^b	22.00±0.01°	23.00±0.02 ^d	25.00±0.02e	27.00±0.02 ^f	33.00±0.01g	35.00±0.03 ^h		
Clarithromycin	11.00±0.02ª	14.00±0.01 ^b	9.00±0.01°	13.00±0.01 ^d	10.00±0.01°	14.00±0.03b	12.00±0.02 ^f	15.00±0.02g		
Erythromycin	6.00±0.01ª	9.00±0.02 ^b	7.00±0.02°	10.00±0.03 ^d	8.00±0.01°	11.00±0.01 ^f	9.00±0.01 ^b	10.00±0.01 ^d		
Soil										
Azithromycin	31.00±0.01ª	33.00±0.02 ^b	30.00±0.01°	36.00±0.01 ^d	35.00±0.01°	37.00±0.02 ^f	26.00±0.00g	27.00±0.01 ^h		
Clarithromycin	10.00±0.02 ^a	13.00±0.01 ^b	9.00±0.01°	11.00±0.01 ^d	8.00±0.01°	12.00±0.00 ^f	8.00±0.00 ^e	10.00±0.02 ^g		
Erythromycin	9.00±0.01ª	12.00±0.02 ^b	9.00±0.01ª	11.00±0.02°	11.00±0.01°	13.00±0.01 ^d	10.00±0.02e	13.00±0.01 ^f		
Drainage										
Azithromycin	29.00±0.00ª	31.00±0.02 ^b	33.00±0.01°	35.00±0.02 ^d	34.00±0.02e	36.00±0.00 ^f	31.00±0.01 ^b	33.00±0.03°		
Clarithromycin	9.00±0.00ª	11.00±0.01 ^b	8.00±0.02°	12.00±0.00 ^d	13.00±0.04°	15.00±0.01 ^f	9.00±0.01ª	10.00±0.01g		
Ervthromvcin	7.00±0.03ª	10.00+0.02 ^b	10.00+0.00 ^b	13.00+0.01°	10.00±0.01 ^b	12.00+0.00 ^d	9.00+0.02°	12.00+0.01 ^d		

Table 5. Susceptibility patterns of bacterial isolates in Fiyinfoluwa

Discussion

From this research work, it was observed with the various characteristics of identification that the samples contained three enteric bacteria viz; *E. coli, Salmonella* spp. and *Shigella* spp. This showed that *E. coli, Salmonella* spp. and *Shigella* spp. are the prevalent enteric bacteria in well-water, soil and drainage during the raining season in Erifun, Omisanjana, Ajilosun and Fiyinfoluwa areas of Ado-Ekiti metropolis.

Role of the environmental pollutant of surface water in the study area could explain the sources of this finding. Increase in flooding due to seasonal rainfall account for heavy pollution from improper fecal disposal both from roaming animal and probably from the human. The amount of microbial contamination could be increased in soil and drainage according to **Mahmodi and Javanmairdi** [13]. The presence of *E. coli, Salmonella* spp. or *Shigella* spp. in drinking water is a threat to human health. This bacterial can cause hemorrhagic, colitis, diarrhea, abdominal pain, bacillary dysentery and cholera as stated by **Ocepek et al.** [14].

The well-waters of the study area are contaminated with these three pathogens. Previous studies by **Bourne and Coetzee** [15] showed that water-borne diseases are responsible for about 20% of all death in children less than five years of age. This study correlates with the study of **Payment et al.** [16] where a statistically significant increase was reported in gastrointestinal illness in a population that drink contaminated water with a different type of coliform bacteria. Therefore, checking the load of contaminants in water supply and using the accurate technic for this infection is very important.

The susceptibility patterns of the bacteria isolated from the various samples (well-water, soil and drainage) revealed that *Salmonella* spp., *E. coil* and *Shigella* spp. were susceptible to azithromycin, clarithromycin and erythromycin but with a varying degree of susceptibility. Azithromycin showed the highest potency. A lot of enteric bacteria are known to show resistance to conventional antibiotics [17]. This resistance is due to various factors which can be ascribable to indiscriminate use of antibiotics on counter purchase of antibiotics not prescribed or abuse of antibiotics. The resultant effect of the above factors is the issue of resistance to most antibiotics. In this research work, it was observed that macrolide antibiotics have shown high potency on the enteric

bacteria. The efficacy is due to difficulty in their accessibility.

These findings correlate with the report of Byrugaba [17] where it was found out to be potent. Azithromycin is an antibiotic useful for the treatment of several bacterial infections. This includes middle ear infections, strep throat, pneumonia, traveler's diarrhea, and certain other intestinal infections. It may also be used for some sexually transmitted infections including chlamydia and gonorrhea infections. Azithromycin has relatively broad but shallow antibacterial activity. It inhibits some Gram-positive bacteria, some Gram-negative bacteria, and many atypical bacteria [18]. Its mechanism of action is by preventing bacteria from growing by interfering with their protein synthesis. It binds to the 50S subunit of the bacterial ribosome, thus inhibiting the translation of mRNA. Nucleic acid synthesis is not affected [19].

Currently, azithromycin is recommended for the treatment of both shigellosis and invasive salmonellosis by the World Health Organization and the American Academy of Pediatrics [20, 21] and is increasingly used for the management of uncomplicated enteric fever [22,23]. However, clinical breakpoints for azithromycin and Salmonella have yet to be defined. Clinical breakpoints are necessary to detect emerging and changing patterns of resistance and to guide clinicians in the selection of effective antimicrobial therapy. The first step toward defining clinical breakpoints is to collect relevant data, including (i) pharmacodynamic data of the drug, (ii) pharmacological properties of the drug, (iii) clinical outcome data, and (iv) microbiological data, i.e., MIC data for the specific pathogen in question [12, 24].

Conclusion

The present study indicated that the well water, soil and drainage Erifun, Omisanjana, Ajilosun and Fiyinfoluwa areas of Ado-Ekiti were severely contaminated with *E. coli, Salmonella* spp., and *Shigella* spp. this is due to the lack of adequate sanitary measures. The isolated organisms were all susceptible to macrolide antibiotics with varying degrees of susceptibility.

Having discovered the presence of enteric bacteria in the locations researched and the consequences of their presence with subsequent susceptibility to macrolide antibiotics, I recommend that efforts should be put in place to discourage open defecation so that enteric infections should be prevented. However, first aid treatments using macrolides should be visited.

Acknowledgment

Dr. Victor Adeyinka Ajibade, the impact you made, and the legacy you left behind in the Department of Science Technology, Federal Polytechnic, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria, in Ekiti State, in Nigeria, and in the world can never be forgotten. I acknowledge your intellectual impartation in the success of this study. You will always be remembered.

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Financial disclosure: None.

References

- 1-Kunze B, Sasse F, Wieczorek H, Huss M. Cruentaren A, a highly cytotoxic benzolactone from Myxobacteria is a novel selective inhibitor of mitochondrial F1-ATPases. FEBS Letters 2007; 581: 3523–3527.
- 2-Giguere S, Prescott JF, Baggot JD, Walker RD, Dowling PM. Antimicrobial Therapy in Veterinary Medicine (4th ed.). 2006. Wiley-Blackwell.
- 3-López-Boado YS, Rubin BK. Macrolides as immunomodulatory medications for the therapy of chronic lung diseases. Current Opinion in Pharmacology 2008; 8 (3): 286–91.
- 4-Ryan KJ, Ray CG, Sherris S. Medical Microbiology. 4th edition: McGraw Hill, New York. 2004. 623-625.
- 5-Schultz MJ. Macrolide activities beyond their antimicrobial effects: macrolides in diffuse panbronchiolitis and cystic fibrosis. *The* Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 2004; 54 (1): 21–8.
- 6-Pechère J-C. Macrolide resistance mechanisms in Gram-positive cocci. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 2001; 18(1): S25–S28
- 7-Leclercq R, Courvalin P. Resistance to Macrolides and Related Antibiotics in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 2002, 2727–2734

- 8-Ajibade VA., Akinruli FT, Ilesanmi TM.
 Antibacterial Screening of Crude Extract of Oven-Dried Pawpaw and Pineapple.
 International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications 2015; 5(11): 408 – 411
- 9-Olutiola PO, Famurewa O, Sontag HE. An introduction to General Microbiology, a practical Approach Heideberger Verlagsanstalt and Druckerei GmbH Heldelberg Gmbh, Germany. 2000.
- 10-Buchanan RE, Gibbons NE. Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology 8th edition. 1974. The Williams and Wilkins company, Baltimore.
- 11-Khan NW, Hassan F, Naqvi BS, Hasan SMF. Antimicrobial activity of erythromycin and clarithromycin against clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella* and *Proteus* by disc diffusion method. Pakistan Journal of Pharmaceutical Science 2011; 24(1): 25-29
- 12-Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Development of in vitro susceptibility testing criteria and quality control parameters, 3rd ed Approved standard. CLSI M23-A3. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA, 2008.
- 13-Mahmodi MM, Javanmardi F. Determination of amount and source of fecal bacteria in water of Lake Parishan. Iranian Journal of Biology 2009; 4: 711-718
- 14-Ocepek M, Pate M, Kušar D, Hubad B, Avberšek J, Logar K, et al. Comparison of DNA extraction methods to detect Salmonella spp. in tap water. Slovenian Veterinary Research 2011; 48: 93–98.
- 15-Bourne DE, Coetzee N. An atlas of potentially water related diseases in South Africa, Pretoria: Water Research Commission. 2010.
- 16-Payment P, Siemiatycki J, Richardson L, Renaud G, Franco E, Prevost M. A

prospective epidemiological study of gastrointestinal health effects due to the consumption of drinking water. International Journal of Environmental Health Research 2007; 7: 5 - 31.

- 17-Byrugaba DK. A view on antimicrobial resistance in developing countries and responsible risk factors. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 2004; 24: 105 - 110.
- 18-Greenwood D. Antimicrobial drugs: chronicle of a twentieth century medical triumph (1. publ. ed.).2008Oxford: Oxford University Press. p. 239.
- 19-Food and Drug Administration (FDA). US azithromycin label. Archived (PDF) from the original on 2016-11-23. 2016.
- 20-WHO (World Health Organization). The treatment of diarrhoea: a manual for physicians and other senior health workers, 4th rev. 2005.nAvailable at: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications /2005/9241593180.pdf
- 21-American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP). Shigella infections, p. 584–589, 593–596 In Pickering L. K., editor. (ed.), Red Book: 2009 report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases, 28th ed American Academy of Pediatrics, Elk Grove Village, IL. 2009.

- 22-Frenck RW Jr, Mansour A, Nakhla I, Sultan Y, Putnam S, Wierzba T, et al. Shortcourse azithromycin for the treatment of uncomplicated typhoid fever in children and adolescents. Clinical Infectious Diseases 2004; 38: 951–957
- 23-Parry CM, Ho VA, Phuong T, Bay PV, Lanh MN, Tung T, et al. Randomized controlled comparison of ofloxacin, azithromycin, and an ofloxacin-azithromycin combination for treatment of multidrugresistant and nalidixic acid-resistant typhoid fever. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 2007; 51: 819–825.
- 24- Turnidge J., Paterson DL. Setting and revising antibacterial susceptibility breakpoints. Clinical Microbiology Review 2007; 20: 391–408

Ajenifuja OA, Oni OE. Susceptibility pattern of enteric bacteria isolated during raining season in some areas of Ado-Ekiti to macrolide antibiotics. Microbes Infect Dis 2022; 3(1): 149-159.