

Egyptian Journal of Chemistry

http://ejchem.journals.ekb.eg/



Correlation Study for the Determination of Pka of A Number of Schiff Bases Derived from N-Formyl Pyridine Using Quantum Mechanical Methods



Ra'ed T. Gh. Al-Abady^a, Fedaa Hasan Merie Altaiea^b, Emad A.S.Al-Hyali^{c *}

* Deptartment of Chemistry, College of Education for Pure Sciences, University of Mosul, Iraq

Abstract

Statistical analysis employing multi parametric regression analysis was used to correlate the pKa values of 16 substituted Schiff bases (imines) derived from hetero generous carbonyl compounds with various descriptors as parameters. These parameters are based on quantum mechanical methods, four sets of parameters are used for these treatments. Two of them were derived depending on the semi empirical calculation represented by Austin method1 (AM_1) and parameterized method3 (PM_3).

The other two sets were chosen as Ab initio models, expressed by Hartree fock (HF) and MP2 calculation models performed at 6.311G (d, p) level of theory. The descriptors employed to test their impact on the variation of the experimental pka(s) are of two kinds, electronic and spatial properties including atomic charges (Mulliken and Lowding), length of the imine (C=N) and connected bonds. Other molecular characteristic are also used such as energies of the HOMO and LUMO orbitals, total energies (TE), kinetic energy (KE), torsion, Vander Waals interactions of the 1,4 type (VDW 1,4) and non 1,4 type (Non 1,4 VDW).

The correlation between the pKa values of the studied compounds and each of the selected parameters are first investigated. Depending on the obtained results, several sets (two, three and so on) were constructed. The best set of parameters for every models used to calculate the pKa value of the studied compounds theoretically. Comparison are carried out between the experimental pKa values with the calculated ones from AM1, PM3, MP2, and HF methods.

The Ab initio models, HF and to less extent MP2 showed better consistency between the experimental and the calculated pKa(s) of the compounds considered in the study indicated by the value of multiple correlation coefficient (R^2) and standard error (SE). This proved the high predictive power and sufficient reliability of such model to study the effect of substituents on the pKa values of the compound under investigation.

Hypothetical compounds of similar structures but with different substituents not included in the regression analysis are used to test the success of the derived equations. Good results are obtained.

Keywords : Theortical Calculation, HF, MP2, PM3, AM1

Introduction

Schiff bases are organic compounds formed from the reaction of primary amine with an aldehyde or ketone under certain conditions. Structurally, Schiff based are characterized by the presence of an imine (C=N) group.

This type of compounds are present in various forms. They were used as catalysts, dyes and pigments. They also employed as intermediates in organic synthesis and for stabilizing polymers⁽¹⁾. The existence of imine group in such compounds, and being affected by the structural geometry and type of substituents, made this type of compounds liable and critical to wide range of biological activities such as antibacterial, anti-viral, anti-fungal, anti-malarial and other activities (2-4).

The acid dissociation constant (pKa) is one of the most common and well known chemical functions. Recognizing the values of pKa is the basis of understanding many of the chemical reactions, especially those occur between compounds to be

*Corresponding author e-mail: <u>emadabd2006@yahoo.com</u>.

DOI: 10.21608/EJCHEM.2020.21125.2265

Receive Date: 19 December 2019, Revise Date: 24 June 2020, Accept Date: 26 August 2020

^{©2019} National Information and Documentation Center (NIDOC)

studied with different pharmaceutical compounds, in addition to complexes formation as well as many analytical methods^(5,6).

The values of pKa are important chemical functions among other values, those affected by chemical properties of different compounds such as their chemical activities and spectral properties⁽⁷⁾. Therefore, the values of pKa are sensitive to the inductive effects resulting from the electron donating and withdrawing effect on various substituents, and steric effect that resulting from spatial interference resulting from the proximity of specific groups in the geometrical distribution, which play an important role in determining the shape of the molecule and causing structural deformations by interfering with each other^(8,9).

Since such effects can be evaluated by using several methods of quantum mechanics, many researchers have resorted to using a number of variables that can give a description to help understanding the physical and chemical effects of different substituents on pKa values⁽⁹⁾.

The possibility of calculating pKa values for hypothetical compounds is of great importance as they can help to understand the mechanism of organic reactions by getting knowledge about the intensity of the ionization process of the acid in the solution, knowing the percentage of the proton resulting from the ionization process is also of great importance in the study of absorption, diffusion and then secretion of the drug taken by patients based on active substance of the drug, which contain an acid or alkali group in its composition. This varies in certain proportions according to the physiological effect of the acid function pH^(10,11).

In order to test the possibility of the theoretical calculations using quantum mechanical methods to achieve a match with the values calculated experimentally, four quantum mechanical methods, were used to calculate the values of pKa theoretically. These methods included the Hartree fock (HF) and MP2 as Ab initio methods and Austin method1 (AM1) and parametric methods (PM3) as semempirical methods by employing Chem office program (version 12, 2010), then conducting statistical analysis using SPSS program (version 18) aiming for developing mathematical equations

representing the best relationships those giving an accurate description of the studied variables⁽¹²⁻¹⁴⁾.

Method of calculations

The first step of achieving these calculations requires to find out the most stable conformation (with the lowest energy) for the (16) compounds listed in Table (1) by geometry optimization. Energy minimization is achieved at level for two of the semi empirical AM1 and PM3 in addition to two of the Ab initio MP2 and HF methods using gradient technique and 6-311 G (d,p) basis set. In order to undergo this job all the possible conformers at the semi empirical level were optimized, while at the Ab initio methods carried out at 6-311 G (d,p) level, the optimization process is performed only for the most stable conformer obtained by the semi empirical methods. All the steps mentioned above is aimed to ensure that, the followed calculation is carried out by starting from the conformer with minimum energy(15,16).

The step of geometrical optimization helps to estimate the value of atomic charges and calculating various structural parameters like the total energy (TE), torsion, Vander waals interactions of the 1,4 type (VDW 1,4)and non 1,4 type (non 1,4 VDW), atomic charges (Mullikan and lowding) and others will be mentioned later⁽¹³⁾.

Other parameters such as the energy of highest occupied molecular orbital (E_{HOMO}) and the energy of the lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (E_{LUMO}) were determined. Various descriptors as parameters are calculated for the four methods selected to achieve this study. All these calculation were carried out by employing the Chem. Office package (version 12, 2010 of Cambridge software, USA).



Fig. 1: Structure diagram of the compound studied with number on atoms as used in the parameter

R

ÇH₃

| Comp No | D' | D | Heat of Formation | Total Energy HF |
|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Comp. No. | K | К | PM3 Kcal/Mol | Kcal/Mol $\times 10^3$ |
| 1 | m-prydine | OH | 31.009 | -282.939 |
| 2 | m-prydine | o-phenol | 25.975 | -426.203 |
| 3 | m-prydine | m-phenol | 25.658 | -426.201 |
| 4 | m-prydine | p-phenol | 25.347 | -426.201 |
| 5 | m-prydine | o-aniline | 68.827 | -413.829 |
| 6 | m-prydine | m-aniline | 67.923 | -413.829 |
| 7 | m-prydine | p-aniline | 68.099 | -413.829 |
| 8 | p-prydine | o-phenol | 26.803 | -426.203 |
| 9 | p-prydine | m-phenol | 25.7046 | -426.201 |
| 10 | p-prydine | p-phenol | 25.811 | -426.201 |
| 11 | p-prydine | o-aniline | 69.332 | -413.829 |
| 12 | p-prydine | m-aniline | 68.479 | -413.829 |
| 13 | p-prydine | p-aniline | 68.542 | - 413.829 |
| 14 | o-prydine | o-prydine- 3-ol | 32.106 | -436.191 |
| 15 | m-prydine | o-prydine- 3-ol | 31.486 | -436.180 |
| 16 | p-prydine | o-prydine- 3-ol | 32.258 | -436.180 |

Table1: structures of the considered compound in this study

Statistical Analysis

Statistical Analysis is used to correlate the experimental values of pKa obtained by potentiometric method^(9,13,19), with various parameters determined by quantum mechanical methods using the Chem. Office program.

The Statistical method used is expressed by multiparametic linear regression analysis (MRA), which can be presented by the following equation:

 $pKa = b + \sum_{i} ax....(1)$

Where b is a reference value, $X_i(s)$ are the descriptors selected as parameters to calculate the pKa values theoretically, and $a_i(s)$ are the coefficient of x representing the gradient of the X that affect the value of X (s).

Results and Discussion

In this work, the experimental values of pKa were obtained by potentiometric⁽⁸⁾ method and calculated by correlating these values with parameters derived and estimated by quantum mechanical methods. These parameters are energetic and electronic in nature. They are basically thought to be good representative for describing their effect on the pKa values. For these reasons, this work is concentrated on two types of parameters; First, the energies resulted from distortion that rise up due to geometry optimization and spatial arrangements, such as Vander Waals interactions, torrsion and total energy of the

Egypt. J. Chem. ..., No. ... (year)

molecule, as well as, the bond angle and bond length around the C=N group and the ionized bond (O-H) which is thought to be important for undergoing this study. Other parameters such as energy of the HOMO and LUMO orbitals are evaluated.

The second type of parameters are electronic represented by the electronic charges on the hetro atoms (O and N) in the possion affecting the value of pKa by electron donating and withdrawing resulting from the various substituents present on rings of the studied compounds.

Four quantum mechanics methods are used for performing this study, two of them are semi empirical methods (AM1 and PM3) and the others are Ab initio methods (MP2 and HF). The reason for employing four methods is that, each method is efficient in doing some types of calculations and has deficiencies in other sides, therefore, its aimed to test these methods in order to choose the most suitable one for achieving our objective. The results obtained from the methods mentioned above are listed in table (2-5). Figure (1) show the structure and atom numbering of the studied compounds.

| Comp. | рКа | TE | HOMO | LUMO | LUMO-HOMO | MullikenCharges N8 |
|-------|----------|-------------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------------------|
| 1 | 9.4592 | -40098.4489 | -8.4570 | -2.4950 | 5.9620 | -0.0620 |
| 2 | 5.6851 | -59092.5009 | -9.4890 | -3.1740 | 6.3150 | -0.1537 |
| 3 | 4.9479 | -59093.5332 | -9.0520 | -4.6230 | 4.4290 | -0.1648 |
| 4 | 6.2875 | -59093.9565 | -9.4550 | -3.4720 | 5.9830 | -0.0586 |
| 5 | 5.1803 | -56797.9460 | -9.0500 | -2.7060 | 6.3440 | -0.1568 |
| 6 | 5.3394 | -56797.5702 | -9.4040 | -4.2220 | 5.1820 | -0.1742 |
| 7 | 6.8191 | -56797.8856 | -9.1040 | -2.7190 | 6.3850 | -0.1458 |
| 8 | 5.4738 | -59091.8220 | -9.5430 | -3.2170 | 6.3260 | -0.1468 |
| 9 | 4.8891 | -59093.2704 | -9.6330 | -3.2550 | 6.3780 | -0.1506 |
| 10 | 6.2218 | -59093.3419 | -9.4290 | -3.7100 | 5.7190 | -0.0428 |
| 11 | 5.2280 | -56797.5454 | -9.0790 | -2.7210 | 6.3580 | -0.1469 |
| 12 | 5.2361 | -56797.1205 | -7.0740 | -4.3390 | 2.7350 | -0.1572 |
| 13 | 6.2664 | -56797.7891 | -9.0720 | -2.7000 | 6.3720 | -0.1319 |
| 14 | 9.0129 | -60583.8119 | -9.5390 | -2.7310 | 6.8080 | -0.1338 |
| 15 | 9.0349 | -60583.6654 | -8.9990 | -1.4890 | 7.5100 | -0.1393 |
| 16 | 8.8539 | -60584.9575 | -9.4800 | -2.6230 | 6.8570 | -0.1222 |
| Comp. | bondC7N8 | bondC6C7 | bondN8C10 | Torsion | Non14VDW | VDW14 |
| 1 | 1.3147 | 1.4744 | 1.4971 | -1.9634 | 1.3151 | 8.9569 |
| 2 | 1.2969 | 1.4811 | 1.4102 | .4242 | 1.3529 | 15.2964 |
| 3 | 1.2959 | 1.4873 | 1.3979 | -7.4413 | 3.7514 | 15.0549 |
| 4 | 1.2972 | 1.4803 | 1.4060 | -7.3266 | 3.7169 | 15.1131 |
| 5 | 1.2952 | 1.4814 | 1.4145 | 1.3650 | 2.5517 | 15.9181 |
| 6 | 1.2969 | 1.4855 | 1.4063 | -9.5065 | 4.0133 | 15.9340 |
| 7 | 1.2949 | 1.4796 | 1.4076 | -9.4467 | 3.9653 | 15.8658 |
| 8 | 1.2964 | 1.4860 | 1.4112 | 1037 | 1.2665 | 15.5132 |
| 9 | 1.2939 | 1.4851 | 1.4109 | -7.4445 | 3.7135 | 15.2756 |
| 10 | 1.2955 | 1.4866 | 1.4043 | -7.3123 | 3.6409 | 15.3226 |
| 11 | 1.2962 | 1.4875 | 1.4149 | .7974 | 2.6149 | 16.1271 |
| 12 | 1.2721 | 1.3605 | 1.2719 | -9.5153 | 3.9642 | 16.1691 |
| 13 | 1.2946 | 1.4851 | 1.4082 | -9.4819 | 3.8315 | 16.0984 |
| 14 | 1.2965 | 1.4790 | 1.4259 | 6.8474 | 1.9487 | 16.2451 |
| 15 | 1.2902 | 1.5055 | 1.4179 | 5.9284 | 1.2752 | 15.3847 |
| 16 | 1.2947 | 1.4853 | 1.4275 | 12.4729 | -2.3305 | 15.6070 |

Table 2: results obtained from applying AM1 method

Table 3: results obtained from applying PM3 method

| Comp. | PKa1 | TE | НОМО | LUMO | LUMO-HOMO | MullikenCharges N8 |
|-------|----------|-------------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------------------|
| 1 | 9.4592 | -36175.6110 | -9.2410 | -2.6970 | 6.5440 | -0.0281 |
| 2 | 5.6851 | -54010.3559 | -9.4600 | -3.0890 | 6.3710 | -0.0476 |
| 3 | 4.9479 | -54011.4331 | -9.1200 | -4.4760 | 4.6440 | -0.0576 |
| 4 | 6.2875 | -54011.1345 | -9.4230 | -2.7140 | 6.7090 | -0.0586 |
| 5 | 5.1803 | -51337.8675 | -9.2220 | -2.4100 | 6.8120 | -0.0532 |
| 6 | 5.3394 | -51337.2439 | -9.2480 | -4.1100 | 5.1380 | -0.0666 |
| 7 | 6.8191 | -51337.0244 | -9.1900 | -2.5430 | 6.6470 | -0.0599 |
| 8 | 5.4738 | -54009.9990 | -9.5350 | -2.7870 | 6.7480 | -0.0404 |
| 9 | 4.8891 | -54012.0267 | -9.5740 | -2.7010 | 6.8730 | -0.0471 |
| 10 | 6.2218 | -54011.5302 | -9.3290 | -3.0920 | 6.2370 | -0.0428 |
| 11 | 5.2280 | -51337.8026 | -9.2110 | -2.4980 | 6.7130 | -0.0472 |
| 12 | 5.2361 | -51336.8873 | -9.2230 | -4.6280 | 4.5950 | -0.0645 |
| 13 | 6.2664 | -51336.5210 | -9.1190 | -2.4670 | 6.6520 | -0.0542 |
| 14 | 9.0129 | -54661.9123 | -9.2010 | -3.1170 | 6.0840 | -0.0211 |
| 15 | 9.0349 | -54663.8133 | -9.4910 | -2.7000 | 6.7910 | -0.0261 |
| 16 | 8.8539 | -54662.3772 | -9.3250 | -1.9860 | 7.3390 | -0.0445 |
| Comp. | bondC7N8 | bondC6C7 | bondN8C10 | Torsion | Non14VDW | VDW14 |
| 1 | 1.3063 | 1.4782 | 1.3948 | -1.9634 | 1.3151 | 8.9569 |
| 2 | 1.2984 | 1.4769 | 1.4311 | .4242 | 1.3529 | 15.2964 |
| 3 | 1.2997 | 1.4819 | 1.4230 | -7.4413 | 3.7514 | 15.0549 |
| 4 | 1.2970 | 1.4798 | 1.4273 | -7.3266 | 3.7169 | 15.1131 |
| 5 | 1.2975 | 1.4788 | 1.4323 | 1.3650 | 2.5517 | 15.9181 |
| 6 | 1.2986 | 1.4811 | 1.4257 | -9.5065 | 4.0133 | 15.9340 |
| 7 | 1.2975 | 1.4791 | 1.4285 | -9.4467 | 3.9653 | 15.8658 |
| 8 | 1.2984 | 1.4804 | 1.4320 | 1037 | 1.2665 | 15.5132 |

Egypt. J. Chem. 64, No. 1 (2021)

| 9 | 1.2960 | 1.4817 | 1.4283 | -7.4445 | 3.7135 | 15.2756 | |
|----|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| 10 | 1.2967 | 1.4818 | 1.4246 | -7.3123 | 3.6409 | 15.3226 | |
| 11 | 1.2968 | 1.4815 | 1.4317 | .7974 | 2.6149 | 16.1271 | |
| 12 | 1.2992 | 1.4865 | 1.4247 | -9.5153 | 3.9642 | 16.1691 | |
| 13 | 1.2962 | 1.4815 | 1.4275 | -9.4819 | 3.8315 | 16.0984 | |
| 14 | 1.2952 | 1.4896 | 1.4196 | 6.8474 | 1.9487 | 16.2451 | |
| 15 | 1.2977 | 1.4776 | 1.4277 | 5.9284 | 1.2752 | 15.3847 | |
| 16 | 1.2951 | 1.4819 | 1.4276 | 12.4729 | -2.3305 | 15.6070 | |

Table 4: results obtained from applying HF method

| Comp | nKa | $KE \times 10^3$ | 10^3 TE $\times 10^3$ HOMO LUMO | LUMO HOMO | Lowding | | |
|-------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|
| comp. | рка | KEA 10 | $1L \times 10$ | ПОМО | LUMO | LUMO-HOMO | Chareg |
| 1 | 9.4592 | 282.346 | -282.939 | -9.4410 | -2.2680 | 7.1730 | -0.0692 |
| 2 | 5.6851 | 425.397 | -426.203 | -9.1340 | -2.7040 | 6.4300 | -0.2238 |
| 3 | 4.9479 | 425.404 | -426.198 | -8.8670 | -3.6680 | 5.1990 | -0.2227 |
| 4 | 6.2875 | 425.401 | -426.199 | -8.9680 | -2.9950 | 5.9730 | -0.2164 |
| 5 | 5.1803 | 413.106 | -413.829 | -8.7160 | -2.4740 | 6.2420 | -0.2174 |
| 6 | 5.3394 | 413.089 | -413.826 | -9.3110 | -2.6180 | 6.6930 | -0.2210 |
| 7 | 6.8191 | 413.092 | -413.827 | -8.5680 | -2.7640 | 5.8040 | -0.2092 |
| 8 | 5.4738 | 425.395 | -426.202 | -9.2370 | -2.6850 | 6.5520 | -0.2136 |
| 9 | 4.8891 | 425.405 | -426.198 | -9.3010 | -3.2000 | 6.1010 | -0.2122 |
| 10 | 6.2218 | 425.403 | -426.199 | -9.0370 | -3.1830 | 5.8540 | -0.2060 |
| 11 | 5.2280 | 413.086 | -413.829 | -8.7900 | -2.5870 | 6.2030 | -0.2077 |
| 12 | 5.2361 | 413.090 | -413.826 | -8.7580 | -4.0990 | 4.6590 | -0.2109 |
| 13 | 6.2664 | 413.094 | -413.828 | -8.6550 | -2.9560 | 5.6990 | -0.1989 |
| 14 | 9.0129 | 435.348 | -436.180 | -8.4950 | -2.7960 | 5.6990 | -0.2186 |
| 15 | 9.0349 | 435.342 | -436.177 | -8.8080 | -2.4920 | 6.3160 | -0.2261 |
| 16 | 8.8539 | 435.390 | -436.178 | -8.9510 | -2.2960 | 6.6550 | -0.2316 |
| Comp. | Mulliken ChargesN8 | bondC7N8 | bondC6C7 | bondN8C10 | Torsion | Non14VDW | VDW14 |
| 1 | -0.3567 | 1.2626 | 1.4886 | 1.4422 | -1.9634 | 1.3151 | 8.9569 |
| 2 | -0.7575 | 1.2655 | 1.4898 | 1.4162 | .4242 | 1.3529 | 15.2964 |
| 3 | -0.8317 | 1.2625 | 1.4930 | 1.4130 | -7.4413 | 3.7514 | 15.0549 |
| 4 | -0.8243 | 1.2614 | 1.4895 | 1.4104 | -7.3266 | 3.7169 | 15.1131 |
| 5 | -0.7383 | 1.2637 | 1.4906 | 1.4247 | 1.3650 | 2.5517 | 15.9181 |
| 6 | -0.8308 | 1.2607 | 1.4888 | 1.4119 | -9.5065 | 4.0133 | 15.9340 |
| 7 | -0.8236 | 1.2627 | 1.4925 | 1.4105 | -9.4467 | 3.9653 | 15.8658 |
| 8 | -0.7568 | 1.2634 | 1.4961 | 1.4171 | 1037 | 1.2665 | 15.5132 |
| 9 | -0.8240 | 1.2589 | 1.4956 | 1.4078 | -7.4445 | 3.7135 | 15.2756 |
| 10 | -0.8172 | 1.2610 | 1.4957 | 1.4107 | -7.3123 | 3.6409 | 15.3226 |
| 11 | -0.7347 | 1.2631 | 1.4962 | 1.4245 | .7974 | 2.6149 | 16.1271 |
| 12 | -0.8236 | 1.2618 | 1.5057 | 1.4122 | -9.5153 | 3.9642 | 16.1691 |
| 13 | -0.8170 | 1.2610 | 1.4991 | 1.4113 | -9.4819 | 3.8315 | 16.0984 |
| 14 | -0.7722 | 1.2578 | 1.4940 | 1.3928 | 6.8474 | 1.9487 | 16.2451 |
| 15 | -0.7302 | 1.2623 | 1.4894 | 1.4063 | 5.9284 | 1.2752 | 15.3847 |
| 16 | -0.7026 | 1.2618 | 1.4912 | 1.4140 | 12.4729 | -2.3305 | 15.6070 |

Table 5: results obtained from applying MP2 method

| Comp. | pKa | $KE \times 10^3$ | $TE \times 10^3$ | НОМО | LUMO | LUMO-HOMO | Lowding Chareg |
|-------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|
| 1 | 9.4592 | 282.756 | -283.537 | -10.3290 | -4.3170 | 6.0120 | -0.0278 |
| 2 | 5.6851 | 426.013 | -427.124 | -9.7980 | -3.3270 | 6.4710 | -0.1347 |
| 3 | 4.9479 | 425.978 | -427.118 | -9.3010 | -4.6480 | 4.6530 | -0.1405 |
| 4 | 6.2875 | 425.986 | -427.119 | -9.6820 | -3.6920 | 5.9900 | -0.1437 |
| 5 | 5.1803 | 413.677 | -414.745 | -9.3490 | -3.2540 | 6.0950 | -0.1391 |
| 6 | 5.3394 | 413.649 | -414.737 | -10.0360 | -3.3840 | 6.6520 | -0.1382 |
| 7 | 6.8191 | 413.650 | -414.739 | -9.1300 | -3.4800 | 5.6500 | -0.1449 |
| 8 | 5.4738 | 426.009 | -427.126 | -9.9770 | -3.6780 | 6.2990 | -0.1424 |
| 9 | 4.8891 | 425.986 | -427.118 | -9.4930 | -4.8890 | 4.6040 | -0.1428 |
| 10 | 6.2218 | 425.990 | -427.119 | -9.7810 | -4.0080 | 5.7730 | -0.1468 |
| 11 | 5.2280 | 413.687 | -414.745 | -9.4230 | -3.5190 | 5.9040 | -0.1393 |
| 12 | 5.2361 | 413.656 | -414.738 | -9.5420 | -4.7980 | 4.7440 | -0.1409 |
| 13 | 6.2664 | 413.656 | -414.740 | -9.2620 | -3.7920 | 5.4700 | -0.1483 |
| 14 | 9.0129 | 435.955 | -437.116 | -9.5210 | -3.4840 | 6.0370 | -0.1355 |
| 15 | 9.0349 | 435.966 | -437.112 | -9.6210 | -3.3030 | 6.3180 | -0.1350 |
| 16 | 8.8539 | 435.965 | -437.112 | -9.6480 | -3.5520 | 6.0960 | -0.1375 |
| Comp. | Mulliken ChargesN8 | bondC7N8 | bondC6C7 | bondN8C10 | Torsion | Non14VDW | VDW14 |

Egypt. J. Chem. ..., No. ... (year)

R. T.Gh. Al-Abady et.al.

| 1 | -0.2624 | 1.4952 | 1.5182 | 1.5182 | -1.9634 | 1.3151 | 8.9569 |
|----|---------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| 2 | -0.5262 | 1.3088 | 1.4962 | 1.4433 | .4242 | 1.3529 | 15.2964 |
| 3 | -0.6040 | 1.3034 | 1.5086 | 1.4325 | -7.4413 | 3.7514 | 15.0549 |
| 4 | -0.6081 | 1.3054 | 1.4984 | 1.4332 | -7.3266 | 3.7169 | 15.1131 |
| 5 | -0.5265 | 1.3068 | 1.4965 | 1.4464 | 1.3650 | 2.5517 | 15.9181 |
| 6 | -0.6018 | 1.3034 | 1.4992 | 1.4413 | -9.5065 | 4.0133 | 15.9340 |
| 7 | -0.6126 | 1.3058 | 1.4490 | 1.4305 | -9.4467 | 3.9653 | 15.8658 |
| 8 | -0.5217 | 1.3095 | 1.4973 | 1.4414 | 1037 | 1.2665 | 15.5132 |
| 9 | -0.6083 | 1.3042 | 1.5096 | 1.4327 | -7.4445 | 3.7135 | 15.2756 |
| 10 | -0.6127 | 1.3054 | 1.4993 | 1.4329 | -7.3123 | 3.6409 | 15.3226 |
| 11 | -0.5264 | 1.3077 | 1.4994 | 1.4462 | .7974 | 2.6149 | 16.1271 |
| 12 | -0.6079 | 1.3038 | 1.5098 | 1.4357 | -9.5153 | 3.9642 | 16.1691 |
| 13 | -0.6181 | 1.3065 | 1.5002 | 1.4319 | -9.4819 | 3.8315 | 16.0984 |
| 14 | -0.5232 | 1.3022 | 1.4976 | 1.4301 | 6.8474 | 1.9487 | 16.2451 |
| 15 | -0.5002 | 1.3062 | 1.4958 | 1.4398 | 5.9284 | 1.2752 | 15.3847 |
| 16 | -0.5025 | 1.3065 | 1.4981 | 1.4408 | 12.4729 | -2.3305 | 15.6070 |

AM1 method:

A primary test is carried out to examine the nature of the relation between the pKa and the selected parameters and among the parameters themselves, by simple regression analysis. The value of correlation coefficient (R), which located between (+1 and -1), is used as a measure of the relation between the correlated parameters. The value close to (+1) gives indication to the direct and linear relation while values near (-1) means invese linear relationship.

Table 6: shows the results obtained from the application of AM1 method.

| parameters | рКа | TE | НОМО | LUMO | LUMO- HOMO | Mulliken ChargeN8 | bondC7N8 | bondC6C7 | bondN8C10 | Torsion | Non14VDW | VDW14 |
|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------------|----------------------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|----------|-------|
| рКа | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TE | 0.286 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| HOMO | -0.028 | 0.397 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | |
| LUMO | 0.654 | 0.122 | -0.202 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | |
| LUMO-HOMO | 0.487 | -0.136 | -0.708 | 0.835 | 1.000 | | | | | | | |
| MullikenCharges N8 | 0.416 | 0.349 | -0.081 | 0.142 | 0.148 | 1.000 | | | | | | |
| bondC7N8 | 0.362 | 0.572 | -0.495 | 0.299 | 0.494 | 0.422 | 1.000 | | | | | |
| bondC6C7 | 0.207 | -0.128 | -0.858 | 0.443 | 0.802 | 0.124 | 0.668 | 1.000 | | | | |
| bondN8C10 | 0.516 | 0.429 | -0.585 | 0.544 | 0.721 | 0.361 | 0.944 | 0.790 | 1.000 | | | |
| Torsion | 0.601 | -0.167 | -0.266 | 0.615 | 0.593 | 0.024 | 0.160 | 0.304 | 0.380 | 1.000 | | |
| Non14VDW | -0.604 | -0.001 | 0.210 | -0.516 | -0.490 | -0.073 | -0.240 | -0.238 | -0.407 | -0.896 | 1.000 | |
| VDW14 | -0.447 | -0.890 | -0.187 | -0.171 | -0.018 | -0.516 | -0.690 | -0.066 | -0.585 | -0.009 | 0.202 | 1.000 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Good relations are noticed between the pKa values of Vander Waals interactions, which in turn affect the bond length of the compounds as well as among the bonds themselves around the ionization area. Other good relations were also noticed such as those between the energies of HOMO and LUMO orbitals and bond lengths. All the good relations mentioned above can be considered as starting points for the multiple regression and for deriving linear equations for calculating pKa values.

The next step of this study included achieving multiparametric regression analysis, starting from correlating the values of pKa of the studied compounds with two, three, four, five, and six parameters. Tens of attempts were carried out. A number of these trials are listed in Table (7), shows the set of parameters chosen for calculating pKa by AM1, which can be represented as in the following equation (eq.2).

$$\label{eq:pKa} \begin{split} pKa &= 1.550 + 0.003 \ \text{VDW} \ 1.4 + 0.101 \ \text{Torsion} \\ + 12.685 \ \text{Muliken charge} \ N8 + 0.510 \ E_{\text{LUMO}} + 0.900 \\ E_{\text{HOMO}} + 11.866 \ \text{bond length} \ N8 - C10 \ \dots (2) \end{split}$$

380

| 1 5 | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------|----------------|---------|--|--|
| Var | Coeff | \mathbb{R}^2 | Std.E | | |
| LUMO | 1.221 | 0.542 | 1 1 2 0 | | |
| VDW14 | -0.328 | 0.343 | 1.109 | | |
| LUMO | 0.731 | | | | |
| VDW14 | -0.364 | 0.631 | 1.113 | | |
| Torsion | 0.0899 | | | | |
| LUMO | 0.671 | | | | |
| VDW14 | 0.071 | | | | |
| Torsion | -0.246 | 0.674 | 1.092 | | |
| MullikenCharges | 0.093 | | | | |
| N8 | 10.041 | | | | |
| LUMO | 0.606 | | | | |
| VDW14 | 0.090 | | | | |
| Torsion | -0.203 | 0.000 | 1 1 1 7 | | |
| MullikenCharges | 0.103 | 0.690 | 1.11/ | | |
| N8 | 11.420 | | | | |
| HOMO | 0.363 | | | | |
| VDW14 | 0.002 | | | | |
| Torsion | 0.003 | | | | |
| MullikenCharges | 0.101 | | | | |
| N8 | 12.685 | 0.697 | 1.165 | | |
| LUMO | 0.510 | | | | |
| НОМО | 0.900 | | | | |
| bondN8C10 | 11.806 | | | | |

Table 7: Multiple regression analysis results of severalattempts by AM1method

Table 8: The selected results for calculating pKa volues by AM1 method

| Parameter | Coeff |
|----------------------|--------|
| VDW14 | 0.003 |
| Torsion | 0.101 |
| MullikenCharges N8 | 12.685 |
| LUMO | 0.510 |
| НОМО | 0.900 |
| bondN8C10 | 11.806 |
| (Constant) =1.550 | |
| $R^2 = 0.697$ | |
| Std. Error =1.165 | |
| No of observation =9 | |

Using equation(2), the theoretical value of pKa were calculated. The differences between the experimental and calculated values of pKa are listed in table (9).

 Table 9: Comparision
 between the experimental and calculated values from AM1 method

| Comp. No. | Pka(ex) | Pka(th) | Res |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1 | 9.4592 | 9.3797 | 0.0795 |
| 2 | 5.6851 | 6.1773 | -0.4922 |
| 3 | 4.9479 | 4.7411 | 0.2068 |
| 4 | 6.2875 | 6.4212 | -0.1337 |
| 5 | 5.1803 | 6.9208 | -1.7405 |
| 6 | 5.3394 | 4.4011 | 0.9383 |
| 7 | 6.8191 | 5.8207 | 0.9984 |
| 8 | 5.4738 | 6.1528 | -0.6790 |
| 9 | 4.8891 | 5.2512 | -0.3621 |
| 10 | 6.2218 | 6.5055 | -0.2837 |
| 11 | 5.2280 | 6.9601 | -1.7321 |
| 12 | 5.2361 | 5.0671 | 0.1690 |
| 13 | 6.2664 | 6.0397 | 0.2267 |
| 14 | 9.0129 | 7.4545 | 1.5584 |
| 15 | 9.0349 | 8.3146 | 0.7203 |
| 16 | 8.8539 | 8.3007 | 0.5532 |

PM3 method:

The parameters calculated by PM3 method (listed in table (3)) are treated in the same way as in AM1 method. The results of the analyses are listed in Tables 10, 11 and 12. The results of table (12) can be written in equation (3).

 $\label{eq:ka} \begin{array}{l} pKa = 1454.444 + 18.604 \mbox{ MC (N8)} - 377.648 \mbox{ bond (N8-C10)} + 0.112 \mbox{ (Torsion)} - 305.880 \mbox{ bond (C7-N8)} - 357.630 \mbox{ (bond C6-C7)} + 1.196 \mbox{ (VDW 1,4)}. \\ \mbox{ (3)}. \end{array}$

The results of Table (13) obtained by the application of PM3 model showed better results than AM1 indicated by higher values of R^2 (0.878 and lower value of SE (0.739). Good consistency are noticed between the experimental and the calculated value of pKa (Table 13).

Concentrating on the parameters of equation (3), it can be seen that, the most effected parameters on pKa values are the bonds connected the two rings of the studied Schiff bases in addition to charge on the nitrogen atom of the imine bond. These bonds representing the center of electronic movement across the two rings and determine the spatial arrangement of the compound. These kinds of parameters affect the values of pKa as a result.

| Parameters | рКа | TE | НОМО | LUMO | LUMO- HOMO | Mulliken Charge N8 | bondC7N8 | bondC6C7 | bondN8C10 | Torsion | Non14VDW | VDW14 |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|---------------|-----------------------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|----------|-------|
| рКа | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Energy | 0.318 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| НОМО | 0.019 | 0.298 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | |
| LUMO | 0.393 | 0.057 | -0.263 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | |
| LUMO-HOMO | 0.365 | -0.000 | -0.428 | 0.985 | 1.000 | | | | | | | |
| Mulliken Charge N8 | 0.731 | 0.166 | -0.272 | 0.402 | 0.426 | 1.000 | | | | | | |
| bondC7N8 | 0.165 | 0.857 | 0.137 | -0.274 | -0.282 | 0.096 | 1.000 | | | | | |
| bondC6C7 | 0.035 | -0.242 | 0.342 | -0.384 | -0.422 | 0.069 | -0.344 | 1.000 | | | | |
| bondN8C10 | - 0.563 | -0.838 | -0.257 | 0.108 | 0.147 | -0.407 | -0.773 | -0.050 | 1.000 | | | |
| Torsion | 0.601 | -0.139 | -0.212 | 0.487 | 0.494 | 0.677 | -0.206 | 0.025 | 0.022 | 1.000 | | |
| Non14VDW | - 0.604 | -0.019 | 0.274 | -0.494 | -0.512 | -0.547 | 0.024 | 0.137 | 0.093 | -0.896 | 1.000 | |
| VDW14 | - 0.447 | -0.873 | 0.014 | -0.103 | -0.099 | -0.378 | -0.862 | 0.352 | 0.889 | -0.009 | 0.202 | 1.000 |

Table 10: Simple regression analysis results of the application of PM3 method

Table 11: Multiple regression analysis results of several attempts by PM3 method

| var | Coeff | \mathbb{R}^2 | Std.E |
|---|--|----------------|-------|
| MullikenCharges N8 bondN8C10 | 73.211 -59.038 | 0.619 | 1.086 |
| MullikenCharges N8 bondN8C10 Torsion | 27.114 -89.461 0.109 | 0.712 | 0.983 |
| MullikenCharges N8 bondN8C10 Torsion bondC7N8 | 15.619 -152.295 0.107 -245.121 | 0.764 | 0.929 |
| MullikenCharges N8 bondN8C10 Torsion bondC7N8 bondC6C7 | 9.956 -199.073 0.103 -424.845 -136.728 | 0.807 | 0.882 |
| MullikenCharges N8 bondN8C10 Torsion bondC7N8 bondC6C7 VDW14 | 18.604 -377.648 0.112 -305.880 -357.630 1.196 | 0.878 | 0.739 |

Table 12: The selected results for calculating pKa volues by PM3 method

| Parameter | Coeff |
|-----------------------|----------|
| Mulliken Charges N8 | 18.605 |
| bondN8C10 | -377.648 |
| Torsion | 0.112 |
| bondC7N8 | -305.881 |
| bondC6C7 | -357.631 |
| VDW14 | 1.196 |
| (Constant) =1454.444 | |
| $R^2 = 0.878$ | |
| Std. Error $= 0.739$ | |
| No of observation =16 | |

Egypt. J. Chem. 64, No. 1 (2021)

Table 13: Comparision between the experimental and calculated pKa from by PM3 method

| 1 | 2 | | |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|
| Comp. No. | Pka(ex) | Pka(th) | Res |
| 1 | 9.4592 | 9.4478 | 0.0114 |
| 2 | 5.6851 | 6.1072 | -0.4221 |
| 3 | 4.9479 | 5.6246 | -0.6767 |
| 4 | 6.2875 | 5.6414 | 0.6461 |
| 5 | 5.1803 | 5.9946 | -0.8143 |
| 6 | 5.3394 | 5.8801 | -0.5407 |
| 7 | 6.8191 | 5.9242 | 0.8949 |
| 8 | 5.4738 | 4.8498 | 0.6240 |
| 9 | 4.8891 | 5.2853 | -0.3962 |
| 10 | 6.2218 | 6.5837 | -0.3619 |
| 11 | 5.2280 | 5.7677 | -0.5397 |
| 12 | 5.2361 | 4.4623 | 0.7738 |
| 13 | 6.2664 | 6.2215 | 0.0449 |
| 14 | 9.0129 | 9.2342 | -0.2213 |
| 15 | 9.0349 | 8.4771 | 0.5578 |
| 16 | 8.8539 | 8.4289 | 0.4250 |
| | | | |

HF and MP2 methods:

The two Ab initio methods of HF and MP2 are also treated in the same way as in the semi empirical (AM1 and MP3) methods. The results of the analysis of HF and MP2 are portrayed in Tables (14-17) and (18-21) respectively. Similar trends in the relation between pKa and Mullikan charges, Vander waals interactions, and torsion. Both Mullikan and lowding charges showed good relation with the kinetic (KE) and total (TE) energies indicated by the values of correlation coefficient close to $unity^{(17)}$.

These charges are inversely related to the KE and directly related to the TE.

These charges are also inversely related to the Vander waals forces.

Conducting the multiple regression analysis for both HF method (Table (15)) and MP2 method (Table (19)), better results are obtained by HF method ($R^2 = 0.929$, SE = 0.563) (Table (16)).

The results of Table (16) obtained from HF method can be expressed by equation (4).

pKa = 361.978 - 0.727 Non 1,4 VDW + 43.209

Lowding charge - 117.860 bond N8 - C10 + 2.144

HOMO – 106.430 bond (C6-C7) – 0.029 torsion (4).

While the results given by MP2 method (Table 22). Can be written as in equation (5).

pKa= 167.317 - 18.094 MC - 178.628 bond (N8-C10) + 77.627 bond (C7-N8) + 1.121 LUMO + 0.235 Torsion + 78.363 lowding charge(5)

Using eqs (4) and (5) to calculate the values of pKa gave the results listed in

Tables (17 and 21) respectively. Good consistency is noticed between the experimental and calculated $pKa^{(5)}$.

| Table 14. Simr | le regression | analysis res | ults of the | application | of HF | method |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------|--------|
| Table 14. Shin | ne regression | anary 515 105 | unto or une | application | 01 I II | memou |

| Parameters | рКа | KE | TE | HOMO | LUMO | LUMO- HOMO | Lowding Chareg | Mulliken Charges N8 | Bond C7N8 | Bond C6C7 | Bond N8C10 | Torsion | Non14VDW | VDW14 |
|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------|----------|-------|
| рКа | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| KE | -0.327 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TE | 0.326 | -1.000 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HOMO | 0.097 | 0.438 | -0.438 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| LUMO | 0.521 | -0.268 | 0.268 | -0.187 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | |
| LUMO-HOMO | 0.373 | -0.419 | 0.418 | -0.615 | 0.890 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | |
| LowdingChareg | 0.390 | -0.980 | 0.980 | -0.419 | 0.246 | 0.392 | 1.000 | | | | | | | |
| MullikenCharge sN8 | 0.599 | -0.865 | 0.865 | -0.401 | 0.548 | 0.626 | 0.864 | 1.000 | | | | | | |
| bondC7N8 | -0.212 | -0.161 | 0.161 | -0.126 | 0.221 | 0.236 | 0.064 | 0.234 | 1.000 | | | | | |
| bondC6C7 | -0.377 | 0.200 | -0.200 | 0.318 | -0.704 | -0.713 | -0.144 | -0.366 | - 0.209 | 1.000 | | | | |
| bondN8C10 | -0.017 | -0.787 | 0.787 | -0.474 | 0.346 | 0.498 | 0.714 | 0.742 | 0.602 | - 0.209 | 1.000 | | | |
| Torsion | 0.601 | 0.130 | -0.130 | 0.126 | 0.605 | 0.427 | -0.114 | 0.370 | 0.091 | 0.335 | -0.017 | 1.000 | | |
| Non14VDW | -0.604 | 0.041 | -0.040 | 0.138 | -0.612 | -0.555 | -0.046 | -0.496 | 0.231 | 0.336 | -0.181 | -0.896 | 1.000 | |
| VDW14 | -0.447 | 0.920 | -0.920 | 0.569 | -0.294 | -0.500 | -0.931 | -0.891 | 0.148 | 0.373 | -0.703 | -0.009 | 0.202 | 1.000 |

Table 15: Multiple regression analysis results of several attempts by HF method

| Var | Coeff | \mathbb{R}^2 | StdE |
|---------------|----------|----------------|-------|
| Non14VDW | -0.564 | 0 4062 | 1.250 |
| LowdingChareg | 15.870 | 0.4903 | 1.230 |
| Non14VDW | -0.682 | | |
| LowdingChareg | 41.185 | 0.810 | 0.798 |
| bondN8C10 | -128.822 | | |
| Non14VDW | -0.700 | | |
| LowdingChareg | 43.227 | 0.863 | 0 706 |
| bondN8C10 | -114.803 | 0.803 | 0.700 |
| HOMO | 1.540 | | |
| Non14VDW | -0.621 | | |
| LowdingChareg | 43.265 | | |
| bondN8C10 | -116.253 | 0.927 | 0.540 |
| HOMO | 1.967 | | |
| bondC6C7 | -100.719 | | |
| Non14VDW | -0.727 | | |
| LowdingChareg | 43.209 | | |
| bondN8C10 | -117.860 | 0.020 | 0 562 |
| HOMO | 2.144 | 0.929 | 0.363 |
| bondC6C7 | -106.430 | | |
| Torsion | -0.029 | | |

Table 16: The selected results for calculating pKa volues by HF method

| by m ^o method | |
|--------------------------|------------|
| Parameter | Coeff |
| Non14VDW | -0.727 |
| LowdingChareg | 43.209 |
| bondN8C10 | -117.860 |
| HOMO | 2.144 |
| bondC6C7 | -106.430 |
| Torsion | -0.029 |
| (Constant) | =361.978 |
| R2 =0 | .929 |
| Std. Error | =0.563 |
| No of observ | vation =16 |

| Table | 17: | Comparis | ion | between | the | experimental | and |
|---------|------|----------|------|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|
| calcula | ated | pKa from | by H | IF method | ł | | |

| 1 | 2 | | |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|
| Comp. No. | pka(ex) | pka(th) | Res |
| 1 | 9.4592 | 9.4367 | 0.0225 |
| 2 | 5.6851 | 6.2533 | -0.5682 |
| 3 | 4.9479 | 5.3905 | -0.4426 |
| 4 | 6.2875 | 6.1483 | 0.1392 |
| 5 | 5.1803 | 5.4371 | -0.2568 |
| 6 | 5.3394 | 4.9584 | 0.3810 |
| 7 | 6.8191 | 6.8655 | -0.0464 |
| 8 | 5.4738 | 5.7744 | -0.3006 |
| 9 | 4.8891 | 5.2800 | -0.3909 |
| 10 | 6.2218 | 5.8092 | 0.4126 |
| 11 | 5.2280 | 5.0955 | 0.1325 |

Egypt. J. Chem. ..., No. ... (year)

| 12 | 5.2361 | 4.7824 | 0.4537 | 15 | 9.0349 | 7.9606 | 1.0743 |
|----|--------|--------|---------|----|--------|--------|--------|
| 13 | 6.2664 | 6.4277 | -0.1613 | 16 | 8.8539 | 8.7521 | 0.1018 |
| 14 | 9.0129 | 9.5404 | -0.5275 | | | | |

Table 18: Simple regression analysis results of the application of MP2 method

| pK_{a} | KE | TE | НОМО | LUMO | LUMO- HOMO | Lowdin g Chareg | Mulliken Charges N8 | bondC 7N8 | bondC 6C7 | bondC3 C10 | Torsi on | Non14V DW | VD W14 |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| 1.000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| -0.327 0.327 | 1.000 -1.000 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| -0.285 | 0.532 | 0.532 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.259 | 0.248 | 0.248 | -0.003 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.366 | -0.049 | 0.049 | -0.498 | 0.868 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | |
| 0.522 | -0.948 | 0.948 | -0.629 | -0.187 | 0.150 | 1.000 | | | | | | | |
| 0.632 | -0.771 | 0.771 | -0.608 | 0.086 | 0.376 | 0.901 | 1.000 | | | | | | |
| 0.477 | -0.972 | 0.972 | -0.609 | -0.223 | 0.109 | 0.989 | 0.864 | 1.000 | | | | | |
| -0.034 | -0.308 | 0.308 | -0.466 | -0.512 | -0.213 | 0.380 | 0.329 | 0.354 | 1.000 | | | | |
| 0.421 | -0.948 | 0.948 | -0.656 | -0.110 | 0.230 | 0.974 | 0.913 | 0.970 | 0.388 | 1.000 | | | |
| 0.601 | 0.130 | - 0.130 | -0.130 | 0.478 | 0.479 | 0.123 | 0.507 | 0.037 | 0.065 | 0.141 | 1.000 | | |
| -0.604 | 0.041 | 0.041 | 0.321 | -0.344 | -0.458 | -0.266 | -0.586 | -0.208 | -0.105 | -0.299 | - 0.896 | 1.000 | |
| -0.447 | 0.920 | - 0.920 | 0.633 | 0.287 | -0.065 | -0.962 | -0.821 | -0.974 | -0.386 | -0.932 | 0.009 | 0.202 | 1.000 |
| | pKa 1.000 -0.327 -0.285 0.259 0.366 0.522 0.632 0.477 -0.034 0.421 0.601 -0.604 -0.447 | pKa KE 1.000 -0.327 1.000 -0.327 1.000 -1.000 -0.285 0.532 0.248 0.366 -0.049 0.522 0.522 -0.948 0.632 0.477 -0.972 -0.034 0.421 -0.948 0.601 0.601 0.130 -0.604 0.041 -0.447 0.920 | pKa KE TE 1.000 -0.327 1.000 -0.327 -1.000 1.000 -0.285 0.532 0.532 0.259 0.248 0.248 0.366 -0.049 0.049 0.522 -0.948 0.948 0.632 -0.771 0.771 0.477 -0.972 0.972 -0.034 -0.308 0.308 0.421 -0.948 0.948 0.601 0.130 -1.30 -0.604 0.041 0.411 -0.447 0.920 -0.920 | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | pK _s KE TE номо LUMO. LUMO. 1.000 -0.327 1.000 1.000 - - -0.327 -1.000 1.000 - - - -0.285 0.532 0.532 1.000 - - 0.259 0.248 0.248 -0.003 1.000 - 0.366 -0.049 0.498 0.868 1.000 0.522 -0.948 0.248 -0.629 -0.187 0.150 0.632 -0.771 0.771 -0.608 0.086 0.376 0.477 -0.972 0.972 -0.609 -0.223 0.109 -0.034 -0.308 0.308 -0.466 -0.512 -0.213 0.421 -0.948 0.948 -0.656 -0.110 0.230 0.601 0.130 0.130 -0.130 0.478 0.479 -0.604 0.041 0.041 0.633 0.287 -0.0658 -0.447 | pKa KE TE HOMO LUMO LUMO, HOMO Lowdin g chareg 1.000 - | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | pKa KE TE HOMO LUMO LUMO, HOMO Lowdin g Chareg Mulliken Charges bondC 7N8 bondC 6C7 1.000 - <t< td=""><td>pKa KE TE HOMO LUMO LUMO, HOMO Lowdin g Chareg Mulliken Charges bondC bondC<</td><td>pKa KE TE HOMO LUMO. HOMO LUMO. MOMO LUMO. g Charges Mulliken N8 bondC 7N8 bondC 6C7 bondC3 C10 Torsi on 1.000</td><td>pKa KE TE HOMO LUMO. HOMO LUMO. MOMO LUMO. g hOMO LUMO. Shareg Mulliken N8 bondC 7N8 bondC 6C7 bondC3 Torsi C10 Non14V MU 1.000</td></t<> | pKa KE TE HOMO LUMO LUMO, HOMO Lowdin g Chareg Mulliken Charges bondC bondC< | pKa KE TE HOMO LUMO. HOMO LUMO. MOMO LUMO. g Charges Mulliken N8 bondC 7N8 bondC 6C7 bondC3 C10 Torsi on 1.000 | pKa KE TE HOMO LUMO. HOMO LUMO. MOMO LUMO. g hOMO LUMO. Shareg Mulliken N8 bondC 7N8 bondC 6C7 bondC3 Torsi C10 Non14V MU 1.000 |

 Table 19: Multiple regression analysis results of several attempts by MP2 method

| var | Coeff | \mathbb{R}^2 | Std.E |
|--|---|----------------|-------|
| MullikenCharges N8 bondN8C10 | 27.571 -73.904 | 0.584 | 1.184 |
| MullikenCharges N8 bondN8C10 bondC7N8 | 32.127 -231.560 63.839 | 0.736 | 0.941 |
| MullikenCharges N8 bondN8C10 bondC7N8 LUMO | 26.921 -248.159 81.828 0.936 | 0.798 | 0.861 |
| MullikenCharges N8 bondN8C10 bondC7N8 Torsion LUMO | -12.448 -175.530 113.415 0.232 1.000 | 0.868 | 0.729 |
| MullikenCharges N8 bondN8C10 bondC7N8 LUMO Torsion LowdingChareg | -18.094 -178.628 77.623 1.121 0.236 78.363 | 0.892 | 0.695 |

 Table 20: The selected results for calculating pKa volues

 by MP2 method

| Coeff | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| -18.094 | | | | |
| -178.628 | | | | |
| 77.622 | | | | |
| 1.121 | | | | |
| 0.235 | | | | |
| 78.363 | | | | |
| 7.317 | | | | |
| $R^2 = 0.892$ | | | | |
| Std. Error =0.695 | | | | |
| No of observation =16 | | | | |
| | | | | |

Egypt. J. Chem. 64, No. 1 (2021)

Table 21: Comparision between the experimental and calculated pKa from by MP2 method

| calculated pixa nom by wir 2 method | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| Comp. No. | pka(ex) | pka(th) | Res | | |
| 1 | 9.4592 | 9.4527 | 0.0065 | | |
| 2 | 5.6851 | 6.4323 | -0.7472 | | |
| 3 | 4.9479 | 5.5601 | -0.6122 | | |
| 4 | 6.2875 | 6.5106 | -0.2231 | | |
| 5 | 5.1803 | 5.6888 | -0.5085 | | |
| 6 | 5.3394 | 5.0551 | 0.2843 | | |
| 7 | 6.8191 | 6.7458 | 0.0733 | | |
| 8 | 5.4738 | 5.6244 | -0.1506 | | |
| 9 | 4.8891 | 5.2075 | -0.3184 | | |
| 10 | 6.2218 | 6.0555 | 0.1663 | | |
| 11 | 5.2280 | 5.3475 | -0.1195 | | |
| 12 | 5.2361 | 4.3972 | 0.8389 | | |
| 13 | 6.2664 | 6.0280 | 0.2384 | | |
| 14 | 9.0129 | 9.4994 | -0.4865 | | |
| 15 | 9.0349 | 7.6858 | 1.3491 | | |
| 16 | 8 8539 | 8 6447 | 0 2092 | | |

Conclusion

Comparison of the results obtained from the four methods AM1 , PM3, HF and MP2 in terms of R^2 and SE values can be concluded as in Table (22).

Table 22: comparison of the results obtained from AM1, PM3, HF and MP2 methods

| _ | Method | \mathbb{R}^2 | SE |
|---|--------|----------------|-------|
| - | AM1 | 0.697 | 1.165 |
| | PM3 | 0.879 | 0.739 |
| | HF | 0.929 | 0.563 |
| | MP2 | 0.892 | 0.695 |

Looking at Table (22) and the comparison between the experimental and the calculated values of pKa listed in tables (9, 13, 17 and 21), it can be concluded that, A preference is given to method among the other used for achieving this study⁽¹⁸⁾. Best matching among the experimental and the theoretical values of pKa are observed by HF method. According to these results, the validity of the chosen parameters for such application are justified.

Relying on the quality of the statistical results obtained so far, additional test is carried out for this method by calculating the values of pKa for a number of hypothetical compounds of similar structure but with different substituents and were not included in the regression analysis (with studied compounds). These compounds have the structures shown in figure (2)



o-CH₃,m-CH₃,p-CH₃

Fig. 2: hypothetical compound used to test the applied methods for calculating pKa value

The values of the parameters involved in equations (2-5) for these compounds are listed in Table (23). The calculated pKa of these compounds are listed in Table (23).

Table 23: the parameters of the hypothetical compounds calculated by using AM1, PM3, HF and MP2 methods, and involved in eq. 2-5.

| Comp | pka (AM1) | pka (PM3) | pka (HF) |
|-------|--------------|--------------|----------|
| o-NO2 | 6.1961 | 15.1261 | 5.9959 |
| m-NO2 | 4.6854 | 8.9076 | 4.9932 |
| p-NO2 | 4.4511 | 10.1218 | 6.1354 |
| o-CH3 | 6.1655 | 8.8746 | 5.2989 |
| m-CH3 | 5.0303 | 7.6183 | 5.8411 |
| p-CH3 | 4.7198 | 7.7236 | 6.0226 |

The pKa values of the hypothetical compounds calculated by eq (2-5) are listed in Table (23). The obtained values of pKa appearing to be of acceptable and consistent range indicating good prediction power and may be good reliability.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the president of the university of Mosul Prof Dr. Kossay K. AL-Ahmady, The Dean of the College of Education for Pure Science, and the Chemistry For providing us by the facilities for achieving this work.

1. References

- Dhar, D.N. and Taploo, C.L., 1982. Schiff-bases and their applications. *Journal of Scientific & Industrial Research*, 41(8), pp.501-506.
- [2] Souza, A.O.D., Galetti, F., Silva, C.L., Bicalho, B., Parma, M.M., Fonseca, S.F., Marsaioli, A.J., Trindade, A.C., Gil, R.P.F., Bezerra, F.S. and Andrade-Neto, M., 2007. Antimycobacterial and cytotoxicity activity of synthetic and natural compounds. *Química Nova*, 30(7), pp.1563-1566.
- [3] Guo, Z., Xing, R., Liu, S., Zhong, Z., Ji, X., Wang, L. and Li, P., 2007. Antifungal properties of Schiff bases of chitosan, N-substituted chitosan and quaternized chitosan. *Carbohydrate research*, 342(10), pp.1329-1332.
- [4] Da Silva, C.M., da Silva, D.L., Modolo, L.V., Alves, R.B., de Resende, M.A., Martins, C.V. and de Fátima, Â., 2011. Schiff bases: A short review of their antimicrobial activities. *Journal of Advanced research*, 2(1), pp.1-8.
- [5] Reijenga, J., Van Hoof, A., Van Loon, A. and Teunissen, B., 2013. Development of methods for the determination of pKa values. *Analytical chemistry insights*, 8, pp.ACI-S12304.
- [6] Mahdi, S.M. and Ismail, A.K., 2018. Preparation and Identification of new azo-schiff base ligand (NASAR) and its divalent transition metal Complexes. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research*, 10(9), pp.2175-2178.
- [7] VandeVondele, J., Mohamed, F., Krack, M., Hutter, J., Sprik, M. and Parrinello, M., 2005. The influence of temperature and density functional models in ab initio molecular dynamics simulation of liquid water. *The Journal* of chemical physics, 122(1), p.014515.
- [8] Al-Bakzo, D.B.T., 2014. Potentiometric study for Determination of values for Schiff bases derived from 2acetyl pyridine with heterocyclic amines. *Iraqi National Journal Of Chemistry*, (54), pp.152-164.
- [9] Zhou, W., Fan, Y., Cai, X., Xiang, Y., Jiang, P., Dai, Z., Chen, Y., Tan, S. and Yuan, Z., 2016. High-accuracy QSAR models of narcosis toxicities of phenols based on various data partition, descriptor selection and modelling methods. *RSC advances*, 6(108), pp.106847-106855.
- [10] Butcher, G., Comer, J. and Avdeef, A., 2015. pKa-critical interpretations of solubility–pH profiles: PG-300995 and NSC-639829 case studies. *ADMET and DMPK*, 3(2), pp.131-140.
- [11] O'Donnell, M.J., 2019. Benzophenone Schiff bases of glycine derivatives: Versatile starting materials for the synthesis of amino acids and their derivatives. *Tetrahedron*, 75(27), pp.3667-3696.
- [12] Schilling, M. and Luber, S., 2019. Determination of pKa Values via ab initio Molecular Dynamics and its Application to Transition Metal-Based Water Oxidation Catalysts. *Inorganics*, 7(6), p.73.
- [13] AS Al-Hyali, E., 2018. Estimation of pKa, Analgesic, CNS Depressant Activities of Imidazolinone Derivatives By

Egypt. J. Chem. ..., No. ... (year)

Correlation With Theoretical Parameters Based on Quantum Chemical Method. *JOURNAL OF EDUCATION AND SCIENCE*, 27(4), pp.29-45.

- [14] Al-Hyali, E.A., Al-Azzawi, N.A. and Al-Abady, F.M., 2011. Statistical Study For The prediction of pKa Values of Substituted Benzaldoxime Based on Quantum Chemicals Methods. *Journal of the Korean Chemical Society*, 55(5), pp.733-740.
- [15] Davies, J.E., Doltsinis, N.L.", Kirby, A.J., Roussev, C.D. and Sprik, M., 2002. Estimating pKa Values for Pentaoxyphosphoranes. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 124, 6594– 6599,.
- [16] Nielsen, J.E., Gunner M.R. and García-Moreno, E.B., 2011. The pKa Cooperative A collaborative effort to advance structure-based calculations of pKa values and electrostatic effects in proteins. Proteins, 79, 3249–3259.
- [17] Ramachandran, K.L., Deepa, G., and Namboori K..,2008. Computational Chemistry and Molecular modeling, Principles and Applications. Springer-Verlag Ber lin Heidelberg,pp. 93,164.
- [18] Prankerd, R. J., 2007. Critical Compilation of pKa Values for Pharmaceutical Substances. In: H. Brittain (Ed.). Profiles of Drug Substances, Excipients, and Related Methodology. Vol. 33. Elsevier, New York.
- [19] Anita R.; Shreeshivadasan Ch.; Hesam K.; Mohd F. M. D.; Santhana K., 2019, Removal of COD from Landfill Leachate by Predication and Evaluation of Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) Model and Fenton process.Egyption J. Of Chemistry, Volume 62, Issue 7, 1207-1218.