An Economic Study of Egypt's Agricultural Trade in the Context of Nile Basin's Initiative

Esam Mohamed Zaki, Agricultural Economics Research Institute

Introduction

Recently, interest in enhancing trade relations between Egypt and African countries has been renewed, besides upgrading economic cooperation mechanisms between the two parties given the fact that African countries are considered an important hub to Egypt's economic and trade policies hubs. Such orientation has been reflected in the Government of Egypt's tendency towards developing perceptions and coordinating efforts in this direction, especially in light of contemporary local, regional and global changes, which have necessitated the pursuit of closer trade between Egypt and countries of the African continent in general, and Nile Basin countries in particular, an issue of strategic importance to Egypt's national water security system that calls for dealing with these countries in the framework of a comprehensive strategic perception that depends on linking the common interests of the Nile Basin countries together, either in the form of bilateral or multilateral agreements, such as the Nile Basin Initiative, which was signed by the ten Nile Basin countries in February 1999 (Sudan, Ethiopia, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Uganda, Kenya, Egypt, Rwanda, Burundi, Tanzania, Eritrea) with the aim of strengthening regional cooperation bonds (economic and social) between such countries in order to accelerate economic development wheels within a balanced framework, and to increase the volume of intra-trade and joint investments between member countries. Hence, the importance of economic integration and cooperation among Nile Basin countries emerges, which a goal that can be achieved by increasing the effectiveness of intra-trade between member countries. However, it is necessary to identify changes in the economies of such countries in general, and the volume of agricultural trade in particular as a result of implementing such agreements. It is worth mentioning that, in 2016, total value of trade between Egypt and Nile Basin countries reached around US\$ 1.45 billion, while the value of agricultural trade reached around US\$ 1.38 billion (1).

Research Problem

The problem this research aims to study is that, despite the large number of countries joining the Nile Basin Initiative, and the numerous products and commodities these countries produce, whether raw, intermediate, or even final, reality shows that trade between Egypt and Nile Basin countries is still weak, where total and agricultural trade with Nile Basin countries accounted for 1.25% and 5.99% of Egypt's total and agricultural trade over the period 1994-2016, respectively. Such low figures do not fulfill Egypt's aspirations towards strengthening political and economic relations with African countries, which requires exerting more efforts to increase the terms of trade and common interests between the concerned parties.

An Economic Study of Egypt's Agricultural Trade in the Context of Nile Basin's Initiative

Research Objective

The main objective this research aims o achieve is to study evolution in total and agricultural trade between Egypt and Nile Basin Countries, geographic distribution and commodity concentration of traded goods, to measure the degree of similarity and compatibility between Egypt and Nile Basin Countries' export structure, and to measure Nile Basin countries markets' competence to absorb Egyptian exports.

Sources of Data

The research relied on secondary data published by the Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistic, Trade Statistics for International business development Database (UN Comtrade), in addition to a number of research papers, theses, studies and scientific books relevant to the research subject.

Methodology

Both descriptive and quantitative analysis methods have been applied, including:

1. **Simple Regression Analysis:** to estimate growth in foreign trade indicators and trade exchange between Egypt and Nile Basin Countries:

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{i} = \alpha + \beta \, \mathbf{x}_{i},$$

Where,

 \hat{Y}_i is the estimated value of variable i; α is the constant, β is coefficient, and x_i is the time variable.

2. **Non-stability Coefficient:** to assess the degree of stability in trade exchange between Egypt and Nile Basin Countries:

Non-stability Coefficient =
$$\frac{|\mathbf{\hat{y}_i} - \hat{\mathbf{\hat{y}_i}}|}{\hat{\mathbf{y}_i}} * 100$$

Where,

Y: is the actual value of exports/or Imports variable; \hat{y}_i : Is estimated value of exports/or Imports variable.

3. **Gini-Hirschman** Coefficient: is a coefficient of geographic distribution/concentration of economic activity. It is used to calculate the degree of geographic distribution and commodity concentration of foreign trade and trade in agricultural commodities with the Nile Basin Counties.

Gini Hirschman Coefficient =
$$\sqrt{\sum \left(\frac{x_{ij}}{x_{iw}}\right)^2} * 100$$

Where.

x_{ij}: is the value of exports/imports to/from the country/commodity i to/from country j.

 x_{iw} : the total value of exports/imports of the country/commodity i to/from the country w.

4. **Export Similarity Index**: is used to measure of the extent to which Egypt's export structure is similar or dissimilar to that of Nile Basin Countries for assessing potentials of creating trade between the two parties.

Exports Similarity,
$$S(ab,c) = \left[\sum Min\left\{X_i(ac), X_i(bc)\right\}*100\right]$$

Where,

 $X_i(ac)$: is the share of commodity i in a's exports to c.

 $X_i(bc)$: is the share of commodity i in b's exports to c.

5. **Trade Compatibility Index:** is used to measure compatibility between Egypt's and Nile Basin Countries' exports/imports to assess potentials for transformation of trade between the two parties. It ranges between 0 and 1. The higher the value of the index, the higher the degree of compatibility.

Trade Compatibility =
$$1 - \left\{ \frac{\left(\sum |M|_{bi} - X|_{ai}|\right)}{2} \right\}$$

Where,

M_{bi}: is the share of commodity i in b's agricultural imports.

X_{ai}: is the share of commodity i in a's agricultural exports.

6. **Compassing Competence:** is used to measure Nile Basin Countries' competence to absorb Egyptian exports.

Compassing Competence =
$$\frac{\frac{Ex}{Im}}{\frac{Min \{Im / Ex\}}{}^*100}$$

Where,

Ex: Egypt's exports to NB Countries.

Im: NB Countries' imports from the world.

Results and Discussion

Relative Importance of Indicators on Egypt's Total Trade with Nile Basin Countries

Results in Table (1) reveal that relative importance of the value of Egypt's total trade with Nile Basin Countries over the period 1994-2016 averages to 1.25%, ranging between a minimum of 0.66% in 1998 and a maximum of 1.82% in 2016. Results of applying simple regression analysis to the relative importance of the value of Egypt's total trade with Nile Basin Countries, presented in Table (2), reveal that it followed a statistically significant increasing trend, at an annual rate of 0.04% and an annual growth rate of 3.27%. Non-stability Coefficient reached 15.8%, indicating stability in the relative importance of the value under study.

As for the relative importance of the value of Egypt's total exports to Nile Basin Countries over the period 1994-2016, results presented in Table (1) reveal that the relative importance of the value of Egypt's total exports to Nile Basin Countries reached an average of 2.33%, ranging between a minimum of 0.75% in 1996 and a maximum of 5.03% in 2015. Regression analysis results reveal that it followed a statistically significant increasing trend, at an annual rate of 0.19% representing 8.3%

An Economic Study of Egypt's Agricultural Trade in the Context of Nile Basin's Initiative

of the period's average, as shown in Table (2). Non-stability Coefficient reached 37.03%, indicating stability in the relative importance of the value under study.

Turning to imports, results presented in Table (1) reveal that the relative importance of the value of Egypt's total imports from Nile Basin Countries over the period 1994-2016 ranges between a minimum of 0.38% in 2007 and a maximum of 1.67% in 2002, recording an average of 0.77%. Regression analysis results reveal that the computed relative importance followed a statistically significant decreasing trend, at an annual rate of 0.02% representing 2.58% of the study period's average, (Table 2). Non-stability Coefficient reached 28.05%, indicating stability in the relative importance of the value under study.

Studying Egypt's total balance of trade with Nile Basin countries reveals that it realized deficits over the period 1994-2003, ranging between a minimum of 0.12% of Egypt's total balance of trade in 2003 and a maximum of 1.92% in 2002. However, it realized surpluses over the period 2004-2016, which contributed to reducing deficit in Egypt's total balance of trade by a minimum of 0.86% in 2014 and a maximum of 3.39% in 2010. Regression analysis results reveal that the computed relative importance followed a statistically significant decreasing trend, at annual rate of 0.18% representing 26.07% of the study period's average, (Table 2). Non-stability Coefficient reached 315.08%, indicating fluctuations in the relative importance of the value under study.

It is therefore clear that rates of trade exchange between Egypt and Nile Basin countries are low, which can be explained by: insufficient marketing information on market needs of Nile Basin countries; insufficient means of transport thus high cost of transportation; political instability in African countries in general and Nile Basin countries in particular, a situation that led to reluctance of businessmen (exporters and importers) to conclude commercial transactions; in addition, some of the Nile Basin countries have received grants and aid from other countries that have greedy ambitions and in the same time are competitors to Egypt. Accordingly, it is important for Egypt to exert efforts to regain her status and leadership in Africa by increasing joint projects that link together common interests of the Nile Basin countries.

Relative Importance of Indicators on Egypt's Agricultural Trade with Nile Basin Countries

It is clear from Table (1) that the relative importance of the value of Egypt's agricultural trade with Nile Basin countries to the total value of Egypt's agricultural trade has been fluctuating over the study period (1994-2016), recording a minimum of 1.86% in 2006 and a maximum of 21.19% in 2016, and a period's average of 5.99%. Regression analysis results reveal that the computed relative importance followed a statistically significant increasing trend at an annual rate of 0.1% and an annual growth rate of 1.72%. Non-stability coefficient reached 118.48%, indicating fluctuations in the value under study.

Studying the relative importance of the value of Egypt's agricultural exports to Nile Basin countries over the study period (1994-2016) reveal that it has been

Table (1): Importance of Egypt's Total and Agricultural Trade with Nile Basin Countries Relative to Egypt's Total and Agricultural Trade with World

Countries over the Period (1994-2016)

				over the	1 01104 (1)	<i>-</i> . - 0 . 0 <i>,</i>		
Year	Value of total trade with Nile Basin countries to total trade value	Value of total exports to Nile Basin countries to total exports value	Value of total imports from Nile Basin countries to total imports value	Balance of trade with Nile Basin countries to total balance of trade	Value of agricultural trade with Nile Basin countries to total agricultural trade	Value of agricultural exports to Nile Basin countries to total agricultural exports value	Value of agricultural imports from Nile Basin countries to total agricultural imports value	Balance of agricultural trade with Nile basin countries to total balance of agricultural trade
1994	0.74	0.98	0.65	0.47	2.92	0.98	3.55	4.80
1995	0.96	0.89	0.98	1.02	3.90	1.32	4.56	5.66
1996	0.85	0.75	0.88	0.92	3.01	1.68	3.26	3.62
1997	0.88	0.85	0.89	0.91	4.18	1.87	4.72	5.60
1998	0.66	0.86	0.63	0.57	3.51	2.25	3.89	4.58
1999	0.86	0.97	0.83	0.80	3.92	1.91	4.49	5.49
2000	1.09 1.37	0.87	1.17	1.32	4.50	2.34	5.06	6.01
2001		1.51	1.33	1.25	5.18	4.17	5.46	5.95
2002	1.56	1.26	1.67	1.92	5.97	2.15	7.16	9.43
2003	1.37	1.86	1.10	0.12 -1.11	4.24	3.41 3.70	4.63	5.68
2004	1.13 1.19	1.89 2.52	0.68	-1.11 -1.90	2.03 1.87	4.60	0.94 0.83	-4.11 -1.49
2005		1.93	0.48					-1.49
2006 2007	1.03 0.86	1.67	0.44 0.38	-2.55 -1.53	1.86 1.93	5.62 6.06	0.45 0.57	-2.67 -2.12
2007	1.35	3.04	0.51	-1.98	5.42	6.19	5.09	4.24
2009	1.61	3.46	0.60	-2.73	6.46	7.72	5.61	1.22
2010	1.76	4.18	0.51	-3.39	7.20	12.69	4.15	-6.55
2010	1.54	3.27	0.66	-2.02	6.94	11.96	5.09	1.07
2012	1.51	3.52	0.68	-1.30	6.21	10.39	4.94	2.55
2012	1.59	3.76	0.65	-1.73	10.77	14.09	8.91	2.36
2013	1.51	3.51	0.03	-0.86	11.96	10.05	13.32	21.32
2015	1.50	5.03	0.48	-1.35	12.65	15.48	10.69	-0.26
2016	1.82	4.99	0.65	-1.91	21.19	27.52	16.77	- 7.94
Average	1.25	2.33	0.03	-0.66	5.99	6.88	5.40	2.80
inverage	1,23	2.00	0.11	-0.00	3.77	0.00	2.70	2.00

Source: Calculated from table (1) in the annex.

Table (2): Simple Regression Equations

Item	Equation	Т	R ²	Sig.	Average	Growth Rate%	Non- stability Coefficient
Egypt's total value of trade with Nile basin countries relative to Egypt's total trade value	$\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{i}$ =0.76+0.04 \mathbf{x}_{i}	6.18	0.65	**	1.25	3.27	15.85
Egypt's total value of exports to Nile basin countries relative to Egypt's total exports value	$\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{i}$ =0.01+0.19 \mathbf{x}_{i}	12.37	0.88	**	2.33	8.30	37.03
Egypt's total value of imports from Nile basin countries relative to Egypt's total imports value	$\hat{Y}_i = 1.0 - 0.02x_i$	-2.17	0.18	*	0.77	-2.58	28.05
Egypt's total balance of trade with Nile basin countries to Egypt's total trade balance	$\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{i}$ =1.4- 0.18 \mathbf{x}_{i}	-5.19	0.56	**	(0.66)	26.07	315.08
Egypt's value of agricultural trade with Nile basin countries to Egypt's agricultural trade	$\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{i} = 1.41 + 0.1 \mathbf{x}_{i}$	4.51	0.49	**	5.99	1.72	118.48
Egypt's agricultural exports value with Nile basin countries to the Egypt's agricultural exports value	$\hat{Y}_i = -2.72 + 0.8x_i$	7.65	0.74	**	6.88	11.63	77.0
Egypt's agricultural imports value with Nile basin countries to the Egypt's agricultural imports value	$\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{i}$ =1.57+0.32 \mathbf{x}_{i}	3.03	0.30	**	5.40	5.91	50.02
Egypt's agricultural balance with Nile basin countries to the Egypt's agricultural balance	$\hat{Y}_i = 5.39 - 0.22 x_i$	-1.15	0.06		2.80	-7.69	266.87

^{**} at 0.01 level of significance,

Source: table (1).

^{*} at 0.05 level of significance

fluctuating, recording a minimum of 0.98% in 1994, a maximum of 27.52% in 2016, and a period's average of 6.88%, (Table 1). Regression analysis results reveal that the computed relative importance followed a statistically significant increasing trend at an annual rate of 0.8% representing 11.66% of the period's average. Non-stability coefficient reached 77%, indicating fluctuations in the value under study.

Studying the relative importance of the value of Egypt's agricultural imports from Nile Basin countries to the total value of Egypt's agricultural imports from world countries reveals that it has been fluctuating over the study period, ranging between a minimum of 0.45% in 2006 and a maximum of 16.77% in 2016, recording an average of 5.4% (Table 1). Simple regression analysis reveals that the computed relative importance followed a statistically significant increasing trend at an annual rate of 0.32% representing 5.91% of the period's average (Table 2). Non-stability coefficient reached 55.02%, indicating fluctuations in the relative importance of the value under study.

As for the relative importance of Egypt's balance of agricultural trade with Nile Basin countries, it has been fluctuating between surplus and deficit over the study period 1994-2016, recording a minimum deficit of 1.07% in 2011 and a maximum deficit of 21.32% in 2014 of the total value of Egypt's balance of agricultural trade with world countries. However, it realized a surplus that contributed to reducing deficit by a minimum of 0.26% in 2015 and a maximum of 7.94% in 2016, but the achieved results did not prove statistically significant (Table 2).

It is therefore clear that the volume of agricultural trade with Nile basin countries is weak, which can be explained by the fact that most of the Nile Basin countries are members of other economic blocks competing Egypt, and that they are receiving better privileges from trade exchange with such blocks compared to trade exchange with Egypt. In addition, commodities and products imported from Nile Basin countries are primary and limited in number.

Geographic Distribution of Trade with Nile Basin Countries Geographic Distribution of Total Trade with Nile Basin Countries

Table (3) presents the relative importance of the geographic distribution of Egypt's total trade with Nile Basin countries over the period 1994-2016. It can be noted that Sudan, Kenya and Ethiopia are major trade partners with Egypt by absorbing 44.2%, 35.7% and 7.1% of Egypt's total trade with Nile Basin countries, respectively. Concentration Indicator (Gini Hirschman) reached 57.6, indicating that Egypt's total trade with Nile Basin countries is concentrated in a limited number of countries.

As for the geographic distribution of Egypt's exports to Nile Basin countries, results reveal that the same previously mentioned countries, i.e., Sudan, Kenya and Ethiopia absorb 55.8%, 21.9% and 6.8% of Egypt's total exports to Nile Basin countries, respectively. Gini Hirschman indicator reached 60.72, indicating that Egypt's exports to Nile Basin countries is concentrated in a limited number of countries.

over the remod 1774-2010												
		Total trade		Agı	ricultural T	rade						
Country	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total						
	Trade	Exports	Imports	Trade	Exports	Imports						
Sudan	44.20	55.77	20.60	27.57	51.70	8.76						
Ethiopia	7.09	6.76	7.76	5.49	4.21	6.50						
Uganda	2.92	3.61	1.50	1.92	2.98	1.08						
Congo	2.41	1.84	3.57	1.15	2.51	0.10						
Kenya	35.70	21.93	63.80	55.89	22.70	81.77						
Tanzania	2.61	3.10	1.63	1.66	3.08	0.55						
Ruanda	1.10	1.47	0.34	1.01	2.07	0.19						
Burundi	0.67	0.95	0.11	0.83	1.74	0.12						
Eritrea	3.30	4.58	0.69	4.48	9.02	0.93						
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00						
Gini Hirschman Coefficient	57.55	60.72	67.63	62.80	57.61	82.51						

Table (3): Geographic Distribution of Egypt's Trade with Nile Basin Countries over the Period 1994-2016

Source: Table (2) in statistical annex.

Turning to the geographic distribution of Egypt's total imports from Nile Basin countries, results reveal that Kenya, Sudan and Ethiopia are the major three countries from which Egypt receives imports, with shares amounting to 63.8%, 20.6% and 7.8% of Egypt's total imports from Nile Basin countries, respectively. Gini Hirschman indicator reached 67.63, indicating that Egypt's imports from Nile Basin countries is concentrated in a limited number of countries.

Geographic Distribution of Agricultural Trade with Nile Basin Countries

Studying the geographic distribution of Egypt's agricultural trade with Nile Basin countries reveals that Sudan, Kenya and Ethiopia come on top of the countries with which Egypt exchange agricultural trade by absorbing 55.9%, 27.6% and 5.5% of Egypt's total agricultural trade with Nile Basin countries, respectively. Gini Hirschman indicator reached 62.8, indicating that Egypt's trade with Nile Basin countries is concentrated in a limited number of countries.

As regards the geographic distribution of Egypt's agricultural exports to Nile Basin countries, results reveal that Sudan, Kenya and Eretria absorb 51.7%, 22.7% and 9.02% of Egypt's agricultural exports to Nile Basin countries, respectively. Gini Hirschman indicator reached 57.6, indicating that Egypt's agricultural exports to Nile Basin countries is concentrated in a limited number of countries.

Turning to the geographic distribution of Egypt's agricultural imports from Nile Basin countries, results reveal that Kenya, Sudan and Ethiopia are the major three countries from which Egypt imports agricultural products, with shares amounting to 81.8%, 8.8% and 6.5% of Egypt's total agricultural imports from Nile Basin countries, respectively. Gini Hirschman indicator reached 82.5, indicating that Egypt's agricultural imports from Nile Basin countries is concentrated in a limited number of countries.

Such results indicate that Egypt's trade with Nile Basin countries is concentrated in a limited number of countries, which subjects Egypt to the risks associated with relying on certain markets including the occurrence of changes in local, regional and international variables. On the other hand, there exist opportunities for diversifying Egyptian agricultural trade with other Nile Basin

countries as promising markets for both parties, particularly those which trade exchange with Egypt is still weak.

Concentration of Egyptian Agricultural Commodities' Exports to Nile Basin Countries

Table (4) presents a list of major agricultural commodities exported to Nile Basin countries over the period 2008-2016. It can be noted that sugar and sugar confectionary comes on top of the exported commodities by recording an value of US\$ 142.2 million representing 49.13% of the period's average value of major agricultural commodities exported to Nile Basin countries. Fruit and edible fruits followed by recording a value of US\$ 21.4 million representing 7.4%. Animal and vegetable greases, fats, oils and waxes ranks third by recording a value of US\$ 20 million representing 6.9%. Milling products, malt and starches ranks fourth recording a value of US\$ 17.1 million representing 5.9%. Preparations of cereals, flour, starch, Gum and Varnishes and Vegetable Extracts, Miscellaneous Food Preparations, and Preparations of vegetables, fruit, nuts or other parts of plants followed by recording a values of US\$ 16.4, 13.8, 13.2 and 12.8 million representing 5.7%,4.8%, 4.5% and 4.4%, respectively. Other commodities and/or products ranks last by recording a total value of US\$32.6 representing 11.3% of the period's average value of major agricultural commodities exported to Nile Basin countries.

Table (4), which presents a geographic distribution of Egyptian agricultural exports to Nile Basin countries over the period 2008-2016, reveals the following:

Sugar and Sugar Confectionery (*)

Sudan, Kenya, Tanzania and Uganda come on top of the countries to which Egypt exports sugar and sugar confectionery, with values amounting to US\$ 79.06, 43.8, 7.56 and 7.25 million representing 55.6%, 30.8%, 5.3% and 5.1% of the period's average value of exports from this category to Nile Basin countries, respectively. Gini Hirschman indicator reached 64.01, indicating that Egyptian exports of sugar and sugar confectionery is concentrated in a limited number of Nile Basin countries.

Fruits and Edible Fruits

Sudan comes on top of the countries to which Egypt exports fruits and edible fruits, with exports value amounting to US\$ 18.6 million representing 87.1% of the period's average value of exports from this category to Nile Basin countries. Kenya followed with exports value amounting to US\$ 2.45 million representing 11.47% of the period's average value of exports from this category to Nile Basin countries. Gini Hirschman indicator reached 87.85, indicating that Egypt's exports of fruits and edible fruits are concentrated in a limited number of Nile Basin countries.

Plant and Animal Greases, Fats, Oils and Waxes ((A))

As shown in Table 4, Ethiopia, Eritrea and Sudan come on top of the countries to which Egypt exports plant and animal greases, fats, oils and waxes, with export values amounting to US\$ 6.91, 5.85 and 5.43 million representing 34.56%, 29.26% and 27.17% of the period's average value of exports from this category to Nile Basin

countries, respectively. Gini Hirschman indicator reached 53.18, indicating that Egypt's exports of plant and animal greases, fats, oils and waxes is concentrated in a limited number of Nile Basin countries.

Grinder Products and Grain Starch

Eritrea and Burundi come on top of the countries to which Egypt exports grinder products and grain starch, with export values amounting to US\$ 12.02 and 2.25 million representing 70.11% and 13.11% of the period's average value of exports from this category to Nile Basin countries, respectively. Gini Hirschman indicator reached 71.94, indicating that Egypt's exports of grinder products and grain starch is concentrated in a limited number of Nile Basin countries.

Grain, Flour and Starch Preparations

Eritrea Kenya and Sudan come on top of the countries to which Egypt exports grain, flour and starch preparations, with export values amounting to US\$ 7.82, 6.24 and 1.52 million representing 47.77%, 38.12% and 9.29% of the period's average value of exports from this category to Nile Basin countries, respectively. Gini Hirschman indicator reached 61.86, indicating that Egypt's exports of grain, flour and starch preparations is concentrated in a limited number of Nile Basin countries.

Gum and Varnishes and Vegetable Extracts

Congo, Sudan, Rwanda, Burundi and Ethiopia come on top of the countries to which Egypt exports gum and varnishes and vegetable extracts, with export values amounting to US\$ 5.44, 2.46, 2.35, 2.18 and 1.41 million representing 39.32%, 17.78%, 16.98%, 15.75% and 10.17% of the period's average value of exports from this category to Nile Basin countries, respectively. Gini Hirschman indicator reached 50.02, indicating that Egypt's exports of gum and varnishes and vegetable extracts is concentrated in a limited number of Nile Basin countries.

Miscellaneous Food Preparations

Sudan, Ethiopia and Kenya come on top of the countries to which Egypt exports Miscellaneous Food Preparations, with export values amounting to US\$ 8.97, 1.93 and 1.45 million representing 68.18%, 14.64% and 11.01% of the period's average value of exports from this category to Nile Basin countries, respectively. Gini Hirschman indicator reached 70.67, indicating that Egypt's exports of miscellaneous food preparations is concentrated in a limited number of Nile Basin countries.

Vegetables and Fruits Preparations and Parts

Sudan, Kenya and Congo come on top of the countries to which Egypt exports vegetables and fruits preparations and parts, with export values amounting to US\$ 7.17, 2.55 and 1.04 million representing 55.81%, 19.84% and 8.14% of the period's average value of exports from this category to Nile Basin countries, respectively. Gini Hirschman indicator reached 60.43, indicating that Egypt's exports of vegetables and fruits preparations and parts are concentrated in a limited number of Nile Basin countries.

Table (4): Concentration of Egypt's Exports of Agricultural Commodities to NB countries over the period 2008-2016 (US\$ million)

			2000-20					
Country	Sug Confec	tionery	Edible	Fruits and Edible Fruits		t and mal es, fats, d waxes	produc and st	ling ts, malt arches
	Value	%	Value	%	Value	%	Value	%
Sudan	79.06	55.59	18.61	87.09	5.43	27.17	0.86	5.00
Ethiopia	0.32	0.22		0.00	6.91	34.56	0.68	3.95
Uganda	7.25	5.10	0.25	1.16	0.19	0.96		0.00
Congo	0.99	0.70	0.06	0.28		0.00		0.00
Kenya	43.82	30.81	2.45	11.47	1.22	6.11	0.002	0.01
Tanzania	7.56	5.31		0.00	0.10	0.52	0.18	1.03
Ruanda	2.06	1.45		0.00	0.16	0.82	1.16	6.79
Burundi	0.08	0.05		0.00	0.12	0.60	2.25	13.11
Eritrea	1.09	0.77		0.00	5.85	29.26	12.02	70.11
Total	142.23	100.00	21.37	100.00	19.99	100.00	17.14	100.00
Egyptian exports of agricultural commodities to Nile basin countries (%)	49.13		7.4		6.9		5.9	
Gini Hirschman Coefficient	64.01		87.85		53.18		71.	.94
	Grain, flour and starch preparations							
Country	and s prepai	tarch rations	varnisl vege extr	and nes and table acts	fo prepa	laneous od rations	fru preparat pa	tions and rts
	and s prepar Value	tarch rations	varnisl vege extr Value	nes and table acts	fo prepa Value	od rations	fru preparat pa Value	its tions and rts %
Sudan	value 1.52	tarch rations 9.29	varnisl vege extr Value 2.46	nes and table eacts 76 17.78	Value 8.97	od rations % 68.18	fru preparat pa Value 7.17	iits iions and rts
Sudan Ethiopia	Value 1.52 0.10	% 9.29 0.63	varnisl vege extr Value	nes and table eacts 70.17	Value 8.97 1.93	od rations % 68.18 14.64	fru preparat pa Value 7.17 0.39	its ions and rts 55.81 3.04
Sudan Ethiopia Uganda	and s preparation Value 1.52 0.10 0.28	% 9.29 0.63 1.71	varnisl vege extr Value 2.46 1.41	nes and table racts 	Value 8.97 1.93 0.11	od rations % 68.18 14.64 0.86	fru preparat pa Value 7.17 0.39 0.64	rts
Sudan Ethiopia Uganda Congo	and s prepar Value 1.52 0.10 0.28 0.17	% 9.29 0.63 1.71 1.04	varnish vege extr Value 2.46 1.41	nes and table racts 	For preparation value 8.97 1.93 0.11 0.21	od rations % 68.18 14.64 0.86 1.56	fru preparat pa Value 7.17 0.39 0.64 1.04	its tions and rts
Sudan Ethiopia Uganda Congo Kenya	and s prepar Value 1.52 0.10 0.28 0.17 6.24	% 9.29 0.63 1.71 1.04 38.12	varnisl vege extr Value 2.46 1.41	17.78 10.17 0.00 39.32 0.00	fo prepa Value 8.97 1.93 0.11 0.21 1.45	% 68.18 14.64 0.86 1.56 11.01	fru preparat pa Value 7.17 0.39 0.64 1.04 2.55	its tions and rts
Sudan Ethiopia Uganda Congo Kenya Tanzania	and s prepar Value 1.52 0.10 0.28 0.17 6.24 0.06	% 9.29 0.63 1.71 1.04 38.12 0.37	varnisl vege extr Value 2.46 1.41 5.44	nes and table eacts	fo prepa Value 8.97 1.93 0.11 0.21 1.45 0.32	0d rations 68.18 14.64 0.86 1.56 11.01 2.41	fru preparat pa Value 7.17 0.39 0.64 1.04	**************************************
Sudan Ethiopia Uganda Congo Kenya Tanzania Ruanda	and s prepar Value 1.52 0.10 0.28 0.17 6.24 0.06 0.11	% 9.29 0.63 1.71 1.04 38.12 0.37 0.69	varnisl vege extr Value 2.46 1.41 5.44 2.35	nes and table racts	fo preparation Value 8.97 1.93 0.11 0.21 1.45 0.32 0.05	0d rations 68.18 14.64 0.86 1.56 11.01 2.41 0.41	fru preparat Value 7.17 0.39 0.64 1.04 2.55 0.21	**************************************
Sudan Ethiopia Uganda Congo Kenya Tanzania Ruanda Burundi	and s prepar Value 1.52 0.10 0.28 0.17 6.24 0.06 0.11 0.06	% 9.29 0.63 1.71 1.04 38.12 0.37 0.69 0.39	varnisl vege extr Value 2.46 1.41 5.44	nes and table acts % 17.78 10.17 0.00 39.32 0.00 0.00 16.98 15.75	For preparation of the preparati	0d rations 68.18 14.64 0.86 1.56 11.01 2.41 0.41 0.22	fru preparat pa Value 7.17 0.39 0.64 1.04 2.55 0.21 0.03	**************************************
Sudan Ethiopia Uganda Congo Kenya Tanzania Ruanda Burundi Eritrea	and s preparal Value 1.52 0.10 0.28 0.17 6.24 0.06 0.11 0.06 7.82	% 9.29 0.63 1.71 1.04 38.12 0.37 0.69 0.39 47.77	varnisl vege extr Value 2.46 1.41 5.44 2.35 2.18	nes and table acts	fo preparation Value 8.97 1.93 0.11 0.21 1.45 0.32 0.05 0.03 0.09	0d rations 68.18 14.64 0.86 1.56 11.01 2.41 0.41 0.22 0.70	fru preparat Value 7.17 0.39 0.64 1.04 2.55 0.21 0.03 0.81	**************************************
Sudan Ethiopia Uganda Congo Kenya Tanzania Ruanda Burundi Eritrea Total	and s prepar Value 1.52 0.10 0.28 0.17 6.24 0.06 0.11 0.06	% 9.29 0.63 1.71 1.04 38.12 0.37 0.69 0.39	varnisl vege extr Value 2.46 1.41 5.44 2.35 2.18	nes and table acts % 17.78 10.17 0.00 39.32 0.00 0.00 16.98 15.75	For preparation of the preparati	0d rations 68.18 14.64 0.86 1.56 11.01 2.41 0.41 0.22	fru preparat pa Value 7.17 0.39 0.64 1.04 2.55 0.21 0.03	**************************************
Sudan Ethiopia Uganda Congo Kenya Tanzania Ruanda Burundi Eritrea	and s preparal Value 1.52 0.10 0.28 0.17 6.24 0.06 0.11 0.06 7.82	% 9.29 0.63 1.71 1.04 38.12 0.37 0.69 0.39 47.77 100.00	varnisl vege extr Value 2.46 1.41 5.44 2.35 2.18 13.84	nes and table acts	fo preparation Value 8.97 1.93 0.11 0.21 1.45 0.32 0.05 0.03 0.09 13.16	0d rations 68.18 14.64 0.86 1.56 11.01 2.41 0.41 0.22 0.70	fru preparat pa Value 7.17 0.39 0.64 1.04 2.55 0.21 0.03 0.81 12.84	**************************************

Source: Computed based on data collected from the Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics (CAPMAS).

Commodity Concentration of Egyptian Imports from Nile Basin Countries

Data in Table (5) reveals that major commodities which Egypt imports from Nile Basin countries is concentrated in 5 categories representing 97.28% of the period's average value of agricultural commodities imported from Nile Basin Countries. Coffee and tea come on top of the imported commodities with an average value of US\$ 255.3 million representing 73.6% of the period's average value of agricultural commodities imported from Nile Basin Countries; followed by: live animals, with imports value amounting to US\$ 27.34 million representing 7.88%; oilseeds, with imports value amounting to US\$ 26.01 million representing 7.5%; tobacco, with imports value amounting to US\$ 15.89 million representing 4.58%;

finally, imports of cotton recorded a value of US\$ 12.9 million representing 3.72%. The rest of commodities and/or products recorded a value of US\$ 9.42 million representing 2.72% of the period's average value of agricultural commodities imported from Nile Basin Countries.

Table (5) also presents the geographic distribution of major agricultural commodities Egypt imports from Nile Basin countries over the period 2008-2016:

Coffee and Tea

It can be noted from the table that Kenya comes on top of the countries from which Egypt imports coffee and tea, with imports value amounting to US\$ 247.71 million representing 97.04% of the period's average value of Egyptian imports of coffee and tea. Gini Hirschman indicator reached 97.05, indicating that coffee and tea imports are concentrated in a limited number of Nile Basin countries.

Live Animals

Ethiopia, Sudan and Kenya come on top of the countries from which Egypt imports live animals, with imports values amounting to US\$ 21.71, 5.27 and 0.36 million representing 79.41%, 19.26% and 1.33% of the period's average value of imports from live animals, respectively. Gini Hirschman indicator reached 81.72, indicating that imports of live animals are concentrated in a limited number of Nile Basin countries.

Oilseeds

Sudan and Ethiopia come on top of the countries from which Egypt imports oilseeds, with imports values amounting to US\$ 21.17 and 3.85 million representing 81.41% and 14.8% of the period's average value of imports from oilseeds, respectively. Gini Hirschman indicator reached 82.81, indicating that oilseeds imports are concentrated in a limited number of Nile Basin countries.

Table (5): Concentration of Egyptian imports of agricultural commodities from NB Countries over the Period 2008 -2016 (US\$ million)

			(0,04								
Country	Coffee	& Tea		nimals		seeds	Tob	acco	cot	ton	
Country	Value	%	Value	%	Value	%	Value	%	Value	%	
Sudan		0.0	5.27	19.26	21.17	81.41		0.0	12.64	98.00	
Ethiopia	0.69	0.27	21.71	79.41	3.85	14.80		0.0		0.0	
Uganda	2.43	0.95		0.0	0.07	0.28	0.36	2.30		0.0	
Congo		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Kenya	247.71	97.04	0.36	1.33	0.87	3.34	15.43	97.10	0.11	0.85	
Tanzania	0.67	0.26		0.0	0.02	0.08	0.09	0.54		0.0	
Ruanda	0.73	0.29		0.0	0.02	0.06	0.01	0.07		0.0	
Burundi	0.24	0.09		0.0	0.01	0.02		0.0	0.04	0.29	
Eritrea	2.8	1.10		0.0		0.0		0.0	0.11	0.86	
Total	255.26	100.00	27.34	100.00	26.01	100.00	15.89	100.00	12.90	100.00	
% Egyptian imports of agric.				•							
imports of agric.		_	_		_	_			_		
commodities to	73.6		7.	88	7	.5	4.58		3.72		
the Nile Basin											
countries											
Gini Hirschman	97.	05	81	.72	82.81		97.13		98	.01	
Indicator	<i>)</i> / .	.03	01	. / 4	02	.01	71	.13	70	.01	

Source: Computed based on data collected from the Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics (CAPMAS).

Tobacco

Kenya comes on top of the countries from which Egypt imports tobacco, with imports values amounting to US\$ 15.43 million representing 97.1% of the period's average value of tobacco imports. Gini Hirschman indicator reached 97.13, indicating that tobacco imports are concentrated in a limited number of Nile Basin countries.

Cotton (**©**)

Sudan comes on top of the countries from which Egypt imports cotton, with imports values amounting to US\$ 12.64 million representing 98% of the period's average value of cotton imports. Gini Hirschman indicator reached 98.01, indicating that cotton imports are concentrated in a limited number of Nile Basin countries.

It can therefore be noted that Sudan and Kenya are the two main countries to which Egypt exports (sugar and sugar confectionary, fruits and edible fruits, miscellaneous food preparations, vegetables and fruits preparations and parts); whereas Eritrea is the main country to which Egypt exports (plant and animal greases, fats, oils and waxes, milling products, malt and starches Grain, flour and starch preparations). As for imports from Nile Basin countries, Kenya is the main country from which Egypt imports tea, coffee and tobacco; Sudan and Ethiopia are the two main countries from which Egypt imports live animals and oilseeds; and finally, Sudan is the main country from which Egypt imports cotton.

Table (6): Indices of Exports Similarity^(*) between Egypt and NB countries

during period 2008-2016

			uui	ing perio	u 2000-20	710		
Year	Sudan	Ethiopia	Uganda	D.R.of Congo	Kenya	Tanzania	Ruanda	Burundi
2008	3.09	4.65	42.39	32.48	37.78	37.21	4.44	22.14
2009	0.70	4.60	38.37	14.12	27.36	21.92	4.65	27.05
2010	2.59	8.57	37.56	11.71	24.33	27.38	5.52	14.21
2011	5.36	5.90	39.92	13.16	27.90	24.51	12.54	4.20
2012	12.00	4.51	42.51	13.29	29.10	32.12	15.76	2.51
2013	5.64	5.87	35.43	12.92	30.09	24.95	24.11	12.19
2014	6.41	7.72	26.06	13.36	31.96	24.74	24.08	12.84
2015	4.97	4.60	30.53	14.59	33.54	33.98	27.63	11.74
2016	5.91	4.59	30.19	9.20	35.25	24.83	20.51	11.06
Average	5.19	5.67	35.88	14.98	30.81	27.96	15.47	13.10

(*) Commodities were:

Live animals 01, Dairy produce; birds' eggs: natural honev: edible products of animal origin. not elsewhere specified or included 04. Edible vegetables and certain roots and tubers 07. Edible fruit and nuts: peel of citrus fruit or melons 08. Coffee, tea, maté and spices 09. Cereals 10. Products of the milling industry; malt; starches; inulin; wheat gluten 11. Oil seeds and oleaginous fruits: miscellaneous grains, seeds and fruit: industrial or medicinal plants: straw fodder 12. Lac: gums, resins and other vegetable saps and extracts 13. Animal or vegetable fats and oils and their cleavage products; prepared edible fats; animal or vegtable15. Sugars and sugar confectionery 17. Preparations of cereals, flour, starch or milk: pastry cooks' products 19. Preparations of vegetables, fruit, nuts or other parts of plants 20. Miscellaneous edible preparations 21, Tobacco and manufactured tobacco substitutes 24, cotton 52.

Source: https://www.trademap.org

Export Similarity Index

Export similarity index usually ranges between 1 and 100. The higher the value of this index the more exports between two countries or blocks are said to be similar.

It can be noted from table 6 that export similarity index for agricultural commodities traded between Egypt and Nile Basin countries is low, where it reached 18.63%, indicating that the structure of exports between the two parties is more integrated. The index also showed low values regarding exports between Egypt and each of Sudan, Ethiopia, Congo, Ruanda and Burundi,

indicating that the structure of exports between Egypt and each of the mentioned countries is more integrated, hence, scope of trade creation is not wide, rather, there are chances for increasing trade exchange between Egypt and the mentioned countries to benefit from the broad markets. On the other hand, the index showed high values regarding exports between Egypt and each of Uganda, Kenya and Tanzania, indicating that the structure of exports between Egypt and each of the mentioned countries is more competitive, thus scope for trade creation is wide.

Trade Compatibility Index

This part studies the degree of trade compatibility between Egypt and Nile Basin countries, focusing on those commodities with high relative importance in trade exchange between the two parties (listed in tables 7 and 8). Results reveal the following:

Index of trade compatibility between Egyptian exports and Nile Basin countries' imports of the agricultural commodities under study ranges between an average of 0.947 and 0.998 (table 7), indicating high trade compatibility between Egyptian exports and Nile Basin countries' imports of the agricultural commodities under study. It is clear from table (8) that index of trade compatibility between Egyptian exports and Nile Basin countries' imports of the agricultural commodities under study ranges between an average of 0.871 and 0.998, indicating high trade compatibility between Egyptian imports and Nile Basin countries' exports of the agricultural commodities under study.

Comparing trade compatibility indices in tables (7,8) reveal higher compatibility between Egyptian exports of the first 8 commodities listed in

table (7) than Egyptian imports from the same commodities, listed in table (8), indicating that Egypt is considered a promising market for imports from Nile Basin countries rather than exports to them, which in turn indicates transformation of trade in agricultural commodities at the account of agricultural commodities and products Nile Basin countries import from other countries to a degree higher than substituting agricultural commodities and products from Nile Basin countries by those imported by Egypt from other countries.

In addition, comparing trade compatibility indices for the last five commodities listed in tables (7,8) reveal higher compatibility of Egyptian imports of agricultural commodities listed in table (8), except for cotton, than Egyptian exports from the same commodities, listed in table (7), indicating that Nile Basin countries are considered promising markets for imports from Egypt rather than exports to them, which in turn indicates transformation of trade in agricultural commodities at the account of agricultural commodities and products Egypt imports from other countries to a degree higher than substituting agricultural commodities and products from Egypt by those imported by Nile Basin countries from other countries.

Table (7): Trade Compatibility Index for Egyptian Exports of Agricultural Commodities and NB Countries' Imports of Agricultural Commodities over the Period 2008-2016

Commodities	Sudan	Ethiopia	Uganda	Dem. Rep of Congo	Kenya	Tanzania	Rwanda	Burundi	Average
Sugar and sugar confectionery	0.987	0.970	0.989	0.982	0.982	0.978	0.975	0.972	0.979
Fruits and edible fruits	0.982	0.982	0.982	0.984	0.994	0.938	0.981	0.985	0.979
Plant and animal greases, fats, oils and waxes	0.935	0.935	0.979	0.989	0.960	0.974	0.956	0.935	0.958
Gum and varnishes and vegetable extracts	0.966	0.998	0.999	0.978	0.9996	0.999	0.997	0.999	0.992
Vegetables and fruits preparations and it's parts	0.995	0.995	0.998	0.981	0.983	0.999	0.996	0.994	0.993
Miscellaneous food preparations	0.991	0.991	0.995	0.999	0.996	0.993	0.996	0.992	0.994
Grinder Products, and grain starch	0.998	0.998	0.985	0.988	0.9998	0.982	0.956	0.987	0.987
Grain, flour and starch preparations	0.993	0.994	0.9999	0.994	0.995	0.994	0.998	0.993	0.995
cotton	0.988	0.971	0.986	0.972	0.965	0.996	0.965	0.970	0.976
Live animals	0.834	0.966	0.995	0.997	0.995	0.995	0.989	0.994	0.971
Coffee & Tea	0.977	0.811	0.765	0.900	0.777	0.937	0.656	0.592	0.802
Tobacco	0.981	0.981	0.978	0.919	0.987	0.936	0.982	0.999	0.970
Oilseeds	0.846	0.923	0.964	0.976	0.949	0.994	0.952	0.947	0.944

⁻ Trade Map "Trade Statistics for International Business Development", <u>www.trademap.org</u>. Source: Computed based on data collected from the Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics (CAPMAS).

Table (8): Trade Compatibility Index for Egyptian Imports of Agricultural Commodities and NB Countries' Exports of Agricultural Commodities over the Period 2008-2016

Commodities	Sudan	Ethiopia	Uganda	Dem. Rep of Congo	Kenya	Tanzania	Rwanda	Burundi	Average
Sugar and sugar confectionery	0.987	0.970	0.989	0.982	0.982	0.978	0.975	0.972	0.979
Fruits and edible fruits	0.982	0.982	0.982	0.984	0.994	0.938	0.981	0.985	0.979
plant and animal greases, fats, oils and waxes	0.935	0.935	0.979	0.989	0.960	0.974	0.956	0.935	0.958
Gum and varnishes and vegetable extracts	0.966	0.998	0.999	0.978	0.9996	0.999	0.997	0.999	0.992
Vegetables and fruits preparations and parts	0.995	0.995	0.998	0.981	0.983	0.999	0.996	0.994	0.993
Miscellaneous food preparations	0.991	0.991	0.995	0.999	0.996	0.993	0.996	0.992	0.994
Grinder Products, and grain starch	0.998	0.998	0.985	0.988	0.9998	0.982	0.956	0.987	0.987
Grain, flour and starch preparations	0.993	0.994	0.9999	0.994	0.995	0.994	0.998	0.993	0.995
cotton	0.988	0.971	0.986	0.972	0.965	0.996	0.965	0.970	0.976
Live animals	0.834	0.966	0.995	0.997	0.995	0.995	0.989	0.994	0.971
Coffee & Tea	0.977	0.811	0.765	0.900	0.777	0.937	0.656	0.592	0.802
Tobacco	0.981	0.981	0.978	0.919	0.987	0.936	0.982	0.999	0.970
Oilseeds	0.846	0.923	0.964	0.976	0.949	0.994	0.952	0.947	0.944

⁻ Trade Map "Trade Statistics for International Business Development", <u>www.trademap.org</u>. Source: Computed based on data collected from the Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics (CAPMAS).

Compassing Competence

Results presented in table (9) reveal that competence of Nile Basin countries' markets to absorb Egyptian exports ranges between a minimum of 57.5% in 2014 and a maximum of 71.14% in 2010.

Table (9): Competence of the NB Countries' Markets to Absorb Egyptian Exports over the Period 2008-2016. (US\$ million)

Year	to NB countries		Egypt and NB countries' Imports from the world		Egypt and NB countries' Imports from the world/ Egyptian exports to NB countries		(Egypt and NB countries' Imports from the world/ "min" (Egypt and NB countries' Imports from the world/ Egyptian exports to NB countries))		Compassing Competence	
	Total	Agric.	Total	Agric.	Total	Agric.	Total	Agric.	Total	Agric.
	Ex	Ex	Im	Im	Im/Ex	Im/Ex	Im/min	(Im/Ex)	Ex/(Im/min(Im/Ex))	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(3)/(1)	(4)/(2)	1111/111111	(IIII/EX)		
2008	798.03	129.4	114823.5	11429.1	143.9	88.3	1289.6	438.2	61.88	29.53
2009	838.3	228.8	90039.5	11715.1	107.4	51.2	1011.2	449.2	82.90	50.95
2010	1144.5	397.7	101910	10372.8	89.04	26.1	1144.5	397.7	100.00	100.00
2011	1032.9	361.7	120539.6	16562.7	116.7	45.8	1353.8	635.0	76.30	56.95
2012	1029.5	278.8	131236.2	17053.4	127.5	61.2	1473.9	653.9	69.85	42.64
2013	1079.2	404.6	136961.6	19265	126.9	47.6	1538.2	738.7	70.16	54.77
2014	965.6	296.8	149460.8	21457	154.8	72.3	1678.6	822.7	57.52	36.08
2015	1073.5	441.5	156354	24325	145.7	55.1	1756.0	932.7	61.13	47.34
2016	1104.6	736.5	162414	24589	147.04	33.4	1824.1	942.8	60.56	78.12
Average	1007.3	364.0	129304.4	17418.8	-	-	-	-	71.14	55.15

Source: Computed based on data collected from the Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics (CAPMAS).

On the other hand, it can be noted that competence of Nile Basin countries' markets to absorb Egyptian exports of agricultural commodities ranges between a minimum of 29.5% in 2008 and a maximum of 100% in 2010, recording an average of 55.15%.

Based on the achieved results, it can be stated that competence of Nile Basin countries' markets to absorb exports of Egyptian commodities, either total or agricultural, has notably improved over the study period.

Summary

Recently, interest in enhancing trade relations between Egypt and African countries has been renewed, besides upgrading economic cooperation mechanisms between both parties. Such orientation has been reflected in the Government of Egypt's tendency towards developing perceptions and coordinating efforts in this direction, especially in light of contemporary local, regional and global changes, which have necessitated the pursuit of closer trade between Egypt and countries of the African continent in general, and Nile Basin countries in particular, an issue of strategic importance to Egypt's national water security system that calls for dealing

with these countries in the framework of a comprehensive strategic perception that depends on linking the common interests of the Nile Basin countries together, either in the form of bilateral or multilateral agreements, such as the Nile Basin Initiative, which was signed by the ten Nile Basin countries in February 1999. And despite the large number of countries that joined the Nile Basin Initiative and the numerous products and commodities these countries produce, whether raw, intermediate, or even final, reality shows that trade between Egypt and Nile Basin countries is still weak. The main objective this research aimed to achieve is to study evolution in total and agricultural trade between Egypt and Nile Basin Countries, geographic distribution and commodity concentration of traded goods, to measure the degree of similarity and compatibility between Egypt and Nile Basin countries' export structure, and to measure Nile Basin countries markets' competence to absorb Egyptian exports. Main findings indicate the following:

- Relative importance of the value of Egypt's total trade with Nile Basin countries over the period 1994-2016 has been increasing at an annual rate of 3.27%.
- Relative importance of the value of Egypt's total exports to Nile Basin countries over the period 1994-2016 has been increasing at an annual rate of 8.3%.
- Relative importance of the value of Egypt's agricultural trade with Nile Basin countries to the total value of Egypt's agricultural trade over the period 1994-2016 has been growing at an annual rate of 1.72%.
- Relative importance of the value of Egypt's agricultural exports to Nile Basin countries over the study period 1994-2016 has been increasing trend at an annual rate of 11.63%.
- Geographic distribution of Egypt's agricultural exports to Nile Basin countries revealed that Sudan, Kenya and Eretria absorb 51.7%, 22.7% and 9.02% of Egypt's agricultural exports to Nile Basin countries, respectively. Gini Hirschman indicator reached 57.6, indicating that Egypt's agricultural exports to Nile Basin countries is concentrated in a limited number of countries.
- Geographic distribution of Egypt's agricultural imports from Nile Basin countries revealed that Kenya, Sudan and Ethiopia are the major three countries from which Egypt imports agricultural products, with shares amounting to 81.8%, 8.8% and 6.5% of Egypt's total agricultural imports from Nile Basin countries, respectively. Gini Hirschman indicator reached 82.5, indicating that Egypt's agricultural imports from Nile Basin countries is concentrated in a limited number of countries.
- Concentration of Egyptian Exports to Nile Basin Countries revealed that Sudan and Kenya are the main importers of Egyptian exports of (sugar and sugar confectionary, fruits and edible fruits, miscellaneous food preparations, vegetables and fruits preparations and parts); whereas Eritrea is the main importer of (plant and animal greases, fats, oils and waxes, milling products, malt and starches Grain, flour and starch preparations).
- As for imports from Nile Basin countries, Kenya is the main country from which Egypt imports tea, coffee and tobacco; Sudan and Ethiopia are the two main countries from which Egypt imports live animals and oilseeds; and finally, Sudan is the main country from which Egypt imports cotton.

- Export similarity index for agricultural commodities traded between Egypt and Nile Basin countries reached 18.63%, indicating that the structure of exports between the two parties is more integrated. On the other hand, the index showed high values regarding exports between Egypt and each of Uganda, Kenya and Tanzania, indicating that the structure of exports between Egypt and each of the mentioned countries is more competitive, thus scope for trade creation is wide.
- Trade compatibility index showed a high value for exports agricultural commodities and products traded between Egypt and Nile basin countries over the period 2008-2016 (sugar; fruits; plant and animal greases, fats, oils and waxes; gum and varnishes and vegetable extracts; vegetables and fruits preparations and parts; grinder Products, and grain starch; grain, flour and starch preparations) than Egyptian imports of the same commodities, indicating transformation of trade in Egyptian agricultural commodities at the account of agricultural commodities and products Nile Basin countries import from other countries to a degree higher than substituting agricultural commodities and products from Nile Basin countries by those imported by Egypt from other countries.
- There exists a notable improve in Nile Basin countries markets' competence to absorb Egyptian exports, total or agricultural, where Compassing Competence index amounted to 71.14% and 55.15%, respectively.

In the light of the achieved results, the research offers the following **recommendations**:

- Egyptian Commercial Representation Offices in Nile Basin countries should devote more attention to providing promising investment opportunities and logistics database to serve the purpose of trade exchange.
- Devoting high attention to introducing Egyptian agricultural commodities to Nile Basin countries with which trade exchange with Egypt is low, like Congo, Ruanda and Burundi, in addition to establishing joint agricultural projects to activate trade exchange between both parties.
- Singing mutual preferential agreements between Egypt and each of the member countries in the Nile Basin agreement to activate trade exchange between the two parties.
- Devoting high attention to increasing geographic and commodity concentration in both directions, Egyptian and African, with special focus on Ethiopia, Tanzania, Ruanda and Burundi.
- Devoting high attention to the development of production systems in Egypt to produce value-added and diversified products for the invasion of African markets with a focus on deep-industry products, including agro-processing of all kinds, types and commodities, which leads to the expansion of the market and increase trade returns.

Reference

 الجهاز المركزى للتعبئة العامة والاحصاء، النشرة السنوية للتبادل التجارى بين مصر ودول حوض النبل، أعداد متفرقة.

- ٢- سمر أشرف الششتاوى، "دراسة إقتصادية للتجارة الخارجية الزراعية مع دول حوض النيل"، رسالة ماجستير، قسم الاقتصاد الزراعى، كلية الزراعة، جامعة أسيوط، ٢٠١٥.
- ٣- شريف محمد فياض (دكتور)، نادية عبدالله الغريب (دكتور)، "مؤشر عدم الاستقرار والعوامل المؤثرة عليه لأهم الصادرات المصرية من النباتات الطبية والعطرية"، المجلة المصرية للاقتصاد الزراعي، العدد ، ٢٠٠٧.
- ٤- مصطفى عبدربه القبلاوى (دكتور) وآخرون، "التجارة الخارجية الكلية والزراعية المصرية مع دول حوض النيل"، المؤتمر الثامن عشر للاقتصاديين الزراعيين، ١٣-١٤ أكتوبر، ٢٠١٠.
- ٥- ممدوح البدرى محمد (دكتور)، "امكانات الاستثمار الزراعى فى دول حوض النيل"، المجلة المصرية للاقتصاد الزراعى، المؤتمر الثامن عشر للاقتصاديين الزراعيين، ١٣-١٤ أكتوبر، ٢٠١٠.
- 6- G. Erlat, S. Ekmen "Export Similarity and competitiveness: the case of turkey in the EU market", Anadolu International Conference in Economics', Turkey, 17-19 June, 2009.
- 7- J. M. Finger, M. E. Kreinin," A Measure of Export Similarity and Its Possible Uses", The Economic Journal, Vol. 89, No. 356 (December, 1979), pp. 905-912.
- 8- Trade Map "Trade Statistics for International Business Development", www.trademap.org
- 9- T. Fundira "An analysis of Africa's export performance and export similarity for select countries within the Tripartite Free Trade Area market" tralac trade law center, July 2013.
- 10- UNECA, assessing regional integration in Africa: Enhancing Intra-African Trade, Addis Ababa: Economic Commission for Africa, 2010.
- 11-United Nations, "International Trade Statistics database" Statistics Division: http://unstats.un.org/unsd/comtrade/db.
- (*) Molasses resulting from the extraction or refining of sugar; Sugar confectionery not containing cocoa, incl. white chocolate; Other sugars, incl. chemically pure lactose, maltose, glucose and fructose, in solid form.
- (Δ) Prepared edible fats. Fats of bovine animals, sheep or goats (excluding oil and oleostearin). Other animal fats and oils and their fractions, whether or not refined, but not chemically.
- Cotton, neither carded nor combed, Cotton sewing thread, Cotton yarn other than sewing thread, Cotton yarn put up for retail sale (excluding sewing thread). Woven fabrics of cotton. Cotton yarn put up for retail sale (excluding sewing thread).

Table (2): Geographical distribution between Egypt and Nile Basin countries at (\$ million) during 1994-2016.

		Total trade	, 3	Agricultural trade				
Country	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total		
	trade	exports	imports	trade	exports	imports		
Sudan	300.03	254.03	46.00	98.55	80.97	17.59		
Ethiopia	48.11	30.77	17.33	19.64	6.59	13.06		
Uganda	19.80	16.44	3.36	6.85	4.67	2.17		
Congo	16.34	8.37	7.97	4.13	3.93	0.20		
Kenya	242.34	99.88	142.47	199.82	35.56	164.26		
Tanzania	17.75	14.11	3.64	5.93	4.82	1.11		
Ruanda	7.47	6.71	0.76	3.62	3.23	0.38		
Burundi	4.55	4.31	0.24	2.96	2.72	0.24		
Eritrea	22.42	20.88	1.54	16.00	14.13	1.87		
Total	678.81	455.51	223.30	357.51	156.62	200.89		

Source: Central Agency for Public Mobilization and statistics (CAPMAS).

دراسة اقتصادیة لتجارة مصر الزراعیة فی ضوء مبادرة دول حوض النیل عصام محمد زکی باحث، معهد بحوث الاقتصاد الزراعی

الملخص

تجدد الاهتمام في الاونة الاخيرة بتنمية العلاقات التجارية بين مصر والدول الافريقية وتطوير اليات للتعاون الاقتصادي المصرى الافريقي، والتي بانت تحتم السعى نحو توثيق التبادل التجارى بين مصر ودول القارة الافريقية بصفة عامة ودول حوض النيل بصفة خاصة، بما يعنى اهمية التعامل مع هذه الدول بمنظور الستراتيجي شامل يعتمد على ربط المصالح المشتركة لدول حوض النيل سواء في صورة اتفاقيات ثنائية أو جماعية مثل مبادرة حوض النيل فبراير ١٩٩٩ (Nile Basin Initiative) والتي تم التوقيع عليها بين دول حوض النيل العشر، إلا أنه على الرغم من كثرة عدد الدول المنضمة لمبادرة حوض النيل، وما تنتجه تلك الدول من العديد من السلع والمنتجات إلا ان واقع الامر يظهر ضعف التبادل التجاري لمصر مع دول حوض النيل، لذلك تهدف الدراسة إلى دراسة إمكانية تطوير التبادل التجارى الكلى والزراعي المصري مع دول حوض النيل، من خلال التعرف على التوزيع الجغرافي والتركز السلعي للتبادل التجارى بين مصر دول حوض النيل، وقياس مدى تماثل وتوافق هيكل الصادرات المصري مع نظيره لدول حوض النيل، والطاقات حوض النيل، وقياس مدى تماثل وتوافق هيكل الصادرات المصرى مع نظيره لدول حوض النيل، والطاقات الاستعابية لاسواق دول حوض النيل لصادرات مصر. وكانت النتائج كالتالي:

- تزايد الأهمية النسبية لقيمة التجارة الكلية المصرية مع دول حوض النيل للتجارة الكلية المصرية بمعدل نمو سنوى بلغ حوالي ٣,٢٧% خلال الفترة (١٩٩٤-٢٠١٦).

- تزاید الأهمیة النسبیة لقیمة الصادرات الکلیة المصریة مع دول حوض النیل للصادرات الکلیة المصریة بمعدل نمو سنوی بلغ حوالی ۸٫۳% خلال الفترة (۱۹۹۶–۲۰۱۹).
- تزايد الأهمية النسبية لقيمة التجارة الزراعية المصرية مع دول حوض النيل للتجارة الزراعية المصرية بمعدل نمو سنوى بلغ حوالى ١٩٧٢% خلال الفترة (١٩٩٤-٢٠١٦).
- تزايد الأهمية النسبية لقيمة الصادرات الزراعية المصرية مع دول حوض النيل للصادرات الزراعية المصرية بمعدل نمو سنوى بلغ حوالي ١٩٦٣/١% خلال الفترة (١٩٩٤-٢٠١٦).
- بدراسة التوزيع الجغرافي للصادرات الزراعية المصرية مع دول حوض النيل تبين استحواذ كل من السودان وكينيا وأريتريا على حوالي ١٩٠٥%، ٢٢,٧، ٩،٠٢% على الترتيب من الصادرات الزراعية المصرية الموجهة إلى دول حوض النيل، وبلغ معامل جيني للتركز الجغرافي حوالي ٥٧,٦ مما يشير إلى تركز الصادرات الزراعية المصرية في عدد محدود من دول حوض النيل.
- بدراسة التوزيع الجغرافي للواردات الزراعية المصرية مع دول حوض النيل تبين أن كينيا والسودان وأثيوبيا ساهمت بحوالي ٨١,٨ ٨، ٨،٨ ٥,٣ على الترتيب من إجمالي الواردات الزراعية المصرية من دول حوض النيل، وبلغ معامل جيني للتركز الجغرافي حوالي ٨٢,٥ مما يشير إلى تركز الواردات الزراعية المصرية في عدد محدود من دول حوض النيل.
- بدراسة التركز السلعى للصادرات الزراعية المصرية مع دول حوض النيل يتضح أن السودان، كينيا تعتبر الشريك (المستورد) الأساسى للصادرات السلعية المصرية لكل من (السكر والمصنعات السكرية، والفاكهة والثمار الصالحة للأكل، ومحضرات غذائية متنوعة، ومحضرات خضر وفاكهة واجزائها)، كما تعتبر أريتريا (المستورد) الأساسى للصادرات السلعية المصرية من (الشحوم والدهون النباتية والحيوانية، منتجات مطاحن ونشا حبوب، ومحضرات حبوب ودقيق ونشا).
- بدراسة التركز السلعى للواردات الزراعية المصرية مع دول حوض النيل تبين تركز واردات البن والشاى، والتبغ من كينيا، وتركز واردات مصر من كل من الحيوانات الحية، والبذور الزيتية من كل من الدولتين السودان، واثيوبيا. أما الواردات المصرية من المنتجات القطنية فكانت السودان المصدر الرئيسى له.
- بدراسة مؤشر تماثل الصادرات للسلع الزراعية بين مصر ودول حوض النيل خلال الفترة ٢٠١٨-٢٠١٦ تبين إنخفاضه إذ بلغ حوالى ١٨,٦٣% مما يعنى أن هيكل الصادرات بين مصر ودول حوض النيل أكثر تكاملاً، وعلى الجانب الأخر ارتفع مؤشر تماثل الصادرات بين مصر وكل من أوغندا، كينيا، تنزانيا مما يدل على أن هيكل الصادرات بين مصر وهذه يدل على أن هيكل الصادرات بين مصر وهذه الدول وبالتالى هناك فرصة لخلق التجارة بينهما.
- ارتفاع مؤشر توافق الصادرات الزراعية المصرية خلال الفترة ٢٠٠٨-٢٠١٦ للسلع (السكر، الفاكهة، والزيوت والدهون النباتية والحيوانية، الصمغ النباتي، والخضر والفاكهة المجهزة، الصناعات الغذائية المجهزة، ومنتجات مطاحن، ومنتجات الحبوب) عن الواردات الزراعية المصرية للسلع ذاتها، مما يعني

احتمال حدوث تحويل التجارة للسلع الزراعية المصرية على حساب السلع والمنتجات الزراعية التى تستوردها دول حوض النيل من الخارج بدرجة أكبر من احلال السلع والمنتجات الزراعية من دول حوض النيل محل السلع والمنتجات التى تستوردها مصر من الخارج.

- تبين من الدراسة أن هناك تحسنا ملحوظا لاستيعاب أسواق دول حوض النيل للصادرات المصرية سواء الكلية او الزراعية، حيث بلغت الطاقة الاستيعابية حوالي ٧١,١٤%، ٥٥,١٥% على الترتيب خلال فترة الدراسة.

وفي ضوء ما سبق توصى بالاتى:

- زيادة اهتمام مكاتب التمثيل التجارى المصرية بدول حوض النيل في توفير الفرص الاستثمارية الواعدة وتوفير قاعدة بيانات لوجستية للاستفادة بها في التبادل التجاري.
- الاهتمام بتواجد السلع الزراعية المصرية ببعض دول حوض النيل مثل الكونغو الديمقراطية، وروندا، وبوروندى والتى تتخفض بها نسبة التبادل التجارى مع مصر، وزيادة الاهتمام بانشاء مشروعات زراعية مشتركة من شأنها تتشيط التبادل التجارى معها.
- عقد اتفاقيات تبادلية تفضيلية مشتركة بين الحكومة المصرية وحكومات دول حوض النيل كل على حدة لتنشيط التبادل التجارى.
- ضرورة الاهتمام بزيادة التبادل الجغرافي والسلعي في الاتجاهين المصرى والافريقي وخاصة دول أثيوبيا، وتنزانيا، وروندا، وبوروندي.
- ضرورة تطوير نظم الانتاج في مصر لانتاج منتجات ذات قيمة مضافة ومتنوعة لغزو الأسواق الأفريقية مع التركيز على المنتجات عميقة الصناعة ومنها التصنيع الزراعي بكامل أنواعه وأنماطه وسلعه وهذا يؤدي إلى اتساع السوق وتزيد عوائد التجارة.