Effect of Mineral and Bio NPK Fertilizers and Foliar Spray with Some Growth Stimulants on Growth and Productivity of Potato

Shams, A.S., M. H. M. Mohamed and A. A. Abd ELgalel

Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Moshtohor, Benha University. **Corresponding author:** <u>mustafa.muhammed@fagr.bu.edu.eg</u>

Abstract

Potato is highly responsive to NPK fertilizer, chemical fertilizers have several negative impacts on environment and sustainable agriculture. Therefore, two field experiments were carried out during the two successive summer seasons of 2018 and 2019 at the experimental farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, Moshtohor, Benha University to investigate the effect of different levels of mineral NPK fertilizers added with bio fertilizer to the soil (F1, 100% mineral NPK fertilizer (as recommended dose); F2. 80% mineral NPK fertilizer + Bio fertilizer and F₃, 60% mineral NPK fertilizer + Bio fertilizer) and foliar spray with growth stimulant such as Potassium citrate at 5g/L, Yeast extract at 5%, Seaweed extract at 5%, Garlic extract at 5% and Control (without spraying) as well as their interaction on vegetative growth characters, chemical constituents of plant foliage, total tuber yield, physical and chemical quality of potato tubers (Solanum tuberosum L.) cv. Sponta. Results indicate that applying the treatment 80% RDF+ biofertilizer followed by treatment 100% RDF without bio fertilizer reflected significantly and the highest values in all measured vegetative growth aspects (plant height, No. of branches, No. of leaves, total fresh and dry weight/plant); chemical constituent of N, P, K and carbohydrate percentage; total produced tuber yield and its components expressed as total yield/plant, total yield/fed, average tuber weight, tuber length and tuber diameter; and chemical tuber quality expressed as content of N, P, K, total protein, total Stretch and NO₃ of potato plants compared with 60% NPK fertilization at the recommended dose of mineral fertilizer which gave the lowest values in the two seasons of study. In this regard, spraying the plants with yeast extracts at 5% or seaweed extract at 5 ml/l gave the significant highest values of all studied characteristics of potato plants in both seasons. Results also indicate that fertilizing potato plants with 80% RDNPK added with bio fertilizer combined with either yeast extracts at 5% or seaweed extract at 5 ml/l spraying every 10 days during the growth season gave the highest values in all studied characteristics.

Key words: Potato - mineral- Bio-NPK fertilizers - growth stimulants- tuber yield - quality

Introduction

Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) is one of major crops contributing to the world's food security. Potato is one of the most important field corps not only to its local consumption but also to increase meeting income through its exportation among different countries in the world. The cultivated area of potato in Egypt in 2016/2017 reached about 376631 feddans, which yielded 4113441tons of tubers with an average of about12.567 ton/fed. The potato exports reached around 673000 Tons last season (2018/2019). Potato is highly responsive to NPK fertilizer, especially on sandy soils (Errebhi et al., 1998). Chemical fertilizers have several negative impacts on environment and sustainable agriculture. Recently, the use of slow release fertilizers has become a new trend to save fertilizer consumption and to minimize environmental pollution. Therefore, bio fertilizers are recommended in these conditions and growth prompting bacteria have been used as a replacement of chemical fertilizers (Wu et al., 2005). Using biofertilizers leads to not only increase in population of beneficial microorganisms but also decrease environmental effects. Use of biofertilizers can have a great complementary impact in increasing fertilizers use efficiency.

Moreover, many plant growth-stimulating compounds such as yeast extract, seaweed extract or garlic extract and potassium citrate affect cellular metabolism in treated plants, leading to increased tolerance to abiotic stresses, improved plant growth and crop yield (Craige, 2011; Sharma et al., 2014). Seaweed extract is known as a source of plant growth regulators (Herrera et al., 2014) organic osmolites, amino acids mineral nutrients, vitamins and vitamin precursors. Yeast extract has stimulatory effects on cell division and enlargement, protein and nucleic acid synthesis, and chlorophyll formation. Garlic is characterized by a high content of amino acids, which contain sulfur such as Methionime and Cysteine and contains vitamins, hormones and disinfectants that are concentrated in lobes such as Allicin. Potassium is a major plant nutrient and plays an essential role in a variety of physiological processes, i.e. photosynthesis, protein synthesis and maintenance of water status in plant tissues (Marschner, 2012).

Therefore, this investigation was carried out to investigate the effect of reparation the deficit of recommended dose of mineral fertilizer by added biofertilizer or foliar spray application with some active stimulants such as yeast extract, seaweed extract or garlic extract and potassium citrate as well as their combination on vegetative growth, chemical composition of plant foliage, yield productivity and quality of potato tubers.

Materials and Methods

Two field experiments were carried out during the two successive summer seasons of 2018 and 2019 at the experimental farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, Moshtohor, Benha University to investigate the effect of different levels of mineral NPK fertilizers added with bio fertilizer to the soil and foliar spray with growth stimulant such as yeast extract, garlic extract, seaweed extract and potassium citrate as well as their interaction on vegetative growth characters, chemical constituents of plant foliage, total tuber yield, physical and chemical quality of potato tubers (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) cv. Sponta.

Potato tubers were planted on 6th January in the first and second seasons. This experimental was carried out in a split plot design with three replicates where the main plot comprises the following NPK-treatments (F_1 , 100% mineral NPK fertilizer (as recommended dose); F_2 . 80% mineral NPK fertilizer + Bio fertilizer and F_3 , 60% mineral NPK fertilizer + Bio fertilizer). The subplots received foliar application of Potassium citrate at 5g/L, Yeast extract at 5%, Seaweed extract at 5%, Garlic extract at 5% and Control (without spraying). Each experimental plot included four rows 4m in length and 80 cm in width with an area of 12.8 m². Potato tubers were planted 30cm apart on one side of the ridges.

The recommended rates of mineral NPK fertilizers were 150 kg N per Fed. (As ammonium nitrate 33% N), 60 kg P₂O₅ (As Calcium superphosphate 16% P₂O₅) and 96 kg K₂O per Fed. (As potassium sulphate 48% K₂O). Where Mineral N fertilizer in the form of ammonium nitrate NH₄NO₃, (33% N) was divided into three equal portions and was added after complete germination, and every two weeks by interval. Mineral P₂O₅ fertilizer in the form of Calcium superphosphate (16% P2O5) was divided and added at two equal parts during soil preparation and planting date. Meanwhile, Mineral K₂O fertilizer in the form of potassium sulphate (48% K_2O) was divided at two equal parts during and added after two months from planting and after two weeks by interval. Concerning treatments which included bio fertilizer, soil was inoculated two times with Rhizobacterin, Phosphorin and Potassine at tubers planting time and after complete germination at rate of 1 kg/fed. for each Rhizobacterin, Phosphorin and Potassine. The foliar application treatments with of yeast extract, garlic extract, seaweed extract and potassium citrate were added five times started after 21 days from planting and every 10 days interval.

After 70 days from planting, three plants were taken as representative sample from each experimental plot then recorded the plant height (cm), Number of branches/plant, Number of leaves/plant, Fresh weight/plant (g) and Dry weight/plant (g). Total

nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and carbohydrate contents were determined in the digested dry matter of plant leaves according to methods described by Pregl (1945), John (1970), Brown and Lilleland (1946) and Herbert et al. (1971) for nitrogen carbohydrate, phosphorus, potassium, and respectively. Potato tubers were harvested after 120 days from planting and recorded the Tuber yield (g/ plant), Total tuber yield (t/fed) and Number of tubers/plant. At harvest time a random sample of 10 tubers from each experimental plot were taken to determine weight, length, diameter and size of each tuber. In addition, the digested dry matter of each sample was taken for chemical determination of each N. P and K as well as total protein, starch, total carbohydrate and finally nitrate contents were determined according to the methods described by Pregl (1945), John (1970), Brown and Lilleland (1946), A.O.A.C., (1990) Herbert et al. (1971) and Cataldo et al. (1975), respectively. All collected data were subjected to statistical analysis according to Sndecor and Cochran (1991) where the least significant difference at 5% of the probability was considered when even possible.

Results and Discussion

Vegetative growth characteristics:

Data presented in Table 1 show that there were differences in all studied morphological vegetative growth parameters of potato plants among the used different levels of NPK mineral fertilizer added with bio fertilizer. In this respect, applying the treatment 80% RDF+ biofertilizer followed by treatment 100% RDF without bio fertilizer reflected significantly and the highest values in all measured vegetative growth aspects (plant height, No. of branches, No. of leaves, total fresh and dry weight/plant) of potato plants compared with 60% NPK fertilization at the recommended dose of mineral fertilizer which gave the lowest values in the two seasons of study. Such increments in vegetative growth parameters in case of using 80% or 100% in fertilization may be due to its highest soil content of available nutrient elements which may be attributed to the main role of macromartinets (N, P and K) on formation of protoplasmic material, cells division and elongations bio chemicals interaction which affect the rate of plant growth.

In this connection, bio-fertilizer may induce growth promotion by production of phytohormons, improving availability of nutrients, non-symbiotic nitrogen fixation and stimulation of disease resistance mechanisms (**Zdor and Anderson 1992**), which all together may promote the vegetative growth. Obtained results are coincided with those mentioned by **Doklega (2017)**, **Hosseini** *et al* (2017), **Singh** *et al.* (2017), **Lallawmkima** *et al* (2018), **Verma** *et al* (2018) and **Ramandeep** *et al.* (2018).

As for the effect of foliar spray treatments, the same data in Table 1 indicate that spraying potato plants with anyone of studied growth stimulants such as yeast and garlic extracts at 5%, seaweed extract at 5 ml/l and potassium citrate at rate of 5 g/l starting after 21 days from planting and every ten days by intervals during growth season increased all measured growth aspects expressed as plant height, number of leaves and branches/plant, fresh and dry weight of plant compared with the control treatment in the two seasons of study. In this regard, spraying the plants with yeast extracts at 5% or seaweed extract at 5 ml/l followed by treatment which received potassium citrate at rate of 5 g/l gave the significant highest values of all vegetative growth characteristics of potato plants in both seasons. Obtained data may be due to that yeast and seaweed extracts increase content of macro nutrients content which affect positively on growth rate of potato plants. Seaweed extract maybe contains various micro elements (Cu, Zn, Mo, B, Co) in addition to macro elements and contain auxins, gibberellins, and cytokinins, also Yeast extract is as a nautral source of cytokinins-stimulates. So Yeast and Seaweed extracts have stimulatory effects on cell division and enlargement, protein and nucleic acid synthesis, and chlorophyll formation (Barnett et al., 1990). Obtained results are in agreement with those reported by Ibrahim (2016) and Backeset al. (2017) in case of seaweed extract and El-Fadl et al. (2017) in case of Yeast extract.

With regard to the effect of the interaction between soil addition fertilizers and foliar spray treatments, such data in Table 1 indicate that fertilizing potato plants with 80% RDNPK added with bio fertilizer combined with either yeast extracts at 5% or seaweed extract at 5 ml/l spraying every 10 days during the growth season gave the highest values in all growth aspects followed by plants which fertilized with 100% the recommended dose of mineral fertilizer without bio fertilizer with foliar spray either yeast or seaweed extracts compared with other interaction treatments. Obtained result, are true during both seasons of study.

Chemical composition of plant foliage:

It's clear from recorded data in Table 2 that fertilizing potato plants with 100%, 80% and 60% of recommended dose added with bio fertilizer show significant difference in all determined chemical constituent of N, P, K and carbohydrate percentage in two seasons. In this respect, treatment 80% RDF+ biofertilizer followed by treatment 100% RDF without biofertilizer gave significant increased nitrogen, phosphor, potassium and carbohydrate percentage compared with treatment 60% RDF+ biofertilizer in both season of study.

Such increments in N, P and K content as a result of increments in increasing the amounts of added mineral fertilizers may be due to the increase of such nutrient in roots biosphere and consequently increases its uptake and accumulation of such macro- nutrients Also the increase in total carbohydrate content might attributed to the main role of used macro-nutrients (NPK) as constituents of photosynthetic pigment molecules and assimilation rate for precursors of carbohydrates in leaves. In addition, such enhancing effect may be due to the main role of tested biofertilizer in atmospheric nitrogen rotation and solubilizing of phosphorus fertilizer and make it more available to be absorbed by plants and accumulated in plant foliage. Obtained results coincided with those reported **El-Sayed** *et al* (2015), **Ibrahim** (2015), **Kolodziejczyk** (2016) and **Mijwel** (2018).

Regarding the effect of foliar spray treatments, the same data in Table 2 indicate that spraying potato plants after 21days from planting and every ten Days with either yeast extract, garlic extract at 5%, seaweed extract at 5 ml/l or potassium citrate at rate of 5 g/l reached the level of significance during both seasons compared with control. In addition, sprayed plant with seaweed extract at 5 ml/l followed by treatment received yeast extract gave significantly increased of most measure aspects, i.e, nitrogen, phosphor, and carbohydrate percentage in both seasons. While applied potassium citrate or seaweed extract gave the highest values of potassium percentage. Obtained results are similar to those reported by Jasim et al. (2013), Lola – Luz et al (2014) and Ibrahim (2016) in case of seaweed extract and Salim et al. (2014) and Abd El-Gawad et al. (2017) in case of potassium.

As for the effect of the interaction data in Table 4 indicate that fertilizing the plants with 80% of mineral NPK fertilizer added with bio fertilizer combined with spraying the plant with seaweed extract at 5 ml/l reflected the highest values in all measure i.e nitrogen, potassium, phosphor, and total carbohydrate content in the two seasons of study. On the other hand, fertilizing potato plants with 60% of recommended dose of NPK and without foliar spray (control treatments) gave the lowest values in all assayed aspects during both seasons of study.

Yield and its components:

Data in Table 3 indicate that there were a differences in total produced tuber yield and its components expressed as total yield/plant, total yield/fed, average tuber weight, tuber length and tuber diameter among the used fertilizer level i.e., 100%, 80%, 60% NPK at recommended dose and added bio fertilizer with the two lowest levels in the two seasons of study. In this respect, such differences reached the level of significantly between 80% RDF + biofertilizer followed by treatment of 100% RDF without biofertilizer compared with 60% RDF in all case of tuber yield and its components during the two season of study. In this connection, using 60% from recommended dose reflected the lowest values in produced tuber yield and its components. Such increments in produced total yield/plant, total yield/fed, average tuber weight, tuber length and tuber diameter as a result of bio-fertilizer inoculation may be due to the increasing of vegetative growth parameters (Table, 1) which in turn might affect positively number and weight of pods produced by plant and consequently increased the total produced yield per feddan. Obtained results are in agreement with those reported by Jayapal *et al.* (2016), Yadav *et al.* (2016), El-Sayed *et al.* (2017), Sarkar *et al.* (2017), Tiwari *et al.* (2017), Yadav *et al.* (2017), Lallawmkima *et al.* (2018), Ramandeep *et al.* (2018) and Rajiv (2019) who found that bio-fertilizer positively influenced number and weight of tubers/plant and tubers yield/unit area.

As for the effect of spray treatments on total produced tuber yield and its components, such data in Table 3 show that spraying potato plants after 3weeks from planting and every 10 dayes by interval during the growth seasons with yeast extract 5%, garlic extract 5%, seaweed extract 5 ml/l and potassium citrate 5 g/l significantly increased total produced tuber yield and its components. In this connection, potato plants which sprayed with seaweed extract at rate 5 ml/l followed by plants which sprayed potassium citrate at rate 5 g/l reflected the highest produced total tuber yield and its components expressed as total yield/plant, total yield/fed, average tuber weight, tuber length and tuber diameter compared with the control one during the two seasons of study. In this regard, the superiority of using seaweed extract and potassium citrate on total produced yield and its components may be attributed to the photosynthesis stimulation, transpiration reduction and increasing plant resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses which lead to increased plant growth and consequently increased tubers formation. Obtained results are in agreement with those reported Haider et al. (2012), Wasim et al (2012), bv Ibrahim (2016) and Asha et al. (2017) in case of seaweed extract and El-Sawy (2011), Jasim et al. (2013), Salim et al. (2014) and Abd El-Gawad et al. (2017) in case of potassium.

Data in Table 3also reveal that spraying potato plants with either seaweed extract at rate 5 ml/l or potassium citrate at rate 5 g/l plus fertilizing the plants with 80% RDF with added bio fertilizer reflected the highest values in total produced tuber yield and its components whereas, fertilizing potato plants with 60% of NPK recommended dose and without foliar spay (control) gave the lowest values of produced yield.

Chemical quality of tubers:

data in Table 4 show that there were differences among the fertilization treatments in all measured chemical tuber quality expressed as content of N, P, K, total protein, total Stretch and NO₃ during both seasons of study. In this regard, fertilizing potato plants with using 80% of mineral NPK fertilizer at the recommended dose with added bio fertilizer gave the highest values in all assayed chemical constituents in both seasons of study compared with using 60% NPK. Such effect may be attributed to the main role of phosphorus solubilizing bacteria on enhancing the solubility and availability of phosphorus to be absorbed by plants and consequently accumulated in tubers. In this respect, Mohammadi *et al.* (2013), Farag *et al.* (2013), Wichrowska *et al.* (2015), Dhawan *et al.* (2016), Kolodziejczyk (2016) and El-Sayed *et al.* (2017) reached to the same results.

Data in Table 4 also revealed that spraying potato plant with yeast extract 5%, garlic extract 5%, seaweed extract 5 ml/l or potassium citrate 5 g/l increased all measured chemical quality traits compared with the control treatment during the two seasons of study. Such increments reached the level of significance in all measure under study. In addition, spraying the plants with seaweed extract 5 ml/l exhibited the highest values in content of N, P, total protein, total Stretch and NO₃ under study during the two seasons meanwhile the highestvalues of K contents were recored by spray potassium citrate 5 g/l compared with control without spray. Such results may be due to the main role of these growth stimulants on assimilation and formation of carbohydrates, protein, phosphor and potassium content. Obtained results are coincided with those reported by Haider et al. (2012), Wasim et al (2012), Malash et al (2014) and Ibrahim (2016) in case of seaweed extract and Abd El-Gawad et al. (2017) in case of potassium.

As for the effect of the interaction, such data in Table 4 indicate that fertilizing potato plants with 80% of NPK recommended dose and added bio fertilizer with spraying the plants by seaweed extract 5 ml/l reflected the highest values of all measure chemical quality parameters in both seasons.

It could be concluded that under such condition of this experiment using bio-fertilizers (Rhizobacterin, Phosphorin and Potassine) as soil inoculation two times during planting date and after compelete germination and fertilizing the grown plants with 80% of the recommended NPK [150 kg N per Fed. (As ammonium nitrate 33% N), 60 kg P₂O₅ (As Calcium superphosphate 16% P₂O₅) and 96 kg K₂O per Fed. (As potassium sulphate 48% K₂O)] combined with the foliar application of seaweed extract at 5% five times started after 21 days from planting and every 10 days interval can be recommended for producing the best vegetative growth with the highest tubers yield components with the best physical and chemical tubers quality of Sponta cultivar adapted for exportation.

	seasons.										
Treatments		Plant height (cm)	No. of branches/plant	No. of leaves /plant	Total fresh weight (g/plant)	Total dry weight (g/plant)	Plant height (cm)	No. of branches/plant	No. of leaves /plant	Total fresh weight (g/plant)	Total dry weight (g/plant)
			F		Second Season						
Soil App.	100% N,P,K	49.5	3.5	22.0	166.7	27.9	54.7	3.9	24.1	179.7	31.5
	80% N,P,K+Bio	53.2	4.1	25.1	181.9	30.7	55.4	4.9	28.6	202.1	35.8
	60% N,P,K+Bio	46.8	3.1	18.9	154.5	25.7	48.1	3.3	21.3	269.4	26.4c
	LSD	0.82	0.16	1.2	1.7	0.78	2.35	0.14	2.0	4.1	0.38
	Potassium citrate	50.0	3.5	22.0	166.9	28.4	53.0	4.0	25.0	185.6	31.9
x	Garlic Ex.	48.2	3.2	20.4	160.8	27.1	51.0	3.7	23.3	342.7	30.1
la	Yeast Ex.	53.3	3.8	23.5	173.7	29.3	56.4	4.3	26.4	190.0	32.4
Fc SF	SW Ex.	51.9	4.3	25.4	182.8	30.8	54.9	4.5	27.4	197.8	34.4
	control	45.9	3.0	18.8	154.4	24.8	48.4	3.6	21.2	169.1	27.4
	L.S.D	1.9	0.2	1.6	3.0	1.7	1.4	0.3	1.2	4.1	1.6
					I	nteraction					
∞ ⊻	Potassium citrate	49.5	3.5	22.1	166.4	28.1	54.6	3.9	24.8	181.6	31.9
	Garlic Ex.	47.6	3.1	20.4	160.2	27.5	53.3	3.6	22.6	174.7	30.5
È È.	Yeast Ex.	53.1	3.8	23.6	171.6	28.6	58.1	4.1	26.2	180.9	32.7
i Z	SW Ex.	51.6	4.2	25.2	182.9	30.2	56.7	4.3	25.7	194.7	34.5
	control	45.6	2.9	18.7	152.6	25.1	50.8	3.5	21.1	166.5	27.8
	Potassium citrate	53.2	4.1	25.3	180.2	30.9	55.8	4.9	28.6	202.7	36.9
f.g	Garlic Ex.	51.6	3.8	23.6	175.6	28.6	52.9	4.5	27.5	193.6	34.2
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Yeast Ex.	56.2	4.3	26.4	186.3	32.4	59.3	5.3	29.7	209.5	37.2
È 🖄	SW Ex.	54.8	4.9	28.5	195.1	33.6	57.5	5.3	31.8	214.6	38.8
9	control	50.4	3.6	21.8	172.4	27.9	51.7	4.6	25.3	190.2	31.9
_	Potassium citrate	47.2	3.0	18.7	154.0	26.3	48.6	3.2	21.5	172.6	26.8
. <u>.</u>	Garlic Ex.	45.3	2.8	17.2	146.6	25.3	46.9	3.0	19.8	659.9	25.6
'Bi C	Yeast Ex.	50.6	3.4	20.4	163.2	26.8	51.9	3.6	23.2	179.6	27.2
Š 🖌	SW Ex.	49.2	3.8	22.5	170.5	28.7	50.4	3.9	24.6	184.0	29.9
9	control	41.8	2.4	15.9	138.2	21.3	42.7	2.6	17.2	150.7	22.6
	LSD	32	0.3	3.0	6.0	2.7	2.8	0.4	2.3	71	2.5

 Table 1. Effect of soil addition and foliar spray as well as their interaction treatments on vegetative growth characteristics of individual potato plant during 2017 and 2018 seasons.

Treatments -		N %	P %	K %	Total Carbohydrates %	N %	P %	K %	Total Carbohydrates %
	100% N P K	1 84	0.37	2.20	12.19	2.01	0.41	2.38	13 36
Soil App.	80% N P K+Bio	2.09	0.37	2.20	13.45	2.01	0.41	2.50	13.30
	60% N P K+Bio	1.63	0.45	2.17	11.84	1 74	0.37	2.09	12.45
		0.07	0.50	012	1 15	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.85
	Potessium citrete	1.86	0.02	2 41	1.15	2.03	0.02	2.62	13 35
•	Corlie Fy	1.00	0.37	2.41	12.42	1 03	0.42	2.02	12.02
liar ray	Vesst Fy	1.74	0.34	2.29	12.83	2 11	0.39	2.37	13.92
Fol	SW Fy	2.04	0.30	2.24	12.05	2.11	0.44	2.44	14 68
	control	1.68	0.42	2.31	11 73	1.83	0.40	2.31	17 21
		1.00	0.31	2.11	0.65	1.05	0.50	2.31	0.72
	L.S.D	0.09	0.02	0.14	0.05	0.07	0.02	0.07	0.72
	Potassium citrate	1.86	0.38	2.33	12.19	2.03	0.42	2.57	13.32
~ ¥	Garlic Ex.	1.71	0.33	2.16	11.39	1.92	0.38	2.30	12.86
90 F.	Yeast Ex.	1.91	0.39	2.19	12.64	2.10	0.44	2.36	13.92
Ξź	SW Ex.	2.05	0.43	2.26	13.17	2.18	0.48	2.43	14.47
	control	1.65	0.30	2.05	11.56	1.82	0.35	2.24	12.21
M	Potassium citrate	2.08	0.43	2.65	13.15	2.32	0.47	2.86	14.37
L, L	Garlic Ex.	2.00	0.41	2.35	12.95	2.24	0.45	2.61	13.96
Bi, Z	Yeast Ex.	2.16	0.43	2.41	13.72	2.39	0.49	2.68	14.93
*(SW Ex.	2.23	0.48	2.49	14.85	2.48	0.53	2.75	15.85
8	control	1.97	0.39	2.31	12.56	2.16	0.42	2.53	13.15
M	Potassium citrate	1.63	0.30	2.24	11.92	1.75	0.38	2.42	12.36
L,	Garlic Ex.	1.51	0.27	2.36	11.63	1.64	0.33	2.21	11.93
Ϋ́, Ϋ́	Yeast Ex.	1.72	0.32	2.11	12.13	1.84	0.40	2.28	12.96
*0	SW Ex.	1.85	0.36	2.17	12.45	1.97	0.43	2.34	13.72
90	control	1.42	0.24	1.97	11.07	1.50	0.30	2.15	11.26
	L.S.D	0.16	0.04	0.25	1.60	0.13	0.03	0.13	1.32

Table 2. Effect of soil addition and foliar spray as well as their interaction treatments on N, P, K and total carbohydrate percentages of potato foliage during 2017 and 2018 seasons.

Treatments		Total Yield /Plant (g)	Total Yield / Fed (kg)	Tuber Weight	Tuber Length (cm)	Tuber Diameter (cm)	Total Yield /Plant (g)	Total Yield / Fed. (kg)	Tuber Weight (g)	Tuber Length (cm)	Tuber Diameter (cm)
				First Season	(cm)	(011)	/1 mile (g)		Second Season	(011)	(0111)
	100% N,P,K	757.3	15.600	218	10.6	5.9	852.5	17.050	239	11.0	6.0
Soil App.	80% N,P,K+Bio	839.9	16.811	251	11.2	6.2	882.0	17.641	263	11.6	6.5
	60% N,P,K+Bio	697.7	13.955	198	10.2	5.7	753.6	15.071	219	10.6	5.7
	LSD	26.7	2.210	2.3	0.21	0.49	4.8	9.577	6.1	0.39	0.27
ray	Potassium citrate	786.1	15.724	228	10.9	6.1	852.4	17.049	244	11.4	6.2
sb	Garlic Ex.	734.2	15.083	216	10.4	5.8	804.0	16.081	235	10.8	5.8
iar	Yeast Ex.	769.5	15.411	222	10.7	5.9	827.1	16.543	240	11.0	6.0
lo	SW Ex.	825.6	16.756	236	11.3	6.3	883.5	17.669	255	11.7	6.6
	control	709.5	14.302	209	10.1	5.5	779.7	15.595	228	10.4	5.7
	L.S.D	34.0	0.418	5.5	0.3	0.2	9.5	0.190	7.8	0.3	0.1
100% N,P,K	Potassium citrate Garlic Ex. Venst Ex.	769.2 705.4 775 8	15.384 15.308	226 211 218	10.9 10.4 10.6	6.1 5.6 5.8	872.5 833.7 853.6	17.450 16.674 17.072	237 235 238	11.3 10.6	6.2 5.7
	I Cast EX.	817 3	15.510	210	10.0	5.0	801 A	17.072	250 256	10.9	5.9
	control	718 Q	17.079	203	10.1	0.3 5 7	811 2	16 224	230	11.0	0.3 5.6
K +	Potassium citrate	863.5	17.270	203 253	11.4	6.5	898.6	17.972	268	11.9	6.6
<u>e</u> , ia	Garlic Ex.	815.2	16.304	247	10.9	6.0	853.2	17.064	259	11.5	6.2
S B	Yeast Ex.	837.6	16.812	251	11.2	6.2	874.2	17.484	263	11.4	6.5
30°	SW Ex.	895.2	17.904	261	11.9	6.7	952.6	19.052	274	12.3	6.9
	control	788.2	15.764	242	10.7	5.8	831.6	16.632	253	11.1	6.1
. K +	Potassium citrate	725.5	14.517	205	10.5	5.8	786.2	15.725	226	10.9	5.9
l, lo	Garlic Ex.	681.9	13.638	189	9.9	5.8	725.2	14.504	211	10.2	5.5
B %	Yeast Ex.	695.2	13.904	197	10.2	5.6	753.6	15.072	218	10.7	5.7
99	SW Ex.	764.2	15.284	214	10.8	6.0	806.4	16.128	234	11.3	6.3
-	control	621.5	12.430	183	9.6	5.1	696.4	13.928	204	9.8	5.3
	L.S.D	56.7	0.684	8.9	0.5	0.4	16.9	0.338	12.6	0.5	0.3

Table 3. Effect of soil addition and foliar spray as well as their interaction treatments on the tuber yield and it components of potato plants during 2017 and 2018 seasons.

Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, Vol. 58 (2) 2020

Treatments		N % of Tuber	P % of Tuber	K % of Tuber	Total Protein %	Total Stretch %	No3 (ppm)	N % of Tuber	P % of Tuber	K % of Tuber	Total Protein %	Total Stretch %	No3 (ppm)
				First S	leason				Second Season				
oil pp.	100% N,P,K	2.23	0.41	2.95	13.93	14.90	206	2.42	0.44	3.00	15.10	16.20	213
	80% N,P,K+Bio	2.46	0.46	3.11	15.33	16.58	223	2.70	0.51	3.29	16.94	17.25	240
S A	60% N,P,K+Bio	1.94	0.37	2.79	12.14	13.81	190	2.17	0.40	2.87	13.58	15.33	209
	LSD	0.09	0.54	0.09	0.54	0.35	6.2	0.04	0.20	0.09	0.20	0.11	11.2
ye:	Potassium citrate	2.23	0.41	3.05	13.93	15.43	208	2.44	0.45	3.20	15.22	16.49	230
sbi	Garlic Ex.	2.13	0.38	2.88	13.31	14.70	201	2.38	0.42	2.99	14.85	15.96	214
ar	Yeast Ex.	2.31	0.44	2.96	14.45	15.06	211	2.48	0.46	3.06	15.47	16.16	225
ilo	SW Ex.	2.44	0.46	3.00	15.19	15.90	222	2.59	0.51	3.14	16.27	16.97	231
	control	1.94	0.36	2.85	12.12	14.38	190	2.27	0.40	2.89	14.20	15.72	204
	L.S.D	0.09	0.5	0.07	0.50	0.37	8.2	0.08	0.5	0.09	0.51	0.09	15.2
% N,P,K	Potassium												
	citrate	2.23	0.41	3.05	13.93	15.25	208	2.42	0.44	3.11	15.12	16.32	213
	Garlic Ex.	2.16	0.37	2.84	13.50	14.45	202	2.39	0.42	2.98	14.93	15.92	210
	Yeast Ex.	2.31	0.47	2.92	14.43	14.70	211	2.47	0.46	3.01	15.43	16.09	217
00	SW Ex.	2.44	0.45	2.98	15.25	16.07	218	2.53	0.49	3.07	15.81	16.95	224
1	control	2.01	0.36	2.94	12.56	14.02	192	2.27	0.38	2.84	14.19	15.74	199
	Potassium												
Ķ	citrate	2.49	0.46	3.18	15.56	16.95	224	2.70	0.51	3.46	16.87	17.25	240
i, P	Garlic Ex.	2.35	0.43	3.07	14.68	16.12	218	2.62	0.48	3.22	16.37	17.09	233
₩	Yeast Ex.	2.54	0.48	3.14	15.99	16.63	224	2.75	0.51	3.28	17.18	17.17	246
80,	SW Ex.	2.72	0.52	3.18	16.83	17.26	239	2.89	0.57	3.32	18.39	17.86	254
	control	2.18	0.41	2.96	13.56	15.92	212	2.54	0.48	3.15	15.87	16.86	229
	Potassium												
Ķ	citrate	1.97	0.37	2.93	12.29	14.09	192	2.19	0.39	3.02	13.68	15.91	237
5. P	Garlic Ex.	1.88	0.35	2.72	11.75	13.52	182	2.12	0.37	2.76	13.24	14.86	198
₹ ₽	Yeast Ex.	2.07	0.38	2.81	12.94	13.85	199	2.21	0.42	2.88	13.81	15.21	211
200	SW Ex.	2.16	0.41	2.85	13.50	14.36	209	2.34	0.46	3.03	14.60	16.11	216
-	control	1.64	0.32	2.65	10.25	13.21	167	2.01	0.34	2.68	12.56	14.56	184
		0.15	0.96	0.13	0.98	0.74	14	0.14	0.89	0.14	0.90	0.17	24

Table 4. Effect of soil addition and foliar spray as well as their interaction treatments on some chemical constituents of potato tubers during 2017 and 2018 seasons.

References

- A. O.A. C. 1990. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 15th ed. Washington, D.C, U.S.A.
- Abd El-Gawad, H. G.; N.A. I. Abu El-Azm and M. S. Hikal. 2017. Effect of Potassium Silicate on Tuber Yield and Biochemical Constituents of Potato Plants Grown Under Drought Stress Conditions. Middle East J. Agric. Res., 6(3): 718-731.
- Backes, C.; R. L. V. Boas; A. J. M. Santos; A. A. Ribon and D. M. Bardiviesso. 2017. Foliar application of seaweed extract in potato culture. Aplicacao foliar de extrato de alga na cultura da batata. Revista de Agricultura Neotropical. 4(4):53-57.
- Barnett, J. A., R. W. Payne and D. Yarrow 1990. Yeast characteristics and identification. Cambridge. Camb. CBZBR, pp 999.
- **Brown, J. and O. Lilleland .1946.** Rapid determination of potassium and sodium in plant material and soil extracts by flame photometric. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 48: 341- 346.
- Cataldo, D.A., M. Haroon, L.E. Schader and V.L. Yongs .1975. Rapid colorimitric determination of nitrate in plant tissue by nitration of Salicylic acid. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 6(1): 71-80.
- Craige, J. S. 2011: Seaweed extract stimuli in plant science and agriculture. – J. of Applied Phycology, 23(3): 37-393. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-010-9560-4.
- Dhawan A. K.; S. K. Chauhan; R. Peshin and R. Sharma. 2016. Integrated nutrient management practices influencing the productivity of potato under subtropical plains of Jammu, India. Indian J. of Ecology. 43(Special Issue 1):428-431.
- **Doklega, S.M.A.2017.** Impact of magnetized water irrigation, Soil Mineral Fertilization and Foliar spraying with Nanomaterial on potato plants. J. plant productions, Mansoura Univ., 8(11):1113-1120.
- El-Fadl, N. I. A.; S. E. Dina and M. R. Hebatulla. 2017. Effect of foliar spraying with yeast extract and hydrogen peroxide on yield and quality of sweet potato. Alexandria J. of Agric. Sci. 62(3):303-310.
- **El-Sawy, M. B.I. 2011.** Effect of Soil Fertilization and Foliar Spray of Potassium On Vegetative Growth and Yield and Its Components of Sweet Potato. J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., Vol. 2 (3): 437 - 452
- **El-Sayed, S. F.; A. A. Gahrib and R. R. Eid. 2017.** Effect of biofertilizer and compost on nitrate status, yield and quality of potato tuber under newly reclaimed sandy soil. AGROFOR International Journal. 3(1):139-149.
- El-Sayed, S. F.; A. A. Gahrib and R. R. Eid. 2017. Effect of biofertilizer and compost on nitrate

status, yield and quality of potato tuber under newly reclaimed sandy soil. AGROFOR International Journal. 3(1):139-149.

- Errebhi, M.; C.J. Rosen; S.C. Gupta and D.E. Birong. 1998. Potato Yield Response and Nitrate Leaching as Influenced by Nitrogen Management. Agron. J. 90:10-15.
- Farag, M.I., AlyAbdalla, M., Mohamed, M.F. and Aboul-Nasr, M.H. 2013. Effect of biofertilization on yield and quality of some potato cultivars (*SolanumTuberosum* L.). Int. J. Agri. Food Sci. Tech., 4 (7): 695-702.
- Haider, M. W.; C. M. Ayyub; M. A. Pervez; H. U. Asad; M. Abdul; S. A. Raza and A. Irfan. 2012. Impact of foliar application of seaweed extract on growth, yield and quality of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Soil and Environment. 31(2):157-162.
- Herbert, D., P.J. Phipps and R.E. Strange .1971. Determination of total carbohydrates, Methods in Microbiology, 5(8): 290-344.
- Herrera, R. M. H., F. S. Ruvalcaba, M. A. R. Lopez, J. Norrie and G. H. Carmona. 2014. Effect of liquid seaweed extracts on growth of tomato seedlings (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) J. Appl. Phycol., 26(1):619-628.
- Hosseini, A., S.H. Nemati., M. Khajehoseini. and H., Aroiee. 2017. Effects of different nitrogen and solupotasse fertilizer rate on yield and yield components of potato. IIOAB.J. 8 (1) 93-97.
- **Ibrahim, M.A. El-S. 2015.** Organic fertilizers on potato.M.Sc. thesis .Fac of Agric Cairo Univ. 103pp.
- **Ibrahim, S.H.M. 2016.** Effect of phosphorus, sulphyr and some Bio –stimulants on productivity of potato. Ph. D thesis. Fac of Agric. Ain Shams Univ.134pp.
- Jasim, A. H.; M.J. Hussein and M. N. Nayef. 2013. Effect of foliar fertilizer (high in potash) on growth and yield of seven potato cultivars (*Solanum tuberosom* L.). Euphrates J. of Agric. Sci.,5 (1): 1-7.
- Jayapal, A.; O. K. Swadija and V. S. Anju. 2016. Impact of organic management on yield, quality and economics of Chinese potato (*Plectranthus rotundifolius*). Indian J. of Agronomy. 61(1):127-130.
- John, M.K. 1970. Colorimetric determination of phosphorus in soil and plant material with ascorbic acid. Soil Sci., 109: 214-220.
- **Kolodiejczk, M. 2016.** Effect of nitrogen fertilization and microbial preparations on quality and storage lossed in edible potato. Acta Agrophysica 23(1) :67-78.
- **Kolodiejczk, M. 2016.** Effect of nitrogen fertilization and microbial preparations on quality and storage lossed in edible potato. Acta Agrophysica 23(1) :67-78.

- **Kolodziejczk, M. 2014.** Effect of nitrogen fertilization and microbial preparations on potato yielding. Plant Soil Environ, 60 (8): 379-386.
- Lallawmkima, I.; S. K. Singh and M. Sharma. 2018. Application of Azotobacter, Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza and Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria for potato cultivation in Central Plain Zone (Pb-3) of Punjab. J. Environmental Biology. 39(6):985-989.
- Malash, N.M., M.A. Fattah Allah, F.A. Aly. and N.M. Morsy. 2014. Effect of the combination between organic and mineral nitrogen along with or without bio-fertilizers and yeast extract on potato growth and productivity. Minufiya J. Agric. Res. 39,1(2): 231-244.
- Marschner, P. 2012. Marschner's Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants, 3rd ed.; Academic Press:London, UK. pp: 178-189.
- Mijwel, A. K. 2018. Organic and bio-fertilizers and their effect in some soil and plant variables and potato yield. Plant Archives. 18(2):2340-2344.
- Mohammadi, G.R., RostamiAjirloo, A. Ghobadi, M. E. and Najaphy, A. 2013. Effects of nonchemical and chemical fertilizers on potato (*SolanumtuberosumL.*) yield and quality. J. Medi. Plants Res., 7(1): 36-42.
- **Pregl, E. 1945.** Quantitative organic micro analysis. 4th ed. J. Chundril, London.
- **Rajiv. 2019.** Productivity and economics of potato grown with organics fertilization in comparison to inorganic fertilizers. Int. J. of Agri. Sci. 15(1):32-36.
- Ramandeep, S. Singh, S. Kumari and S. K. Singh. 2018. Impact of bio-fertilizers and fertilizers on potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) cv. Kufri Pukhraj and Kufri Jyoti cultivation. Int. J. of Chemical Studies, 6(4): 29-31.
- Salim, B.B.; H.G. Abd El-Gawad and A. Abou El-Yazied, 2014. Effect of foliar spray of different potassium sources on growth, yield and mineral composition of potato (*Solanum tuberosumL.*). Middle East J. of Applied Sciences, 4(4): 1197-1204.
- Sarkar, L.; P. C. Bhagawati; P. K. Gogoi; B. K. Medhi; S. N. Phukan and K. V. Kendra. 2017. Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on growth and yield of potato (Solanum tuberosum L.). Environment and Ecology. 35(4B):3082-3086.

- Sharma, H. S. S.; C. Fleming; C. Selby; J. R. Rao; T. Martin. 2014. Plant biostimulants: a review on the processing of macroalgae and use of extracts for crop management to reduce abiotic and biotic stresses. J. of Applied Phycology 26(1): 465-490. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-013-0101-9.
- Singh,M., S.K. Biswas; D. Nagar; K. Lal and J. Singh. 2017. Impact of Biofertilizer on Growth Parameters and Yield of Potato. Int. J. of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. (6):1717-1724.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cocharn. 1991. Statistical methods. 8thed., Lowa State Univ. press, Lowa. USA.
- Tiwari, U. S.; K. Husain; Y. K. Singh; J. Singh and A. K. Tripathi. 2017. Effect of inorganic, organic and integrated nutrient management on productivity, profitability and soil health in maize (*Zea mays*)-potato (*Solanum tuberosum*)-onion (*Allium cepa*) cropping system in central plain zone of Uttar Pradesh. Current Advances in Agricultural Sciences. 9(1):55-59.
- Verma, P.; N. Agrawal and S. K. Shahi. 2018. Effect of rhizobacterial strain enterobacter cloacae strain PGLO9 on potato plant growth and yield. Plant Archives. 18(2):2528-2532.
- Wasim, M., C. Haider, M. Ayyub, M. p. Aslam, A. Habat Ullah Abdul, M.S. Raza and I. Ashraf. 2012. Impact of foliar application of seaweed extract on growth, yield and quality of potato (solanum tuberosum L).Soil Environ .31(2):157-162.
- Wichrowska, D.; E. Wszelaczynska and J. Poberezny. 2015. Effect of nutrient supply from different sources on some quality parameters of potato tubers. J. of Elementology. 20(1):217-230.
- Wu, S.C.; Z.H. Caob; Z.G. Lib; K.C. Cheunga and M.H. Wong. 2005. Effects of bio-fertilizer containing N-fixer, P and K solubilizes and AM fungi on maize growth: a greenhouse trial. Geoderma. (125): 155-166.
- Yadav, S. K.; T. K. Bag and A. K. Srivastava. 2017. Effect of organic manure and biofertilizers on system productivity and profitability of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*)-French bean (*Phaseolus* vulgaris) cropping system. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 62(2):155-159.

تأثير التسميد المعدنى والحيوى بالنتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم والرش الورقي ببعض منشطات النمو على نمو وإنتاجية البطاطس

عبدالحكيم سعد شمس – مصطفى حمزة محمد – على عبداللاه عبدالجليل قسم البساتين ، كلية الزراعة ، بمشتهر ، جامعة بنها.

تتميز البطاطس باستجابة عالية لسماد NPK ، والأسمدة الكيماوية لها العديد من الآثار السلبية على البيئة والتنمية المستدامة. لذلك تم إجراء تجريتين حقليتن خلال الموسم الصيفى لعامي 2018 و 2019 في المزرعة البحثية بكلية الزراعة بمشتهر جامعة بنها لدراسة تأثيرثلاث مستويات مختلفة من الأسمدة المعدنية النيتروجينية المضافة مع الأسمدة الحيوية وهى 100 ٪ سماد NPK معدني (حسب المعدل الموصى به) ؛ 80 ٪ سماد NPK معدني + سماد حيوي و 60 ٪ سماد NPK معدني (حسب المعدل الموصى به) ؛ 80 ٪ سماد NPK معدني (حسب المعدل الموصى به) ؛ 80 ٪ سماد NPK معدني + سماد حيوي و 60 ٪ سماد NPK معدني (حسب المعدل الموصى به) ؛ 80 ٪ سماد NPK معدني + سماد حيوي و 60 ٪ سماد NPK معدني (حسب المعدل الموصى به) ؛ 80 ٪ سماد NPK ، مستخلص الثوم بتركيز 5 جم / لتر مستخلص الخميرة بتركيز 5 ٪ ، مستخلص الثوم بتركيز 5 ٪ ، مستخلص الطحالب البحرية بتركيز 5 ٪ ، مستخلص الثوم بتركيز 5 ٪ ومعاملة الكنترول (بدون رش) بالإضافة إلى التفاعل بينهما على صفات النمو الخضري ، التركيب الكيميائي للمجموع الخضرى ، محصول الدرنات، صفات الجودة لدرنات البطاطس صنف الميونات. وقد اوضحت النتائج المتحصل عليها ان تسمد نباتات 80 ٪ من السماد المعدنى NPK بالمعدل الموصى به + السماد حيوي اعطى اعلى النهو في في البينات النمو الخضري (ارتفاع النبات ، معدا لأفراق ، الوزن الطارج والجاو والجاف /نبات)؛ المحموع الخضرى التيوفي و 10 ٪ ساد حيوي اعطى الغل النبات والحاني التنتروجين – الفوسفور – البوتاسيبوم و الكربوهيدارات الكلي ؛ ومكوناتها معبرا عنه محصول الكلى/ الفدان ، متوسط وزن الدرنة ، طول وقطر الدرنة ، ومحسول الدرنات ومكوناتها معبرا عنه محصول الكلى/ الفدان ، متوسط وزن الدرنة ، طول وقطر الدرة ؛ وكذلك صفات الجودة الكيميائية للدرنات بمحتواها من الم الى المورق الكلى ، المحسول الكلى/ الفدان ، متوسط وزن الدرنة ، طول وقطر الدرنة ؛ وكذلك صفات الجودة الكيميائية للدرنات بمحتواها من الم الى المورق النباتات بمستخلص الخميرة بتركيز 5 ٪ أو مستخلص الطحال البحرية بتركيز 5 م / لتر أعطت أعلى القدال الموصى به من NP