

## Effect of organic and bio-fertilization treatments on Fennel plant under drip irrigation system in Bahria Oases.

### I- Vegetative growth parameters and yield production

Abdou, M.A.H. \*, Helmy, T.A. \*, Salam, M. S. \* and Hassan, A.A. \*

\*Horticultural department, Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt.

Received on: 10/11/2020

Accepted on: 28/11/2020

### ABSTRACT

This experiment was carried out at the farm of Royal Herbs Company- Bahria Oases - Giza in a newly reclaimed desert land under the drip irrigation system during two successive seasons 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 with the aim of studying the effect of organic fertilizer (compost) and bio fertilization treatments (phosphorein – Effective microorganisms - Minia azoteine and their combinations) and the effect of their interaction on vegetative growth, yield and yield components.

The obtained results indicated that the application of compost was significantly increased vegetative growth parameters; plant height (cm), stem diameter (cm), number of main branches/plant, number of secondary branches/plant and herb dry weight/plant, as well as, fruit yield per plant (g) and fruit yield per fed. (kg). The best treatment was 20 ton compost/fed/

Similarly, vegetative and yield characters was significantly increased by all used six bio-fertilization treatments in comparison with control, Phosphorein + Effective microorganisms + Minia azotein treatment was more effective than other treatments.

The interaction between compost and bio-fertilization treatments was significant for all studied vegetative and yield parameters in both seasons. The best treatment was 20 ton/fed. compost with PHOS + EM + MA of bio-fertilizers in both seasons.

**KEYWORDS:** Compost, Bio-fertilization, (PHOS – EM – MA) and Fennel.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill.) is a plant belonging to the Umbelliferae (Apiaceae) family; it is native to North Africa, Mediterranean Region, southern Europe and Asia (Abd El- Wahab and Mehasen, 2009). Medicinal and aromatic plants are important economic products which represent significant sources of economic revenue and foreign exchange and are among the most important agricultural export products. The Egyptian government in collaboration with the WHO seeks to protect fennel plants that serve as a source for pharmaceutical compounds and who might increase the export of these plants from Egypt to all over the world (Egypt Magazine, 2000).

The fruits of the plant are used in folk medicine as a diuretic, antispasmodic and stomachic, sedative, balsamic, cardi tonic, digestive, lactagogue and tonic properties and often added to purgatives to alleviate their tendency to cause gripe and improve their flavor and considered as a spice

due to terpenoid compounds isolated from fruits volatile oil (Grieve, 1984 and Facciola, 1990).

The essential oil is used in cosmetics and pharmaceutical products (Lawrence, 1984 and Braun and Franz, 1990).

Organic manures are important for medicinal and aromatic plants to produce the best product in both quantity and quality and it is also very safe for human health and environment. This is made by recycling organic material as plant and animals waste and food scraps in a controlled process. Continuous usage of inorganic fertilizer affects soil structure. Hence, organic manures can serve as alternative to mineral fertilizers for improving soil structure (Dauda *et al.*, 2008) and microbial biomass (Suresh *et al.*, 2004).

Bio-fertilizers are considered to be low cost, eco-friendly and renewable sources of plant nutrients supplementing chemical fertilizers in sustainable agricultural system. This refers to microorganism, which increase crop growth through different mechanisms, i.e. biological nitrogen fixation, phosphate-dissolving, growth promoting or

hormonal substances, increasing availability of soil nutrients (Hedge *et al.*, 1999).

Therefore, the aim of the present work was to study the effect of compost and bio-fertilization treatments, as well as, their interactions on vegetative growth, yield and yield components of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill.) plants.

**2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The present study was carried out for two seasons (2018/2019 and 2019/2020) at Bahria Oases (Gizza) – in Royal Herbs farm.

The fruits of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill.) plants were obtained from Royal Herbs company, Gizza, Shabramant. The experiment was arranged in a randomized complete block design in a split plot design with three replicates. The main plot (A) included four levels of compost, (0, 10,15 and 20 ton/fed.) while seven treatments of bio-fertilization Phosphorein (PHOS), Effective microorganisms (EM), Minia azotein (MA), (PHOS

+ EM), (PHOS + MA), (PHOS + EM + MA) and control treatments occupied the sub-plots (B).

Therefore, the interaction treatments (A\*B) were 28 treatments. Fennel was sown in October 21<sup>st</sup> in the two growth seasons in plots. Each plot consists of 4 m width x 7 m length and it contains 7 terraces (2 line/terrace) with 1 m separation to prevent water seepage from each plot to adjacent plot. Planting rate was 5 kg seeds/fed. in hills with 50 cm apart between hills. Therefore, each experimental unit contained 224 plants (which were thinned into two plants per hill). Thus, the number of plants/fed. was 32,000 plants. Two weeks before planting date, compost was added during preparation of the soil for planting in the two experimental seasons of the Royal Herbs Farm. The physical and chemical analysis of the used soil in both seasons were determined according to Page *et al.* (1982) and shown in Table (1).

**Table 1. Physical and chemical properties of the used soil.**

Soil character	Values	Soil character	Values
<b>Chemical properties:</b>		<b>Available nutrients:</b>	
pH 1:2.5	7.80	Ca <sup>++</sup> (ppm)	116.69
E.C. (dS/m)	0.77	Mg <sup>++</sup> (ppm)	3.77
O.M.	0.13	Na <sup>+</sup> (ppm)	35.50
CaCO <sub>3</sub>	3.35	K <sup>+</sup> (ppm)	15.56
<b>Exchangeable nutrients:</b>		<b>Physical properties:</b>	
Ca <sup>++</sup> (mg/100 g soil)	3.5	Sand (%)	93.70
Mg <sup>++</sup> (mg/100 g soil)	2.5	Silt (%)	3.85
Na <sup>+</sup> (mg/100 g soil)	0.8	Clay (%)	2.45
K <sup>+</sup> (mg/100 g soil)	0.2	Soil type	Sandy

Fresh and active bio-fertilizers, Minia azotein and effective microorganisms E.M. (containing N-fixing bacteria) and Phosphorein (containing phosphate dissolving bacteria) were obtained from the Laboratory of Bio-fertilizers, Department of Genetic, Fac. of Agric., Minia University. Bio-fertilizers were applied three times to the soil beside the plants at the rate of 50 cm<sup>3</sup>/hill (1 ml=10<sup>7</sup> cells of

bacteria). The first dose; for Phosphorein, Effective microorganism and Minia azotein was added 40 days from sowing date, 20 days interval between the three doses and then plants were irrigated immediately.

The Compost was obtained from El-Sharqia company. The physical and chemical properties of the used compost are shown in Table (2).

**Table (2): Physical and chemical properties of the used compost:**

Properties	First season	Second season
Organic matter (%)	15.00	13.80
Humidity (%)	7.90	9.00
Ca (ppm)	1405.10	1295.00
Mg (ppm)	46.60	47.40
Na (ppm)	644.00	613.00
K (ppm)	476.20	485.10
P (ppm)	4.30	4.70
E.C. (dS/m)	6.21	6.65
pH	8.10	7.97

The sub plot treatments (B) were as follows: **b<sub>1</sub>**, Control; **b<sub>2</sub>**, Phosphorien; **b<sub>3</sub>**, Effective microorganism; **b<sub>4</sub>**, Minia azotein; **b<sub>5</sub>**, biofertilizers (Phosphorien + Effective microorganism); **b<sub>6</sub>**, biofertilizers (Phosphorien + Minia Azotein); **b<sub>7</sub>**, biofertilizers (Phosphorien + Effective microorganism + Minia azotein).

**2.1. The following data were recorded at the harvesting time:**

**2.1.1. Vegetative growth parameters:**

plant height (cm), stem diameter (cm), number of main branches/plant, number of secondary branches/plant and herb dry weight/plant (g).

**2.1.2. Fruit yield per plant (g) and fruit yield per fed. (kg).**

**2.2. Statistical analysis:**

All obtained data in the first and second seasons were tabulated and statistically analyzed according to MSTAT-C (1986) and the L.S.D. test at 5% was followed to compare between the means.

**Table 3. Effect of compost and bio-fertilization. as well as. their combination treatments on plant height (cm) and stem diameter of *Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill. plants during the first and second seasons.**

Bio-fertilization treatments (B)	Compost levels, ton/fed. (A)											
	The 1 <sup>st</sup> season (2018/2019)					The 2 <sup>nd</sup> season (2019/2020)						
	0	10	15	20	Mean (B)	0	10	15	20	Mean (B)		
	<b>Plant height (cm)</b>											
Control	51.77	72.89	77.22	84.11	71.50	53.32	75.08	79.54	86.63	73.64		
PHOS	53.88	75.44	86.11	89.77	76.30	55.50	77.70	88.69	92.46	78.59		
EM	66.33	81.44	95.55	97.77	85.27	68.32	83.88	98.42	100.70	87.83		
MA	63.33	76.77	90.77	91.00	80.47	65.23	79.07	93.49	93.73	82.88		
PHOS + EM	69.66	102.11	113.77	<b>115.66</b>	100.30	71.75	105.17	117.18	<b>119.13</b>	103.31		
PHOS + MA	69.22	82.33	100.22	107.11	89.72	71.30	84.80	103.23	110.32	92.41		
PHOS+EM+MA	71.77	113.00	<b>116.77</b>	<b>119.22</b>	<b>105.19</b>	73.92	116.39	<b>120.27</b>	<b>122.80</b>	<b>108.35</b>		
Mean (A)	63.71	86.28	97.20	<b>100.66</b>		65.62	88.87	100.12	<b>103.68</b>			
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 3.34		B: 2.65		AB: 5.30		A: 3.55		B: 2.79		AB: 5.58	
	<b>Stem diameter (cm)</b>											
Control	0.45	0.69	0.80	0.96	0.73	0.47	0.72	0.84	1.01	0.76		
PHOS	0.57	0.76	0.97	0.98	0.82	0.60	0.80	1.02	1.03	0.86		
EM	0.63	0.93	1.17	1.22	0.99	0.66	0.98	1.23	1.28	1.04		
MA	0.60	0.78	1.06	1.10	0.89	0.63	0.82	1.11	1.16	0.93		
PHOS + EM	0.66	1.25	1.29	1.37	1.14	0.69	1.31	1.35	1.44	1.20		
PHOS + MA	0.65	0.95	1.23	1.26	1.02	0.68	1.00	1.29	1.32	1.07		
PHOS+EM+MA	0.67	1.27	1.43	<b>1.63</b>	<b>1.25</b>	0.70	1.33	1.50	<b>1.71</b>	<b>1.31</b>		
Mean (A)	0.60	0.95	1.14	<b>1.22</b>		0.63	0.99	1.19	<b>1.28</b>			
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 0.05		B: 0.07		AB: 0.14		A: 0.08		B: 0.09		AB: 0.18	
PHOS: Phosphorien												
EM: Effective microorganisms												
MA: Minia azotein												

**3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

**3.1. Vegetative growth parameters:**

Data presented in Tables (3, 4 and 5) revealed that the plant parameters of fennel (plant height, stem diameter, number of main branches/plant, number of secondary branches/plant and herb dry weight/plant) were significantly increased due to the fertilizing plants with the three levels of compost in comparison with untreated treatment in the first and second seasons. The most effective level was 20 ton/fed. followed by 15 ton/fed. then 10 ton/fed.

The increment in fennel growth obtained in the present study as a results of application of organic manure was also found by many authors on fennel, namely Mohamed and Abdou (2004), El-Kouny and Salem (2006), Tanious (2008), Azzaz *et al.* (2009), Abdou *et al.* (2012), Jamshidi *et al.* (2012), Valiki *et al.* (2015), Ali *et al.* (2016), Abokutta (2016), Abd El-Aleem *et al.* (2017), Singh *et al.* (2018) and Lal *et al.* (2019).

**Table 4. Effect of compost and bio-fertilization, as well as, their combination treatments on main branches/plant and secondary branches/plant of *Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill. plants during the first and second seasons.**

Bio-fertilization treatments (B)	Compost levels, ton/fed.										
	The 1 <sup>st</sup> season (2018-2019)					The 2 <sup>nd</sup> season (2019-2020)					
	0	10	15	20	Mean (B)	0	10	15	20	Mean (B)	
<b>Number of main branches/plant</b>											
Control	1.61	2.83	3.05	3.27	2.69	1.64	2.89	3.11	3.33	2.74	
PHOS	1.94	2.90	3.31	3.35	2.88	1.98	2.96	3.37	3.42	2.93	
EM	2.25	3.16	3.54	3.59	3.14	2.30	3.22	3.61	3.66	3.20	
MA	1.97	2.97	3.37	3.38	2.92	2.01	3.03	3.44	3.45	2.98	
PHOS + EM	2.52	3.67	4.90	5.42	4.13	2.57	3.74	5.00	5.53	4.21	
PHOS + MA	2.36	3.26	3.64	3.73	3.25	2.40	3.33	3.71	3.81	3.31	
PHOS + EM + MA	2.81	4.16	5.65	<b>6.79</b>	<b>4.85</b>	2.87	4.24	5.76	<b>6.93</b>	<b>4.95</b>	
Mean (A)	2.21	3.28	3.92	<b>4.22</b>		2.25	3.34	4.00	<b>4.30</b>		
L.S.D. at 5 %	<b>A: 0.28</b>		<b>B: 0.15</b>		<b>AB: 0.30</b>		<b>A: 0.30</b>		<b>B: 0.18</b>		<b>AB: 0.36</b>
<b>Number of secondary branches/plant</b>											
Control	4.02	5.80	7.22	8.55	6.40	4.06	5.86	7.29	8.64	6.46	
PHOS	4.60	6.66	9.33	9.89	7.62	4.64	6.73	9.42	9.99	7.70	
EM	4.89	8.22	10.93	11.33	8.84	4.94	8.30	11.04	11.44	8.93	
MA	4.89	7.11	9.95	10.77	8.18	4.94	7.18	10.05	10.88	8.26	
PHOS + EM	5.46	12.36	13.55	14.76	11.53	5.52	12.48	13.69	14.91	11.65	
PHOS + MA	5.18	8.33	11.88	12.44	9.46	5.23	8.41	12.00	12.56	9.55	
PHOS + EM + MA	5.57	12.66	15.63	<b>16.86</b>	<b>12.68</b>	5.62	12.79	15.79	<b>17.03</b>	<b>12.81</b>	
Mean (A)	4.94	8.74	11.21	<b>12.09</b>		4.99	8.82	11.32	<b>12.21</b>		
L.S.D. at 5 %	<b>A: 0.79</b>		<b>B: 0.58</b>		<b>AB: 1.16</b>		<b>A: 0.84</b>		<b>B: 0.61</b>		<b>AB: 1.22</b>

PHOS: Phosphorein  
EM: Effective microorganisms  
MA: Minia azotein

**Table 5. Effect of compost and bio-fertilization, as well as, their combination treatments on herb dry weight/plant (g) of *Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill. plants during the first and second seasons.**

Bio-fertilization treatments (B)	Compost levels (ton/fed) (A)										
	The 1 <sup>st</sup> season (2018/2019)					The 2 <sup>nd</sup> season (2019/2020)					
	0	10	15	20	Mean (B)	0	10	15	20	Mean (B)	
<b>Herb dry weight/plant (g)</b>											
Control	26.77	29.57	30.31	32.57	<b>29.81</b>	27.58	30.46	31.22	33.55	<b>30.70</b>	
PHOS	27.11	30.23	32.78	33.10	<b>30.81</b>	27.92	31.14	33.76	34.09	<b>31.73</b>	
EM	27.94	31.37	34.93	35.36	<b>32.40</b>	28.78	32.31	35.98	36.42	<b>33.37</b>	
MA	27.34	30.24	33.31	34.26	<b>31.29</b>	28.16	31.15	34.30	35.29	<b>32.23</b>	
PHOS + EM	28.45	36.80	38.24	39.32	<b>35.70</b>	29.30	37.90	39.38	<b>40.50</b>	<b>36.77</b>	
PHOS + MA	28.28	31.63	36.01	36.96	<b>33.22</b>	29.13	32.58	37.09	38.07	<b>34.22</b>	
PHOS + EM + MA	29.26	37.80	40.07	<b>41.17</b>	<b>37.08</b>	30.14	38.94	41.27	42.41	<b>38.19</b>	
Mean (A)	<b>27.88</b>	<b>32.52</b>	<b>35.09</b>	<b>36.11</b>		<b>28.71</b>	<b>33.50</b>	<b>36.14</b>	<b>37.19</b>		
L.S.D. at 5 %	<b>A: 1.00</b>		<b>B: 0.96</b>		<b>AB: 1.92</b>		<b>A: 1.04</b>		<b>B: 1.00</b>		<b>AB: 2.00</b>

PHOS: Phosphorein  
EM: Effective microorganisms  
MA: Minia azotein

Data present in Tables (3, 4 and 5) showed that all used six bio-fertilization treatments, significantly increased the fennel plant characters in comparison with control treatment, Phosphorein +

Effective microorganisms + Minia zotein treatment was more effective than other treatments.

The role of bio-fertilization treatments in promoting fennel growth was reported by Tanious (2008), and Abdou *et al.* (2012) on fennel plants,

Kenawy (2010) and Ibrahim (2014) on *Ammi visnaga*, Al-Shareif (2006), Abd El-Naeem (2008) and Acimovic (2013) on caraway plants, Hemdan (2008), Nabizadeh *et al.* (2012) and Zand *et al.* (2013) on anise plants and Ahmed (2017) on black cumin.

The interaction between compost and bio-fertilization treatments was significant for all plant growth studied in both seasons Tables (3, 4 and 5). The combined treatment (20 ton/fed. compost with PHOS + EM + MA of bio-fertilizers) with interaction treatments was the best treatment.

### 3.2. Yield/plant and yield components

Data presented in Table (6) reported that supplying fennel plants with compost at 10, 15 and 20 ton/fed. led to significant increase in fruit yield/plant and per fed. as comparison with control plants. Such three treatments recorded 32.44, 40.41 and 43.05 in the first season and 34.06, 42.43 and 45.21 g/plant in the second season, respectively. So, the heaviest weights of fruits per fed. (1377.6 and 1446.72 kg) was obtained at 20 ton/fed. compost in both seasons, respectively.

In accordance with above mentioned results were those reported by El-Kouny and Salem (2006), Tanious (2008), Khalil *et al.* (2008), Azzaz *et al.* (2009), Abdou *et al.* (2012), Jamshidi *et al.* (2012), Mahmoudi and Asgharipour (2014), Abarghouei

(2014), Ali *et al.* (2016), Eisa (2016), Abd El-Aleem *et al.* (2017) and Lal *et al.* (2019) on fennel plants. Safwat and Badran (2002), Badran *et al.* (2007), Asl and Moosavi (2012), Siamak and Sayed (2012), Forouzandeh *et al.* (2014), Helmy (2015), Roussis *et al.* (2017) and Abdou *et al.* (2019) on cumin plants, Acimovic (2013), Abd El-Salam (2015) and Pon Malar *et al.* (2015) on coriander plants.

Also, Data presented in Table (6) showed that all used six treatments of bio-fertilization significantly increased fruit yield/plant and fruit yield/fed. in both seasons compared to the control treatment. The highest values were obtained due to fertilizing fennel plants with PHOS + EM + MA as gave 44.88 g/plant facing control 26.57 in the first season and 47.13 g/plant facing control 27.89 g/plant during the second season. So, the heaviest yield/fed. (1436.16 and 1508.16 kg) in the two seasons, respectively.

These treatments are in agreement with those obtained by Kandeel *et al.* (2001) and Gamar *et al.* (2018) on fennel plants, Safwat and Badran (2002) and Sedigh *et al.* (2014) on cumin plants, Abd El-Latif (2002) and Abdou *et al.* (2009) on caraway plants, Hemdan (2008) and Zand *et al.* (2013) on anise plants, Hellal *et al.* (2011) on Dill plants and Ibrahim (2014) on khilla plants.

**Table 6. Effect of compost and bio-fertilization. as well as. their combination treatments on fruit yield/plant and fruit yield/fed. of *Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill. plants during the first and second seasons.**

Bio-fertilization treatments (B)	Compost levels, ton/fed. (A)					Mean (B)	Compost levels, ton/fed. (A)					Mean (B)
	control	10	15	20	Mean (B)		control	10	15	20	Mean (B)	
The 1 <sup>st</sup> season (2018)						The 2 <sup>nd</sup> season (2019)						
Fruit yield/plant (g)												
Control	21.95	24.31	26.66	33.34	26.57	23.05	25.53	27.99	35.01	27.89		
PHOS	22.09	25.66	33.94	36.44	29.53	23.19	26.94	35.64	38.26	31.01		
EM	23.27	29.22	39.77	40.66	33.23	24.43	30.68	41.76	42.69	34.89		
MA	22.27	26.43	36.66	38.73	31.02	23.38	27.76	38.49	40.67	32.57		
PHOS+EM	23.55	43.10	50.44	<b>51.21</b>	42.08	24.73	45.26	52.96	<b>53.77</b>	44.18		
PHOS+MA	23.33	29.36	42.42	47.43	35.64	24.49	30.83	44.54	49.81	37.42		
PHOS+EM+MA	23.99	49.00	<b>52.98</b>	<b>53.55</b>	<b>44.88</b>	25.19	51.45	<b>55.63</b>	<b>56.23</b>	<b>47.13</b>		
Mean (A)	22.92	32.44	40.41	<b>43.05</b>		24.07	34.06	42.43	<b>45.21</b>			
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 2.55		B: 1.45		AB: 2.90	A: 2.67		B: 1.56		AB: 3.12		
Fruit yield/fed. (kg)												
Control	702.40	777.92	853.12	1066.88	850.24	737.60	816.96	895.68	1120.32	892.48		
PHOS	706.88	821.12	1086.08	1166.08	944.96	742.08	862.08	1140.48	1224.32	992.32		
EM	744.64	935.04	1272.64	1301.12	1063.36	781.76	981.76	1336.32	1366.08	1116.48		
MA	712.64	845.76	1173.12	1239.36	992.64	748.16	888.32	1231.68	1301.44	1042.24		
PHOS+EM	753.60	1379.20	1614.08	1638.72	1346.56	791.36	1448.32	1694.72	1720.64	1413.76		
PHOS+MA	746.56	939.52	1357.44	1517.76	1140.48	783.68	986.56	1425.28	1593.92	1197.44		
PHOS+EM+MA	767.68	1568.00	1695.36	1713.60	1436.16	806.08	1646.40	1780.16	1799.36	1508.16		
Mean (A)	733.44	1038.08	1293.12	1377.60		770.24	1089.92	1357.76	1446.72			
L.S.D. at 5 %	A: 63.11		B: 73.11		AB: 46.22	A: 56.81		B: 70.55		AB: 41.10		

PHOS: Phosphorein

EM: Effective microorganisms

MA: Minia azotein

The interaction between compost and bio-fertilization treatments was significant for fruit yield/plant and fruit yield/fed. in both seasons. The best interaction treatments were obtained by adding compost at 20 ton/fed. plus either (PHOS + E.M. + M.A) or (PHOS + E.M) followed by adding 15 ton/fed. compost in combination with (PHOS + E.M + M.A).

The increase in growth parameters and fruit yield may be due to adding compost which improving soil structure (Suresh *et al.*, 2004). Moreover, bio fertilizers increase nitrogen fixation, phosphate dissolving bacteria growth promoting which increasing availability of soil nutrients (Hedge *et al.*,1999).

#### 4. CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that to obtain the best growth and yield of fennel, must be supplying plants with compost at 20 ton/fed. with Phosphorene + Effective microorganisms + Minia Azotein.

#### 5. REFERENCES

**Abarghouei HB (2014).** An evaluation of the effect of plow and fertilizer types on qualitative and quantitative yields of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill.). Journal of Applied Science and Agriculture, 9(4): 1488-1493.

**Abd El-Aleem W, Hendawy SF, Hamed ES, Toaima WIM (2017).** Effect of planting dates, organic fertilization and foliar spray of algae extract on productivity of Dutch fennel plants under Sinai conditions. Journal of Medicinal Plants Studies. 5(3): 327-334.

**Abd El-Latif TA (2002).** Effect of organic manure and bio-fertilizer on caraway plants (*Carum carvi*, L.). J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 27 (5): 3459-3468.

**Abd El-Naeem LMA (2008).** Response of caraway plants to some organic and biofertilization treatments. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt.

**Abd El-Salam AAM (2015).** physiological studies on coriander plants. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt.

**Abd El-Wahab MA, Mehasen HR (2009).** Effect of Locations and sowing date on (*Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill.) Indian fennel type under upper Egypt conditions. Journal of Applied Sciences Research, 5 (6): 677-685.

**Abdou MAH, El-Sayed AA, Badran FS, Salah El-Deen RM, Abdou MAH, Attia FA, Ahmed ET, Abd El-Naeem L (2009).** Response of caraway plants to some organic, antioxidants and bio-fertilization treatments. Proc. 4<sup>th</sup> Inter. Environ.

Conf., Mansoura Univ. on Environmental and Healthy Safety, p: 109-119.

**Abdou MAH, El-Sayed AA, Taha RA, Ahmed SK, El-Nady MK (2019).** Response of cumin plant to some organic, biofertilization and antioxidant treatments. Scientific J. Flowers & Ornamental Plants, 6 (1): 81-88.

**Abdou MAH, Taha RA, Abd El-Raaf RM, Salah El-Deen RM (2012).** Response of fennel plants to organic, bio and mineral fertilization. Proc. Second Inter. Conf. Physiological, Microbiological and Ecological Plant Sciences, (April 29<sup>th</sup> - 30<sup>th</sup>) Fac. of science, Minia Univ.

**Abo-Kutta WMH (2016).** The role of organic fertilization and some antioxidants in improving the growth, yield and some chemical constituents of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill.) plants. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Al-Azhar Univ. (Assiut branch).

**Acimovic MG (2013).** The influence of fertilization on yield of caraway, anise and coriander in organic agriculture. J. of Agric. Sci., 58 (2): 85-93.

**Ahmed EFA (2017).** Evaluation of certain fertilizing programs on anise and black cumin plants. Ph.D. Thesis. Fac. Assiut Univ., Egypt.

**Ali AF, Hassan EA, Hamad EH, Abo-Quta WMH (2016).** Effect of compost, ascorbic acid and salicylic acid treatments on growth, yield and oil production of fennel plant. Proc., Conf. of Assiut Univ., Oct., 30-31.

**Al-Shareif AMO (2006).** Response of caraway plants grown in sandy soil under drip irrigation system to some bio-fertilization and antioxidant treatments. M. Sc. Thesis. Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.

**Asl SG, Moosavi SS (2012).** A Study and Evaluation in organic Fertilizers' Effects on Seed Yield and Some Main Agricultural Characteristics on cumin plant Ardabil Region Conditions. Annals of Biological Research, 3 (11): 5130-5132.

**Azzaz NA, Hassan EA, Hamad EH (2009).** The chemical constituent and vegetative and yielding characteristics of fennel plants treated with organic and bio-fertilizer instead of mineral fertilizer. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 3 (2): 579 - 587.

**Badran FS, Aly MK, Hassan EA, Shalaltet ShG (2007).** Effect of organic and biofertilization treatments on cumin plants, The third conf. of Sustainable Agric. Dev., Fayoum, Egypt, Nov. 12-14, 371 - 380.

**Braun M, Franz G (1990).** Quality criteria of bitter fennel oil in the German pharmacopoeia. Pharm Pharmcoel letter: 9 (2): 48-51.

**Dauda SN, Ajayi FA, Ndor E (2008).** Growth and yield of watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*) as affected

- by Poultry manure application. *J. Agric. Soc. Sci.*, 4: 121 – 211.
- Egypt Magazine (2000).** www. sis. gov. eg/public/magazine/iss023e/html/mag11.html.
- Eisa EA (2016).** Effect of different sources of organic fertilizers and seaweed extract on growth and essential oil of sweet fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*, mill.) plants. *J. Plant Production*, Mansoura Univ. 7(6): 575 – 584.
- El-Kouny HM, Salem AS (2006).** The combined impact of organic manure in different rates and depth in soil on fennel plants and soil properties under flooding and drip irrigation systems. *Alex. Sci. Exchange J.*, 27 (3): 342 – 360.
- Facciola S (1990).** *Cornucopia: a sourcebook of edible plants.* Kampong Pub.
- Forouzandeh M, Karimian MA, Mohkami Z (2014).** Effect of water stress and different types of organic fertilizers on essential oil content and yield components of *Cuminum cyminum*. *Indian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Life Sciences* Vol. 4 (3) July-September, pp.533 – 536. ISSN: 2231-6345.
- Gamar PB1, Mevada KD, Ombase KC, Dodiya CJ (2018).** Response of drilled rabi fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* mill.) to integrated nutrient management practices. *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences.* 10(2), p: 4995-4998.
- Grieve AA (1984).** *Modern Herbal.* New York: Penguin.
- Hedge DM, Dwivedi BS, Sudhakara BSS (1999).** Biofertilizers for cereal production in India – A review. *Indian J. Agric. Res.*, 69 (2): 73-83.
- Hellal FA, Mahfouz SA, Hassan FAS (2011).** Partial substitution of mineral nitrogen fertilizer by bio-fertilizer on *Anethum graveolens*, L. plant *Agric. Biol. J. N. Am.*, 2 (4): 652-660.
- Helmy TA (2015).** Influence of some agricultural treatments on cumin plant. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt.
- Hemdan SHO (2008).** Effect of some organic and bio-fertilization treatments on anise plants. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Minia Univ., Egypt.
- Ibrahim TIEI (2014).** Influence of some agricultural treatments on *Ammi visnaga* plants. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ.
- Jamshidi E, Ghalavand A, Sefidkon F, Goltaph E (2012).** Effects of different nutrition systems (organic and chemical) on quantitative and qualitative characteristics of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill.) under water deficit stress. *Iran J Med Aromat Plants*, 28 (2): 309-23.
- Kandeel YR, Nofal ES, Menesi FA, Reda KA, Taher M, Zaki ZT (2001).** Effect of some cultural practices on growth and chemical composition of some medicinal plants in Northern Sinai – *Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill. Proc Fifth Arabian Hort. Conf. Ismailia. Egypt, March 24-28.
- Kenawy AGM (2010).** Response of *Ammi visnaga*, L. plants to some organic and bio-fertilization treatments. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ.
- Khalil MY, Kandil MAM, Swaef HMF (2008).** Effect of three different compost levels on fennel and salvia growth character and their essential oils. *Res. J. of Agric. and Biol. Sci.*, 4 (1): 34-39.
- Lal G, Meena NK, Chaudhary N, Choudhary MK (2019).** Performance of fennel varieties under organic production system. *International J. Seed Spices* 9(1), January, 21-26.
- Lawrence BM (1984).** Progress in essential oils. *Perf Flav;* 9 (1): 59-60.
- Mahmoudi Z, Asgharipour MR (2014).** Effects of chemical and organic fertilizers on yield, yield components and essential oils content of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill.), Vol. 5, No. 6, p. 10-15.
- Mohamed MA, Abdou MA (2004).** Growth and soil production of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill): Effect of irrigation and organic fertilization. *Biological and Horticulture*, Vol. 22, p. 31-39.
- MSTAT-C (1986).** A microcomputer program for the design management and analysis of Agronomic Research Experiments (version 4.0), Michigan State Univ., U.S.A.
- Nabizadeh, E.; Habibi, H. and Hosainpour, M. (2012).** The effect of fertilizers and biological nitrogen and planting density on yield quality and quantity *Pimpinella anisum*, L. *European J. of Experimental Biology*, 2 (4): 1326-1336.
- Page AL, Miller RH, Keeney DR (1982).** Methods of soil analysis; 2. Chemical and microbiological properties, 2. Aufl. 1184 S., American Soc. of Agronomy
- Pon Malar K, Maruthi Kalaiselvi M, Manju R, Karpaga SPR, Muthuselvi S (2015).** Effect of vermicompost on growth, chlorophyll and carotenoid content of medicinal plant coriander (*Coriandrum sativum*, L.). *IJGHC*, 4 (2): 185-192.
- Roussis L, Travlos L, Bilalis D, Kakabouki L (2017).** Influence of seed rate and fertilization on yield and yield components of *Nigella sativa*, L. cultivated under Mediterranean semi-arid conditions. *AgroLife Scientific Journal* – 6 (1): 218-223.
- Safwat MS, Badran FS (2002).** Efficiency of organic and bio-fertilizers, in comparison with chemical fertilization on growth, yield and essential oil of cumin plants. The 9<sup>th</sup> Conf. of Medicinal and Aromatic plants, Cairo, Egypt.
- Sedigh A, Azizi K, Azizi F (2014)** Studying the effects of biological and chemical fertilizing systems on yield and yield components of cumin (*Cuminum cyminum*, L.). *Int. J. Agric. and Crop Sci.*, 7 (2): 60-65.
- Siamak GA, Sayed SM (2012).** A study and evaluation in organic fertilizers” Effects on seed yield and some main agricultural characteristics on

cumin plant under Ardabil Ragiol Conditions". Annals of Biological Research, 3 (11): 5130-5132.  
**Singh YV, Srivastava DK, Singh P, Varma SK, Kanthle AK, Mishra D (2018)**. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth parameters of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill.) in inceptisol. Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci 7 (3): 1067-1072.  
**Suresh KD, Sneh G, Krishn KK, Mool CM (2004)**. Microbial biomass carbon and microbial activities of soils receiving chemical fertilizers and organic amendments. Arch. Agron. Soil Sci., 50: 641-647.  
**Tanious CTS (2008)**. Effect of some organic fertilization treatments on fennel plants. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt.

**Valiki SRH, Ghanbari S, Golmohammadzadeh S, Tat OF (2015)**. The effect of vermicompost and NPK fertilizer on yield, growth parameters and essential oil of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*). International Journal of Life Sciences 9 (4): 2015; 38 – 43.  
**Zand A, Darzi MT, Hadi MR (2013)**. Effects of phosphate solubilizing microorganisms and plant density of seed yield and essential oil content of anise (*Pimpinella anisum*). Middle – East Journal of Scientific Research, 14 (7): 940-946.

## الملخص العربي

تأثير التسميد العضوي والحيوي على نبات الشمر البلدي تحت نظام الري بالتنقيط في الواحات البحرية

### 1- صفات النمو الخضري وأنتاجية المحصول

\* محمود عبدالهادي حسن عبده، \* طارق عبدالناصر حلمي، \* محمود صبحي سلام، \* أحمد علي حسن.

\* قسم البساتين - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنيا - مصر

أجريَ هذا البحث في مزرعة شركة رويال للأعشاب بالواحات البحرية موسمي (٢٠١٨/٢٠١٩) و (٢٠١٩/٢٠٢٠) لدراسة كيفية تحسين النمو والإنتاجية لنباتات الشمر البلدي في الأراضي الرملية تحت نظام الري بالتنقيط في الواحات البحرية وذلك بدراسة تأثير التسميد العضوي (الكمبوست) بمعدلات (١٠ و ١٥ و ٢٠ طن/فدان وكذلك الكنترول) كعامل رئيسي، والتسميد الحيوي باستخدام البكتريا، كعامل ثانوي، وتشمل: (الفوسفورين - الميكروبات الدقيقة النشطة - المنيا أزوتين) والتداخل بين العاملين. وبعد التجربة لموسمين زراعيين متتاليين، تم الحصول على تأثيرات إيجابية في جميع معاملات الكمبوست والتسميد الحيوي على صفات النمو (طول النبات - قطر الساق - عدد الافرع الرئيسية - عدد الافرع الثانوية - وزن العشب الجاف/النبات) و صفات المحصول (محصول الثمار/نبات - محصول الثمار/فدان) وذلك خلال موسمي النمو. أفضل النتائج كانت عند استخدام معدل ٢٠ طن/فدان من الكمبوست بالإضافة إلى التسميد الحيوي باستخدام بكتريا (منيا أزوتين + الميكروبات الدقيقة النشطة + الفوسفورين).