# EFFICIENCY OF THREE CHEMICAL AND TWO BIOLOGICAL INSECTICIDES ON *TUTA ABSOLUTA* LARVAE IN THE FIELD OF TOMATO AT EL BEHEIRA GOVERNORATE

# Pansea A. Azzam<sup>(1)</sup>, E. F. El-Khayat<sup>(2)</sup>, M.F. Hegab<sup>(1)</sup>, Tahany R. Abd- El-Zaher<sup>(2)</sup> and A. B. Badran<sup>(1)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> Plant Protection Research Institute, (A.R.C.), Dokki, Giza, Egypt
 <sup>(2)</sup> Plant Protection Dept. Fac. Agric. Moshtohor, Benha University, Egypt

| <b>Received : May</b> | 23, | 2017 | Accepted: May | 27, | 2017 |
|-----------------------|-----|------|---------------|-----|------|
|-----------------------|-----|------|---------------|-----|------|

**ABSTRACT**: Chemical insecticides: Coragen (chlorantraniliprole), Voliam- Flexi (thimethoxam 20% + chlorantraniliprole) and Proclaim (emamectin benzoate), and the two bioinsecticides Vertimec (abamectin) and Dipel 2X (Bacillus thuringiensis), were evaluated in the present study in Bader district during tomato spring plantation 2016, for their efficacy in the control of Tuta absoluta (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) infesting tomato plants. The grand mean effect of the two tested applications showed that Vertimec. was the superior in the control of the insect pest causing 72.7% reduction in larval stages , followed by 69.7% for both of Voliam- Flexi and Coragen, while Dipel 2X recorded (65.3%) and Proclaim (64.16%).

Key words: Tomato, Avermectin, Abamectin, Neonicotinoid, Bacillus thuringiensis, Biocontrol.

## INTRODUCTION

Tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum* (Mill) belonging to family Solanaceae which is important and remunerative vegetable crop grown around the world for fresh market and processing (Salunkh *et al.*, 1987). Annual production accounts estimated by about 107 million metric tons a fresh market tomato representing 72% of the total vegetables production (FAO, 2002).

The tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelchiidae) is a serious pest of both outdoor and greenhouses tomatoes (CFIA, 2010). *Tuta absoluta* larvae feed on the leaves of several species of solanaceous plants. This insect pest was recorded on many solanaceous species, including pepper, eggplant and potato plants.

The insect deposits eggs usually on the leaves, stems and lesser extend on fruits. After hatching young larvae penetrate into tomato fruits, leaves on which they feed and develop creating mines and galleries on leaves, larvae feed only on mesophyll leaving the epidermis intact (OEPP, 2005). Tomato plants can be attacked at any developmental stage, from seedling to mature stage.

Up to 100% losses have been reported in tomato crops and even where chemicals control are implemented, losses can still exceed 5% (Korycinska and Moran, 2009).

The present experimental trail was implemented to evaluate the effect of three chemical and two bioinsecticides on the larval instars of that serious pest on tomato plants along two successive sprays.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present study was conducted at Badr district, El Beheira Governorate, to evaluate the efficacy of three insecticides, and two bio insecticides in the following table.

To evaluate their efficiency in controlling *Tuta absoluta* larvae, one feddan was planted by tomato seedling, variety (Heinz). The normal agricultural practices were carried out. The field was divided into 18 plots (6 treatments\* 3 replicates). A total plots were considered for each tested insecticides. Two sprays were applied, 10 days between them, the first was after 30

#### Pansea A. Azzam, et al.,

days from transplanting, and the second application was sprayed after 10 days from spraying the first application. Sampling started before the first application directly, samples of 15 leaflets/ replicate were picked up randomly from the medium vertical plants level after 3, 5 and 10 days from spraying (45 leaflet/ treatment) were put in a paper bags and transferred to the laboratory for examining and counting the alive larvae. The reduction percentages of *Tuta absoluta* larvae was determined according to the equation adopted by Handerson and Tilton (1955).

Obtained data were submitted to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and recording the mean number of alive larvae at probability level 0.05.

### **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

The obtained results in Tables (1), (2) and (3) indicated that the tested insecticides showed almost close effects in reducing the larvae of *Tuta absoluta* insect pest infesting tomato plants under field conditions.

After the first spray with tested pesticides, the initial effect after 3 days from spraying the tested treatments recorded reduction % as 67%, 69%, 58%, 61 and 95% in the larval population with Voliam-Flexi, Coragen, Proclaim, Dipel 2X and Vertimec, respectively. In the 5<sup>th</sup> day after

spray the reduction percentages was highly increased recording 84%, 83%, 80%, 83% and 79%. Ten days after pesticides application, the reduction percentages was continued in increase recording 90%, 89%, 82%, 75% and 82% for the above mentioned insecticides, respectively.

The grand mean reduction percentages in *Tuta absoluta* larvae by the first spray was 80.33% with Voliam- Flexi and Coragen followed by 73.33%, 73% and 72.33% for Proclaim, Dipel 2X and Vertimec, respectively.

Statistical analysis proved the significant variation between the mean numbers of larvae / sample after 3, 5 and 10 days after spraying and the grand mean with F. values and L.S.D. presented in Table (1).

Almost similar results in reducing the tomato leaf miner T. absoluta larval infestation were obtained by the second spray application after ten days from the first spray Table (2) where the reduction percentages in larvae were 49%, 46%, 44%, 39% and 57% after 3 days from spraying, 56%, 60%, 58%, 54% and 75% after 5 days from spraying and 73%, 71%, 63%, 80% and 81% population reduction after 10 days from spraying for Voliam- Flexi, Coragen, Proclaim, Dipel 2X and Vertimec, respectively.

| Table of tested pest      |  |   |                            |                                     |
|---------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Trade name<br>formulation | Active<br>Ingredient                     | Recomm-<br>ended<br>dose/100 L<br>water | Class/group                | Pre harvest<br>interval<br>PHI(Day) |
| Voliam<br>Flexi40%WG      | Thiamethoxam 20%+<br>Chiorantraniliprole | 20 g                                    | Neonicotinoid<br>+ Diamide | 10                                  |
| Coragen20% SC             | Chloantraniliprole                       | 15 cm                                   | Diamide                    | 7                                   |
| Proclaim5% SG             | Emamectin benzoate                       | 30 g                                    | Avermectin                 | 5                                   |
| Vertimec1.8% EC           | Abamectin                                | 40 cm                                   | Abamectin                  | 14                                  |
| Dipel 2X 6.4% DF          | Bacillus thuringiensis                   | 100 g                                   | Bio                        | N/A                                 |
| control                   | Water                                    |   |                            |                                     |

### Table of tested pesticides

SC=Suspension concentrate.

WG= Wettable dispersible granules. SG= Soluble granules. EC= Emulsifiable concentrate

N/A= Not applicable

DF= Dry flowable

Efficiency of three chemical and two biological insecticides on tuta .....

|               | Rate/           | Mean number of larvae/ 15 leaflets and reduction % |              |     |              |     | Grand         | Grand |         |              |
|---------------|-----------------|--|--------------|-----|--------------|-----|---------------|-------|---------|--------------|
| Treatment     | 100 L.<br>water | before<br>spray                                    | after 3 days |     | after 5 days |     | after 10 days |       | mean    | mean<br>Red% |
| Voliam- Flexi | 20 g            | 25   | 11.0 ab      | 67% | 7.0 b        | 84% | 5.7 d         | 90%   | 12.2 bc | 80.3%        |
| Coragen       | 15 cm           | 20   | 8.3 ab       | 69% | 6.0 b        | 83% | 4.3 d         | 89%   | 9.7 c   | 80.3%        |
| Proclaim      | 30 g            | 20.7   | 11.7 ab      | 58% | 7.3 b        | 80% | 9.0 c         | 82%   | 12.2 ab | 73.3%        |
| Dipel 2X      | 100 g           | 22.3   | 11.7 ab      | 61% | 6.7 b        | 83% | 14.7 a        | 75%   | 13.8 ab | 73.0%        |
| Vertimec      | 40 cm           | 25.3   | 14.0 a       | 59% | 10.3a        | 79% | 11 b          | 82%   | 15.2 a  | 72.3%        |
| Control       | -               | 28.3   | 38.3         | -   | 49.3         | -   | 71.0          | -     | 52.9    | -            |
| F. value      | -               | -  | 2.0          | 6   | 3.8          | 33  | 86.           | 22    | 4.6     | -            |
| L.S.D.5%      | -               | -  | 4.45         | 56  | 2.6          | 69  | 1.4           | 09    | 2.7     | -            |

 Table (1): Effect of five treatments on *Tuta absoluta* larvae infesting tomato plants in El

 Beheira Governorate (first spray) during spring plantation of 2016 season

Means in each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at 5% level.

 Table (2): Effect of five treatments on *Tuta absoluta* larvae infesting tomato plants in El

 Beheira Governorate (second spray) during spring plantation of 2016 season.

|               | Rate/           | / Mean number of larvae/ 15 leaflets and % reduction |                                    |     |          | uction        | Grand  | Grand        |       |       |
|---------------|-----------------|--|------------------------------------|-----|----------|---------------|--------|--------------|-------|-------|
| Treatment     | 100 L.<br>water | before<br>spray                                      | atter 3 days 1 atter 5 days 1 atte |     | after 10 | after 10 days |        | mean<br>Red% |       |       |
| Voliam- Flexi | 20 g            | 5.7  | 3.0 c                              | 49% | 2.7 b    | 56%           | 1.7 ab | 73%          | 3.3 c | 59%   |
| Coragen       | 15 cm           | 4.3  | 3.0 c                              | 46% | 2.3 b    | 60%           | 1.7 ab | 71%          | 2.8 c | 59%   |
| Proclaim      | 30 g            | 9.0  | 5.3 b                              | 44% | 4.0 b    | 58%           | 3.7 a  | 63%          | 5.5 b | 55%   |
| Dipel 2X      | 100 g           | 14.7   | 8.7 a                              | 39% | 6.7 a    | 54%           | 3.0 ab | 80%          | 8.3 a | 57.6% |
| Vertimec      | 40 cm           | 11   | 5 b                                | 57% | 3 b      | 75%           | 2.0 ab | 81%          | 5.3 b | 71%   |
| Control       | -               | 71   | 74.6                               | -   | 76.3     | -             | 79     | -            | 76.6  | -     |
| F. value      | -               | -  | 22.05                              |     | 9.23     |               | 2.4    |              | 22.7  | -     |
| L.S.D.5%      | -               | -  | 1.5                                | 57  | 1.8      | 819           | 1.8    | 19           | 1.3   | -     |

Means in each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at 5% level.

It is worth to say that the effect of the tested treatments was less comparing with the results of the first spray that may due to the quick adaptation of this insect, which is a famous character for it , in addition to the very smallest population of the pest before the second spray showed that the control of this pest is difficult because manifestation of resistance to great part of applied insecticides.

Statistical analysis of the obtained data presented in Table (2) proved the

significance of the differences between the effects of the tested treatments, F values and L.S.D. at 0.05 level.

The grand mean effect of the two tested applications in Table (3) showed the same observation and results in Table (1) and (2) indicating that Vertimec in the rate of 40 cm. was the superior for controlling the insect pest causing 72.66% reduction followed by 69.66% for Voliam- Flexi and Coragen, Dipel 2X (65.3%) and Proclaim (64.16%).

| Treatment     | Rate / 100 L. water | Grand mean of larvae/<br>15 leaflets | Grand reduction % |
|---------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Voliam- Flexi | 20 g                | 7.7 cd                               | 69.7%             |
| Coragen       | 15cm                | 6.3 d                                | 69.7%             |
| Proclaim      | 30 g                | 8.8 cb                               | 64.2%             |
| Dipel 2X      | 100 g               | 11.04 a                              | 65.3%             |
| Vertimec      | 40 cm               | 10.2 ab                              | 72.7%             |
| F. value      | -                   | 8.16                                 | -                 |
| L.S.D. 5%     | -                   | 1.9                                  | -                 |

| Table (3): The grand mean number and reduction % by the two sprays of 5 insecticdes |
|---|
| on Tuta absoluta larvae infesting tomato plants at El Beheira Governorate           |

Means in each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at 5% level.

Similar results have been reached by Astor and Scals (2009), in Spain reported the efficacy of [chlorantraniliprole] with another pesticides in integrated control programmers for the tomato insect pest, Gonzalez-Cabrera et al. (2009) and (2011), in Spain found that B.t compounds could be a good agent in controlling T. absoluta, Linden and Staaij (2011), showed that abamectin (vertimec) and emamectin benzoate (proclaim) were effective against T. absoluta, Bassi et al. (2012), in Brazil referred to Chlorantraniliprole is a novel diamide insecticide with outstanding performance on Tuta absoluta, Biondi et al. showed that Integrated (2012), Pest Management (IPM) programs may include pesticide applications for controlling T. absoluta The tested chemicals were abamectin, Bacillus thuringiensis and emamectin benzoate, Valchev et al. (2013), found that the control of this pest is difficult because of the latent way of life of the larvae in the mines, high reproductive potential, polyvoltine development and manifestation of resistance to great part of applied insecticides. For control T. absoluta it is still that the application of chemical insecticides is very good with biological activity towards the larvae was Coragen 20 SC causing 79.18% reduction 14 th day after treatment. Birgucu et al. (2014), in Turkey found that insecticides used against Tuta absoluta Chlorantraniliprole + abamectin, emamectin benzoate, all individuals treated larvae were

died at the 7 DAA. Ingegno et al. (2014), Bacillus thuringiensis evaluated and emamectin benzoate against T. absoluta, Soliman et al. (2014), in Egypt reported that the percent reduction in infestation was 79.73 using Emamectin benzoate. Avalew (2015), in Ethiopia showed that plots treated with diamide insecticides (chlorantraniliprole) fruit infestation was significantly lower with 2-6% fruit damage, Bratu et al. (2015), showed that emamectin-benzoate used once at a rate of 14.25 g a.i./ha had high efficacy (99.0%) and finally Osman (2015), in Egypt recorded that Proclaim and Coragen achieved highest accumulated mortalities in Tuta absoluta larvae (100%) 5 days post treatment.

#### REFERENCES

- Astor, E. and Scals, D. de (2009). The control of *Tuta absoluta* with insecticides compatible with integrated pest management programmes and the prevention of resistance. [Spanish] Agricola Vergel: Fruticultura, Horticultura, Floricultura, Citricultura, Vid, Arroz; . 28(333): 492-495.
- Ayalew, G. (2015). Efficacy of selected insecticides against the South American tomato moth, *Tuta absoluta* Meyrick (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) on tomato in the Central Rift Valley of Ethiopia. African Entomology; 23(2):410-417.
- Bassi, A., Rison, J. L., E. Roditakis and L. Sannino (2012). Chlorantraniliprole

### Efficiency of three chemical and two biological insecticides on tuta .....

(RynaxypyrReg., CoragenReg., AltacorReg.) key features for sustainable control of *Tutaabsoluta*. IOBC/WPRS Bulletin; 80:193-198.

- Biondi, A., N. Desneux, G. Siscaro, G. T. Garzia, E. Amiens-Desneux and L. Zappala (2012). Side effects of bioinsecticides used to control *Tuta absoluta*. IOBC/WPRS Bulletin; 80:211-216
- Birgucu, A. K., A. Bayndr, Y. Celikpence and
  I. Karaca (2014). Growth inhibitory effects of bio- and synthetic insecticides on *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick, 1917) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Turkiye Entomoloji Dergisi; 38(4):389-400.
- Bratu, E., A. M. Petcuci and G. Sovarel (2015). Efficacy of the product Spinosad an insecticide used in the control of tomato leafminer (*Tutaabsoluta* -Meyrick, 1917). Bulletin of University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca. Horticulture; 72(1):209-210.
- CFIA, (2010). Tomato leafminer- *Tuta absoluta*. Pest Fact Sheet. .http://www.inspection.gc.ca/english/plav eg/pestrava/tutabs/tech/tutabse. shtml.
- FAO. (2002). Production year book 200. VI. 54. FAO. Italy.
- Gonzalez-Cabrera, J., O. Molla and A. Urbaneja (2009). Biological control of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) with *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Berliner). [Spanish]Agricola Vergel: Fruticultura, Horticultura, Floricultura, Citricultura, Vid, Arroz; 28(333):476-480.
- Gonzalez-Cabrera, J., O. Molla, H. Monton and A. Urbaneja (2011). Efficacy of Bacillus thuringiensis (Berliner) in controlling the tomato borer, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Bio Control; 56(1):71-80.
- Henderson, C. F. and E. W. Tilton (1955). Tested with acaricides against the brown wheat mite (J. Econ. Ent; 48:157-161).
- Ingegno, B. L., S. Frati and L. Tavella (2014). Control strategies against *Tuta*

*absoluta* in tomato greenhouses. IOBC/WPRS Bulletin; 102:103-110.

Korycinska, A. and H. Moran (2009). South American tomato moth *Tuta absoluta*. The Food and Environmental Research Agency

(Fera)www.defra.gov.uk/fera/plants/plant Health.

- Linden, A. van der and Staaij, M. van der (2011). Effectiveness of pesticides and potential for biological control of the tomato leaf miner *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Europe. IOBC/WPRS Bulletin; 68:97-100.
- OEPP/ EPPO. (2005). European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization 2005. *Tuta absoluta.* Bulletin OEPP/ EPPO Bulletin. 35:434-435.
- Osman, M. A. M. (2015). Evaluation of two protective strategies for controlling the tomato leaf miner *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Egypt. Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control; 25(1):113-120.
- Salunkhe, D.K., B.B. Desai and N.R. Bhat (1987). Vegetable and flower seed production. 1 st. Edn. Agricole pub. Acad. New Delhi, India, pp: 135.
- Soliman, M. M. M., A. S. H. Abdel-Moniem and M. A. Abdel-Raheem (2014). Impact of some insecticides and their mixtures on the population of tomato borers, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) and *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in tomato crop at Upper Egypt. Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection; 47(14):1764-1776.
- Valchev, N., V. Yankova and D. Markova (2013). Biological activity of plant protection products against *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) in tomato grown in greenhouses. Agricultural Science and Technology; 5(3):318-321.

كفاءة ثلاثة مبيدات حشرية كيميائية و اثنان من المبيدات الحشرية البيولوجية ضد يرقات صانعة انفاق الطماطم بمحافظة البحيرة

> بانسيه عبد السلام عزام<sup>(1)</sup> ، عزت فرج الخياط<sup>(2)</sup> ، محمد فهمى حجاب<sup>(1)</sup> ، تهاني رشدى عبد الظاهر<sup>(2)</sup>، بدران عبد الفتاح بدران<sup>(1)</sup> <sup>(1)</sup> معهد بحوث وقاية النباتات مركز البحوث الزراعية الدقى- الجيزة- مصر <sup>(2)</sup> كلية الزراعة بمشتهر - جامعة بنها

# الملخص العربي

تعتبر حشرة صانعة أنفاق الطماطم أوحافرة الطماطم من أهم الآفات المدمرة لمحصول الطماطم بل تعتبر في الآونة الأخيرة أهم آفة علي الإطلاق لاسيما إصابتها لنباتات العائلة الباذنجانية عموما وأمام الانتشار الواسع والخسائر الفادحة التي تسببها الآفة وكذلك الأهمية الإقتصادية لمحصول الطماطم فقد لجأ المزارعون الي الإستخدام المكثف للمبيدات مما أدى الي ظهور صفة المقاومة وبالتالي ضعف نتائج المكافحة.

تم بمركز بدر محافظة البحيرة في ربيع عام 2016 إجراء إختبار تقييم لثلاثة مبيدات كيميائية (فوليام فليكسى، كوراجين، بروكليم) وإثتان حيويان (داييل، فيرتيميك) ، لمكافحة يرقات صانعة انفاق الطماطم التى تصيب نباتات الطماطم تحت الظروف الحقلية ، من خلال إجراء رشتين بينهما 10 ايام وتم فحص عينات من الأوراق وتم عد اليرقات الحية كل 3، 5 ، 10 أيام ، وقد أظهرت نتائج الرشة الأولي ان نسبة خفض تعداد اليرقات كانت (80.3٪) لكل من فوليام فليكسى- كوراجين، يليه المبيدات بروكليم، داييل، فيرتيميك بنسب خفض (73.3٪ ، 73.٪ ، 20.3٪) لكل من فوليام فليكسى- كوراجين، الثانية تفوق المبيد الحيوي الفيرتيميك بنسب خفض (73.3٪ ، 73.٪ ، 20.3٪) علي التوالي. بينما أظهرت نتائج الرشة الثانية تفوق المبيد الحيوي الفيرتيميك حيث سجل نسبة خفض 17٪ يليه الفوليام فليكسي والكوراجين مسجلين 59 ٪ ثم الداييل حيث جاء الفيرتيمك في المركز الأول بنسبة خفض 20.5٪ بيلية الفوليام فليكسي والكوراجين مسجلين 59 ٪ ثم الداييل حيث جاء الفيرتيمك في المركز الأول بنسبة خفض 20.5٪٪ ماتوسط العام لنسب الخفض في تعداد اليرقات لكلا الرشتين حيث جاء الفيرتيمك في المركز الأول بنسبة خفض 72.7٪ يلية الفوليام فليكسي والكوراجين مسجلين 59 ٪ ثم الداييل حيث جاء الفيرتيمك في المركز الأول بنسبة خفض 72.7٪ يلية الفوليام فليكسي والكوراجين مسجلين 59 ٪ ثم الدايبل حيث جاء الفيرتيمك في المركز الأول بنسبة حفض 73.7٪ يلية الفوليام فليكسي والكوراجين مسجلين 50 ٪ ثم الدايبل حيث جاء الفيرتيمك في المركز الأول بنسبة 73.7٪ يلية الفوليام فليكسي والكوراجين بنسبة خفض 69.7٪ م