# Barriers that Impede Primary Health Care Physicians from Screening Women for Domestic Violence at Makkah ALmukarramah City

Jumanah Ahmed Alsaedi, <sup>1</sup>Wagih GamalEldin Elbarrany, <sup>2</sup>Waad Ahmed AL Majnon, <sup>1</sup>Afnan Abdullatif Al-Namankany <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Umm AlQura University, Makkah, <sup>2</sup>Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, Umm AlQura University, Makkah, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence author: Wagih GamalEldin Elbarrany, e-mail: waad20ahmad@gmail.com,Mobile: 00966593649963

#### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Nowadays, violence against women represents a major public health concern despite the efforts to raise awareness about it at the local and the global level. Doctors have a crucial role to play in detecting violence against women but they are confronted to many barriers.

**Objective:** To identify the barriers that impede physicians from screening women for domestic violence.

**Subjects and methods:** A cross-sectional study was conducted using a questionnaire to collect data from 62 primary health care physicians at Makah Almukarramah city.

**Results:** More than a half of the physicians, working at primary health centers at Makkah and who participated in our survey, dealt with a case of a women abused by her partner. Many participants agreed that there were barriers that impeded them from screening violence like insufficient training, feeling of embarrassment, shame of asking question about abuse and fear of revenge by the husband or relatives.

**Conclusion:** Implementing training program with intervention could help in managing and preventing violence against women.

**Keywords:** Violence, Women, Screening: physicians, barriers.

### INTRODUCTION

Violence against women is a worldwide problem; The Declaration on the Elimination of Violence against Women, adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in 1993, defines violence against women as "any act of genderbased violence that results in, or is likely to result in, physical, sexual, or psychological harm or suffering to women, including threats of such acts, coercion or arbitrary deprivation of liberty, whether occurring in public or private life" [1]. On 2006, a multicounty study showed that the prevalence of violence against women ranges from 15 to 71% [2, <sup>3</sup>]. On 2013, an international analysis conduct by WHO over 80 countries, found that worldwide, almost one third (30%) of all women who have been in a relationship have experienced physical and/or sexual violence by their intimate partner. In the Arab world, according to literature, the prevalence of women who reported being subject to violence ranges from 20 to 87% [4-9].

Violence against women can have a multitude of devastating consequences for their health and well-being in the short and long term [10]. The immediate physical and psychological consequences that affect the abused woman may be accompanied by deterioration in her overall quality of life throughout her life. This is in addition to the broader social costs associated with the delivery and maintenance of health care. Abused women often experience somatic and stress-related

illnesses, chronic pain syndromes, depression, posttraumatic stress disorder, and substance abuse disorders. Furthermore, compared with women with no history of abuse, abused women have higher levels of health care use [11,12].

Physicians have a crucial role to play in detecting violence against women who, often through shame or denial, prefer to hide it. The proportion of physician who declares cases of intimate partner violence remains insufficient in comparison to the prevalence of those cases. Many explanation was advanced as barriers to screening women abuse, like the absence of guidelines on how to manage the victim of violence, the workload of health professional and other barriers related to the examiner [13,14]. Thus, the purpose of this study was to identify barriers of screening for domestic violence against women in the primary health care (PHC) centers in Makkah city.

# SUBJECTS AND METHODS

A Cross-Sectional Study was conducted among general practitioners and family medicine physicians in the primary health care centers of Makkah City. An adapted questionnaire was used for data collection. The questionnaire consisted of two sections. The first section is the sociodemographic characteristics, including age, sex, nationality, marital status, educational qualification and current job and number of years at work.

Received: 07/09/2017 Accepted: 17/09/2017 3058 DOI: 10.12816/0042856 The second section is the types of barriers divided to two domains and consisted of 11 items. The first domain is related to barriers related to the examiner, consisted of six statements. Five statements were assigned for barriers related to the health administration system.

# The study was done after approval of ethical board of Umm AlQura University. Statistical analysis

Frequencies were used to describe variables, qualitative means with standard deviation and median with interquartile range was used to describe quantitative data. To analyze the association between qualitative variables chi square test and fisher test were used, and to analyze the association between qualitative variables and ANOVA was performed. The threshold for statistical significance was fixed at 0.05.

#### RESULTS

Sixty two physicians working at the primary health care centers of Makkah City participated in the present survey. Males represented 55% of the respondents. Forty percent of the participants aged between 20 and 30 years old. Forty-four percent of the individuals were of a nationality other than the Saudi nationality and about 80 % were married (Table 1).

Thirty eight physicians (61%) dealt with a case of woman abuse. The association between socio-demographic characteristics and the diagnosis of women abuse was only significant for gender and the marital status; male physicians diagnosed more cases of women abuse than female physicians and this diagnosis was done mainly by married physicians. General practitioners dealt more frequently with cases of women abuse than other specialists, but there was no significant difference (Table2).

Fifty eight percent of physicians who dealt with cases of women abuse agreed with the fact that fear of revenge by the husband or relatives represented a barrier to screening those women (p =0.016). Among physicians who dealt with the diagnosis of women abuse, 50 % agreed that insufficient training represented a barrier to screening intimate partner violence, 58% agreed that feeling of embarrassment was also a barrier to screening and around 40% of the participants agreed that personal experience and shame of asking question about abuse impedes interference. regard to barriers related health to

administration; around 70 % who dealt with cases of women abuse agreed with the lack of training and knowledge on legality of violence. More than 80% of the participants, who diagnosed cases of women abuse, agreed that heavy work and lack of staff were barriers to screening such cases (Table 3).

The analysis of the association between socio-demographic characteristics and examiner related barriers to violence screening revealed the following; among respondents who indicated that insufficient training is a barrier to screening, 42% were aged between 20 and 30 years old, 53% were males and 58% were general practitioners. Among participants who mentioned fear of revenge as a barrier to screening, 67% were females, 83% were married, 50% were Saudi and 58% were general practitioners (Table 4).

Table1: Socio-demographic characteristics of the physicians that participated in the study

<u> </u>	pur tresputed iii ti	%		
Gender				
Male	34	55%		
Female	28	45%		
Age				
20 to 30	25	40%		
31 to 40	20	32%		
41 to 50	9	15%		
51 to 60	8	13%		
Nationality				
Saudi	35	56%		
Arab	22	36%		
Non Arab	5	8%		
Job				
GP	41	66%		
Specialist	17	27%		
Consultant	4	7%		
Qualification				
Bachelor degree	38	61%		
Board	10	16%		
Master	6	10%		
PhD	8	13%		
Marital status				
Single	13	21%		
Married	49	79%		
Years at work				
Mean (Sd)	Median (IQR)			
6.9(7.1)	4(11)			

Table 2: Association between socio-demographic characteristics and the diagnosis of women abuse

	Did you deal with	a case of woman abu	se	
	Yes (%)	Suspected (%)	No (%)	p- value
Gender				
Male	71%	35%	14%	0.003
Female	29%	65%	86%	<del></del>
Age				
20 to 30	32%	53%	57%	
31 to 40	32%	35%	29%	<b>-</b> >0.05
41 to 50 51 to 60	21%	6%	0%	<del>_</del>
31 to 00	16%	6%	14%	_
Nationality				
Saudi	53%	59%	71%	
Arab Non Arab	34%	41%	29%	<del>-</del> >0.05
	13%	0%	0%	<del>_</del>
Job				
GP	71%	59%	57%	
Specialist Consultant	21%	35%	43%	>0.05
Consultant	8%	6%	0%	<del>_</del>
Qualification				
Bachelor degree	63%	59%	57%	
Board	21%	12%	0%	>0.05
Master PhD	8%	12%	14%	<del>_</del>
	8%	18%	29%	<u> </u>
Marital status				
Single	13%	30%	43%	0.04
Married	87%	71%	57%	<del>_</del>
Total	61%	27%	11%	
Years at work	<b>Mean(Sd)</b> 7.7(7.5)	Mean(Sd) 6.9(7.1)	Mean(Sd) 2.7(3.6)	>0.05

Table3: Barriers that impede primary health care physicians from screening women for domestic violence at Makkah ALmukarramah city between 2017 and 2018

	·	vith a case of wo		p-value
	Yes (%)	Suspected (%)	No (%)	
Barri	ers related to the exa	miner		
Insufficient training				
agree	50%	<b>47%</b>	<b>72%</b>	>0.05
uncertain	17%	<b>6%</b>	14%	
disagree	33%	47%	14%	
Feeling of embarrassment				
agree	58%	30%	29%	>0.05
uncertain	17%	24%	<b>57%</b>	
disagree	25%	47%	14%	
ear of revenge by the husband or rela	tives			
agree				
uncertain	58%	24%	14%	0.016
disagree	25%	30%	29%	
	17%	47%	57%	
Not convinced with screening importa				
agree	17%	6%	29%	
uncertain	50%	35%	43%	>0.05
disagree	33%	59%	29%	. 0.00
Personal experience impedes interfe				
agree	42%	24%	43%	>0.05
uncertain	25%	35%	43%	70.00
disagree	33%	41%	14%	
Shame of asking question about abus		41 / 0	1470	
agree				
uncertain	41%	24%	14%	>0.05
disagree	25%	18%	14%	<b>~0.03</b>
disagree	33%	59%	72%	
Rarriars r	elated to health admi		12/0	
lack of training	ciated to ficaltif admi	msu auon		
9	67%	35%	71%	>0.05
agree	25%	30%	29%	<b>&gt;0.03</b>
uncertain				
disagree	8%	35%	0%	
Lack of knowledge on legality		<b>53</b> 0/	<b>710</b> /	
agree	75%	53%	71%	>0.05
uncertain	25%	18%	14%	
disagree	0%	29%	14%	
Time constraints	A # 0 /	•00/	=40/	0.0=
agree .	25%	29%	71%	>0.05
uncertain	58%	41%	29%	
disagree	17%	29%	0%	
TT 11 1 61 1	4h aana			
Heavy workload of heal		(F0/	<i>F</i> <b>7</b> 0 /	
agree .	83%	65%	57%	0.05
uncertain	17%	12%	29%	>0.05
disagree	0%	24%	14%	
Lack staff	0.4	·		
agree	83%	65%	71%	>0.05
uncertain	17%	6%	29%	
disagree	0%	29%	0%	

Table4: Association between socio-demographic characteristics and examiner related barriers to violence screening

violence screening							
	insufficient training (agree /disagree)	feeling of embarrassment (agree/ disagree)	fear of revenge by the husband or relatives (agree/ disagree)	l with	personal experience impedes interference (agree/ disagree)	shame of asking question about abuse (agree/ disagree)	
Age							
20 to 30	42%/46%	43%/58%	25%/64%	0%/50%	42%/42%	40%/53%	
31to 40	32%/39%	36%/25%	42%/29%	40%/31%	33%/50%	40%/37%	
41 to 50	5%/15%	7%/17%	17%/7%	40%/6%	17%/0%	10%/5%	
51 to 60	21%/0%	14%/0%	16%/0%	20%13%	8%/8%	10%/5%	
p-value	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	
Gender							
Male	53%/39%	57%/42%	33%/36%	40%/50%	42%/67%	50%/37%	
Female	47%/61%	43%/58%	67%/64%	60%/50%	58%/33%	50%/63%	
p-value	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	
Iarital status							
Single							
Married	37%/23%	43%/25%	17%/36%	20%/25%	50%/8%	40%/21%	
p-value	63%/77%	57%/75%	83%/64%	80%/75%	50%/92%	60%/79%	
	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	
Nationality							
Saudi	63%/54%	79%/58%	50%/64%	40%/50%	67%/58%	67%/58%	
Arab	26%/46%	14%/42%	42%/36%	60%/38%	33%/33%	33%/33%	
Non Arab	11%/0%	7%/0%	8%/0%	0%/12%	0%/9%	0%/9%	
p-value	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	
Qualification	500/1600/	570/ /500/	CC01 /5001	200/ /5/0/	500/ /500/	CD0/ /CD0/	
Bachelor	58%/62%	57%/58%	66%/50%	20%/56%	50%/58%	60%/68%	
Master	11%/15%	7%/8%	17%7%	40%/6%	17%/17%	10%/0%	
PhD	16%/8%	14%/17%	0%/14%	20%/13%	8%/17%	10%/16%	
Board	16%/15%	22%/17%	17%/29%	20%/25%	25%/8%	20%/16%	
p-value	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	
Job							
GP	58%/61%	50%/58%	58%/50%	20%/56%	50%/67%	60%/68%	
Specialist	32%/31%	29%/42%	33%/50%	60%/44%	33%/25%	20%/26%	
Consultant	10%/8%	21%/0%	9%/0%	20%/0%	17%/8%	20%/5%	
p-value	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	0.04	>0.05	>0.05	
ears at work							
Mean	_,_,		0.11.0				
p-value				11.8/5.8	6.4/6.8	7.4/4.5	
	0.05	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	>0.05	

# **DISCUSSION**

The current study revealed that more than a half of the physicians, working at primary health centers at Makah and participated in the survey dealt with a case of a women abused by her partner. Among those respondents, the majority were male general practitioners and were married. Around thirty percent agreed with the importance of screening intimate partner violence among women. Many participants agreed that there were barriers that impeded them from screening violence like insufficient training, feeling of embarrassment, shame of asking question about abuse and fear of revenge by the husband or relatives. For the latter barrier, physicians who agreed were in general, Saudi female physicians and married and worked as general practitioners.

Violence against women was studied by many authors and many of them point out the role of the physician in managing such cases, in the present survey there were a consensus around the importance of screening abused women, but the surveyed physicians complained that, because of an insufficient training, they couldn't properly diagnose violence among females, this barrier was also mentioned in other studies [15]. In general women who have been a victim of violence confides in the first place to their physician before than to the police [16]. Listening is a part of the role of the health professional, indeed women who may have suffered from violence can overcome her fear of talking about it if the physician knows how to engage in dialogue and give confidence to the patient [17]. Our study revealed that male physicians had a significantly higher screening rate of abused women than female physicians, this was inconsistent with the results of a study of **Elliot** et al. [18]. But in a study conducted by Ustaet al. some women screened for violence say that they prefer to talk to a male doctor than a female one, feeling better understood by the former [19]

Other than insufficient training, other barriers could impede the physician from fulfilling his role. In our study the majority of the surveyed physicians agreed that feeling of embarrassment and shame of asking questions about abuse was barriers to screening abused women, which is consistent with the results of a similar survey conducted in Kuwait <sup>[20]</sup>. This could be explained by the cultural background; in fact in our study, unlike Saudi and Arab doctors, Non-Arab doctors disagreed with these

two barriers. Fear of revenge by the husband or relatives was a major concern for the respondents, fear for safety was also cited by the participants in a Lebanese study that included primary care practitioners [21].

Among the surveyed physicians, there was an agreement that there are some factors related to the health administration that could represent an obstacle to screening violence among women. First, lack of training program about screening and preventing domestic violence which was an issue discussed by many authors [22, 23]. The diagnosis of a case of domestic violence is not an easy task and it needs knowledge about its symptoms. In a survey performed among nurses, the most frequent cited barrier to screening domestic violence was lack of evidence of the act of violence [24]. Training physicians about the appropriate intervention could address the managing and the consequence of intimate partner violence [25, 26].

al.<sup>[15]</sup> In Hoke*et* and **Z**aher*et* al. [27] studies, the majority of the participants showed an interest in receiving training about screening abuse among patients. Furthermore, among physicians who dealt with a case of an abused woman in our study, many of them emphasized that the heavy workload and the lack of staff discourage the health worker from insisting on looking for signs of violence during consultation. The lack of knowledge about the legality of domestic violence was another concern of the surveyed physicians, in fact some practices are considered legal in some Arab regions without being supported by a text of law [28]. In a Kuwaiti study, although the majority of the primary care physicians accept to manage domestic violence, many of them think that, in some cases, an act of violence against women could be justified [29]. This behavior was related to beliefs toward women rights [30]. Increasing the awareness about legal frameworks that protect women's rights helps to foster labeling violence against women as a pathological phenomenon [31].

## Study limitations

Our study had some limitations; first the sample size of sixty two physicians might impede us from observing statistically significant results. Second, our survey included only one region of the country, then, our sample is not representative of all the physicians working at other primary health care centers, so

we couldn't generalize those results at a larger scale.

#### Conclusion

In our study, more than the half of the physician has diagnosed a case of woman victim of domestic violence and the majority suffered from many barriers to screening abuse among their patients. As examiner related barrier, most of physicians agreed about fear for safety and embarrassment, and as an administration barrier the majority complained about heavy workload and lack of training. It is established that the physicians plays an important role in helping women who had suffered from violence, but their contribution is still insufficient to manage and prevent domestic violence. Stakeholders should be engaged in this mission by helping in reinforcing interventions to raise the awareness among the community about this scourge and by contributing in implementing training programs on how to manage a case of abused women.

# Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Wajd Ramzi Falemban, Asya Rezayq Alsulami, Shahad Hani Almuntaser, ShroqAbdulkareemAlghraibi, Sumayah Ahmad, Fallatah, Asalah Fahad Alhazmi, Rehab Suhail Almajnooni and Ohood Ayed Allogman for their contribution in collecting the data that survey to complete this work.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. http://www.un.org/documents/ga/res/48/a48r104 .htm.
- Garcia-Moreno C, Jansen HA, Ellsberg M, Heise L and Watts CH (2006): Prevalence of intimate partner violence: findings from the WHO multi-country study on women's health and domestic violence. Lancet, 368: 12609.
- 3. Stockl H, Devries K, Rotstein A, Abrahams N, Campbell J, Watts C *et al.*(2013):The global prevalence of intimate partner homicide: a systematic review. Lancet, 382(9895):859–865.
- 4. http://www.measuredhs.com/pubs/pdf/FR176/FR176.pdf
- 5. Al-Nsour M, Khawaja M, and Al-Kayyali G (2009): Domestic violence against women in Jordan: evidence from health clinics. J Fam Viol., 24: 56975.
- **6. Maziak W, and Asfar T (2003):** Physical abuse in low-income women in Aleppo, Syria. Health Care Women Int., 24: 31326
- 7. Fageeh WMK (2014): Factors associated with domestic violence: a cross-sectional survey among

- women in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. BMJ Open, 4:e004242.
- **8. Al Dosary AH (2016):** Health Impact of Domestic Violence against Saudi Women: Cross Sectional Study. International Journal of Health Sciences, 10(2):165-173.
- Alzahrani TA, Abaalkhail BA, and Ramadan IK
  (2016): Prevalence of intimate partner violence and
  its associated risk factors among Saudi female
  patients attending the primary healthcare centers in
  Western Saudi Arabia. Saudi Medical Journal,
  37(1):96-99
- **10. Rakovec-Felser Z (2014):** Domestic Violence and Abuse in Intimate Relationship from Public Health Perspective. Health Psychology Research, 2(3):1821.
- **11. Eberhard-Gran M, Schei B, and Eskild A** (2007): Somatic Symptoms and Diseases are more Common in Women Exposed to Violence. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 22(12):1668-1673.
- **12. Hegarty K, Gunn J, Chondros P, and Taft A** (2008): Physical and social predictors of partner abuse in women attending general practice: a cross-sectional study. The British Journal of General Practice, 58(552):484-487.
- 13. Hamberger LK, Rhodes K, and Brown J (2015):
  Screening and Intervention for Intimate Partner
  Violence in Healthcare Settings: Creating
  Sustainable System-Level Programs. Journal of
  Women's Health, 24(1):86-91.
- **14. Beynon CE, Gutmanis IA, Tutty LM, Wathen CN, and MacMillan HL (2012):** Why physicians and nurses ask (or don't) about partner violence: a qualitative analysis. BMC Public Health, 12:473.
- **15. Hoke N (2008):** Barriers to Screening for Domestic Violence in the Emergency Department. J Trauma Nurs., 15(2):79.
- **16.** Morse DS, Lafleur R, Fogarty CT, Mittal M, and Cerulli C (2012): "They told me to leave": how health care providers address intimate partner violence. J Am Board Fam Med., 25(3):333-42.
- **17. Usta J, and Taleb R (2014):** Addressing domestic violence in primary care: what the physician needs to know. The Libyan Journal of Medicine, 9:10.3402/ljm.v9.23527.
- **18. Elliott L, Nerney M, Jones T, and Friedmann P** (2002): Barriers to Screening for Domestic Violence. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 17(2):112-116.
- **19.** Usta J, Antoun J, Ambuel B, and Khawaja M (2012): Involving the Health Care System in Domestic Violence: What Women Want. Annals of Family Medicine, 10(3):213-220.
- **20.** Alotaby I, Alkandari B, Alshamali K, Kamel M, and El-Shazly M (2013): Barriers for domestic violence screening in primary health care centers. Alexandria Med J., 49:175-80.
- 21. Usta J, Feder G, and Antoun J (2014): Attitudes towards domestic violence in Lebanon: a

- qualitative study of primary care practitioners. Br J Gen Pract., 64(623):e313-20.
- **22. Baig AA, Ryan GW, and Rodriguez MA (2012):** Provider barriers and facilitators to screening for intimate partner violence in Bogotá, Colombia. Health Care Women Int., 33(3):250-61
- **23. Davis RE, and Harsh KE (2001):** Confronting barriers to universal screening for domestic violence. J Prof Nurs., 17(6):313-20.
- **24.** Smith JS, Rainey SL, Smith KR, Alamares C, and Grogg D(2008):. Barriers to the mandatory reporting of domestic violence encountered by nursing professionals. J Trauma Nurs., 15(1):9-11
- **25.** Casey T. Taft, Christopher M. Murphy, and Suzannah K(2016): Trauma-informed treatment and prevention of intimate partner violence / Creech. Available at: http://www.apa.org/pubs/books/4317414.aspx
- **26. Baig AA, Ryan GW, and Rodriguez MA (2012):** Provider barriers and facilitators to screening for intimate partner violence in Bogotá, Colombia. Health Care Women Int., 33(3):250-61

- **27. Zaher E, Keogh K, and Ratnapalan S (2014):** Effect of domestic violence training: Systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Can Fam Physician, 60:618-24
- **28. Kulwicki AD (2002):** The practice of honor crimes: a glimpse of domestic violence in the Arab world. Issues Ment Health Nurs., 23(1):77-87.
- 29. Alkoot IM, Al-Meerza AA, Almugbel WM, Ghayath TA, Kamel MI, and El-Shazly MK (2010): Attitude of primary health care physicians in Kuwait towards domestic violence against women. Alexandria Med J., 46(4): 335–341.
- **30. Obeid N, Chang DF, and Ginges J (2010):** Beliefs about wife beating: an exploratory study with Lebanese students. Violence Against Women, 16(6):691-712.
- **31. Fulu E, and Miedema S (2015):** Violence Against Women: Globalizing the Integrated Ecological Model. Violence against Women, 21(12):1431-1455.