SUPPRESSION OF DAMPING-OFF DISEASE BY SOME MICROORGANISMS ON SWEET BASIL (OCIMUM BASILICUM L.) PLANTS UNDER FIELD CONDITIONS

Howida A. Metwaly^{*} and M.A. Abd-El-Sayed^{**}

* Central Lab. of Organic Agriculture, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt. ** Medicinal and Aromatic Plant Research Department, Horticulture Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt.



Scientific J. Flowers & Ornamental Plants, 5(1):15-29 (2018).

Received: 5/3/2018 **Accepted:** 22/3/2018 **ABSTRACT:** This study was carried out at the Experimental Farm of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Research Department in El Kanater El Khairia, HRI, ARC, during the two successive seasons of 2016 and 2017 to study the effect of some microorganisms (Bacillus subtilis, Trichoderma harzianum, Pseudomonas fluoroscens and Streptomyces griseus) on suppressing of damping-off disease of basil seedling, vegetative growth, oil production and chemical constituents of sweet basil (Ocimum basilicum L.) plants. The plants treated with any of the bioagents, showed highest effect in controlling damping-off and increased basil productivity compared with control plans. The results showed that, all bioagents treatments significantly increased vegetative growth (plant height, number of branches, fresh and dry weights (g/plant), oil percentage, oil yield, chemical constituents (chlorophyll (a), (b) and carotenoids contents as well as total carbohydrates percentage) compared with control plants. Streptomyces griseus gave the best effect against damping-off disease and all plant characteristics compared with control plants in the first season while, in the second season, Pseudomonas fluorescens increased plant protection and all plant characteristics. GC analysis of sweet basil essential oil of all treatments identified nine components. The major component of the essential oils was Linalool.

Key words: damping-off disease, biological control, essential oil, sweet basil plants.

INTRODUCTION

Sweet basil (Ocimum basilicum L.) belongs to family Lamiaceae and is known with its highly aromatic leaves utilized either fresh or dried for culinary and is one of the leading herb crops. It is considered economically useful because of their basic characteristics natural as essential oil producers (Lawrence, 1993). Sweet basil is a popular culinary herb used in food and oral care products (Machale et al., 1997). Also, basil is well known as a plant of a folk medicinal used as carminative, galactogogue, stomachic and antispasmodic tonic and vermifugem. In addition, basil tea taken hot is good for treating nausea, flatulence and dysentery. Basil is used in pharmaceutically as diuretic and stimulating properties, in perfumes and cosmetics for its smell; in fact, it is a part of many fragrance compositions (Khatri et al., 1995). Medicinal and aromatic plants have a major role in agriculture and industry. They are the main source for safe substances drugs and raw used in manufacturing of pharmaceuticals. Some of their components are nucleus to the chemical biosynthesis (Dudai et al., 2002).

Although chemical control, some time, achieves considerable results but it causes negative impact to environment and human health. Biological control is one of the most promising and safe measure in this respect. Biological control agents work through different mode of actions. These help biocontrol agent to be more durable, more effective and without any chemical residues in human food chain (Abd El-Moity, 1981 and Elad et al., 1983). Biological control of plant diseases using microorganisms is a very promising alternative to the use of fungicides. Biological control more cheap and has no accumulating effects as chemical pesticides. Trichoderma spp. are quite known for their abilities in controlling plant pathogens. The use of Trichoderma spp. in agriculture can provide numerous advantages, colonization of the root and rhizosphere of plant, control several plant pathogens by different mechanisms such as parasitism, antibiosis production and induce resistance stimulation of root growth improvement plant health by promote plant growth (Harman et al., 2004).

Bacillus subtilis Cohn. is known as effective antagonist bacteria against several plant pathogens. These antagonist acts through antibiosis, secretion of volatile toxic metabolites. destructive enzymes and competition for space and nutrition. (Intana et al., 2008) found that applications of B. subtilis are an important tool not only for organic growers, but also for conventional growers. They are using B. subtilis in integrated pest management programs allow reducing the risk of both the development of strains resistant and reduce toxic residues in the final product.

Pseudomonas fluorescens is considered as an important group of the antagonistic bacteria where it was effective against several soil borne pathogens in field and greenhouse trails (Jayashree *et al.*, 2000). Moreover, *Pseudomonas* spp. received great attention as biocontrol agent because of their catabolic versatility, excellent rootcolonizing abilities and production of broad

range antifungal metabolites such as 2,4 diacetyl-phloroglucinoal (DAPG), pyoluteorin, pyrrolnitrin and phenazines (Raaijmaker et al., 2002). Bacteria identified as plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and biocontrol strains often belong to the following genera i.e. Bacillus (Nair et al., 2002) and Pseudomonas (Mark et al., 2006). Reasonable control result was obtaining Pseudomonas fluorescens antagonizes pathogenic fungi through certain mode of action, in addition to production of some pigments and antibiotics (Jaryaraj et al., 2007) .This mode of action of Pseudomonas fluorescens on chelating all available ferrous in the court of infection (Samavat et al., 2014).

Soil actinomycetes particularly Streptomyces *spp.* (*Streptomyces* griseus Waksman) enhances soil fertility and has antagonistic activity against wide range of soil-borne plant pathogens (Dulaney, 1953 and Hallmann et al., 1997) to provide an effective method to increase productivity of crops (Emmert and Handelsman, 1999). The mechanisms by which actinomycetes promote plant growth include mainly the production of plant growth regulators PGRs (El-Tarabily, 2006).

The present work was designed to reduce using chemicals in agriculture process and find out the most suitable non-chemical strategy to protect basil plants against damping- off disease. The main objectives of this research were to study the efficacy of some bioagents and number of applications on incidence of damping- off disease in basil plants under field conditions, their influence on growth parameters, oil production and chemical constituents of sweet basil plants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was carried out at the Experimental Farm of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Research Department in El Kanater El Khairia, HRI, ARC in Egypt, during two successive seasons of 2016 and 2017. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of some bioagents (*Bacillus*)

subtilis, Trichoderma harzianum, Streptomyces griseus and Pseudomonas flouresens) on the percentage of dampingoff, growth, oil production and chemical composition of sweet basil plants.

The physical and chemical characteristics of the experiments field soil were determined according to Jackson (1973) and are shown in Table (a).

Table a. Soil properties of the experimental
farm of Medicinal and Aromatic
Plants Research Department in
El-Kanater El-Khairia

El-Kanater El-Khairia.									
Soil properties	2015/2016	2016/2017							
Physica	l properties								
Clay %	50.3	54.5							
Silt %	19.5	19.72							
Sand %	21.3	22.13							
Texture	Clay	Clay							
Chemical properties									
E.C. (mmhos/cm)	0.44	0.65							
рН	7.3	7.8							
Organic matter (%)	1.29	1.45							
Available N (ppm)	32.0	38.0							
Available P (ppm)	26.45	26.75							
Available K (ppm)	0.81	0.93							

The experimental layout:

The layout of the experiment was designed in complete blocks design with thirteen treatments, each treatment replicated three times. Each replicate contained 27 plants (plot).

The experiment included 13 treatments:

- 1. Untreated plants (control)
- 2. Bacillus subtilis-1
- 3. Bacillus subtilis-2
- 4. Bacillus subtilis-3
- 5. Trichoderma harzianum-1
- 6. Trichoderma harzianum-2
- 7. Trichoderma harzianum-3
- 8. Streptomyces griseus-1
- 9. Streptomyces griseus-2
- 10. Streptomyces griseus-3
- 11. Pseudomonas flouresens-1
- 12. Pseudomonas flouresens-2

13. Pseudomonas flouresens-3

Number of applications: 1= One times, 2= Two times, 3= Three times.

Seeds were sown on 6^{th} and 8^{th} February in the first and second seasons, respectively in peatmoss medium in nursery beds; germination was occurred during 8-10 days after sowing for the two tested seasons, when seedling were about 10-15 cm in height and bearing 6-8 leaves, they were transplanted in plots (1.80 x 2.5 m²), each had 3 rows with distance of 60 cm between rows and 30cm between plants within the row, Each plot included (27 plant/plot).

The experimental soil was naturally infested with both M. phaseolina, F. solani and R. solani which all these pathogenic fungi isolated in laboratory conditions and examined to pathogenisity tests on basil plants cultivar grandvert under greenhouse conditions. To reach the optimum number of applications needed to obtain the highest percentage of plant protection, different bioagents were used as one, two and three applications with interval 15 days between applications. These adding times were at transplanting, fifteen days after transplanting transplanting. and one month after Biocontrol agents were used in liquid form and the first applied as seedlings soaking in the suspension for 20 minutes, where the second and third treatments were applied as drench, at five liters of each soil bioagent/plot. Control plants were treated with water.

All plants were examined and percentage of damping-off were calculated to study effect of repeating treatment on damping- off disease. In all field experiments, the normal agricultural practices and irrigation were used as normal. Chemical fertilizers (NPK) were added as ammonium sulphate (20.6% N), calcium superphosphate (15.5% P_2O_5) and potassium sulphate (48% K₂O) at the recommended doses.

Data recorded:

Sweet basil plants were harvested two times by cutting the vegetative parts 10-15

cm above the soil surface. The first and second cuts were done on 5^{th} June and 24^{th} July at the first season and at 6^{th} June and 26^{th} July in the second season for the first and second cuts, respectively. Data recorded as follows:

- 1- Vegetative growth (plant height (cm), branches number/plant, herb fresh and dry weights per plant (g).
- 2- Essential oil percentage was determined in fresh herb by British Pharmacopoeia (1963).
- 3- Essential oil composition (essential oil samples of the 2^{nd} cut during the 2^{nd} season were subjected to gas liquid chromatography (GLC) according to the methods of (Hoftman 1967 and Bunzen *et al.*, 1969).
- 4- Chemical analysis: Total carbohydrates were estimated using the method described by (Michel *et al.*, 1956). The estimation contents of chlorophyll a, b, and carotenoids contents were determined in fresh leaf (mg/g fresh matter) according to (Saric *et al.*, 1967).

Statistical analysis:

The experiment layout was designed in complete randomized blocks included thirteen treatments each treatment was replicated three times .The recorded data were statistically analyzed according to (Snedecor and Conchran, 1980) mean of the treatments were compared using LSD at 5%.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Disease incidence:

Number of application was studied to figure out the optimum number of treatments can produce considerable control of damping-off disease .The main target of this study was to improve quality and quantity of basil in field. Different field experiments were carried out to confirm results were obtained from laboratory and green house experiments in addition to find out the most effective biocontrol agent, the proper number of applications which must be adding. To determine the suitable number of adding of different antagonist to get the highest effect, different bioagents were added at three different times. These adding times were at planting time, fifteen days after planting and one month after planting.

Obtained data indicated that, *Streptomyces griseus* show high effect on plant protection and percentage of surviving plants reached (81.67%) which recorded (18.33%) on post damping- off disease. This is due to, that *Streptomyces spp*. works effectively under alkaline soil condition. Egyptian soil is alkaline so effective of *Streptomyces spp*. and bioagents showed good results under this pH value condition.

Data obtained from these studies revealed that Streptomyces griseus gave better result regarding disease control compared with control treatment on the one time application than any number of applications. Adding any antagonist one time application before planting resulted in good control of pathogens and increased percentage of surviving plants compared with using the same antagonist two times after two weeks from planting time or three number of application after 30 days from planting time at the first season. This is due to, treating with different bioagents one time number of applications before planting gives chance for antagonists treatments to establish and speared out in court of infection surrounding healthy tissues and preventing pathogens to attack new developed roots (Abd El-Moity et al., 1991 and Sallam et al., 2008).

Effect of Streptomyces griseus against pathogens can be explained by Golinska and Dahm (2013), they stated that Streptomyces expolysaccharide; strains produce this compound has effect against Fusarium spp., so presence of this antagonist in court of infection lead to presence a concentration of this compound causing depression for Fusarium consequently cannot cause any disease symptom for treated plant, with *Streptomyces* (El-Tarabily spp. and Sivasithamparam, 2006).

Percentage of disease incidence of damping-off								
Treatments	Seaso	on 2016	Season 2017					
Treatments	Post	Survival	Post	Survival				
Control	63.33	36.67	61.67	38.33				
Bacillus subtilis-1	41.67	58.33	50.00	50.00				
Bacillus subtilis-2	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00				
Bacillus subtilis-3	63.33	36.67	48.33	51.67				
Trichoderma harzianum-1	25.00	75.00	53.33	46.67				
Trichodermaharzianum-2	35.00	61.67	48.33	51.67				
Trichoderma harzianum-3	36.67	63.33	33.33	66.67				
Streptomyces griseus-1	18.33	81.67	40.00	60.00				
Streptomyces griseus-2	23.33	76.66	35.00	65.00				
Streptomyces griseus-3	21.67	78.33	26.67	73.33				
Pseudomonas flouresens-1	38.33	61.67	36.67	63.33				
Pseudomonas flouresens-2	50.00	50.00	33.33	66.67				
Pseudomonas flouresens-3	43.33	56.67	25.00	75.00				
LSD at 5 %	6.98	7.36	8.60	8.19				

 Table 1. Effect of some bioagents at different applications number on the percentage of damping -off disease incidence on basil plants during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Number of applications: 1=One times, 2= Two times, 3= Three times.

Actinomycetes produce chemically diverse metabolites many of which exhibit activity and antibiotic plant growth regulatory activity. In addition, they produce lytic enzymes which not only facilitate their survival by degrading diverse substrates but which can inhibit the growth of phytopathogens. This is due to, that Streptomyces spp. works effectively under alkaline soil condition. Egyptian soil is alkaline so effective Streptomyces spp. bioagents showed good results under this pH value condition (Hoster et al., 2005).

On the contrary, at second season, adding antagonist fifteen days and one month after planting this means that, the developed roots before this period, already attacked by pathogens which negatively and plant growth developing affect (Mannanov and Sattararova 2009). Data also indicated that increase number of application lead to increase efficacy of the treatment. This due to, increase number of application means increase number of bioagent on the surface of treated plants. P. fluorescens applied three times was the most effective in controlling basil damping -off disease which recorded (25%) on post damping- off and

as

75% on seedling survivals. This effect might

be due to that *P. fluorescens* can inhibit disease through more than one mode of

action and cleat iron and prevent other

microorganisms to utilize this element. As a

result to iron starvation, the pathogen cannot grow, penetrate and cause disease (Loper,

1988 and Becker and Cook, 1988), Also, P.

substances, *i.e.* pyrrolintrin, pyoluteorin and

2, 4 diacetyl ploroglucinol (Sarniguet et al.,

1995, Karunanithi et al., 2000 and Jayaraj et

al., 2007). In a competitive environment,

advantageous to use efficient chelators

produced by its neighbors rather than to

produce its own siderophores, especially if

they are less effective and metabolically

synthesized by many soil organisms, in this

way, P. fluorescens could obtain iron at a

low energy cost in many competitive

situations. Interestingly, it should be noted

that purified desferrioxamine added to the

demonstrated

some

it is certainly

desferrioxamines

antifungal

more

are

previously. In

produced

soil.

since

fluorescens

such as

costly.

addition,

ambofaciens negatively impacted the growth because of the secretion of secondary metabolites and/or through nutrient competition (Dumas *et al.*, 2013).

Vegetative growth:

Data in Table (2) revealed that all bioagents treatments (Bacillus subtilis. Trichoderma harzianum, Pseudomonas fluoroscens and Streptomyces griseus) with different application numbers (one, two and three time) significantly increased vegetative growth (plant height, number of branches, fresh and dry weights (g/plant) compared with control plants in all cuts during two seasons. In the first season, the highest effective biocontrol treatment Streptomyces griseus were obtained from the three application numbers, and showed also the highest values of plant height (cm), number of branches/plant, fresh and dry weights were obtained when used at the second cut than the first cut at two seasons which gave (108.46 cm and 106.13 cm) and the number of branches (36.78 and 34.27). Herb fresh and dry weights increased gradually with increasing the application numbers. The heaviest fresh and dry weights were obtained from these plants applied with Streptomyces griseus in the first seasons. The values of herb fresh weight was (544.76 and 636.4 g/plant) and dry weight (157.37 and 162.55g/plant) for the first and second cuts, respectively. On the contrary the lowest values compared with any other biological treatments were recorded when Bacillus subtilis was used in two cuts at first season. While, in the second season, the plants with three applications treated for Pseudomonas fluoroscens gave the heaviest herb fresh weights (653.93 and 733.23 g/plant) and herb dry weight (165.15 and 183.46 g/plant), for the first and second cuts, respectively. Trichoderma harzianum was recorded the least bioagents effect on vegetative growth in the first cut but Bacillus subtilis in second cut at second seasons. Plant height, number of branches, in addition to fresh and dry weights show significant increase in plants treated with different

bioagents when compared with control increases treatments these in growth characteristics can be due to the growth regulators produced by them. In addition to these growth regulators, also protect plants against disease, consequently improve performance of plant in photosynthesis which leads to increase yield (Elad et al., 1980 and Lamb and Rosskopf, 2002). Soil actinomycetes particularly Streptomyces spp. (Streptomyces griseus Waksman) enhances soil fertility and has antagonistic activity against wide range of soil-borne plant pathogens (Dulaney, 1953 and Hallmann et al., 1997) to provide an effective method to increase productivity of crops (Emmert and Handelsman 1999). The mechanisms by which actinomycetes promote plant growth include mainly the production of plant growth regulators (PGRs) such as and auxines gibberellin-like substance (Katznelson and Cole 1965 and El-Tarabily and Sivasithamparam, 2003). It has ability to produce active compounds, such as antifungal and antibacterial antibiotics or plant growth regulators that have been developed agricultural for uses (Gopalakrishnan et al., 2011). Healthy root system can absorb high amount of nutrient substances which reflect in good healthy foliage system eventually this healthy foliage system gives good inflorescences, healthy seeds and high yield (Abd El-Moity et al., 1991; and Mosa et al., 2013). In addition to effect of different bioagents on size and health of root systems, these bioagents also produce some plant growth promoting (PGP) materials which improve plant growth. Pseudomonas fluorescens also used as biocontrol agent. Pseudomonas fluorescens antagonizes pathogenic fungi through certain mode of action. This mode of action rely on cleating all available ferrous in the court of infection (Naureen et al., 2009) in addition production of some pigments and to antibiotics (Jaryaraj et al., 2007). Healthy plants, as obtained data, have bigger plant with more branches this bigger green area carry out more photosynthesis more sugar consequently more oil. These increases are

OI sweet basil p		height		No. of branches		sh weight	Herb dry	
Treatments	(ci 1 st	m) 2 nd	1 st	2 nd	(g/p] 1 st	lant) 2 nd	weight (1 st	g/plant) 2 nd
	cut	cut	cut	2 cut	cut	cut	cut	cut
	cut		st season 2		cut	cut	cut	cut
Control	62.55	52.94	11.48	10.81	146.53	156.52	36.54	39.13
Bacillus subtilis-1	102.12	101.83	30.24	31.60	425.42	602.24	130.26	151.72
Bacillus subtilis-2	102.99	103.65	32.63	34.26	503.94	606.68	142.35	153.58
Bacillus subtilis-3	102.14	104.14	33.40	34.25	515.61	617.28	147.03	155.44
Trichoderma harzianum-1	103.05	102.70	31.40	30.53	493.14	536.70	135.81	135.04
Trichodermaharzianum-2	103.09	105.73	32.52	34.20	504.97	554.71	143.22	141.95
Trichoderma harzianum-3	103.60	105.77	32.36	34.42	513.77	603.43	144.19	152.89
Streptomyces griseus-1	102.49	102.49	32.00	34.72	500.56	600.67	141.55	151.98
Streptomyces griseus-2	105.41	107.66	33.87	35.56	540.52	608.48	155.29	153.55
Streptomyces griseus-3	106.13	108.46	34.27	36.78	544.76	636.14	157.37	162.55
Pseudomonas flouresens-1	100.44	101.44	31.36	34.63	515.89	596.60	144.40	145.12
Pseudomonas flouresens-2	104.81	104.20	33.82	34.41	530.72	602.14	147.94	149.53
Pseudomonas flouresens-3	105.09	105.88	34.00	34.88	538.31	611.99	148.58	152.96
LSD at 5 %	2.78	1.84	1.96	1.74	8.80	6.16	4.36	5.33
		Seco	nd season	2017				
Control	50.97	73.61	12.54	14.09	169.11	207.86	42.74	51.47
Bacillus subtilis-1	101.72	103.64	31.74	32.24	593.07	610.11	151.89	153.63
Bacillus subtilis-2	102.74	105.84	31.76	32.89	614.90	617.52	155.00	155.72
Bacillus subtilis-3	102.81	108.17	32.75	34.56	641.02	632.16	158.45	160.77
Trichoderma harzianum-1	100.73	107.79	30.87	33.27	615.56	634.58	152.93	158.46
Trichodermaharzianum-2	101.70	111.72	31.17	35.12	620.40	653.90	154.45	163.93
Trichoderma harzianum-3	102.44	112.90	31.93	35.55	638.15	673.00	158.46	167.74
Streptomyces griseus-1	103.80	113.49	29.02	31.95	605.11	641.06	154.59	162.60
Streptomyces griseus-2	105.49	115.31	30.82	35.66	623.08	659.79	156.55	164.49
Streptomyces griseus-3	107.99	116.24	31.94	35.88	642.20	683.76	160.24	172.60
Pseudomonas flouresens-1	102.77	109.29	31.00	32.07	592.51	714.03	150.94	178.86
Pseudomonas flouresens-2	104.88	112.68	32.47	34.04	638.92	731.79	157.53	181.12
Pseudomonas flouresens-3	105.53	115.45	33.13	37.62	653.93	733.27	165.15	183.46
LSD at 5 %	2.56	2.82	1.62	1.46	6.26	4.27	3.64	3.02

 Table 2. Effect of some bioagents at different applications number on vegetative growth of sweet basil plants during 2016 and 2017seasons.

Number of applications: 1=One times, 2= Two times, 3= Three times.

due to effect of these bioagents on plant protection against different diseases. Healthy vigor plants produce more green leaves which carry out photosynthesis. This photosynthesis leads to high amount of branches and yield (Abdel-Aziz, 2010). Data also showed that adding antagonists as soil drench showed good results in protecting plants and productivity. This is due to adding antagonists in liquid form allow antagonists to spread in court of infection very fast which take little time to germinate and spread in court of infection preventing pathogens to come close and attack plant tissue, Abd El-Moity (1976).

Oil yield:

Data presented in Table (3) revealed that, essential oil percentage and oil yield ml/plant were significantly affected by different treatments of bioagents as compared with control. It was evident that all

¥	Ēssentia	l oil (%)	Essential oil yield/ plant (ml)			
Treatments	1^{st}	2^{nd}	1^{st}	2 nd		
	cut	cut	cut	cut		
		ason 2016				
Control	0.13	0.15	0.19	0.23		
Bacillus subtilis-1	0.23	0.27	0.98	1.63		
Bacillus subtilis-2	0.24	0.31	1.21	1.88		
Bacillus subtilis-3	0.24	0.32	1.24	1.98		
Trichoderma harzianum-1	0.24	0.27	1.18	1.45		
Trichodermaharzianum-2	0.25	0.32	1.26	1.78		
Trichoderma harzianum-3	0.26	0.32	1.34	1.93		
Streptomyces griseus-1	0.27	0.33	1.35	1.98		
Streptomyces griseus-2	0.30	0.37	1.62	2.25		
Streptomyces griseus-3	0.31	0.38	1.69	2.42		
Pseudomonas flouresens-1	0.24	0.32	1.24	1.91		
Pseudomonas flouresens-2	0.26	0.34	1.38	2.05		
Pseudomonas flouresens-3	0.26	0.34	1.40	2.08		
LSD at 5 %	0.25	0.31	1.24	1.81		
	Second s	eason 2017				
Control	0.14	0.17	0.24	0.35		
Bacillus subtilis-1	0.22	0.30	1.30	1.83		
Bacillus subtilis-2	0.24	0.32	1.48	1.98		
Bacillus subtilis-3	0.24	0.33	1.54	2.09		
Trichoderma harzianum-1	0.23	0.31	1.42	1.97		
Trichodermaharzianum-2	0.24	0.33	1.49	2.16		
Trichoderma harzianum-3	0.24	0.33	1.53	2.22		
Streptomyces griseus-1	0.24	0.36	1.45	2.31		
Streptomyces griseus-2	0.25	0.37	1.56	2.44		
Streptomyces griseus-3	0.25	0.37	1.61	2.53		
Pseudomonas flouresens-1	0.23	0.35	1.36	2.50		
Pseudomonas flouresens-2	0.25	0.38	1.60	2.78		
Pseudomonas flouresens-3	0.26	0.39	1.70	2.86		
LSD at 5 %	0.24	0.33	1.41	2.16		

 Table 3. Effect of some bioagents at different applications number on oil percentage and oil yield/plant of sweet basil plants during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Number of applications: 1=One times, 2= Two times, 3= Three times.

bioagents in most treatments have positive effect on oil percentage and oil yield ml/plant in all applications number at two seasons. Data obtained in Table (3) revealed that *Streptomyces griseus* was the most bioagents which increased oil percentage and oil yield ml/plant with three applications in the two cuts of the first season, which recorded (0.31 and 0.38 %) and oil yield /plant (1.69 and 2.42 ml/plant). While, in the second season, plants treated with three applications number of *Pseudomonas* *fluoroscens* gave the highest values of essential oil percentage and oil yield ml/plant (0.26 and 0.39%) and (1.70 and 2.86 ml/plant) in the first and second cuts, respectively. *Streptomyces griseus* led to increase oil percentage and oil yield. These increases in size of plants were correlated with increase in essential oil due to that potassium element is responsible for oil formation (Mahfouz and Sharaf-Eldin, 2007). In addition to action of potassium Subramaniam *et al.* (2012) explained that increase size and weight of panicle rice treated with Streptomyces sp. is due to plant growth promoting (PGP) produce by adding antagonists, this lead to improve potassium absorbing consequently increase percentage of oil (Mahfouz and Sharaf-Eldin, 2007 and Gebily. 2015). Actinomycetales are especially useful as biological agents for reducing phytopathogen infection in that they produce over 60% of the approximately 5,000 known antibiotics, some strains synthesizing 30 or more including many with fungicidal activity. They produce an enormous diversity of hydrolytic enzymes including enzymes that degrade fungal cell wall components, such as chitinases, cellulases, and glucanases. They are heterotrophically diverse, as they are evolutionarily adapted for growth in the soil or in close proximity to plant roots utilizing a wide range of carbon sources. They produce spores under environmental deleterious

conditions. They grow vegetative as mycelia, thus allowing root colonization and translocation of nutrients over relatively large distances.

Essential oil component:

The composition of sweet basil essential oil, hydro distilled from the fresh herb from different treatments at the second cut of the second season, were analyzed by GC. In our work, Data showed that essential oil constituents were also affected by presence of different used biocontrol agents. The chemical composition regarding major compounds present in the essential oils of sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) plants are presented in Table (4).

Linalool was the most abundant compound in all analyzed oils, followed by Terpinole, β - Caryophyllene, 1, 8 Cineol, Campher, Methyl chavicol, Geranyl acetate, α -pinene and β -pinene.

D		Esse	ential oil	compone	nt				
Treatments	α -Pinene	β -Pinene	1,8 Cineol	linalool	Campher	Terpinole	Geranyl acetate	Methyl chavicol	β- Caryophylle
Control	1.38	0.12	8.14	35.00	3.33	31.74	3.65	4.15	10.25
Bacillus subtilis-1	1.03	0.03	4.18	27.03	3.25	21.04	5.64	3.61	11.52
Bacillus subtilis-2	0.51	0.63	4.02	41.63	5.00	22.26	4.28	2.02	15.26
Bacillus subtilis-3	0.39	0.42	1.13	42.15	5.16	30.50	2.96	3.71	11.32
Trichoderma harzianum-1	0.63	0.54	6.42	36.81	2.93	20.90	3.78	2.22	10.18
Trichodermaharzianum-2	0.72	0.55	6.73	42.84	3.47	23.01	4.40	1.78	11.39
Trichoderma harzianum-3	0.67	0.44	6.88	42.91	3.65	24.65	5.11	2.09	11.53
Streptomyces griseus-1	1.52	0.19	6.64	37.39	3.88	18.14	4.07	2.82	10.21
Streptomyces griseus-2	0.75	0.05	5.12	40.38	5.27	21.52	4.77	2.32	10.11
Streptomyces griseus-3	0.66	0.73	5.95	43.51	4.94	24.40	4.84	0.80	10.52
Pseudomonas flouresens-1	0.40	0.38	3.43	35.35	4.54	21.35	4.52	4.13	8.64
Pseudomonas flouresens-2	0.38	1.63	5.42	40.64	5.12	24.03	4.63	4.08	10.11
Pseudomonas flouresens-3	0.28	0.86	4.69	39.69	5.33	25.34	5.31	3.34	10.35

 Table 4. Effect of some bioagents at different applications number on essential oil composition of sweet basil in the first cut second season 2017.

Number of applications: 1=One times, 2= Two times, 3= Three times.

All treatments resulted in increased the main component (linalool) compared to untreated plants (35 %), except plants treated with one applications of Bacillus subtilis decreased it (27.03%). While, when plants treated with the three applications of Streptomyces griseus gave the highest value of linalool (43.51%). Data showed that, all treatments of bioagents (Bacillus subtilis, harzianum. Pseudomonas Trichoderma fluoroscens and Streptomyces griseus) with different application numbers (one, two or three time) decreased Methyl chavicol compared with control (4.15%). These results can explained in the light of the fact that plants, some time, produce toxic substance as mechanism of acquired resistance (Abd El-Moniem, 2001). Τ. harzianum belong to family Moniliaceae which contains a lot of pathogens, so that T. harzianum stimulate this phenomena and percentage of oil was increased in treated basil plants compare with control or other of B. subtilis and Streptomyces griseus. B. subtilis or streptomyces griseus did not estimate the same effect because no relating members to these genera can cause any disease for basil (Hader et al., 1992 and Gebily, 2015). The fluctuation within this margin is controlled according to some physiological factors. One of these factors can be explained through the work of (Mahmoud et al., 1995 and Abd El-Moniem, 2001) they stated that treating plants with fungal spores led to production of some antifungal substance, which create acquired resistance.

Pigments and total carbohydrates contents:

Data presented in Table (5) showed that, application of different biological treatments at different application numbers led to changes in some chemical components compared with control treatment. Data also indicate that, positive correlation between increase of total carotenoids and percentage of total carbohydrates and increase the number of applications when used bioagent

was noticed in first and second cuts at two seasons. Using Streptomyces griseus either two or three times application recorded the same results in the two cuts of the two seasons, showed the highest values on the chlorophyll (a) and (b) compared with any treatment. the contrary, other On Streptomyces griseus when used in three times application, the highest values on carotenoids and total carbohydrates were recorded compare with any other biological treatment in first season. While, in the second season, when plants treated with the three applications of Pseudomonas fluoroscens gave the highest values of chlorophyll (a), (b) and carotenoids contents. Streptomyces griseus occupied the first rank after three application numbers which recorded the highest total carbohydrates percentage in the two cuts compared with treatments or control plants. any А remarkable diversity of metabolites with antibiotic activity is produced by Pseudomonas, Bacillus, and Streptomycetes strains. Some of these microorganisms could produce simultaneously more than one compound (for example, P. fluorescens strains CHAO and Pf-5 and/or act by more than one mechanism (e.g., antibiosis and competition for nutrients). These bioagents could bio-fertilizers act as and as biopesticides. In this way, PGPR could constitute a group of bacteria of great importance. In addition to the nitrogen supply Rhizo-bio-promote growth of the plant as a symbiotic partner in several ways, mobilization of such as nutrients. enhancement resistance. in stress solubilization of phosphates, production of siderophores. phytohormones and Siderophores act as iron source for the plant under iron depleted conditions (Mingma et al., 2015). Among bioactive compound producers. the genus Streptomyces is dominant, and produces compounds such as tetracycline, streptomycin, ivermectin. nystatin, etc.. (Ser et al., 2015).

LSD at 5 %0.0160.0110.0510.1310.0210.0112.302.35Second season 2017Control0.2080.2170.2080.2170.6750.70020.1819.18Bacillus subtilis-10.2740.2810.2740.2811.0431.04125.2424.24Bacillus subtilis-20.2810.2830.2810.2831.0651.05828.5627.56Bacillus subtilis-30.2820.2850.2820.2851.1491.12930.3829.71Trichoderma harzianum-10.2890.2900.2900.2911.0641.16230.3029.63Trichoderma harzianum-20.2900.2910.2780.2921.1741.16231.0130.51Streptomyces griseus-10.2780.2790.2780.2791.0781.08230.2231.22Streptomyces griseus-30.2850.2970.2850.2971.2291.21134.6435.98Pseudomonas flouresens-10.2890.3000.2890.3001.0781.08031.1632.83Pseudomonas flouresens-30.2950.3080.2950.3081.1731.16031.8435.18	constituents in sweet basil plants during 2016 and 2017 seasons.									
If earlinems Ist out eut eut eut eut eut eut eut eut eut e		chlorop	chlorophyll (a)		chlorophyll (b)		enoids	(%) Total		
1 st 2 nd 1 st 2 st <t< th=""><th>Treatments</th><th></th><th colspan="2"></th><th colspan="2"></th><th colspan="2"></th><th>ydrates</th></t<>	Treatments								ydrates	
First season 2016 Control 0.224 0.213 0.224 0.213 0.708 0.730 22.85 23.18 Bacillus subtilis-1 0.274 0.271 0.274 0.271 0.274 0.271 1.046 1.062 30.24 33.24 Bacillus subtilis-2 0.283 0.277 0.286 0.286 1.177 1.175 34.71 37.05 Trichoderma harzianum-1 0.291 0.289 0.291 0.286 1.167 1.161 35.63 37.63 Trichoderma harzianum-2 0.292 0.295 0.292 0.295 1.174 1.171 36.01 37.60 Streptomyces griseus-1 0.283 0.290 0.283 0.290 1.187 1.122 35.31 37.98 Streptomyces griseus-3 0.296 0.302 0.296 0.302 1.302 1.604 33.49 Streptomyces griseus-3 0.287 0.287 0.287 0.278 1.034 1.025 30.24 33.58 Pseudomo	1 i cutilicittis	1^{st}	2 nd	1^{st}	2^{nd}	1^{st}	2^{nd}	1^{st}	2^{nd}	
Control 0.224 0.213 0.224 0.213 0.708 0.730 22.85 23.18 Bacillus subtilis-1 0.274 0.271 0.274 0.271 1.046 1.062 30.24 33.24 Bacillus subtilis-2 0.283 0.277 0.283 0.277 1.076 1.085 33.56 33.56 Bacillus subtilis-3 0.284 0.286 0.284 0.286 1.177 1.175 34.71 37.05 Trichoderma harzianum-1 0.291 0.289 0.291 0.289 1.077 1.073 33.77 35.77 Trichoderma harzianum-3 0.292 0.295 0.292 0.295 1.174 1.161 35.63 37.63 Streptomyces griseus-1 0.283 0.290 0.283 0.290 1.074 1.088 32.22 35.89 Streptomyces griseus-3 0.296 0.302 0.296 0.302 1.302 1.302 36.64 38.31 Pseudomonas flouresens-1 0.287 0.287 0.287		cut				cut	cut	cut	cut	
Bacillus subtilis-1 0.274 0.271 0.274 0.271 1.046 1.062 30.24 33.24 Bacillus subtilis-2 0.283 0.277 0.283 0.277 1.076 1.085 33.56 33.56 Bacillus subtilis-3 0.284 0.286 0.284 0.286 1.177 1.175 34.71 37.05 Trichoderma harzianum-1 0.291 0.289 0.291 0.289 1.077 1.073 33.77 35.77 Trichoderma harzianum-3 0.292 0.295 0.292 0.295 1.174 1.171 36.01 37.60 Streptomyces griseus-1 0.283 0.290 0.296 0.302 1.074 1.088 32.22 35.89 Streptomyces griseus-2 0.296 0.302 0.296 0.302 1.302 16.64 38.31 Pseudomonas flouresens-1 0.287 0.278 0.278 1.034 1.025 30.24 33.58 Pseudomonas flouresens-3 0.287 0.291 0.164 1.164		0.004				0.500	0.500	22 0 7	22.10	
Bacillus subtilis-2 0.283 0.277 0.283 0.277 1.076 1.085 33.56 33.56 Bacillus subtilis-3 0.284 0.286 0.284 0.286 1.177 1.175 34.71 37.05 Trichoderma harzianum-1 0.291 0.289 0.291 0.289 1.077 1.073 33.77 35.77 Trichoderma harzianum-3 0.292 0.295 0.292 0.295 1.167 1.161 35.63 37.63 Streptomyces griseus-1 0.283 0.290 0.283 0.290 1.074 1.088 32.22 35.89 Streptomyces griseus-3 0.296 0.302 0.296 0.302 1.87 1.122 35.31 37.98 Streptomyces griseus-3 0.296 0.302 0.296 0.302 1.304 1.005 30.24 33.58 Pseudomonas flouresens-1 0.287 0.287 0.278 1.034 1.024 34.83 Pseudomonas flouresens-3 0.287 0.287 0.287 0.287										
Bacillus subtilis-3 0.284 0.286 0.284 0.286 1.177 1.175 34.71 37.05 Trichoderma harzianum-1 0.291 0.289 0.291 0.289 1.077 1.073 33.77 35.77 Trichoderma harzianum-2 0.293 0.296 0.292 0.295 1.167 1.161 35.63 37.63 Trichoderma harzianum-3 0.292 0.295 0.292 0.295 1.174 1.171 36.01 37.60 Streptomyces griseus-1 0.283 0.290 0.283 0.290 1.074 1.088 32.22 35.89 Streptomyces griseus-3 0.296 0.302 0.296 0.302 1.302 1.302 36.64 38.31 Pseudomonas flouresens-1 0.287 0.287 0.286 0.286 1.084 1.067 32.49 34.83 Pseudomonas flouresens-3 0.287 0.287 0.291 1.164 1.164 34.51 35.84 LSD at 5 % 0.016 0.011 0.051 0										
Trichoderma harzianum-1 0.291 0.289 0.291 0.289 1.077 1.073 33.77 35.77 Trichodermaharzianum-2 0.293 0.296 0.293 0.296 1.167 1.161 35.63 37.63 Trichoderma harzianum-3 0.292 0.292 0.292 0.295 1.174 1.171 36.01 37.60 Streptomyces griseus-1 0.283 0.290 0.283 0.290 1.074 1.088 32.22 35.89 Streptomyces griseus-3 0.296 0.302 0.296 0.302 1.302 1.302 36.64 38.31 Pseudomonas flouresens-1 0.287 0.278 0.287 0.278 1.034 1.025 30.24 35.84 Pseudomonas flouresens-3 0.287 0.291 0.287 0.291 1.164 1.164 34.51 35.84 LSD at 5 % 0.016 0.011 0.051 0.131 0.021 0.011 2.30 2.35 Meetudomonas flouresens-3 0.287 0.281 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>										
Trichodermaharzianum-2 0.293 0.296 0.293 0.296 1.167 1.161 35.63 37.63 Trichoderma harzianum-3 0.292 0.295 0.292 0.295 1.174 1.171 36.01 37.60 Streptomyces griseus-1 0.283 0.290 0.283 0.290 1.074 1.088 32.22 35.89 Streptomyces griseus-2 0.296 0.302 0.296 0.302 1.302 1.302 36.64 38.31 Pseudomonas flouresens-1 0.287 0.278 0.287 0.278 1.034 1.025 30.24 33.58 Pseudomonas flouresens-2 0.287 0.286 0.285 0.286 1.084 1.067 32.49 34.83 Pseudomonas flouresens-3 0.287 0.291 0.287 0.291 1.164 1.164 34.51 35.84 LSD at 5 % 0.016 0.011 0.051 0.131 0.021 0.011 2.30 2.35 Steend season 2017 0.208 0.217 0.675 0.700 20.18 19.18 Bacillus subtilis-1 0.274 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>										
Second season 2017 0.292 0.292 0.295 1.174 1.171 36.01 37.60 Streptomyces griseus-1 0.283 0.290 0.283 0.290 1.074 1.088 32.22 35.89 Streptomyces griseus-2 0.296 0.302 0.296 0.302 1.187 1.122 35.31 37.98 Streptomyces griseus-3 0.296 0.302 0.296 0.302 1.302 1.302 36.64 38.31 Pseudomonas flouresens-1 0.287 0.278 0.286 0.285 0.286 1.084 1.067 32.49 34.83 Pseudomonas flouresens-3 0.287 0.291 0.287 0.291 1.164 1.164 34.51 35.84 LSD at 5 % 0.016 0.011 0.051 0.131 0.021 0.011 2.30 2.35 Control 0.208 0.217 0.208 0.217 0.675 0.700 20.18 19.18 Bacillus subtilis-1 0.274 0.281 0.281 1.	Trichoderma harzianum-1	0.291	0.289	0.291	0.289	1.077	1.073	33.77	35.77	
Streptomyces griseus-1 0.283 0.290 0.283 0.290 1.074 1.088 32.22 35.89 Streptomyces griseus-2 0.296 0.302 0.296 0.302 1.187 1.122 35.31 37.98 Streptomyces griseus-3 0.296 0.302 0.296 0.302 1.302 1.302 36.64 38.31 Pseudomonas flouresens-1 0.287 0.278 0.287 0.278 1.034 1.025 30.24 33.58 Pseudomonas flouresens-2 0.287 0.287 0.287 0.291 1.164 1.164 34.51 35.84 LSD at 5 % 0.016 0.011 0.051 0.131 0.021 0.011 2.30 2.35 Stecond season 2017 Control 0.208 0.217 0.208 0.217 0.675 0.700 20.18 19.18 Bacillus subtilis-1 0.274 0.281 0.282 0.282 0.282 0.282 1.043 1.041 25.24 24.24 Bacillus subtilis-3	Trichodermaharzianum-2	0.293	0.296	0.293	0.296	1.167	1.161	35.63		
Streptomyces griseus-2 0.296 0.302 0.296 0.302 1.187 1.122 35.31 37.98 Streptomyces griseus-3 0.296 0.302 0.296 0.302 1.302 1.302 36.64 38.31 Pseudomonas flouresens-1 0.287 0.278 0.287 0.278 1.034 1.025 30.24 33.58 Pseudomonas flouresens-2 0.285 0.286 0.287 0.278 1.034 1.025 30.24 33.58 Pseudomonas flouresens-3 0.287 0.291 0.287 0.291 1.164 1.164 34.51 35.84 LSD at 5 % 0.016 0.011 0.051 0.131 0.021 0.011 2.30 2.35 Machines ubtilis-1 0.208 0.217 0.675 0.700 20.18 19.18 Bacillus subtilis-1 0.274 0.281 0.283 1.043 1.041 25.24 24.24 Bacillus subtilis-3 0.282 0.285 0.282 0.285 1.149 1.129	Trichoderma harzianum-3	0.292	0.295	0.292	0.295	1.174	1.171	36.01	37.60	
Streptomyces griseus-3 0.296 0.302 0.296 0.302 1.302 1.302 36.64 38.31 Pseudomonas flouresens-1 0.287 0.278 0.287 0.278 1.034 1.025 30.24 33.58 Pseudomonas flouresens-2 0.285 0.286 0.285 0.286 1.084 1.067 32.49 34.83 Pseudomonas flouresens-3 0.287 0.291 0.287 0.291 1.164 1.164 34.51 35.84 LSD at 5 % 0.016 0.011 0.051 0.131 0.021 0.011 2.30 2.35 Second season 2017 Control 0.208 0.217 0.208 0.217 0.675 0.700 20.18 19.18 Bacillus subtilis-1 0.274 0.281 0.281 1.043 1.041 25.24 24.24 Bacillus subtilis-3 0.282 0.285 0.282 1.055 1.058 28.56 27.56 Bacillus subtilis-3 0.282 0.285 0.290 1.078 1.070 29.44 28.11 Trichoderma harzianu	Streptomyces griseus-1	0.283	0.290	0.283	0.290	1.074	1.088	32.22	35.89	
Pseudomonas flouresens-1 0.287 0.278 0.287 0.278 1.034 1.025 30.24 33.58 Pseudomonas flouresens-2 0.285 0.286 0.285 0.286 1.084 1.067 32.49 34.83 Pseudomonas flouresens-3 0.287 0.291 0.287 0.291 1.164 1.164 34.51 35.84 LSD at 5 % 0.016 0.011 0.051 0.131 0.021 0.011 2.30 2.35 Second season 2017 Control 0.208 0.217 0.675 0.700 20.18 19.18 Bacillus subtilis-1 0.274 0.281 0.274 0.281 1.043 1.041 25.24 24.24 Bacillus subtilis-2 0.281 0.282 0.282 0.285 1.149 1.129 30.38 29.71 Trichoderma harzianum-1 0.289 0.290 0.291 1.078 1.070 29.44 28.11 Trichoderma harzianum-3 0.290 0.291 0.278 <th< td=""><td>Streptomyces griseus-2</td><td>0.296</td><td>0.302</td><td>0.296</td><td>0.302</td><td>1.187</td><td>1.122</td><td>35.31</td><td>37.98</td></th<>	Streptomyces griseus-2	0.296	0.302	0.296	0.302	1.187	1.122	35.31	37.98	
Pseudomonas flouresens-3 0.285 0.286 0.286 1.084 1.067 32.49 34.83 Pseudomonas flouresens-3 0.287 0.291 0.287 0.291 1.164 1.164 34.51 35.84 LSD at 5 % 0.016 0.011 0.051 0.131 0.021 0.011 2.30 2.35 Second season 2017 Control 0.208 0.217 0.208 0.217 0.675 0.700 20.18 19.18 Bacillus subtilis-1 0.274 0.281 0.274 0.281 1.043 1.041 25.24 24.24 Bacillus subtilis-3 0.282 0.285 0.282 0.285 1.149 1.129 30.38 29.71 Trichoderma harzianum-1 0.289 0.290 0.291 1.064 1.162 31.01 30.51 Streptomyces griseus-1 0.278 0.279 0.278 0.279 1.078 1.082 30.22 31.22 Streptomyces griseus-2 0.280 0.292 0.	Streptomyces griseus-3	0.296	0.302	0.296	0.302	1.302	1.302	36.64	38.31	
Pseudomonas LSD at 5 % 0.287 0.291 0.287 0.291 1.164 1.164 34.51 35.84 LSD at 5 % 0.016 0.011 0.051 0.131 0.021 0.011 2.30 2.35 Second season 2017 Control 0.208 0.217 0.208 0.217 0.675 0.700 20.18 19.18 Bacillus subtilis-1 0.274 0.281 0.274 0.281 1.043 1.041 25.24 24.24 Bacillus subtilis-2 0.281 0.283 0.283 1.065 1.058 28.56 27.56 Bacillus subtilis-3 0.282 0.285 0.282 0.285 1.149 1.129 30.38 29.71 Trichoderma harzianum-1 0.289 0.290 0.291 0.270 1.078 1.070 29.44 28.11 Trichoderma harzianum-3 0.290 0.291 0.290 0.291 1.164 1.162 31.01 30.51 Streptomyces griseus-1 0.278 0.279 <td>Pseudomonas flouresens-1</td> <td>0.287</td> <td>0.278</td> <td>0.287</td> <td>0.278</td> <td>1.034</td> <td>1.025</td> <td>30.24</td> <td>33.58</td>	Pseudomonas flouresens-1	0.287	0.278	0.287	0.278	1.034	1.025	30.24	33.58	
LSD at 5 %0.0160.0110.0510.1310.0210.0112.302.35Second season 2017Control0.2080.2170.2080.2170.6750.70020.1819.18Bacillus subtilis-10.2740.2810.2740.2811.0431.04125.2424.24Bacillus subtilis-20.2810.2830.2810.2831.0651.05828.5627.56Bacillus subtilis-30.2820.2850.2820.2851.1491.12930.3829.71Trichoderma harzianum-10.2890.2900.2900.2911.0641.16230.3029.63Trichoderma harzianum-20.2900.2910.2780.2921.1741.16231.0130.51Streptomyces griseus-10.2780.2790.2780.2791.0781.08230.2231.22Streptomyces griseus-30.2850.2970.2850.2971.2291.21134.6435.98Pseudomonas flouresens-10.2890.3000.2890.3001.0781.08031.1632.83Pseudomonas flouresens-30.2950.3080.2950.3081.1731.16031.8435.18	Pseudomonas flouresens-2	0.285	0.286	0.285	0.286	1.084	1.067	32.49	34.83	
Second season 2017Control0.2080.2170.2080.2170.6750.70020.1819.18Bacillus subtilis-10.2740.2810.2740.2811.0431.04125.2424.24Bacillus subtilis-20.2810.2830.2810.2831.0651.05828.5627.56Bacillus subtilis-30.2820.2850.2820.2851.1491.12930.3829.71Trichoderma harzianum-10.2890.2900.2900.2901.0781.07029.4428.11Trichoderma harzianum-20.2900.2910.2900.2911.1641.16230.3029.63Trichoderma harzianum-30.2900.2920.2900.2921.1741.16231.0130.51Streptomyces griseus-10.2780.2790.2780.2791.0781.08230.2231.22Streptomyces griseus-30.2850.2970.2850.2971.2291.21134.6435.98Pseudomonas flouresens-10.2890.3000.2890.3001.0781.08031.1632.83Pseudomonas flouresens-30.2950.3080.2950.3081.1731.16031.8435.18	Pseudomonas flouresens-3	0.287	0.291	0.287	0.291	1.164	1.164	34.51	35.84	
Control0.2080.2170.2080.2170.6750.70020.1819.18Bacillus subtilis-10.2740.2810.2740.2811.0431.04125.2424.24Bacillus subtilis-20.2810.2830.2810.2831.0651.05828.5627.56Bacillus subtilis-30.2820.2820.2820.2820.2851.1491.12930.3829.71Trichoderma harzianum-10.2890.2900.2900.2901.0781.07029.4428.11Trichoderma harzianum-20.2900.2910.2900.2911.1641.16230.3029.63Trichoderma harzianum-30.2900.2920.2900.2921.1741.16231.0130.51Streptomyces griseus-10.2780.2790.2780.2791.0781.08230.2231.22Streptomyces griseus-30.2850.2970.2850.2971.2291.21134.6435.98Pseudomonas flouresens-10.2890.3000.2890.3001.0781.08031.1632.83Pseudomonas flouresens-30.2950.3080.2950.3081.1731.16031.8435.18	LSD at 5 %	0.016	0.011	0.051	0.131	0.021	0.011	2.30	2.35	
Bacillus subtilis-10.2740.2810.2740.2811.0431.04125.2424.24Bacillus subtilis-20.2810.2830.2810.2831.0651.05828.5627.56Bacillus subtilis-30.2820.2820.2850.2820.2851.1491.12930.3829.71Trichoderma harzianum-10.2890.2900.2890.2901.0781.07029.4428.11Trichoderma harzianum-20.2900.2910.2900.2911.1641.16230.3029.63Trichoderma harzianum-30.2900.2920.2900.2921.1741.16231.0130.51Streptomyces griseus-10.2780.2790.2780.2791.0781.08230.2231.22Streptomyces griseus-30.2850.2970.2850.2971.2291.21134.6435.98Pseudomonas flouresens-10.2890.3000.2890.3001.0781.08031.1632.83Pseudomonas flouresens-30.2950.3080.2950.3081.1731.16031.8435.18			Secon	d season 2	2017					
Bacillus subtilis-20.2810.2830.2810.2831.0651.05828.5627.56Bacillus subtilis-30.2820.2820.2850.2820.2851.1491.12930.3829.71Trichoderma harzianum-10.2890.2900.2890.2901.0781.07029.4428.11Trichoderma harzianum-20.2900.2910.2900.2911.1641.16230.3029.63Trichoderma harzianum-30.2900.2920.2900.2921.1741.16231.0130.51Streptomyces griseus-10.2780.2790.2780.2791.0781.08230.2231.22Streptomyces griseus-20.2800.2930.2800.2931.0921.09433.9835.31Streptomyces griseus-30.2850.2970.2850.2971.2291.21134.6435.98Pseudomonas flouresens-10.2890.3000.2890.3001.0781.08031.1632.83Pseudomonas flouresens-30.2950.3080.2950.3081.1731.16031.8435.18	Control	0.208	0.217	0.208	0.217	0.675	0.700	20.18	19.18	
Bacillus subtilis-30.2820.2850.2820.2851.1491.12930.3829.71Trichoderma harzianum-10.2890.2900.2890.2901.0781.07029.4428.11Trichoderma harzianum-20.2900.2910.2900.2911.1641.16230.3029.63Trichoderma harzianum-30.2900.2920.2900.2921.1741.16231.0130.51Streptomyces griseus-10.2780.2790.2780.2791.0781.08230.2231.22Streptomyces griseus-20.2800.2930.2850.2971.2291.21134.6435.98Pseudomonas flouresens-10.2890.3000.2890.3001.0781.08031.1632.83Pseudomonas flouresens-30.2950.3080.2950.3081.1731.16031.8435.18	Bacillus subtilis-1	0.274	0.281	0.274	0.281	1.043	1.041	25.24	24.24	
Trichoderma harzianum-10.2890.2900.2890.2901.0781.07029.4428.11Trichodermaharzianum-20.2900.2910.2900.2911.1641.16230.3029.63Trichoderma harzianum-30.2900.2920.2900.2921.1741.16231.0130.51Streptomyces griseus-10.2780.2790.2780.2791.0781.08230.2231.22Streptomyces griseus-20.2800.2930.2800.2931.0921.09433.9835.31Streptomyces griseus-30.2850.2970.2850.2971.2291.21134.6435.98Pseudomonas flouresens-10.2890.3000.2890.3001.0781.08031.1632.83Pseudomonas flouresens-30.2950.3080.2950.3081.1731.16031.8435.18	Bacillus subtilis-2	0.281	0.283	0.281	0.283	1.065	1.058	28.56	27.56	
Trichodermaharzianum-20.2900.2910.2900.2911.1641.16230.3029.63Trichoderma harzianum-30.2900.2920.2900.2921.1741.16231.0130.51Streptomyces griseus-10.2780.2790.2780.2791.0781.08230.2231.22Streptomyces griseus-20.2800.2930.2800.2931.0921.09433.9835.31Streptomyces griseus-30.2850.2970.2850.2971.2291.21134.6435.98Pseudomonas flouresens-10.2890.3000.2890.3001.0781.08031.1632.83Pseudomonas flouresens-30.2950.3080.2950.3081.1731.16031.8435.18	Bacillus subtilis-3	0.282	0.285	0.282	0.285	1.149	1.129	30.38	29.71	
Trichodermaharzianum-20.2900.2910.2900.2911.1641.16230.3029.63Trichoderma harzianum-30.2900.2920.2900.2921.1741.16231.0130.51Streptomyces griseus-10.2780.2790.2780.2791.0781.08230.2231.22Streptomyces griseus-20.2800.2930.2800.2931.0921.09433.9835.31Streptomyces griseus-30.2850.2970.2850.2971.2291.21134.6435.98Pseudomonas flouresens-10.2890.3000.2890.3001.0781.08031.1632.83Pseudomonas flouresens-30.2950.3080.2950.3081.1731.16031.8435.18	Trichoderma harzianum-1	0.289	0.290	0.289	0.290	1.078	1.070	29.44	28.11	
Streptomyces griseus-10.2780.2790.2780.2791.0781.08230.2231.22Streptomyces griseus-20.2800.2930.2800.2931.0921.09433.9835.31Streptomyces griseus-30.2850.2970.2850.2971.2291.21134.6435.98Pseudomonas flouresens-10.2890.3000.2890.3001.0291.0781.08031.1632.83Pseudomonas flouresens-30.2950.3080.2950.3081.1731.16031.8435.18	Trichodermaharzianum-2	0.290	0.291	0.290	0.291	1.164	1.162	30.30	29.63	
Streptomyces griseus-10.2780.2790.2780.2791.0781.08230.2231.22Streptomyces griseus-20.2800.2930.2800.2931.0921.09433.9835.31Streptomyces griseus-30.2850.2970.2850.2971.2291.21134.6435.98Pseudomonas flouresens-10.2890.3000.2890.3001.0291.0781.08031.1632.83Pseudomonas flouresens-30.2950.3080.2950.3081.1731.16031.8435.18	Trichoderma harzianum-3	0.290	0.292	0.290	0.292	1.174	1.162	31.01	30.51	
Streptomyces griseus-20.2800.2930.2800.2931.0921.09433.9835.31Streptomyces griseus-30.2850.2970.2850.2971.2291.21134.6435.98Pseudomonas flouresens-10.2890.3000.2890.3001.0291.02128.5829.58Pseudomonas flouresens-20.2940.3050.2940.3051.0781.08031.1632.83Pseudomonas flouresens-30.2950.3080.2950.3081.1731.16031.8435.18										
Streptomyces griseus-30.2850.2970.2850.2971.2291.21134.6435.98Pseudomonas flouresens-10.2890.3000.2890.3001.0291.02128.5829.58Pseudomonas flouresens-20.2940.3050.2940.3051.0781.08031.1632.83Pseudomonas flouresens-30.2950.3080.2950.3081.1731.16031.8435.18			0.293				1.094	33.98		
Pseudomonas flouresens-10.2890.3000.2890.3001.0291.02128.5829.58Pseudomonas flouresens-20.2940.3050.2940.3051.0781.08031.1632.83Pseudomonas flouresens-30.2950.3080.2950.3081.1731.16031.8435.18										
Pseudomonas flouresens-20.2940.3050.2940.3051.0781.08031.1632.83Pseudomonas flouresens-30.2950.3080.2950.3081.1731.16031.8435.18										
Pseudomonas flouresens-3 0.295 0.308 0.295 0.308 1.173 1.160 31.84 35.18	•									
	÷									
	LSD at 5 %	0.015	0.007	0.202	0.151	1.043	1.041	1.85	2.45	

Table 5. Effect of some bioagents at different applications number on chemical
constituents in sweet basil plants during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Number of applications: 1=One times, 2= Two times, 3= Three times.

CONCLUSION

It could be concluded that the application of all bioagents are safety and effective method against soil borne fungi. Also, it gave the highest percentage of survive plants, growth, yield and oil production and the highest percentage of main component of the essential oil. Among soil microorganisms, bacteria and fungi and to a lesser extent actinomycetes, have received considerable attention as biocontrol agents of soil-borne fungal plant pathogens and as plant growth promoters. Within actinomycetes, *Streptomyces griseus* was found to be more effective in this respect. Strains of *Streptomyces griseus* promote plant growth by producing plant growth regulators. Enhancement of plant growth by the antagonists is considered to help the host by producing compensatory roots that mask the impact of root diseases. So, in order to obtain good results in basil plantations under field conditions, treating basil plants with different bioagents at three number applications during the growing seasons could be recommended.

REFERENCES

- Abd El-Moity, T.H. (1976). Studies on The Biological Control of White Rot Disease of Onion. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minufiya Univ., Egypt, pp. 121.
- Abd El-Moity, T.H. (1981). Further Studies on The Biological Control of White Rot Disease of Onion. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minufiya Univ., Egypt, pp.135.
- Abd El-Moity, T.H; El-Deeb, A.A. and Radwan, I.A. (1991). Biological control of seedling diseases and pod rot of peanuts, under greenhouse and field conditions. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 6(1):103-112.
- Abd El-Moniem, Maisa L. (2001).Some Non-Chemical Evaluation of Methods to Control Some Soil Borne Fungi and Foliage Diseases of Cucumber. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., pp. 146.
- Abdel-Aziz, Abeer R.M. (2010). Pathological and Biochemical Studies on Root-Rot of Basil in Egypt. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Agric., Cairo Univ., pp. 92.
- Becker, J.O.and Cook, R. J. (1988). Role of siderophores in suppression of *Pythium* species and production of increased growth response of wheat by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. Phytopathology, 78:778-784.
- British Pharmacopoeia (1963). Determination of Valatile Oils in Druges. The Pharmaceutical Press, 17 Bloomsbury Square, London, W.C.L., pp. 220-222.
- Bunzen, J. N.; Guichard, J.; Labbe, P.; Prevot, J.; Sperpinet, J. and Tranchant, J.

(1969). Practical Manual of Gas Chromatography. Journal Tranchant, ed, El-Seivier Publ. Co., Amesterdam-London. pp. 189-206.

- Dudai, N.; Chaimovitsh, D.; Reuveni, R.; Ravid, U.; Larkov, O. and Putievsky, E. (2002). Breeding of sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum*) resistant to Fusarium wilt caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *basilicum*. J. Herbs Spices Medi. Plants, 9(2/3):45-55.
- Dulaney, E.D. (1953). Observation on *Streptomyces griseus* IV. further studies on strain selection for improved streptomycin production. Mycologia, 45: 481-487.
- Z.; Ross-Gillespie, Dumas. A. and Kummerli, R. (2013). Switching between iron-uptake apparently redundant mechanisms bacteria benefits in changeable environments. Proc. Biol. Sci. 280: 1055.1067 -
- Elad, Y.; Chet, I. and Katan, J. (1980). *Trichoderma harzianum*: A biocontrol agent effective against *Sclerotium rolfsii* and *Rhizocionia solani*. Phytopathol., 70:119-121.
- Elad, Y.; Chet, I.; Boyle, P. and Hens, Y. (1983). Parasitism of *Trichoderma spp.*on *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Sclerotium rolfsii* scanning electron microscopy and fluorescence microscopy. Phytopathology, 73:85-88.
- El-Tarabily, K.A. (2006). Rhizospherecompetent isolates of Streptomycete and non-streptomycete Actinomycetes capable of producing cell-wall degrading enzymes to control *Pythium aphanidermatum* damping-off disease of cucumber. Can. J. Bot., 84:211–222.
- El-Tarabily, K.A. and Sivasithamparam,K. (2003). Non streptomycete actinomycetes as biocontrol agents of soil borne fungal plant pathogens and as plant growth promoters. Soil Biol. Biochem., 38, 1505-1520.

- Emmert, E.A.B. and Handelsman, J. (1999). Biocontrol of plant disease: A Grampositive perspective FEMS Microbiol. Lett., 171: 1-9.
- Gibily, Doha A.S. (2015). Studies on Root Rot Disease of Fennel Under Organic Farming Regulations. M.SC. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Fayoum Univ., pp.121.
- Golinska, P. and Dahm, H. (2013).
 Antagonistic properties of Streptomyces isolated from forest soils against fungal pathogens of pine seedlings. Institute of Dendrology, Polish Academy of Sciences. Dendrobiology., 69:87-97.
- Gopalakrishnan, S.; Kiran, B.K.; Humayun,
 P.; Vidya, M.S.; Deepthi, K.; Jacob, S.;
 Vadlamudi, S.; Alekhya, G. and Rupela,
 O. (2011). Biocontrol of charcoal-rot of sorghum by actinomycetes isolated from herbal vermicompost. African J. Biotechnol., 10(79):142-152.
- Hader, Y.; Mandelbaum, R. and Gorodecki,
 B. (1992). Biological control of soil borne plant pathogens by suppressive compost. In 'Biological control of plant diseases'. (Eds ES Tjamos, GC Papavizas and RJ Cook) pp. 79–83. (Plenum Press: New York).
- Hallmann, J.; Quadt-Hallmann, A.; Mahaffee, W.F. and Kloepper, J.W. (1997). Bacterial endophytes in agricultural crops. Can. J. Microbiol. 43, 895-914.
- Harman, G.E.; Howell, C.R.; Viterbo, A.; Chet, I. and Lorito, M. (2004). *Trichoderma species* opportunistic, a virulent plant symbionts. Nature Review, 2:43-56.
- Hoftman, E. (1967). Chromatography. Reinhold Pulb. Corp. 2nd, 208-515.
- Hoster, F.; Schmitz, J.E. and Daniel, R. (2005).Enrichment of chitinolytic microorganisms: Isolation and characterization of a chitinase exhibiting antifungal activity against phytopathogenic fungi from novel a

Streptomyces strain. Appl Microbiol. Biotechnol., 66:434–442.

- Intana, W.; Yenjit, P.; Suwanno, T.; Sattaskulchai, S.; Suwanno, M. and Chamswarng, C. (2008). Efficacy of antifungal metabolites of *Bacillus spp*. for controlling tomato damping off caused by *Pythium aphanidermatum* Walailak. J. Sci. and Tech., 5 (1):29-38.
- Jackson, M.L. (1973). Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., India. trails .
- Jayashree, K.; Shanmugam, V.; Raguchander, T.; Ramanathan, A. and Samiyappan, R. (2000). Evaluation of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Pf-1) against black gram and sesame root-rot disease. J. Biol. Cont., 14:55-61.
- Jarvaraj. J.: Parthasarathi, T. and Radhakrishnan, N.V. (2007).Pseudomonas Characterization of a fluorescens strain from tomato rhizosphere and its use for integrated management of tomato damping-off. Bio-Control, 52(5):683-702.
- Karunanithi, K., Muthusamy, M. and Seetharaman, K. (2000). Pyrolnitrin production by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* effective against *Macrophomina phaseolina*. Crop Res. (Hisar), 19:368-370.
- Katznelson, H. and Cole, S.E. (1965). Production of gibberline-like substances by bacteria and actinomycetes. Can. J. Microbiol., 11: 733-741.
- Khatri, M.; Nasir, M.K.A.; Saleem, R. and Noor, F. (1995). Evaluation of Pakistani sweet basil oil for commercial exploition. Pakistan J. Sci. Ind. Res., 38(7):281-282.
- Lamb, B. and Rosskopf, E. (2002). Biologically-based Disease Management Products in Florida Vegetable Production. Vegetarian. Vegetable Crops Extension Publication. pp. 218.
- Lawrence, B.M. (1993). Labiatae Oils-Mother Nature's Chemical Factory. In:

Essential Oils. Allured Publishing, Carol Stream, IL, pp. 188-206.

- Loper, J.E. (1988). Role of fluorescent siderophore production in biological control of *Pythium ultimum* by a *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain. Phytopathology, 78:166-172.
- Machale, K.W.; Niranjan, K. and Pangarkar, V.G. (1997). Recovery of dissolved essential oil from condensate waters of basil and *Mentha arvensis* distillation. J. Chem. Tech. Biotech., 69(3):362-366.
- Mahfouz, S.A. and Sharaf-Eldin, M.A. (2007). Effect of mineral vs. biofertilizer on growth, yield, and essential oil content of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.). Institute of Agrophysics, Polish Academy of Sci., 21:361-366.
- Mahmoud, Fatma A.F.; Heweidy, M.A.; Essmat, Nadia E.A. and Ghoneim, Soheir S.H. (1995). A new technique to induce resistance in faba bean against chocolatte spot disease. Menofiya J. Agric. Res., 20(5):1741-1754.
- Mannanov, R. and Sattararova (2009). Inhibition of cotton pathogens by natural antagonists. IOBC/WPRS Bulletin, 43:255-258.
- Mark, G.L.; Morrissey, J.P.; Higgins P. and O'Gara, F. (2006). Molecular-based strategies to exploit Pseudomonas biocontrol strains for environmental biotechnology applications. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 56: 167-77.
- Michel, K.A.; Gilles, J.K.; Ramilton, R.P.A and Smith, F. (1956). Colourimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. Anal. Chem., 28:3.
- Mingma, R.; Duangmal, K.; Thamchaipenet, A.; Trakulnaleamsai, S.; Matsumoto, A. and Takahashi, Y. (2015). *Streptomyces oryzae* sp. *nov.*, anendophytic actinomycete isolated from stems of rice plant. J. Antibiot., 68:368–372.
- Mosa, Olfat M.; Soliman, N.K.; Tolba, A.F. and El-Sayed, Ayat, M. (2013).

Evaluation of different mixtures of bioagents or antioxidants with bioagents on root rot in strawberries. Egyp. J. Phytopathol., 41(2):18-22.

- Nair, J.R.; Singh, G. and Sekar, V. (2002). Isolation and characterization of a novel Bacillus strain from coffee phyllosphere showing antifungal activity. J. App. Microbiol., 93:772-780.
- Naureen, Z.; Hafeez, F.Y. and Roberts, M. (2009). Consortium of siderophoreproducing bacterial strains from the rhizosphere of rice plants induces systemic resistance in rice against sheath blight disease. Aspects of Applied Biology, 98:17-18.
- Raaijmaker J.M., Vlami, M. and De- Souza, J.T. (2002). Antibiotic production by bacterial biocontrol agents. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, 81: 537-547.
- Sallam, N.M.A.; Abo-Elyousr, K.A.M. and Hassan, M.A.E. (2008). Evaluation of *Trichoderma* species as biocontrol agents for damping-off and wilt diseases of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. and efficacy of formula. Egyptian Journal of Phytopathology, 36(1/2):81-93.
- Samavat, S.; Heydari, A.; Zamanizadeh, H.R.; Rezaee, S.; Aliabadi, A.A. (2014). A comparison between *Pseudomonas aureofaciens* (chlororaphis) and *P. fluorescens* in biological control of cotton seedling damping-off disease. J. Plant Prot. Res., 54(2):115–121.
- Saric, M.; Curic, R.K.; Cupina, T. and Geric,
 I. (1967). chlorophyll determination.
 Univ. Unoven Sadu Praktikum is fiziologize Biljaka, Beogard, Hauncna, Anijiga, 215.
- Sarniguet, A.; Kraus, J.; Henkels, M.D.; Muehlchen, A.M. and Loper, J.E. (1995).
 The sigma factor effects antibiotic production and biological control activity of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf-5. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.of the United States of America, 92(26):12255-12259.

- Ser, H.L.; Palanisamy, U.D.; Yin, W.F.; Abd Malek, S.N.; Chan, K.G.; Goh, B.H. and Lee, L.H. (2015). Presence of antioxidative agent, Pyrrolo[1,2-a] pyrazine-1,4-dione, hexahydro- in newly isolated *Streptomyces mangrovisoli* sp. nov. Front Microbiol, 6:854-862.
- Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1980). Statistical Methods. 6th Ed. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, Iowa, USA., 507 pp.
- Subramaniam, G.; Pagidi, H.; Srinivas, V.; Rajendran, V.I.; Bhimineni, R. K. and Rupela, O. (2012). Plant growthpromoting traits of *Streptomyces* with biocontrol potential isolated from herbal vermicompost. Biocontrol Sci. Technol., 22(10):1199-1210.

مقاومة مرض موت البادرات باستخدام بعض الكائنات الحية الدقيقة علي نباتات الريحان الحلو تحت ظروف الحقل

هويدا عبد الوهاب متولي* ومحمد عبدالقوي عبدالسيد** *المعمل المركزي للزراعة العضوية، مركز البحوث الزراعية، الجيزة، مصر ** قسم بحوث النباتات الطبية والعطرية، معهد بحوث البساتين، مركز البحوث الزراعية، الجيزة، مصر

أجريت هذه الدراسة في قسم بحوث النباتات الطبية والعطرية في القناطر الخيرية، مركز البحوث الزراعية، خلال الموسمين التاليين لعامي ٢٠١٦ و ٢٠١٧ لدراسة تأثير بعض الكائنات الحية الدقيقة Bacillus subtilis على مقاومة مرض *Pseudomonas fluoroscens ، Trichoderma harzianum و Streptomyces griseus ع*لى مقاومة مرض موت البادرات في الريحان، النمو الخضري، إنتاج الزيت والمكونات الكيميائية لنبات الريحان الحلو (*Ocimum). و المحونات الكيميائية لنبات الريحان الحلو (basilicum L. و المحونات الكيميائية لنبات الريحان الحلو (basilicum L. و المحونات الكيميائية لنبات الريحان الحلو (basilicum L. و المحونات الكيميائية النبات الريحان الحلو (horemum). أو ضحت النتائج ان النباتات المعاملة بكل من الكائنات الحية الدقيقة أعلى تأثير في التحكم في مرض موت البادرات وزيادة إنتاجية ومحصول الريحان مقارنة بالنباتات الغير معاملة. أو ضحت النتائج أن جميع النباتات المعاملة بكل من الكائنات الحية الدقيقة أعلى تأثير في التحكم في مرض موت البادرات وزيادة إنتاجية ومحصول الريحان مقارنة بالنباتات الغير معاملة. أو ضحت النتائج أن جميع النباتات المعاملة بكل من الكائنات الحية الدقيقة أعلى تأثير في التحكم في مرض موت البادرات وزيادة إنتاجية ومحصول الريحان مقارنة بالنباتات الغير معاملة. أو ضحت النتائج أن جميع النباتات المعاملة بالكائنات الحيوية أحدثت زيادة معنوية كبيرة في صفات النمو الخضري (ارتفاع النبات، عدد الافرع، الأوزان المعاملة والجافة (جم)، نسبة الزيت، محصول الزيت، المكونات الكيميائية (الكلوروفيل (أ) ، (ب) ومحتويات الكاروتينات المعاملة بالإضافة إلى نسبة الكربو هيدرات الكلية) مقارنة بالنباتات الغير معاملة. أعطت <i>Streptomyces griseus بالإوزان وحميع الخصائو النياي محصال الزيت، المكونات الكيميائية (الكلوروفيل (أ) ، (ب) ومحتويات الكاروتينات الموسافة والحماضية والعرمية والعرمية والموسم الخير وعيان الكاروتينات الحافة إلى نسبة الكربو هيدرات الكلية) مقارنة بالنباتات الغير معاملة. أعطت علوس الثاني، زادت وحميع الخاروتيات الكاروتينات الخير معاملة. أعطت علي معاملة الموض تأثير وادن مائير وادن مئي وادن مائير مران وادم ما النباتية في الموسم الأول، بينما في الموسم الثاني، زادت <i>وحميع الحصائص النباتية في الموسم الأول، بينما في الموسم الي وادي مي ورور ورور ورور ورور وور ورور ووليو*