Functional Properties of Yoghurt Fortified with Fruits Pulp

Khoulod Blassy[⊠]; Magdy Osman; Amin Gouda and Mervat Hamed

Dairy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University, Ismailia 41522, Egypt

Received: 12/7/2020

Abstract: The world interested in food fortification with fruits (*e.g.* persimmon, mango and guava) that have nutritive and healthy benefits. Therefore, the present study is focused to study the antioxidants properties of yoghurt fortified with fruit pulps. Full fat set yoghurt fortified with different fruit pulps at ratios (5, 10 and 15%, w/w) were prepared and stored at $5\pm1^{\circ}$ C for 14 days. Chemical, rheological, total viable lactic acid bacteria and sensory properties were measured when fresh and after 7 and 14 days of storage period. The results indicated that, there were significant differences between plain yoghurt and fruit yoghurt in the total solids (T.S%), free radical scavenging activity (RSA%), total phenolic compounds (TPC) and total flavonoid compounds (TFC), which increased with the increase of the fruit pulp ratios. While, pH value was decreased with the increase of the fruit pulps percentage added and with extended storage period. The yoghurt containing guava pulp had the highest total viable lactic acid bacteria count compared with plain yoghurt and other fruit yoghurt. Sensory evaluation results showed that there were significant (p<0.05) differences among the yoghurt treatments. The yoghurt containing 10% mango, 10% persimmon and 5% guava were recorded highest scores in full fat yoghurt compared with plain yoghurt and other ratios. The yoghurt containing persimmon, mango and guava pulps, respectively. The results of current study demonstrated that addition of fruits to the yoghurt significantly improved the rheological properties, body & texture and flavour compared with more bioactive compounds.

Keywords: Functional fruit yoghurt, antioxidant scavenging activity, full fat, persimmon, mango and guava

INTRODUCTION

Yoghurt consumption has increased around the world due to its nutritional value, therapeutic effects and functional properties (Mckinely, 2005). Yoghurt is easily digested, rich source of carbohydrates, protein, fat, vitamins, calcium and phosphorous (Vahedi et al., 2008). It is common to fortify yoghurt with different fruits for enhancing flavour and improve its nutritional as well as sensory properties (Cakmakcı et al., 2012). Mango, guava, persimmon, pear, cactus, strawberry, blueberry, orange, peach and date fruits or pulps are frequently used in yoghurt production (Arslan and Ozel, 2012; Abdeldaiem and Blassy, 2019). This fruits has important effects in the body defense system against free radical (Manisha et al., 2017). The total solids (pectin and sugars) from the fruits when mixed with voghurt, causing an increase in its consistency and viscosity therefore, mouth fell is improved (Matter et al., 2016). Fruits may be added to yoghurt formula as pulp, juice, canned or syrup.

Persimmon fruit (*Diospyros kaki* L.) could be used in yoghurt making as a source of antioxidant and phenolic compounds.It contains high amount of phenolic compounds including polyphenols, carotenoids and also, a good source of fibers, vitamins (C and A), minerals (iron and calcium) and tannins (Arslan and Bayrakci, 2016; Curi *et al.*, 2017).

Mango fruit (*Mangifera indica* L.) is one of the most important tropical fruits and a good source of antioxidants especially polyphenols and carotenoids (Nunes *et al.*, 2007). It is an excellent source of vitamin A and flavonoids like alpha-carotene, beta-carotene and beta-cryptoxanthin (Raut *et al.*, 2015; Vazquez-Olivo *et al.*, 2019).

Guava (*Psidium guajava*) is known as a medical fruit with high nutritive value, it contains a great amount of vitamins (C, A, B_3 and B_4). It is an important

Corresponding author e-mail: kholoudblassy1@yahoo.com

source of antioxidant due to contain carotenoids like beta-carotene and polyphenols, that can prevent the oxidation of lipids and its play important role in delaying the development of chronic disease such as cardiovascular disease, cancer, inflammatory bowel and Al-Zheimer's disease (Kavas and Kavas, 2016; Parvez *et al.*, 2018).

The present study was focused to study the antioxidant properties of the yoghurts fortified with fruit pulps.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Milk: Fresh buffalo's milk was obtained from dairy plant, Dairy Department in the Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University, Egypt.

Starter cultures: Direct vat starter (DVS) culture containing *Str. thermophilus* and *Lb.delbrueckii* ssp. *bulgaricus* (YC-X11) was obtained from Chr. Hansen's Laboratories, Denmark. The culture was stored at $-18\pm1^{\circ}$ C until used before expired date.

Other materials: Commercial grade crystalline sugar (sucrose), fresh mango, persimmon and guava were obtained from the local market of Ismailia governorate, Egypt.

Methods

Preparation of fruit pulps

Fruits (persimmon, mango and guava) were washed, peeled, cut into pieces and seeds were removed. The fruit pieces were blended by mixer (Braun Power Max MX 2000 Blender, Germany) for 5 min to obtain fine paste pulp, heated at 85°C for 3 min, cooled to $5\pm1°$ C, homogenized at 6000 rpm for 5 min using Ultra Turrax homogenizer (Germany) and kept in polyethylene bags at 5°C until used.

Preparation of full fat fruit yoghurt

Adjusted buffalo's milk (milk fat 3.7%, total solids 12.6% and titratable acidity, 0.17%) was used with adding 5% sugar. It was heated to 85°C for 10 min, cooled to 40°C and inoculated with 0.03% yoghurt culture (DVS). Milk was divided into four parts. The first part with no additives used as a control. Each part from previous parts were divided into three equal portions and then 5%, 10% and 15% of each fruit pulps were added. Then the inoculated milk yoghurt mixes were filled into 120 g plastic cups and incubated at 42°C until pH reaches to 4.7 pH value (2-3 h). After complete coagulation, all treatments were stored in the refrigerator at $5\pm1°C$ for 14 days of storage period. All treatments were carried out in triplicate.

Chemical analysis of yoghurt

Yoghurt samples were mixed and analyzed in three replicates for total solids according to the method described in AOAC (2000). The values of pH were measured using Jenway pH meter with Jenway spear electrode No: 3505 (Jenway limited, Gransmore green, Felsted, Dunmow, England). The free radical scavenging activity % of the methanolic extracts was determined by DPPH method described by Caleja *et al.* (2016). The absorbance of the mixture was measured at 515 nm by using spectrophotometer (model 20D uv, Milton Roy company, USA). The DPPH solution without extract was used as blank sample. The antioxidant activity was calculated as follows:

DPPH radical-scavenging activity (%) = $[(A_{blank} -$

Asample)/ Ablank] x 100

Where, A is the absorbance at 515 nm.

Determination of total phenolic compounds

Total phenolic compounds were determined in the methanolic extracts by Folin-Ciocalteu assay with slight modifications (Barros *et al.*, 2011). The absorbance was measured at 765 nm by spectrophotometer (model 6505 uv/vis, JENWAY, UK). A calibration curve of gallic acid ($0.00 - 0.10 \text{ mg m}^{-1}$) was prepared and total phenolic compounds was determined from the linear regression equation (\mathbb{R}^2 = 0.9986) of the calibration curve. The results were expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalents per 100 g of sample.

Determination of total flavonoid compounds

Total flavonoids content was determined by Barros *et al.* (2011). The absorbance was measured at 510 nm by spectrophotometer (model T80 uv/vis, PG instruments Ltd., USA). A calibration curve of quercetin was prepared and total flavonoids content was determined from the linear regression equation (R^2 = 0.9976) of the calibration curve. The results were expressed as mg quercetin 100 g⁻¹ of sample.

Apparent viscosity

Apparent viscosity (mPa.s) was measured at 10 rpm using a Brookfield rotational viscometer model RV 111 at 10°C and the Brookfield small sample adapter and Sc₄₋₁₄ spindle were used (Brookfield Engineering Laboratories Inc., MA, USA) according to Hegedusic *et al.* (1993).

Lactic acid bacteria count

Eillker agar medium (Eillker *et al.*, 1956) was used for the enumeration of total viable lactic acid bacteria after incubation (Memmert, Germany) at 37°C for 2 days under aerobic condition.

Organoleptic evaluation

Organoleptic properties for the control and other treatments of fruit yoghurt where evaluated at fresh (1 day) and after 7 and 14 days of storage at $5\pm1^{\circ}$ C by staff members of the dairy department, faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University, Egypt as described by IDF (1997). Yoghurt samples were organoleptically scored for flavour (50 points), body & texture (40 points) and appearance (10 points).

Statistical analysis

All measurements were done in triplicate and analysis of variance with two factors (treatments and storage time) and conducted by the procedure of General Linear Model (GLM) by using CoStat program (2005) under windows software version 6.311 and least significant difference (LSD) test were employed to determine significant difference at (p<0.05).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemical composition of fruits pulps:

Chemical composition of persimmon, mango and guava pulps used for fruit yoghurt manufacturing are shown in Table (1). Data showed that persimmon pulp had the highest T.S and pH value compared with other fruits. Guava pulp had highest radical scavenging activity %, total phenolic and total flavonoid compounds compared with persimmon and mango pulps. These results are in agreement with Yaqub *et al.* (2016) and Parvez *et al.* (2018).

Table (1):	Chemial	composition	of fruit pul	ps after	preparation
------------	---------	-------------	--------------	----------	-------------

Components	Persimmon	Mango	Guava
T.S (%)	25	20	14
pH value	5.2	4.53	3.7
Radical scavenging activity (%)	28.98	29.54	82.40
Total phenolic compounds (mg100g ⁻¹)	22.88	42.33	87.7
Total flavonoid compounds (mg100g ⁻¹)	2.68	9.12	10.67

Chemical analysis of fortified yoghurt with fruit pulps Total solids %

Total solids content of plain yoghurt recorded the lowest percentage (14.13%) compared with other fruit yoghurts (Table 2). Increasing the addition of fruit pulps to yoghurt induced to a parallel significantly (p<0.05) increase in T.S% of the resultant yoghurt. This increment due to the highest T.S in fruit pulps than that of milk (Ronak *et al.*, 2016). The T.S% of fruit pulp yoghurt treatments were increased significantly (p<0.05) along the storage period. Similar results were reported by Arslan and Bayrakci (2016).

pH value

The pH values of all fruit pulp yoghurt treatments were lower than that of control, addition of fruit pulps decreased significantly (p<0.05) the pH values of yoghurt as result of low pH of fruits (Table 3). Tanwar *et al.* (2014) and Souza *et al.* (2018) reported similar results. The lowest pH value was recorded in yoghurt contain 15% of guava pulp. These results are in agreement with Ziena and Abd-Elhamid (2009). They reported that the decrement in the pH values of functional yoghurt reflected the high activity of starter.

Free radical scavenging activity %

Fruit pulps contain various antioxidant compounds which act against oxidizing agents (Jin et al., 2018). Adding fruit pulps to the yoghurt increased significantly (p<0.05) the RSA % of the voghurt (Table 4). The increase proportional with the increase in fruit pulps adding in all treatments. The highest value of RSA% was recorded in yoghurt contains 15% of guava pulp. These results are in agreement with Ziena and Abd-Elhamid (2009). During the storage period, the RSA% was decreased significantly (p<0.05) up to 14 days. Khalil (2013) reported that RSA % tend to decrease along the storage period, as a result of possible oxidation. Scibisz et al. (2012) attributed the decrease in RSA % to the interaction with casein or whey protein causing formation of soluble complex which responsible for decreasing RSA %.

Table (2): Effect of adding persimmon	mango and guava pulps on the total solid %	6 of voghurt during storage period

Treatments		Storage period (days)		Mean
Treatments	Fresh	7	14	Mean
С	14.13±0.14	14.45± 0.12	14.60± 0.06	14.38 ^g
Р5	14.97± 0.15	15.17± 0.25	15.46± 0.39	15.19 ^f
P10	16.44 ± 0.39	17.38 ± 0.11	17.56± 0.13	17.12 ^b
P15	17.34 ± 0.11	17.60 ± 0.05	17.80± 0.10	17.58 ^a
M5	15.53 ± 0.16	15.74 ± 0.17	15.80± 0.01	15.69 ^e
M10	16.60 ± 0.02	16.79± 0.02	17.85 ± 0.05	17.07 ^b
M15	17.32 ± 0.09	17.44 ± 0.03	17.54 ± 0.02	17.43 ^a
G5	14.95±0.06	15.17± 0.07	15.23±0.06	15.11 ^f
G10	15.81 ± 0.07	15.91 ± 0.06	16.16± 0.19	15.69 ^e
G15	16.43 ± 0.08	16.52 ± 0.08	16.87 ± 0.60	16.60 °
Mean	15.80 °	16.04 ^b	16.30 ^a	

C: control; P5: persimmon, 5%; P10: persimmon, 10%; P15: persimmon, 15%; M5: mango, 5%; M10: mango, 10%; M15: mango, 15%; G5: guava, 5%; G10: guava, 10% and G15: guava, 15%. ^aValues are means \pm standard deviations of triplicate determinations. Means in the same row with different superscript (a, b, c...) are significantly different (p<0.05)

Treatments		Storage period (days)		
	Fresh	7	14	_ Mean
С	4.69 ± 0.14	4.43 ± 0.10	4.24 ± 0.36	4.74 ^a
P5	4.57 ± 0.06	4.54 ± 0.02	4.34 ± 0.23	4.48 ^{de}
P10	4.71±0.15	4.66± 0.14	4.61 ± 0.02	4.65 abc
P15	4.26± 0.34	4.69 ± 0.08	4.61 ± 0.06	4.52 ^{de}
M5	4.76 ± 0.01	4.73 ± 0.01	4.61 ± 0.01	4.70 ^{ab}
M10	4.63± 0.02	4.61 ± 0.01	4.51±0.01	4.58 bcd
M15	4.55± 0.01	4.42 ± 0.01	4.35± 0.02	4.44 ^{ef}
G5	4.67± 0.03	4.60 ± 0.01	4.42± 0.03	4.56 ^{cde}
G10	4.45± 0.02	4.33± 0.01	4.21 ± 0.01	4.32 ^f
G15	4.37±0.03	4.04 ± 0.05	3.98 ± 0.03	4.13 ^g
Mean	4.58 ^a	4.52 ^a	4.41 ^b	

Table (3): Effect of adding persimmon, mango and guava pulps on pH value of yoghurt during storage period

C: control; P5: persimmon, 5%; P10: persimmon, 10%; P15: persimmon, 15%; M5: mango, 5%; M10: mango, 10%; M15: mango, 15%; G5: guava, 5%; G10: guava, 10% and G15: guava, 15%. ^aValues are means \pm standard deviations of triplicate determinations. Means in the same row with different superscript (a, b, c...) are significantly different (p<0.05).

Ture for a factor		Storage period (days)		
Treatments	Fresh	7	14	- Mean
С	10.25 ± 0.12	9.57± 0.03	8.89± 0.16	9.57 ^g
Р5	12.27±1.16	13.60 ± 0.50	11.30 ± 0.51	12.38 ⁱ
P10	16.54± 1.65	18.29± 1.89	15.85 ± 1.05	16.89 ^d
P15	18.86± 1.98	20.95± 1.85	17.60 ± 2.15	18.46 ^c
M5	11.86± 0.03	10.89±0.10	10.58 ± 0.09	11.19 ^h
M10	13.50± 0.05	13.30± 0.12	12.61 ± 0.02	13.13 ^g
M15	15.67 ± 0.10	15.30± 0.02	14.97 ± 0.05	15.31 ^e
G5	14.22 ± 0.14	14.13± 0.11	13.90 ± 0.05	14.08 ^f
G10	22.87 ± 0.07	21.71 ± 0.21	18.15 ± 0.05	18.47 ^b
G15	22.87 ± 0.07	21.71 ± 0.21	20.14 ± 0.05	21.57 ^a
Mean	15.15 ^a	15.28 ^a	14.11 ^b	

 Table (4): Effect of adding persimmon, mango and guava pulps on radical scavenging activity % of yoghurt during storage period

C: control; P5: persimmon, 5%; P10: persimmon, 10%; P15: persimmon, 15%; M5: mango, 5%; M10: mango, 10%; M15: mango, 15%; G5: guava, 5%; G10: guava, 10% and G15: guava, 15%. ^aValues are means \pm standard deviations of triplicate determinations. Means in the same row with different superscript (a, b, c...) are significantly different (p<0.05).

Total phenolic compounds

Fruit pulps are a good source of antioxidants, especially, polyphenols, carotenoids, dietary fiber and vitamin C (Abbasi *et al.*, 2017). Fortifying yoghurt with fruit pulps increased the TPC, the increment was in parallel with the increase of added fruit pulps ratios. Similar results were reported by El-Batawy *et al.* (2014). Addition of fruit pulps affect significantly (p<0.05) the TPC value of all fruit yoghurt treatments compared with control (Table 5). It is clear that addition of guava pulp recorded the highest value (p<0.05) in TPC content of fruit yoghurt at all ratios, due to the high contents of TPC in guava pulp. These results are in

agreement with Ismail *et al.* (2017). Throughout the storage period, the TPC decreased gradually for all fruit yoghurt treatments. The storage period significantly (p<0.05) affected the TPC of all fruit yoghurt treatments. The decreased in TPC could be attributed to decomposition of some TPC contents. Also, the decrease in TPC may be due to the hydrolysis of polyphenols by LAB to aromatic acids such as phenyl acetic, phenyl propionic and benzoic acids. Phenolic compounds could be divided to subgroups as phenolic acids, flavonoids and tannins (Sagdic *et al.*, 2012). These results were in agreement with El-Kholy (2018).

Table (5): Effect of adding persimmon, mango and guava pulps on total phenolic compounds (mg GAE 100 g^{-1}) of yoghurt during storage period

Turnet	Storage period (days)			
Treatments	Fresh	7	14	– Mean
С	0.99±0.01	0.98±0.01	0.94±0.01	0.96 ^h
P5	1.10±0.02	1.14±0.03	$1.04{\pm}0.03$	1.09 fgh
P10	1.26±0.04	1.32±0.06	1.22±0.03	1.26 ef
P15	1.75±0.09	1.84±0.06	1.74 ± 0.01	1.77 ^d
M5	1.08 ± 0.05	1.01±0.01	0.98±0.01	1.02 ^{gh}
M10	1.21±0.02	1.19±0.01	1.03±0.01	1.14 ^{efg}
M15	1.37±0.02	1.33±0.01	1.21±0.02	1.30 ^e
G5	4.72±0.05	4.55±0.03	4.35±0.05	4.53 °
G10	8.28±0.16	7.91±0.10	7.11±0.10	7.76 ^b
G15	10.45±0.14	9.94±0.04	9.31±0.05	9.9 ^a
Mean	3.01 ^a	2.93 ^a	2.71 ^b	•

C: control; P5: persimmon, 5%; P10: persimmon, 10%; P15: persimmon, 15%; M5: mango, 5%; M10: mango, 10%; M15: mango, 15%; G5: guava, 5%; G10: guava, 10% and G15: guava, 15%. ^aValues are means \pm standard deviations of triplicate determinations. Means in the same row with different superscript (a, b, c...) are significantly different (p<0.05).

Total flavonoid compounds

Flavonoid is a bioactive phytochemicals found in fruit, vegetables. Fruits are rich sources of antioxidant activity and can help our body to stay healthy. It could be notice that yoghurt fortified with persimmon, mango and guava pulps have higher TFC than control as shown in Table (6). Fortification of yoghurt with guava pulp increased significantly (p<0.05) the TFC, the increment was in parallel with the increase of added pulp ratios. These results are in agreement with Moussa and El-Gend (2019). It was clear that the G15 and G10 treatments were recorded the highest TFC contents than control and other treatments. Ismail et al. (2017) stated that peel and pulp of guava fruit presented high levels of flavonoid compounds. Along the storage period, the TFC decreased significantly (p<0.05) for all fruit yoghurt treatments. These results were in agreement with the study of Jin et al. (2018).

Apparent Viscosity

Viscosity is defined as the resistance of flow. The viscosities of liquid and semi-solid foods have a large impact on their quality characteristics (Karaman et al., 2014). The apparent viscosity of fruit yoghurt increased with the increase of fruit pulp addition compared with control. The higher apparent viscosity was recorded to mango yoghurt treatments than other treatments as shown in Table (7). It may be due to the absorption of water by water soluble fibers in mango (Mahmood et al., 2008). A significant (p<0.05) differences could be noticed between control and other fruit pulp treatments. Throughout the storage period the apparent viscosity increased significantly (p<0.05) up to day 7th, then a gradually decreased at day 14th for all fruit yoghurt treatments. These results are in agreement with Kavas and Kavas (2016).

T 4 4		Storage period (days)		- Maan
Treatments -	Fresh	7	14	– Mean
С	0.87 ± 0.00	0.85±0.01	0.81±0.01	0.84 ^f
P5	0.90 ± 0.00	0.98±0.01	0.92 ± 0.02	0.93 ^f
P10	1.15 ± 0.00	1.23±0.02	1.11±0.01	1.16 ^e
P15	1.46 ± 0.01	1.50±0.01	1.31±0.02	1.42 ^d
M5	0.90±0.01	$0.90{\pm}0.00$	0.88±0.01	0.89 ^f
M10	0.94±0.01	0.94±0.01	0.92 ± 0.02	0.93 ^f
M15	1.15 ± 0.01	1.15 ± 0.01	1.10±0.01	1.13 ^e
G5	3.61±0.06	3.35±0.04	3.02±0.03	3.32 °
G10	6.11±0.12	$5.84{\pm}0.07$	5.46 ± 0.08	5.80 ^b
G15	8.58±0.25	8.18±0.32	7.87±0.32	8.20 ^a
Mean	2.41 ^a	2.34 ^a	2.20 ^b	

Table (6): Effect of adding persimmon, mango and guava pulps on total flavonoid compounds (mg quecretin 100 g⁻¹) of yoghurt during storage period

C: control; P5: persimmon, 5%; P10: persimmon, 10%; P15: persimmon, 15%; M5: mango, 5%; M10: mango, 10%; M15: mango, 15%; G5: guava, 5%; G10: guava, 10% and G15: guava, 15%. ^aValues are means \pm standard deviations of triplicate determinations. Means in the same row with different superscript (a, b, c...) are significantly different (p<0.05).

Treatments		Storage period (days)		
<u> </u>	Fresh	7	- 14	Mean
С	8452.00± 90.00	8245.00 ± 52.00	287.25 ± 69.85	5661.41 ^f
P5	9974.00±123.00	8463.00±69.00	216.5 ± 12.30	6295.66 ^{ef}
P10	12473.00±1046.00	11623.00±1123.00	450.36 ± 8.96	8182.12 cde
P15	12354.00 ± 559.00	8325.00 ± 69.00	394.57±12.17	7024.52 def
M5	10352.00 ± 84.89	11699.00 ± 20.07	9176.33± 48.29	10409.11 ^b
M10	10674.33 ± 45.57	11932.33±22.50	9381.67±10.41	10662.77 ^b
M15	12190.00±10.00	14569.67± 46.26	11627.00±24.64	12795.55 ^a
G5	9504.67±31.90	10273.33 ± 37.86	8787.33±29.57	9521.77 ^{bc}
G10	9893.33±12.66	10613.67 ± 31.79	8949.67 ± 46.37	9818.88 ^{bc}
G15	10994.00±12.77	11303.00 ± 45.57	8459.00± 34.39	10521.77 ^{bc}
Mean	10523.63 ^a	10574.69 ^a	6005.01 ^b	

Table (7): Effect of adding fruit pulps on apparent viscosity (mPa.s) of yoghurt during storage period

C: control; P5: persimmon, 5%; P10: persimmon, 10%; P15: persimmon, 15%; M5: mango, 5%; M10: mango, 10%; M15: mango, 15%; G5: guava, 5%; G10: guava, 10% and G15: guava, 15%. ^aValues are means \pm standard deviations of triplicate determinations. Means in the same row with different superscript (a, b, c...) are significantly different (p<0.05).

Total viable LAB count

Addition of persimmon and mango pulps led to decrease of the total viable LAB count of yoghurt in all treatments, while, addition of guava pulp led to an increase in the total viable LAB count of yoghurt (Table 8). It was noticed that G10 (10% guava pulp) had the highest (p<0.05) total viable LAB count than control and other treatments.

The total viable count of LAB for most fruit yoghurt treatments except P15 were increased up to 7 days and then decreased up to end of storage period. The effect of storage period was significantly (p<0.05) for all fruit yoghurt treatments. Jin *et al.* (2018) reported that fruits and vegetables contains bioactive compounds can be used as substrates for the growth of probiotic bacteria.

Organoleptic characteristics

The overall acceptability of yoghurt fortified with M10, G5 and P10 were recorded the highest (p<0.05) total acceptability score compared with other treatments. Addition of fruit pulps significantly at (p<0.05) affected the total score values of all fruit yoghurt treatments (Table 9). Also, the storage period significantly (p<0.05) affected the overall acceptability. The score values of overall acceptability gradually decreased along the storage period. However, the yoghurt treatments containing 10 % mango, 5% guava and 10 % persimmon pulp were quite good and gained the highest (p<0.05) scores even after 14 days of storage period.

T	Storage period (days)			м
Treatments -	Fresh	7	14	– Mean
С	8.79 ± 0.11	9.16 ± 0.19	8.28 ± 0.15	8.74 ^b
Р5	8.12 ± 0.08	8.83 ± 0.06	7.45 ± 0.65	8.13 ^d
P10	8.38 ± 0.15	8.89 ± 0.05	7.24 ± 0.18	8.17 ^d
P15	7.95 ± 0.05	7.70 ± 0.11	7.09 ± 0.13	7.58 °
M5	8.53 ± 0.22	8.88 ± 0.09	8.03 ± 0.06	8.48
M10	8.55 ± 0.22	8.89 ± 0.05	8.47 ± 0.25	8.63 ^{bc}
M15	7.95 ± 0.05	8.13 ± 0.09	7.14 ± 0.05	7.74 ^e
G5	8.77 ± 0.02	9.18 ± 0.02	8.29 ± 0.05	8.74 ^t
G10	9.31 ± 0.02	9.41 ± 0.01	8.50 ± 0.05	9.07 ^a
G15	8.25 ± 0.05	8.47 ± 0.02	7.67 ± 0.32	8.13 ^d
Mean	8.50 ^b	8.75 ^a	7.79 °	-

 Table (8): Effect of adding persimmon, mango and guava pulps on the total viable LAB count (log cfu g⁻¹) of yoghurt during storage period

C: control; P5: persimmon, 5%; P10: persimmon, 10%; P15: persimmon, 15%; M5: mango, 5%; M10: mango, 10%; M15: mango, 15%; G5: guava, 5%; G10: guava, 10% and G15: guava, 15%. ^aValues are means \pm standard deviations of triplicate determinations. Means in the same row with different superscript (a, b, c...) are significantly different (p<0.05).

Tuestments		Storage period (days)		
Treatments -	Fresh	7	14	– Mean
С	80.33 ± 2.08	80.00± 1.00	81.67± 1.15	80.66 ^g
P5	85.33±1.15	85.33 ± 1.00	85.33±1.15	85.22 ^{de}
P10	92.33 ± 2.08	90.67 ± 0.58	91.00 ± 1.00	91.33 ^b
P15	85.00 ± 4.00	$82.67{\pm}~0.58$	$82.67{\pm}~0.58$	83.44 ^f
M5	89.67 ± 0.58	90.00± 1.00	88.76 ± 0.58	89.44 ^c
M10	95.00± 1.73	95.33 ± 0.58	95.00 ± 0.00	95.11 ^a
M15	84.67 ± 2.08	84.33 ± 0.58	84.33±1.53	84.55 ^{ef}
G5	92.33± 1.53	92.33 ± 2.08	90.67 ± 2.08	91.77 ^b
G10	89.00 ± 0.00	87.67±1.15	83.33±1.53	86.66 ^d
G15	73.33 ± 1.53	71.00 ± 1.00	69.33± 3.21	71.22 ^h
Mean	86.03 ^a	85.39 ^{ab}	84.87 ^b	

Table (9): Organoleptic characteristics (overall acceptability) of fruit yoghurt during storage period

C: control; P5: persimmon, 5%; P10: persimmon, 10%;P15: persimmon, 15%;M5: mango, 5%; M10: mango, 10%;M15: mango, 15%; G5: guava, 5%; G10: guava, 10% andG15: guava, 15%. ^aValues are means \pm standard deviations of triplicate determinations. Means in the same row with different superscript (a, b, c...) are significantly different (p<0.05).

CONCLUSION

Persimmon, mango and guava pulps can be used successfully in making functional fruit yoghurt to give highest overall acceptability comparable with plain yoghurt especially flavour and texture & body. The addition of 10% mango, 10% persimmon and 5% guava pulps give us the best treatments compared with other ratios. So, we can recommend enhancing flavour and radical scavenging activity of yoghurt by using these fruits for production of functional healthy yoghurt.

REFERENCES

- Abbasi, A. M., F. Liu, X. Guo, X. Fu, T. Li and R. H. Liu (2017). Phytochemical composition, cellular antioxidant capacity and antiproliferative activity in mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) pulp and peel. International Journal of Food Science and Technology, 52: 817-826.
- Abdeldaiem, A. M. and Kh. I. Blassy (2019). Effect of replacing skim milk powder by sweet lupine powder on characteristics of zebda-mango

yoghurt drink. Ismailia Journal of Dairy Science and Technology Suez Canal University, 6: 9-17.

- AOAC (2000). Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International (Ed. Horwitz, W.), 17th Ed., Suite 500, 481 North Fredric avenue Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA.
- Arslan, S. and S. Bayrakci (2016). Physicochemical, functional, and sensory properties of yogurts containing persimmon. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry, 40: 68-74.
- Arslan, S. and S. Ozel (2012). Some properties of stirred yoghurt made with processed grape seed powder, carrot juice or a mixture of grape seed powder and carrot juice. Milchwissenschaft, 67: 281-285.
- Barros, L., L. Cabrita, M. V. Boas, A. M. Carvalho and I. C. F. R. Ferreira (2011). Chemical, biochemical and electrochemical assays to evaluate phytochemicals and antioxidant activity of wild plants. Food Chemistry, 127: 1600-1608.
- Cakmakcı, S., B. Cetin, T. Turgut, M. Gurses and A. Erdoğan (2012). Probiotic properties, sensory qualities, and storage stability of probiotic banana yogurts. Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, 36: 231-237.
- Caleja, C., L. Barros, A. L. Antonio, M. Carocho, M. B. P. P. Oliveira, and I. C. F. R. Ferreira (2016). Fortification of yogurts with different antioxidant preservatives: a comparative study between natural and synthetic additives. Food Chemistry, 210: 262-268.
- CoStat program (2005). Version 6.311. Copyright (1998-2005) CoHort Software, Monterey, California, USA.
- Curi, P. N., B. S. Tavares, A. B. Almeida, R. Pio, M. Pasqual, P. M. Peche and V. R. Souza (2017). Characterization and influence of subtropical persimmon cultivars on juice and jelly characteristics. Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências, 89: 1205-1220.
- El-Batawy, O. I., I. S. Ashoush and N. S. Mehanna (2014). Impact of mango and pomegranate peels supplementation on quality characteristics of yoghurt with or without whey powder. World Journal Dairy Food Science, 9: 57-65.
- El-kholy, W. M. (2018). Preparation and properties of probiotic low fat frozen yoghurt supplemented with powdered doum (*Hyphaene thebacia*) fruit. Egption Journal of Dairy Science, 46: 67-78.
- Elliker, P. R., A. W. Anderson and G. Hannesson (1956). An agar culture medium for lactic acid streptococci and lactobacilli. Journal of Dairy Science, 39: 1611-1612.
- Hegedusic, V., T. Lovric and A. Parmac (1993). Influence of phase transition (freezing and thawing) on thermophysical and rheological properties of apple puree-like products. Acta Alimentaria (Budapest), 22: 337-349.

- IDF (International Dairy Federation) Standard 99 C (1997). Sensory evaluation of dairy products by scoring reference method.
- Ismail, M. M., M. F. Hamad and E. M. Elraghy (2017). Rheological, Physicochemical, microbial and sensory properties of bio-rayebmilk fortified with guava pulp. International Journal of Food Science and Biotechnology, 1:9-20
- Jin, X., W. Chen, H. Chen, W. Chen and Q. Zhong (2018). Comparative evaluation of the antioxidant capacities and organic acid and volatile contents of mango slurries fermented with six different probiotic microorganisms. Journal of Food Science, 83: 3059-3068.
- Karaman, S., Ö. S. Toker, F. Yüksel, M. Çam, A. Kayacier and M. Dogan (2014). Physicochemical, bioactive, and sensory properties of persimmon-based ice cream: Technique for order preference by similarity to ideal solution to determine optimum concentration. Journal of Dairy Science, 97: 97-110.
- Kavas, N. and G. Kavas (2016). Probiotic frozen yoghurt production using camel milk (*Camelus dromedarius*) with improved functions by strawberry guava (*Psidium littorale* var. *cattleianum*) fortification. Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology, 14: 1-12.
- Khalil, R. A. M. (2013). The use of pomegranate juice as a natural source for antioxidant in making functional yoghurt drink. Egyptian Journal of Dairy Science, 41: 137-149.
- Mahmood, A., N. Abbas and A. H. Gilani (2008). Quality of stirred buffalo milk yogurt blended with apple and banana fruits. Pakistan Journal Agricultural Sciences, 45: 275-279.
- Manisha, W. H., R. Rajak and D. Jat (2017). Oxidative stress and antioxidants: an overview. International Journal of Advanced Research and Review, 2: 110-119.
- Matter, A. A., A. M. Mahmoud and N. S. Zidan (2016). Fruit flavored yogurt: Chemical, functional and rheological properties. International Journal of Environmental and Agriculture Research, 2: 57-66.
- McKinley, M. C. (2005). The nutrition and health benefits of yoghurt. International Journal Dairy Technology, 58: 1-12.
- Moussa, M. E. M. and M. A. El-Gendy (2019). Physiochemical, microbiological and sensory properties of guava whey blend beverages. Middle East Journal of Applied Sciences, 9: 326-331.
- Nunes, M. C. N., J. P. Emond, J. K. Brecht, S. Dea and E. Proulx (2007). Quality curves for mango fruit (*cv. Tommy Atkins and Palmer*) stored at chilling and nonchilling temperatures. Journal of Food Quality, 30: 104-120.
- Parvez, G. M., U. Shakib, M. A. Khokon and M. Sanzia (2018). A short review on a nutritional fruit: guava. Open Access: Toxicology and Research, 1: 1-8.

- Raut, V., P. Sawant, D. Sawant and A. S. Ingole (2015). Studies on preparation of mango yoghurt drink. Asian Journal of Dairy and Food Research, 34: 13-17.
- Ronak, P., A. H. Jana, M. Hiral and S. Balakrishnan (2016). Process standardization for the manufacture of mango flavoured steamed sweetened concentrated yoghurt (Bhapadahi). Journal of Dairy, Veterinary and Animal Research, 4: 293-303.
- Sagdic, O., I. Ozturk, H. Cankurt and F. Tornuk (2012). Interaction between some phenolic compounds and probiotic bacterium in functional ice cream production. Food Bioprocess Technology, 5: 2964-2971.
- Scibisz, I., M. Ziarno, M. Mitek and D. Zaręba (2012). Effect of probiotic cultures on the stability of anthocyanins in blueberry yoghurts. LWT-Food Science Technology, 49: 208-212.
- Souza, J. M. A., S. Leonel, J. H. Modesto, R. A. Ferraz and B. H. L. Gonçalves (2018). Fruit physicochemical and antioxidant analysis of mango cultivars under subtropical conditions of Brazil. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology, 20: 321-331.
- Tanwar, B., B. Andallu and S. Chandel (2014). Influence of processing on physicochemical and nutritional composition of *Psidium*

guajava L. (Guava) products. International Journal of Agriculture and Food Science Technology, 5: 47-54.

- Vahedi, N., M. M. Tehrani and F. Shahidi (2008). Optimizing of fruit yoghurt formulation and evaluating its quality during storage. American-Eurasian Journal Agriculture and Environmental Science, 3: 922-927.
- Vazquez-Olivo, G., M. Antunes-Ricardo, J. A. Gutiérrez-Uribe, T. Osuna-Enciso, J. León-Félix and J. B. Heredia (2019). Cellular antioxidant activity and in vitro intestinal permeability of phenolic compounds from four varieties of mango bark (*Mangifera indica* L.). Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 99: 3481-3489.
- Yaqub, S., U. Farooq, A. Shafi, K. Akram, M. A. Murtaza, T. Kausar and F. Siddique (2016). Chemistry and functionality of bioactive compounds present in persimmon. Journal of Chemistry, 2016: 1-13.
- Ziena, H. M. and A. M. Abd-Elhamid (2009). Production of functional yogurt: effect of natural antioxidant from guava (*Psidium* guajava) leaf extract. Journal of Agriculture and Food Science Technology, Alexandria University Egypt, 8: 102-116.

الخصائص الوظيفية للزبادي المدعم بلب الفواكه

خلود بلاسي، مجدي عثمان، أمين جوده، مرفت حامد قسم الألبان - كلية الزراعة - جامعة قناة السويس – الإسماعيلية ٤١٥٢٢ – جمهورية مصر العربية

الزبادي أحد الألبان المتخمرة الناتجة من تخمر سكر اللاكتوز الموجود باللبن ببكتريا حمض اللاكتيك. هناك اتجاه لتدعيم الزبادي ببعض الإضافات مثل الكاكا والمانجو والجوافة التي تعطي قيمة غذائية وفوائد صحية للزبادي. وتهدف هذه الدراسة إلى التعرف على تأثير هذه الإضافات على خواص الزبادي بإضافة مواد طبيعية مضادة للأكسدة من لب الفواكه المختلفة. تم دراسة تأثير إضافة لب الفواكه السابقة للزبادي كامل الدسم بنسب مختلفة (٥ و١٠ و ١٠٪) والتخزين على درجة حرارة ٥± ١ م لمدة ١٤ يوم. ودراسة تأثير زلك على الخواص الكيميائية والريولوجية والحسية والعدد الكلي لبكتريا حامض اللاكتيك الحية للمنتج عند اليوم الأول والسابع والرابع عشر. وأتضح وجود فروق معنوية بين الزبادي المدعم بالفاكهة وغير المدعم في المواد الصلبة الكلية والمواد المضادة للأكسدة والمواد الفينولية والفلافونيدات الكلية، والتي زادت بزيادة إضافة نسب لب الفاكهة، بينما كانت قيم الـ HP تقل بزيادة الإضافة وطوال فترة التخزين. وسجلت المواد المضادة للأكسدة والتي زادت بزيادة إضافة نسب لب الفاكهة، بينما كانت قيم الـ HP تقل بزيادة الإضافة ثم المانجو ثم الكايا على التوالي وكان والفرية الكلية و الفرادية الكلكتون المواد المضادة للأكسدة والتي زادت بزيادة إضافة نسب لب الفاكهة، بينما كانت قيم الـ HP تقل بزيادة الإضافة وطوال فترة التخزين. وسجلت المواد المضادة للأكسدة والفينولات و الفلافونيدات أعلى قيم في الزبادي المدعم بنسبة ١٠٪ من كلا من الجوافة ثم المانجو ثم الكاكا على التوالي وكان ذلك في اليوم السابع ثم قلت بعد ذلك بالتخزين. كما أوضحت النتائج أن الزبادي المدعم بالجوافة سجل أعلى عدد لبكتريا حاص اللاكتيك الحية مقارنة بالكنترول والمعاملات الأخرى. وقد سجلت المعاملات التي تحتوي على ١٠٪ مانجو، ١٠٪ كاكا و ٥٪ جوافة أعلى قيم في التقيم الحسي الزبادي كامل الدسم مقارنة بالكنترول والمعاملات الذي تحتوي على ١٠٪ مانجو، ١٠٪ كاكا و من الريولوجية والحسية كالقرام والنكية مقارنة بالكنترول والمعاملات الذرى يكما أدى إضافة الفواكه للزبادي إلى تحسين الخواص الريولوجية والحسية الحسي للزبادي كامل الدسم مقارنة بالكنترول والمعاملات التي تحتوي على ١٠٪ مانجو، ١٠٪ كاكا و ٥٪ جوافة أعلى قيم في التقيم والنكية مقارنة بالكنترول والمعاملات الزبادي بالعديوية.