Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor Vol. 58(3) (2020), 641 – 648

Study The Population Fluctuation of The Red Palm Weevil *Rhynchophorus ferrugineus* in Two Different Egyptian Regions

🗸 iThenticate

SCREENED BY

Hamouda², A. A.; A.A. Darwish¹, S.M. Halawa¹ and F.F. Abdallah²

1 - Fac. of Agriculture, Benha University, Moshtohr Branch

2- Plant Protection Research Institute, ARC, Dokki, Giza, Egypt

Abstract

The population fluctuation of the red palm weevil, *R. ferrugineus* was studied, using the aggregation pheromone traps, during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 in two different regions of Egypt as follows:

a- Alexandria Governorate: The population of *R. ferrugineus* attracting to the trap number 1, increased gradually from mid of July 2016 (7individuals) to reach its peak in mid of September (26individuals), and then decrease to reach the lowest level of abundance at middle of January 2017 (2insect only). Also, there was another peak of *R. ferrugineus* noticed during beginning of July 2017 (26insects). The attracting insects to the trap 2 had the same trend in the previously mentioned trap, as the highest population of the insects was noticed during the middle of September 2016 recording 32 insects, but the lowest population of collected insect was observed during the end of January 2017. The males in the tested traps were disappeared during the period from the end of January 2017 in the first trap (22insects), but the second trap had attracted the highest number of insects at the same investigation time as (30insects). The period of end of January 2018 to the middle of February was avoiding the appearance of insects in the first trap but in the second trap, the insects disappeared during the period of 3/1/2018 till 14/2/2018 for

b- El-Beihera region: The sampling date 9/10/2016 harbored the highest population of both males and females of *R. ferrugineus* in El- Behira in the first trap. In the second trap the highly abundance of weevil for the sampling date 11/4/2017 (38 insects). Also, the study showed that the number of females was higher than the males in most cases. The highest population was recorded during 9/10/2017 in the first trap (33individuals), but the date 11/4/2018 showed the highest *R. ferrugineus* abundance in the second trap (34insects). The current study indicated that there were highly significant differences between the total number of males and the regions, but there was no any effect of seasons separately on this populations. There were no any effects for regions and study seasons on the population of *R. ferrugineus* inside the trap number 2. In trap 1, there were positive correlations between the effect of males and temperatures on the population of the males. Also, the same correlations were observed for effect of males and temperatures on the population of female populations. However, the effect of relative humidity factor on the population of male and females was negative effect in both tested traps. The study indicated that El-Behira region harbored more *R. ferrugineus* than Alexandria during the two study seasons. The average insects were 4.615 and 3.653 insects in Alexandria and 6.5 and 5.57 in El-Behira, during the two seasons, respectively.

Key Word: Rhynchophorus ferrugineus, Fluctuation, Red Palm Weevil

Introduction

The date palm. *Phoenix dactylifera* (Palmae) is the most common and widely cultivated plant in the arid regions of the Middle East and North Africa where, in many areas, its fruit has provided the carbohydrate food of local people, (Jones 1995). Red palm weevil (RPW) R. ferrugineus (Olivier) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) was first described in India as a serious pest of coconut palm (Lefroy, 1906) and later on it was reported on date palm (Buxton, 1918). During the last decade of the 20thcentury R. ferrugineus was firstly recorded in date palm plantations in Egypt (Saleh and Gouhar, 1993). Red palm weevil was firstly discovered attacking palm in the Arabian peninsula especially United Emirates at 1986 and progressively spread to Gulf states and crossed the red sea into North Africa as the latest record since 1992 in Egypt. The related species is highly polyphagous with number of known hosts exceeding more than ten different palm species (Murphy and Briscoe, 1999). It is likely that the origin of this pest was South East of Asia, mainly in Pakistan, India, Burma, Bangladesh and Indonesia, as have been reported by International Institute of Entomology in London (Liver, 1969) and speeded later in many other countries where date palms are grown such as Iran and Arabian countries; (Iraq, Saudi Arabia, Emirates and recently in Egypt). The larval stages feed inside the trunk and frequently destroy the apical growth area, causing the death of the palm (Murphy and Briscoe, 1999). In 1995, three years after its first discovery in Egypt, an Egyptian Agricultural Official considered that the red palm weevil had been eradicated (Ferry **1996**). Consequently, the recent strategy has focused on Integrated Pest Management programmes including sanitation, cultural, trapping, and chemical and biological control (Peter 1989; Gobinadhan et al.

1990; Rajan and Nair 1997; Murphy and Briscoe 1999;Hanounik *et al.***2000; Abbas 2000**). The aim of this work is study the seasonal abundance of *R*. *ferrugineus* in two regions during the two different seasons.

Materials and methods

This work was carried out from January 2015 to October 2019 in Alexandria Governorate (El-Ameiria region) and El-Beheira Governorate (Itay El-Barrood region), Egypt to study the population fluctuation of adults of (RPW) Rhynchophorus ferrugineus (Oliv.) by using Pheromone traps. Two pheromone traps were distributed in the investigated orchards (5feddan). Pheromone traps designed and applied according to (Hanounik et al., 2000), the pheromone trap consists of ten liter plastic container with four circular holes in the cover and six holes near the upper edge of side walls. Each trap contained dispenser of the R. ferrugineus male aggregation pheromone containing 700 mg of the active ingredient (4Methyl-5-Nonanol (9 parts)+4- Methyl-5-onanon (1 part) (pheromone lure was Manufactured by Chim Tica international S.A. company, Costa Rica. Trade Name PO28Ferrolure+ 700mg lure, consists of a mixture of 4-methyl 5- nonanol and 4-methyl 5-nonanone (9:1part purity of both components, 95% release rate 3-10mg/day). Dispenser of the kairomone containing 45 ml of the active ingredient Ethyl Acetate in gel, at 95% minimum purity, release rate 200-400mg/day colorant added (kairomone manufactured by Chim Tica international S.A. Company, Costa Rica. Trade Name PO80A weevil magent 45mL lure). The prepared traps were placed under the shade of palm canopy to obtain a uniform and sustained release of the chemical (Oehlschlager et al., 2002). Traps maintenance was required during the experimental period. All traps were inspected every 15days during the experimental period to register number of trapped weevils, clean traps, refresh the water and food baits and maintenance the traps during the experimental period. To provide better grip for the attracted weevils, jute cloth was stuck on the outer side of the bucket. The bottom of the bucket is filled with water and various nutritious attractants as baits (dates, sugar cane, and palm materials) to maintain high humidity level and to drown the weevils. A dispenser of the red palm weevil aggregation pheromone lure (4-metil-5nonanol a 90% (w/w) y de 4-metil-5-nonanone a 10% (w/w)) (Chema Tica International, San José, Costa Rica) are hung below the lid using a metal wire. Pheromone lure is replaced in each two months before running out. Pheromone-food baited traps were buried on the ground until the level of holes to facilitate entrance the weevils. The environmental conditions data (temperatures and relative humilities) were obtained from The Metrological Station in Giza. Each trap, according to El-Lakwah et al. (2011) contained the following materials:

1- 250g of dates.

2- dispenser of the *R. ferrugineus* male aggregation pheromone (Pheromone lure was manufactured by Chim Tica international S.A. Company, Costa Rica. Trade Name PO28 Ferroluree+700mg lure, consists of a mixture of 4-methyl 5 – nonanol and 4- methyl 5- nonanone (9:1part purity of both components 95% release rate 3-10 mg/day).

3- Dispenser of the kairomone containing 45 mL of the active ingredient ethyl acetate in gel, at 95% minimum purity, release rate 200-400 mg/day colorant added (Kairomone manufactured by ChimTica inter. S.A. Company, Costa Rica. Trade Name PO80A weevil magnet 45mL lure)

4- 5L of water. Traps were fabricated using a 10 L polypropylene bucket .The outer surface of the bucket was rough with plastic net (1-2 mm).Traps were without cover and buried in the ground down to the level of 15cm to facilitate entrance of R. ferrugineus adults. Part-burying of the trap also prevented it from being over-turned by wind or animals or water of flooded irrigation. Each trap was 4 meter away from date palm trees (to avoid that any adult could miss the trap and lay eggs on the palmtree) in the shade to avoid evaporation. The water was always replenished every two weeks to keep sufficient moisture in each trap for avoid escaping of the adult and it help to kill the insects. Food bait (dates) was renewed every two weeks. Based on the dispensing rates, the pheromone and the kairomone were replaced every 2 months. Trapped weevils were collected, removed, counted, sexed and recorded every two weeks. Correlation coefficient and regression values were calculated

Results and discussion

Population fluctuation of red palm weevil during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018:

The population fluctuation of the red palm weevil, *R. ferrugineus* was studied, using the aggregation pheromone traps, during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 in two different regions of Egypt as follows:

I- During 2016/2017

A- Alexandria Governorate

As shown in Table (1) The insect population of red palm weevil (males and females) attracting to the trap number 1, increased gradually from mid of July 2016 (7insects) to reach its peak in mid of September (26individuals), and then decrease to reach the lowest level of abundance at middle of January 2017 (2insect only). Also, the same obtained data indicated that there was another peak of red palm weevil was noticed during beginning of July 2017 (26 insects). On the other hand, the attracting insects to the trap number 2 had the same trend in the previously mentioned trap, as the highest population of the insects was noticed during the middle of September 2016 recording 32 individuals, but the lowest population of collected insect was observed during the end of January 2017 (2insects). The males of collected insects to the two

tested traps were disappeared during the period from the begining of January to the middle of February 2017. Data obtained proved that the reliable number of captured red palm weevil occurred during warm periods which extended from March until November, while, there was scarcely distributed individuals during winter months.

 Table 1. The number of attracting insects (RPW) to the pheromone traps in Alexandria Governorate during (2016/2017) season

Sampling	Trap No. 1		Trap No. 2			Tem. °C	RH%	
dates	No. of	No. of	Total no.	No. of	No. of	Total no.		
	males	females	of insect	males	females	of insect		
July 19/2016	3	4	7	4	5	9	32.0	40.5
August 2	4	11	15	7	8	15	30.5	39.7
16	4	17	21	9	9	18	28	37.8
30	9	15	24	7	15	22	26.5	40.6
September 13	1	11	26	13	19	32	24.8	40.1
27	4	6	10	11	12	23	23.1	40.0
October 11	7	11	18	2	5	7	22.5	40.8
25	1	11	21	2	6	8	20.5	44.2
November 8	3	8	11	2	6	8	18.5	50.6
22	5	6	11	2	4	6	16.4	49.1
December 6	4	5	9	4	5	9	15.4	48.6
20	2	2	4	5	6	11	14.8	48.3
January 3/2017	2	2	4	0	3	3	15.2	47.9
17	2	0	2	0	5	5	15.2	45.0
31	0	4	4	0	2	2	17.6	44.1
February 14	0	3	3	0	6	6	18.5	42.5
28	3	5	8	5	4	9	19.2	38.5
March 14	3	4	7	6	3	9	19.4	34.0
28	4	5	9	13	16	29	19.0	33.0
April 11	0	6	6	9	8	17	21.4	29.8
25	3	10	13	18	19	37	24.3	29.7
May 9	2	0	2	5	4	9	27.1	33.0
23	7	5	12	6	10	16	28.5	32.0
June 6	5	3	8	5	7	12	29.1	30.8
20	5	15	20	8	13	21	30.5	31.0
July 4	10	16	26	4	4	8	30.2	30.7
Total	112	184	296	147	204	351	-	-
Average	4.3	7.07	11.38	5.6	7.8	13.5	-	-

b-) El-Beihera region: As shown in Table (2), the most attracted red palm weevil was observed in the second trap thane the first one. The obtained data showed that the sampling date 9/10/2016 harbored the highest population of both males and females in the collected insects in El-Behira Governorate in the first trap. However, in the second trap the highly abundance of red palm weevil for the sampling date 11/4/2017 (38 attracted males and females). Also, the current study showed that the number of collected females was higher than the males in most casesand in the two tested trap. Table (2) also showed the population fluctuation of males and females separately.

II-) The second season 2017/2018: In the second season (2017/2018), seasonal prevalence of *R*. *ferrugineus* population had approximately similar trend of abundance as in the first year (2016/2017), but differed in the collected insect numbers in the two tested regions (Tables 3 and 4).

II-a) Alexandria region: The highest number of attracted red palm weevil at Alexandria region was recorded during 13/9/2017 in the first trap (22 insects) for both males and females, but the second trap had attracted the highest number of insects at the same investigation time was 30 insects (males and females), Table (3). The period of end of January 2018 to the middle of February was avoid the appearance of males and females in the first trap but in the second trap, the insects disappeared during the period of 3/1/2018 till 14/2/2018 for males.

II- b-) El-Beihera region: As shown in Table (4) there were three different population peaks in the first trap, the first one recorded during the end of July 2017 (28 individuals), the second one observed as 33 insects during 9/10/2017, while the third peak was occurred during 21/6/2018 in El-Beheira (28 individuals). However, in the second trap, there were two peaks of red palm weevil in El-Behira, the first one during 11/9/2017 (26 insects), the second peak noticed during 11/4/2018 with 34 insects, as, the last peak was

recorded during 23/5/2018 in this trap and region (21 insects). Generally, the population fluctuation of the total number of red palm weevil in El-Beihera was obviously higher than that obtained in Alexandria

Effect of environmental conditions on the population of the red palm weevil: Tables (1-4) explained the population dynamics of the red palm weevil in two different regions at two different seasons. The simple correlation value (r's) helping in detecting any apparent relationship between the population of the red palm weevil, *R. ferrugineus* and each of the tested factors. The regression value (b) indicated the average rate of changes in the activity of the insect due to the unit change in any of the tested factors.

Trap number 1: The tabulated data in Table (5) showed the effect of both regions and study season on the population of the red palm weevil in the two tested regions (Alexandria and El-Behira). The data indicated that there were highly significant differences

between the total number of collected males and the different regions, but there was no any effect of seasons separately on this population in the trap number 1. Also, the interaction between the regions and study seasons had no any effect on the male's populations. The analysis of data showed that LSD at 0.05 = 1.3518. The same data also showed that the same trend in the population of the *R. ferrugineus* females and also the total number of collected insects, as in male. The statistical analysis of obtained data showed that LSD at 0.05 = 2.0074 and 3.2209, respectively.

Trap umber 2: The current study showed that there were no any effects for regions and study seasons on the population of the red palm weevil, *R. ferrugineus* inside the trap number 2. The statistical analysis of obtained data indicated that LSD at 0.05 level = 1.6627, 1.8099 and 3.359 for effect of regions, study season and interaction between regions and seasons, respectively, Table (5).

Table 2. The number of attracting insects (RPW) to the pheromone traps in El-Behira during (2016/2017) season

Sampling	Trap No. 1			Trap No.	Tem.	RH%		
dates	No. of	No. of	Total no.	No. of	No. of	Total no.	°C	
	males	females	of insect	males	females	of insect		
July 3/2016	5	6	11	6	7	13	32.6	42.5
17	11	14	25	9	11	20	31.3	41.0
31	11	19	30	9	11	20	28.5	37.9
August 14	13	15	28	7	9	16	26.9	42.0
28	11	15	26	12	14	26	24.7	40.9
September 11	8	8	16	13	15	28	23.9	40.8
25	8	13	21	5	8	13	22.7	42.0
October 9	12	21	33	5	9	14	21.0	44.8
23	5	10	15	2	5	7	18.8	53.6
November 6	6	9	15	3	5	8	17.2	50.8
20	6	8	14	5	7	12	16.4	52.1
December 4	5	5	10	4	3	7	14.4	49.7
18	4	5	9	0	3	3	15.9	49.0
January 1/ 2017	2	0	2	0	2	2	16.3	45.8
15	0	0	0	0	1	1	18.5	44.8
29	0	0	0	0	1	1	19.2	43.5
February 12	4	7	11	8	10	18	19.6	39.6
26	7	8	15	9	11	20	20.4	39.8
March 14	7	10	17	11	13	24	21.0	33.8
28	0	2	2	9	12	21	21.7	32.0
April 11	4	8	12	18	20	38	24.8	32.8
25	3	6	9	8	10	18	27.8	33.9
May 9	7	17	24	7	9	16	29.5	37.6
23	8	15	23	12	12	24	29.4	34.9
June 7	7	18	25	8	6	14	31.3	36.8
21	15	17	32	6	8	14	30.4	34.6
Total	169	256	425	176	220	398	-	-
Average	6.5	9.8	16.3	6.7	8.5	15.3	-	-

••			U

Sampling	Trap No. 1			Trap No. 2	Tem.	RH%		
dates	No. of	No. of	Total no. of	No. of	No. of	Total no.	°C	
	males	females	insect	males	females	of insect		
July 19/ 2017	2	5	7	4	4	8	32.6	40.9
August 2	4	9	13	6	7	13	30.7	40.2
16	3	13	16	8	8	16	28.8	37.9
30	7	12	19	7	15	22	27.1	41.4
September 13	12	10	22	11	19	30	25.3	40.4
27	4	5	9	10	11	21	23.8	40.2
October 11	8	9	17	2	4	6	23.5	40.9
25	9	7	16	1	6	7	21.4	45.1
November 8	3	7	10	1	6	7	18.9	50.9
22	3	5	8	2	4	6	17.3	53.2
December 6	3	4	7	3	4	7	15.4	49.8
20	2	2	4	4	4	8	14.9	48.9
January 3/ 2018	2	2	4	0	3	3	16.1	48.7
17	1	0	1	0	3	3	16.2	45.8
31	0	3	3	0	2	2	17.9	44.9
February 14	0	3	3	0	5	5	19.5	43.6
28	2	3	5	3	5	8	20.3	39.4
March 14	2	4	6	5	3	8	20.8	34.9
28	3	5	8	11	13	24	19.8	33.8
April 11	0	5	5	7	5	12	21.9	30.2
25	2	8	10	14	16	30	25.3	31.0
May 9	2	0	2	6	3	9	28.4	33.9
23	6	5	11	4	11	15	28.9	33.0
June 6	4	3	7	4	8	12	30.3	32.8
20	4	11	15	7	11	18	31.5	32.1
July 4	7	14	21	3	3	6	30.9	30.9
Total	95	154	249	123	183	306	-	-
Average	3.6	5.9	9.5	4.7	7.03	11.7	-	-

Table 3. The number of attracting insects (RPW) to the pheromone traps in Alexandria during (2017/2018) seasons

Sampling	Trap No. 1			Trap No. 2			Tem. °C	RH%
dates	No. of	No. of	Total no. of	No. of	No. of	Total no. of		
	males	females	insect	males	females	insect		
July 3/2017	6	6	12	6	5	11	33.4	44.0
17	8	12	20	8	10	18	31.9	44.1
31	10	18	28	9	11	20	28.7	39.4
August 14	12	14	26	6	8	14	28.1	43.2
28	10	14	24	11	13	24	25.6	42.9
September 11	6	8	14	12	14	26	24.4	41.8
25	6	12	18	5	8	13	23.4	42.9
October 9	9	19	18	4	8	12	21.9	45.4
23	5	8	13	1	4	5	19.5	56.3
November 6	5	9	14	2	5	7	18.4	53.2
20	5	9	14	6	7	13	17.6	53.5
December 4	4	5	9	3	2	5	15.2	49.8
18	4	5	9	0	1	1	16.3	51.1
January 1/2018	2	0	2	0	2	2	17.4	52.1
15	0	0	0	0	1	1	19.5	46.2
29	0	0	0	0	0	0	19.8	45.5
February 12	3	6	9	6	12	18	19.5	40.1
26	6	7	13	8	12	20	25.1	40.6
March 14	6	9	15	10	10	20	22.2	35.1
28	0	2	2	9	10	19	22.9	32.9
April 11	3	7	10	16	18	34	25.4	33.7
25	3	7	10	6	11	17	28.7	34.2
May 9	6	15	21	6	7	13	30.2	39.1
23	8	12	20	11	10	21	30.7	35.1
June 7	5	17	22	8	5	13	33.0	37.1
21	13	15	28	5	7	12	30.9	36.0
Total	145	236	381	158	201	359	-	-
Average	5.5	9.07	14.6	6.07	7.7	13.8	-	-

variable	source	F.	Р.	LSD at 0.05
Male individuals	region	8.4501	0.0045**	1.3518
in trap No. 1	Season	1.6129	0.2070 ^{ns}	
	Int. region*season	0.0072	0.9327	
Female	region	8.4563	0.00468**	2.0074
individuals in trap	Season	0.9395	0.3347 ^{ns}	
No. 1	Int. region*season	0.0437	0.8348 ^{ns}	
Total insects in	region	10.6113	0.0015**	3.2209
trap No. 1	Season	0.8319	0.3639 ^{ns}	
	Int. region*season	0.10228	0.7498 ^{ns}	
Male individuals	region	0.7405	0.33916 ^{ns}	1.6627
in trap No. 2	Season	2.4707	0.1191 ^{ns}	
	Int. region*season	0.5562	0.4578 ^{ns}	
Female	region	0.5443	0.4624 ^{ns}	1.8099
individuals in trap	Season	0.7469	0.3895 ^{ns}	
No. 2	Int. region*season	4.4434	0.9832 ^{ns}	
Total insects in	region	1.2902	0.2587 ^{ns}	3.359
trap No. 2	Season	0.9103	0.3423 ^{ns}	
	Int. region*season	0.0046	0.9458 ^{ns}	

Table 5. Effect of both region and study seasons on the population fluctuation of the (RPW), *R. ferrugineus*

LSD = Least significant differences

* Significant ** high significant ns not significant

In case of trap no. 1, as shown in Table (6), there were positive correlations between the effect of female individuals and temperature factors on the population of the male individuals. Also, the same correlations were observed for effect of males and temperatures factors on the population of female populations. However, the effect of relative humidity factors on the population of male and female's indiduals was negative effect in both tested traps. The data in Table (7) showed that the mean number of males and females of R. *ferrugineus* in both tested traps. The average number of males was 5.048 and 6.063 insect through trap 1 and 2, changed to recorded 7.99 and 7.779 females, R. ferrugineus. Also, the average total number of males and females recorded 13.22 and 13.59 during the two study seasons in the tested locations, respectively. However, the current study indicated that El-Behira harboured more attracted red palm weevil, R. ferrugineus than Alexandria during the two study seasons 2016/2017 and 2017/2018, Table (8). The average attracted insects were 4.615 and 3.653 insects for Alexandria and 6.5 and 5.57 individuals for El-Behira, during the two seasons, respectively.

The use of pheromones in monitoring and controlling RPW populations has become an important effective tool (**El-Ezaby** *et al.*, **1998**; **Faleiro**, **2005**). The obtained results are in disagreement with the findings of Abdallah and Al-Khatri (2005), who observed that RPW adults emerging continually throughout the year Also, these data insure the data obtained by **Qin** *et al.*, **(2004)** who found that, the population monitoring of red palm weevil occurred in four peaks a year in the area of Wenchang, Hainan

Province. The adult population abundance of RPW according to the previously mentioned data is not exactly existed all over the year in two years of study. These results in general disagree with the findings of **El-Sebay (2003)** and **EL-Lakwah** *et al.* (2011). Average of *R. ferrugineus* adults captured by aggregation pheromone was different during the two years of study. These results in general agree with the findings of **Muralidharanet** *al.* (2000) who found that in India the highest population density of the red palm weevil was observed in May (29.6/trap), March (16/trap) and December (4.6/trap). Abbas (2000 and Abbas *et al*, 2005) in Egypt estimated four overlapping generations of red palm weevil adults per year.

El Ezaby et al. (1998) reported maximum catches in March and April. The study conducted by Abbas et al. (2005) indicated that the insect population of red palm weevil increased gradually from January to reach its peak in March, April, or May. An evaluation of the performance of the pheromone traps showed that they could capture 4.4 to 20.7% of the resident populations of R. ferrugineus in the three different date palm plantations. No significant differences could be found in rates of capture between males and females. El-Sebaey (2003) indicated that R. ferrugineus had two main active seasons annually. The first adult brood was observed in April and the second one was in November. Female density was higher than male density and it constituted 52.8-57.35% of the total population in the field. In addition, Faleiro (2005) found that the weevil captures were female dominated and for every male trapped two female weevils were captured.

Trap No.	(x) variable	(Y) variable	Correlation	Sloop (b)	(Y) Int. (a)
1	Male	Female	0.7675	1.136	3.2535
		Tem.	0.399	0.654	19.92
		RH	-0.0557	-0.103	41.49
	Female	Male	0.7675	0.5183	0.9059
		Tem.	0.5133	0.5677	18.687
		RH	-0.1782	-0.2227	42.7525
2	Male	Female	0.88319	0.9499	0.20199
		Tem.	0.40266	0.5522	19.875
		RH	-0.5958	-0.9234	46.57
	Female	Male	0.8832	0.8212	-0.3253
		Tem.	0.3595	0.4584	19.657
		RH	-0.4721	-0.6802	46.2649

Table 6. The correlation between the different factors on the population of *R. ferrugineus*

Table 7. The average mea	ns of different individua	als of <i>R. ferrugineus</i> in	n the tested traps

Trap No.	Variable	Mean + SD	Minimum	Maximum
1	Males	5.048±3.6	0	15
	Females	7.99±5.3	0	21
	Total insects	13.22±8.6	0	33
2	Males	6.063±4.3	0	19
	Females	7.779±4.6	0	20
	Total insects	13.59±8.6	0	38

SD= standard deviation

 Table 8. The average number of attracted (RPW), R. ferrugineusto traps in Alexandria and El-Behira Governorates during 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 seasons.

Season	Region	Mean No. of insects	SD±	Minimum	Maximum
2016/2017	Alexandria	4.615	3.47	0	15
	El-Behira	6.5	3.99	0	15
2017/2018	Alexandria	3.653	2.925	0	12
	El-Behira	5.57	3.419	0	13

References

Abbas, M. K. (2000). Studies on the red palm weevil, M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Zagazig University.

- Abbas, M.S.; Hanounik, S. B.; Shahdad, A. S. and AI-Bagham, S. A. (2005). Aggregation pheromone traps, a major component of IPM strategy for the red palm weevil, *Rhynchophorus ferrugineus* in date palms (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Journal of Pest Science, 2:69-73.
- Abdallah F. F. and Al-Khatri, S.A. (2005): The effect of pheromone, kairomone and food bait on attracting adults of red palm weevil, Rhynchophorus ferrugienus in the Sultanate of Oman in date palm plantations. 3rd int. Conf., Plant Protection Research Institute, Dokki, Egypt, 26-29 November, 2005. 1-6
- **Buxton, P.A. (1918).** Report on the failure of date crops in Mesopotamia in 1918. Agricultural Directorate, M.F.F. Bassarah, Ball. No. 6
- El Ezaby, F.; Khalifa, O. and El Assal, A. (1998). Integrated pest management for the control of red palm weevil Rhychophorus ferrugineus Oliv. in the United Arab Emirates, Eastern Region, Al Ain. 1st Con. on Date Palms. Al-Ain, UAE
- EL-Lakwa, F.A.; EL- Banna, A. A.; EL-Hosay, R. and EL-Shafi, W.K.M. (2011). Population

dynamics of the red palm weevil Rhynchophorus ferruginus (Oliv.) on date palm plantations in 6thOctober Governorate. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 89 (3): 1105-1118.

- El-Sebaey, Y. (2003). Ecological studies on the red palm weevil, Rhynchophorusferrugineus Oliv. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in Egypt. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 81 (2): 523-529.
- Faleiro J.R. (2005). Pheromone technology for the management of red palm weevil Rhynchophorus ferrugineus (Olivier) (Coleoptera: Rhynchophoridae). A key pest of coconut, Technical Bulletin No.4, ICAR Research Complex for Goa.40pp.
- Ferry, M. (1996). La crise du secteurphoenicicoledans les pays méditerranéennes. Quelles recherché pour y répondre?. In: Ferry, M. & Greiner, D. (Eds) Proceedings of the plenary sessions of the Elche International Workshop on Date Cultivation in Oasis Agriculture of Mediterranean Countries. Elche, Spain 25-27 April 1995. Options méditerranéennes 28:129–156
- Gobinadhan P.B., Mohandas N. and Nair, K.P.V. (1990). Cytoplasmic polyhedrosis virus infecting red palm weevil in coconut. Curr Sci 59:577–580.
- Hanounik, S. B., Hegazy G., Abbas M.S.T., Salem M., Saleh M.M.E., Mansour M.I., Al-Bagham

S., Abuzuhaira R., Awash S.and Shaambia A. (2000). Biological control of *Rhynchophorus ferrugineus* as a major component of IPM. Proc. 1st Workshop on Control of date palm red weevil. 22–25 November 2000, AI-Ehsaa, Saudi Arabia pp 125–150.

- Jones, C. R. (1995).Insect notes from the Philippines. Philippines Adri. Rev., 6: 246 – 250.
- Lefroy, H.M. (1906). The more important insects injurious to Indian Agriculture, Govt. Press, Calcutta, India.
- Liver, R.J.A. (1969). Pests of the coconut palm. FAO Rept., Rome, p. 113-117.
- Muralidharan, C.M.; Sodagar, N.N. and Vaghasia, U.R. (2000). Survey, distribution, extent of damage, field behavior and biology of the red palm weevil, *Rhynchophorusferrugineus* (Oliv.) on date groves of Kachchh Gujarat). 20 (1): 35-46.
- Murphy S.T., and Briscoe, B. R. (1999). The red palm weevil as an alien invasive: biology and prospects for biological control as a component of IPM. Biocontrol News and Information 20(1):35–46.
- Oehlschlager, A. C.; Pierco, H..D.; Morgan, J. R. B.; Wimalaratne, P.D.C.; Slosser, K.N.; King,

G.S.; Perez, P.; Gries, R.; Gries, G.; Borden, J.H.; Jiron, L.F.; Chinchilla, C.M. and Mexzon, R.G. (2002). Chirality and field testing of *Rhynchophorus*, the aggregation pheromone of the American palm weevil, Naturwissenschaften, 79:134-135.

- **Peter, C.** (1989). A note on the mites associated with the red palm weevil, *Rhynchophorus ferrugineus* in Tami Nadu. J. Insect Sci 2:160–161
- Qin, W.; Weiquan Ma; Zilong Wu; DuoyangCai; Xizhou Wang ;Yongzhuang Z. and Chaowen, H.H. (2004). Trapping of Red Palm weevil with several attractants and monitoring of its population in the field. Chinese Journal of Tropical Crops. , 2004-02.
- **Rajan P, and Nair C.P.R. (1997).** Red palm weevilthe tissue borer of coconut palm. Indian Coconut J. Cochin 27(12):2–3.
- Saleh, M.R.A. and Gouhar, K.A.(1993). Red palm weevil attacking date palm trees in limited areas of Egypt at the present time and how can be eradicated from these areas. Report of Plant Protection Department, Fac. of Agric. Zagazig Univ., pp. 20.

فى موقعين مختلفين من مصر *النذبذب العددى لسوسة النخيل الحمرا هRhynchophorus ferrugineus فى موقعين مختلفين من مصر* ايمن ابو الحسين حمودة¹ ⊣حمد عبد الغفار درويش² – صفاء محمود حلاوة² – فتحى فهيم عبدالله¹ 1 – معهد بحوث وقاية النباتات – الدقى – جيزة – مصر 2- قسم وقاية النباتات – كلية الزراعة جامعة بنها (مشتهر)

اجريت هذه الدراسة خلال موسمي 2017/2016 و 2018/2017 في محافظتي الاسكندرية (منطقة النوبارية) والبحيرة (منطقي ابتاي البارود) لدراسة التنبذب العددي لحشرة سوسة النخيل الحمراء R. ferrugineus وتاثير العوامل الجوية (درجات الحرارة والرطوبة النسبية) باستخدام اثنين من المصائد الفرمونية على هذا التعداد.وقد اظهرت النتائج وجود الحشرة طوال العام بوجه عام وان الحشرة ظهر لها اكثر من قمة للتعداد.كماتشير النتائج ان اعلى تعداد (قمة) للحشرات المنجذبة للمصيدة الاولى في الاسكندريةبدأ من منتصف يونيو 2016 ووصل الى اعلى معدل في منتصف سبتمير (26 حشرة) ثم اخذ التعداد في النقصان حيث وصل اقل معدل في ينابر 2017 وبدأت الزيادة من منتصف يونيو 2017 باجمالي عدد 26 حشرة وظهر اعلى تعداد في المصيدة الثانية في سبتمبر 2016 مسجلة 32 حشرة كما كان اقل تعداد بنهاية يناير 2017 مع ملاحظة اختفاء الذكور في المصيدة من نهاية يناير حتى منتصف فبراير 2017 وفي الموسم الثاني كان اعلى تعداد للحشرة في سبتمبر 2017 حيث وصل الى 25 حشرة في المصيدة الاولى واخذ هذا التعداد في التناقص حتى وصل لاقل معدل له في يناير وفبراير 2018 و ظهريقمة للحشرات في سبتمبر حيث سجلت 30 حشرة وتلاش تماما تعداد الحشرات في الفترة من نهاية يناير حتى منتصف فبراير 2018 في المصيدة الثانية. كما تشير النتائج ان المصيدة الاولى بمحافظة البحيرة قد جذبت عدد اكبر من الحشرات بتعداد 38 حشرة في 9 اكتوبر 2016 ثم تلا ذلك تعداد اعلى في ابريل مسجلة (38حشرة)كما ان نسبة الاناث كانت اعلى من الذكور وفي الموسم الثاني وجدقمة في اكتوبر 2017 (33 حشرة) ثم بدأ هذا التعداد في الإنخفاض الى ان وصل لاقل معدل في يناير 2018 وفي المصيدة الثانية وجد أن اعلى تعداد الحشرات (34 حشرة) كان في ابريل 2018 وبوجه عام وجد ان متوسط تعداد الحشرات طوال الدراسة حوالي (5.048 و 6.063 ذكور) و (7.99 و 7.772حشرة اناث) في المصيدة الاولى والثانية على الترتيب . وبمتوسط عام مقداره 13.22 و 13.59 حشرة اثناء 2017/2016 و 2018/2017 على الترتيب.واظهرت النتائج بوجه عامان هناك تاثيرات مختلفة لمتوسط درجات الحرارة والرطوبة النسبية على تعداد الحشرة في المنطقتين خلال موسمي الدراسة. ومن نتائج الدراسة وجد انه لا يوجد تاثير لعامل المكان والزمان على تعداد حشرة سوسة النخيل الحمراء داخل المصيدة 2 اما في المصيدة 1 فكان هناك ارتباط ايجابي بين تاثير الافراد الاناث ودرجات الحرارة على تعداد الافراد الذكور . ايضا نفس الارتباط لوحظ عند تاثير الافراد الذكور ودرجات الحرارة على تعداد الافراد الاناث ولقد دلت الدراسة ان متوسط عدد الحشرات طول العام كان في محافظة البحيرة اعلى من محافظة الاسكندرية حيث كان المتوسط 4.615 و 3.653 حشرة في الاسكندرية و 6.5 و 5.57 حشرة في محافظة البحيرة خلال موسمي الدراسة على الترتيب.