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ABSTRACT

Background: Catheter-associated urinary tract infection produces substantial morbidity in hospitalized patients including discomfort, fever, malaise and unnecessary antibiotic use, which may become an important source of antibiotic resistant organisms. Further, the catheterized urinary tract acts as a reservoir for the dissemination of these drug resistant organisms to other patients.

Aim of the study: was to improve the safety of intensive care unit (ICU) patients with urinary catheter via decreasing the incidence of catheter-associated urinary tract infection (CAUTI) at Zagazig University Hospital through assessment of knowledge and practice of ICU staff about catheter associated infection and assessment of the incidence of CAUTI among ICU patients in Zagazig University Hospital and implementation of Infection Control Program in the selected ICUs.

Subjects and methods: An interventional study (one-group pre-test post-test design) was conducted during the period from 2016 to 2018 at Zagazig university Hospital in two ICUs; surgical and emergency intensive care units. The study was carried out through two phases; the first included the followings: active electronic surveillance for (CAUTI); assessment of health care providers’ knowledge about CAUTI before and after intervention through constructed questionnaire and assessment of health care providers’ practice before and after intervention by using performance observation checklist. The second phase, included implementation of infection control program based on the conceptual model of Comprehensive Unit-based Safety Program (CUSP).

Results: There was a high statistical significant increase in knowledge of resident physicians and nurses about guidelines for prevention of catheter associated urinary tract infection in the studied intensive care units after intervention (P <0.01). There was high statistical significant improvement in urinary catheterization practice in the studied intensive care units after intervention (P <0.01). Regarding incidence of CAUTI, before intervention, CAUTI incidence was 10.6 per 1000 urinary catheter days. After intervention, CAUTI incidence significantly dropped to 5.4 per 1000 urinary catheter days. Risk of CAUTI before intervention was approximately twice that after intervention. The intervention reduced risk of CAUTI by 49.1%. Regarding isolated pathogens associated with reported CAUTI, the most frequent isolated pathogens were Klebsiella spp. (31.4%), followed by Candida albicans (21.4%), Pseudomonas spp. (14.3%) and E. coli (12.9%)

Conclusion: At Zagazig university hospitals’ ICUs, implementation of infection control program on CAUTI was noticed to be associated with improvement in healthcare providers’ knowledge and practice and decrease in CAUTI incidence. Sustainability is required to maintain such improvement. So. It is recommended to keep continuous training of ICU staff and upgrade ICU protocols according to recent guidelines.
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INTRODUCTION

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) are infections that patients acquire during the course of receiving treatment for other conditions within a healthcare setting and are not present or incubating at the time of admission (1). Medical instrumentation increases the risk of development of HAIs and most patients admitted for health care are exposed to some kind of medical device in the course of their treatment. Such devices include, but are not limited to, venous and urinary catheters, and ventilators (2).

Catheter-associated urinary tract infection (CAUTI) is defined as a urinary tract infection that occurs in persons with an indwelling urinary catheter. A urinary tract infection (UTI) is an inflammatory response of the epithelium of the urinary tract to invasion and colonization by pathogen,
usually a bacterial species (3). CAUTIs are classified as a complicated UTI and the most common cause of health care-associated infection (4).

During hospitalization, from 10% to 25% of patients may receive indwelling urinary catheters, of whom 20% suffer from urinary tract infection. The daily risk of acquisition of urinary infection varies from 3% to 7% when an indwelling urinary catheter remains in place. 50% of patients are infected after 15 days of installation of the catheter, and almost 100% of them are infected after one month (5).

In Egypt, Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are one of the most common types of healthcare associated infection (HAI) and device-associated infections. Majority of healthcare-associated UTIs are caused by instrumentation of the urinary tract. Catheter-associated urinary tract infection (CAUTI) has been associated with increased morbidity, mortality, hospital cost, and length of stay. In Zagazig university hospitals, the problem of CAUTI has not been searched from public health standpoint yet. Infection control unit looks at CAUTI as one of its top priorities in ICUs field.

In 2015 the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) lunched the Comprehensive Unit-based Safety Program (CUSP) to prevent CAUTI. This project combines the implementation of general socio-adaptive approaches to improve care in a particular unit or hospital coupled with evidence-based interventions focusing on the technical aspects of CAUTI prevention (6).

CUSP is a five-step program designed to change a unit’s workplace culture to bring about significant safety improvement through empowering staff to assume responsibility for safety in their environment. This is achieved through education, awareness, access to organization resources, and a toolkit of interventions (6).

This study aimed to improve the safety of ICU patients with urinary catheter via decreasing the incidence of CAUTI at Zagazig University Hospital through assessment of knowledge and practice of ICU staff about catheter associated infection and assessment of the incidence of CAUTI among ICU patients in Zagazig University Hospital and implementation of Infection Control Program in the selected ICUs.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

**Type of study:** An interventional study (One-group pre-test post-test design).

**Study setting:** This study was conducted during the period from 2016 to 2018 at Zagazig university Hospital in two ICUs; surgical ICU and emergency ICU.

**Target population:** All patients with urinary catheter admitted in both ICUs during the period of study were consecutively enrolled in the study (355 patients before intervention and 319 patients after intervention) and health care providers (resident physicians and nurses) who were working in the studied units and involved in insertion, maintenance and care of urinary catheter during the period of study were included in the study during the period of study were included in the study.

**Sample size:** Assuming that percentage of health care providers with adequate knowledge about CAUTI prevention guidelines before intervention is 40%, after intervention 75% (7), at confidence level 95% and power 80%, so total sample size is 70 health care providers. Calculated by Epi Info 7 version 7.2.0.1 (8).

**Sample technique:** Zagazig university Hospital which has 13 ICUs: Cardiac (2 ICUs), Respiratory (1 ICU), Neurologic (1 ICU), General medical (1 ICU), Stroke care (1 ICU), GIT (2 ICUs), Pediatric (1 ICU), Neonatal (2 ICUs), Surgical (1 ICU), Emergency (1 ICU). Two of these ICUs were selected; surgical intensive care unit (15 beds) and emergency intensive care unit (15 beds). The selected two units fulfilled the following criteria:

- Have high percentage of urinary catheter utilization.
- Patients admitted for duration suitable for acquiring catheter associated urinary tract infection (low bed turnover rate).
- Have enough number of resident physicians and nurses that satisfy the required sample size
- Have high risk of CAUTI (9).
Data collection: It was done through two phases:
First phase (pre-intervention) included the following:
Active electronic surveillance for catheter associated urinary tract infection (CAUTI) with technical and financial support of from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC's) Global Disease Detection (GDD) Program in Egypt, the U.S. Naval Medical Research Unit (NAMRU-3), and the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID). Personal digital assistants (PDAs) were used to facilitate data entry. The PDAs were programmed using a decision-based tree to help with classifications of HAIs based on CDC/NHSN surveillance criteria. Only suspected cases were entered into PDAs.
Assessment of health care providers' knowledge about CAUTI through constructed questionnaire prepared by the researcher about the following areas: Demographic characteristics of health care providers in studied ICUs (age, qualification, duration of experience in ICU, attending training courses on infection control). Knowledge about Infection control inside ICUs, CAUTI & its prevention, Guidelines for urinary catheterization, Guidelines for urinary catheter and perineal care and Guidelines for urine bag and drainage system care.
Assessment of health care providers' practice by Performance observation checklist prepared by researcher according to Association for Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology (APIC) Implementation Guide, 2014 (10) which include items about the following procedures: Aseptic technique for urinary catheter insertion, Urinary catheter and perineal care technique, Urine bag and drainage system care technique.
Second phase (intervention) included implementation of infection control program based on the conceptual model of Comprehensive Unit-based Safety Program (CUSP) (6).
1. Engage: Explain why CAUTI prevention is important to all stakeholders and institutional managers in clear, concise and compelling way.
2. Educate: Share evidence supporting the interventions according to Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC) Guideline for the Prevention of CAUTI (10) through training sessions for health care providers.
Data management:
1. Scoring of knowledge questionnaire:
Assessment of knowledge was divided to 5 sections: Infection control inside ICUs, Catheter associated urinary tract infection & its prevention, Guidelines for urinary catheterization, Guidelines for urinary catheter and perineal care, Guidelines for urine bag and drainage system care (10 items).
Scoring system for knowledge items was as follows:
• Each correct answer took 1 degree.
• Each incorrect answer took zero.
Maximum score of the questionnaire was 71. Total score of the whole questionnaire and each section is calculated as percentage. Knowledge level was calculated as follows:
• Bad knowledge: total score < 50%
• Fair knowledge: total score 50 – 75%
• Good knowledge: total score >75%
ii. Scoring of Performance observation checklist:
Performance observation checklist had 23 items divided to 3 sections:
- Aseptic technique for urinary catheter insertion (11 items)
- Urinary catheter and perineal care technique (4 items)
- Urine bag and drainage system care technique (8 items)
Scoring system for knowledge items was as follows:

- Each Well-done performance took 2 degrees.
- Each Incomplete performance took 1 degree.
- Each not done performance took zero.

Maximum score of the checklist was 46. Total score of the whole checklist and each section is calculated as percentage. Practice level was calculated as follows:

- Bad Practice: total score < 50%
- Fair Practice: total score 50 – 75%
- Good Practice: total score >75%

iii. Statistical analysis:

The collected data was entered to and analyzed by computer using Statistical Package of Social Services version 24 (SPSS) (12).

Results were presented by tables and graphs. Continuous data was presented as mean, median, range and standard deviation. Qualitative data was presented as frequencies and proportions.

Pearson Chi square test (χ²), fisher exact and Extended Mantel Hansel test (χ² for linear trend) were used to analyze qualitative independent data. Student’s t test (t) were used to analyze quantitative independent McNemar test and Wilcoxon sign test were used to test paired data as appropriate (13).

In all the tests, p value of ≤0.05 was taken as significant.

❖ Administrative design and Ethical considerations:

1. Approval of Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Zagazig University, Faculty of medicine was taken after revision of study protocol.
2. An official permission from Zagazig University, Faculty of medicine was taken to intensive care units. Zagazig university Hospital was informed about the nature and steps of the study and written consent was taken from institutional managers.
3. An official permission from Central Unit of Infection Control in Zagazig university Hospital was taken to access and use electronic surveillance data.

4. The study health care providers were informed about the nature and the purpose of the study and verbal consent was taken before interview.
5. All health care providers’ and patient’s data were confidential.

RESULTS

Regarding demographic characteristics of resident physicians in the studied intensive care units (Table 1), mean age was 26.2 ± 1.0 years old. Almost two thirties were females. Mean of experience years in ICU was 2.1 ± 0.9. None of resident physicians in the studied intensive care units had training courses on infection control.

Regarding demographic characteristics of nurses in the studied intensive care units (Table, 2), mean age was 25.8 ± 5.1 years old. The majority were females. Almost two thirties had diplomate degree. Mean of experience years in ICU was 8.5 ± 2.2. Almost two thirties of nurses had attended previous training courses on infection control. Nurse/bed ratio was 1/2 per shift.

There was a high statistical significant increase in knowledge of resident physicians about guidelines for prevention of CAUTI in the studied intensive care units after intervention (P˂0.01). Before intervention, total knowledge level was “bad” in 45.0%, “fair” in 40.0% and “good” in only 15.0% of resident physicians. After intervention, total knowledge level was “bad” in 30.0%, “fair” in 35.0% and “good” in 35.0% of resident physicians (Figure 1).

The highest knowledge scores of resident physicians were for: CAUTI and its prevention (definition, risk factors, causative organisms, diagnosis, complications and management), followed by guidelines for urinary catheter and perineal care (frequency, steps, precautions). The lowest knowledge scores of resident physicians were for: Infection control inside ICUs (HAIs and prevention bundles), guidelines for urinary catheterization, guidelines for urine bag and drainage system care (frequency, steps, precautions).

There was a high statistical significant increase in knowledge of nurses about guidelines for prevention of CAUTI in the
studied intensive care units after intervention (P <0.01). Before intervention, total knowledge level was “bad” in 38.0% and “fair” in 62.0% of nurses. After intervention, total knowledge level was “fair” in 68.0% and “good” in 32.0% of nurses (Figure 2).

The highest knowledge scores of nurses were for: Guidelines for urine bag and drainage system care, followed by guidelines for urinary catheterization, and guidelines for urinary catheter and perineal care. The lowest knowledge scores of nurses were for: Infection control inside ICUs, and CAUTI and its prevention.

There was high statistical significant improvement in urinary catheterization practice in the studied intensive care units after intervention (P <0.01). Urinary catheterization practice includes urinary catheter insertion, urinary catheter and perineal care, urine bag and drainage system. It was duty of ICUs’ nurses. Before intervention, total practice level was “bad” in 20.0% and “fair” in 80.0% of nurses. After intervention, total practice level was “fair” in 54.0% and “good” in 46.0% of nurses (Figure 3). The highest practice scores were for urine bag and drainage system care technique. The lowest practice scores were for urinary catheter and perineal care technique, and technique of urinary catheter insertion.

The most noticed pitfalls during urinary catheter insertion were: defective aseptic technique, lack of perineal and peri-urethral cleaning before catheter insertion, unavailability of single-use lubricant gel, multiple-use gel was applied.

The most noticed pitfalls during urinary catheter and perineal care were: not done routinely in most patients, urinary catheters were not secured (not fixed to lower abdomen of male patients nor to upper medial thigh of female patients), urinary catheter flush to resolve obstructions, urinary bladder wash without appropriate indications, not changing urinary catheters after allowable usage period (one week for Foley and one month for silicone catheters).

The most noticed pitfalls during urine bag and drainage system care were defective aseptic technique, presence of obstructions/kinks in drainage system care, presence of dependent loop, absence of urine bag stand in some beds in emergency ICU.

Regarding demographic characteristics of patients (Table, 3), mean age was 37.3 ± 11.4 years, 61.0% were males, median length of stay was 16.0 days, median urinary catheter duration was 16.0 days, 62.0% were in emergency ICU.

There was a high statistical significant reduction in incidence of CAUTI after intervention (Figure 4). Before intervention, CAUTI incidence was 10.6 per 1000 urinary catheter days. While after intervention, CAUTI incidence significantly dropped to 5.4 per 1000 urinary catheter days. Risk of CAUTI before intervention was approximately twice that after intervention. The intervention reduced risk of CAUTI by 49.1%.

Regarding isolated pathogens associated with reported CAUTI (Table 4), the most frequent isolated pathogens were Klebsiella spp. (31.4%), followed by Candida albicans (21.4%), Pseudomonas spp. (14.3%) and E. coli (12.9%). There was statistical significant increase in distribution of Candida albicans associated with reported CAUTI in the studied intensive care units after intervention. Candida albicans became the most frequent isolated pathogens associated with reported CAUTI because the intervention decreased all types of pathogens associated with CAUTI except for Candida albicans.
Table (1): Demographic characteristics of resident physicians in the studied intensive care units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Resident physicians (n=20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years):</td>
<td><em>Mean ± SD</em> 26.2 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25.0 – 28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of experience in ICU (years):</td>
<td><em>Mean ± SD</em> 2.1 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0 – 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training courses on infection control:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (2): Demographic characteristics of nurses in the studied intensive care units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Studied nurses (n=50)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years):</td>
<td><em>Mean ± SD</em> 25.8 ± 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.0 – 35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>84.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualification:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Technical institute</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nursing school diploma</em></td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of experience in ICU (years):</td>
<td><em>Mean ± SD</em> 8.5 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0 – 11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training courses on infection control:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>76.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse/bed Ratio per shift</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure (1): Total knowledge level of resident physicians in the studied intensive care units before and after intervention.

![Bar chart showing knowledge level improvement for resident physicians.](chart1)

- **Before intervention**: 45% Bad, 30% Fair, 15% Good
- **After intervention**: 40% Bad, 35% Fair, 35% Good

Figure (2): Total knowledge level of nurses in the studied intensive care units before and after intervention.

![Bar chart showing knowledge level improvement for nurses.](chart2)

- **Before intervention**: 38% Bad, 0% Fair, 0% Good
- **After intervention**: 62% Bad, 68% Fair, 32% Good
Figure (3): Total practice level of nurses in the studied intensive care units before and after intervention

Table (3): Demographic characteristics of patients in the studied intensive care units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Studied patients (n=674)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>37.3 ± 11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1.0 – 65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>411 61.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>263 39.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of stay (days):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1.0 – 60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary catheter days:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1.0 – 60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU Type:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical ICU</td>
<td>254 38.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency ICU</td>
<td>420 62.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure (4): Incidence of Catheter associated urinary tract infection (CAUTI) in the studied intensive care units before and after intervention

Table (4): Distribution of isolated pathogens associated with reported CAUTI in the studied intensive care units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolated pathogens</th>
<th>Total infections (n=70)</th>
<th>Before intervention (n=48)</th>
<th>After intervention (n=22)</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella spp.</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida albicans</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas spp.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acinetobacter spp.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteus spp.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistical significance

DISCUSSION

This study describes effect of implementation of infection control program on CAUTIs in ICUs at Zagazig university hospital. There was high statistical significant improvement in knowledge of both resident physicians and nurses about guidelines for prevention of catheter associated urinary tract infection in the studied intensive care units after intervention. Different studies have been done to assess the level of knowledge. In India, a study was done to assess the knowledge and attitude of health care providers about catheter indications and CAUTI prevention methods (14). The study found that nursing staff had a low level of knowledge regarding catheter care, and issues regarding urinary catheter indications and the much-needed preventive measures, but it is the healthcare providers’ knowledge base which is lacking and needs improvement and education.

Anther Indian study, about knowledge regarding catheter care among staff nurses,
appeared to show that almost half of staff nurses had adequate knowledge regarding catheter care (15). There was a lack of knowledge regarding practicing of measures such as cleaning around the catheter daily, glove use, and hand hygiene with catheter manipulation, not disconnecting the catheter from its bag, not routinely irrigating the catheter.

In Finland, a study concluded that, there is a huge gap in nurses’ education of CAUTI (16). There was also a distinct lack of awareness amongst nurses and other healthcare professionals about the consequences of CAUTI. Catheters are frequently inserted for the wrong reasons, and the care and maintenance of catheters is often non-evidence based. They also discovered a lack of consistent documentation of catheter insertion and care.

In Pakistan, a study was done to assess infection control in the use of urethral catheter regarding knowledge and practice of nurses (17). Only 48% had adequate knowledge level and 50% had good practice about infection control in the use of urethral catheter. This study also indicated that nurses need to be educated and trained more on infection control in the use of urethral catheter and associated UTI.

In Rwanda, a study showed low levels of knowledge among nurses (knowledge level was not satisfactory in 64.5% of respondents) but no demographic factor was seen as a barrier to nurses’ knowledge, attitude and practice towards the prevention of CAUTI (18).

In contrast to our study, in USA, a study found that physicians had relatively good knowledge regarding Foley catheter use, and most were aware of the changed CAUTI reimbursement policy (19).

In Taiwan, (22), For the elements of the insertion bundle, the compliance was the lowest for cleaning of the perineum, followed by hand hygiene. The overall compliance rates of the insertion bundle were 93.4%. For the elements of the maintenance bundle, the compliance was the lowest for daily review of the need of a Foley catheter. The overall compliance rates of the maintenance bundle were 95.7%. In USA, a study reported better compliance rate (95.0%) with practice guidelines (23).

Studies that included implementation of infection control educational intervention, in Argentina (24), Brazil (25), Turkey (26), China (27) and Norway (28), total practice level of ICU staff significantly improved after intervention. Incidence of CAUTI significantly decreased after intervention. Risk of CAUTI before intervention was approximately twice that after intervention. The intervention reduced risk of CAUTI by 49.1%. Improved knowledge and practice of ICUs’ staff contributed to reduction of CAUTI incidence. Organizational commitment from Infection Control Unit and ICUs’ managers were facilitators for achieving that results.

In the sophisticated environment of ICUs, several factors might have contributed to the
high device associated infections (DAI) rates identified in the Zagazig university hospital’s ICUs. Being a major teaching referral hospital is an important risk factor. Several studies have found higher DAI rates in teaching hospitals compared with nonteaching hospitals (29). Funds and resources for infection control are limited and changing behavior of staff to promote safety practices is a long-term process. Nurse understaffing is at a critical level, and the low nurse/bed ratio might be related to the high DAI rates. Previous studies have linked understaffing with device-related infections in an outbreak setting (30). Nurse/bed ratio in this study was 1/2 per shift which was insufficient according to WHO guidelines (30). This high ratio exposes nurses to work overload and intimidates quality of healthcare. Nurse/bed ratio was similar to that of Egyptian studies conducted at Zagazig university hospital (31), (32) Cairo university hospital (33), (34) and Alexandria university hospital (20). However, nurse/bed ratio was lower than that in some countries e.g. USA (35), (36) Germany (37), Turkey (26), Spain (38), Kuwait (39) and Saudi Arabia (40) where nurse/bed ratio was 1:1.

In comparison with the recognized international benchmarks, CAUTI incidence in our study was higher than that reported by: the National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) (1.5 per 1000 urinary catheter days), the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) (7.1 per 1000 urinary catheter days), European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) (6.5 per 1000 urinary catheter days) and WHO report for High-resource countries (4.1 per 1000 urinary catheter days), while CAUTI incidence in our study was similar to that in WHO report for Low-resource countries (8.8 per 1000 urinary catheter days) (41).

As a device associated infection, CAUTI incidence varies widely between different countries according to healthcare quality, infection control infrastructure and resources. device associated infections rates in the ICUs of countries with limited resources are three to five times higher than rates in the ICUs of high-income countries, as reported from hospitals of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) (42). In addition, it varies according to duration and setting of study. Short-term studies are limited in reflecting the true incidence of CAUTI and are likely under-diagnose infections. Also, data from other healthcare settings can hardly be compared with finding in ICU with its own specific peculiarities. (30).

CAUTI incidence in this study was similar to studies conducted in the following countries: Turkey (43), Brazil, Colombia, Cuba, India, Macedonia, Mexico, Morocco, Peru, Philippines (44), Argentina (24) and Iran (45). CAUTI incidence in this study was lower than studies conducted in the following countries: In Egypt, incidence was 34.2 per 1000 urinary catheter days in Cairo university hospital (46) and 15.7 per 1000 catheter days in Alexandria university hospital (33). Compared to our study, their sample included patients with higher mean age, more females and higher length of stay in ICU. This explains their high CAUTI incidence. In Pakistan incidence was 16.4 per 1000 urinary catheter days (47). In Mongolia, incidence was 15.7 per 1000 urinary catheter days (48). In Mexico, incidence was 13.4 per 1000 urinary catheter days (49). In Lebanon, incidence was 13.1 per 1000 urinary catheter days (50).

CAUTI incidence in this study was higher than studies conducted in the following countries: In Germany, incidence was 0.68 per 1000 urinary catheter days (51). In India, incidence was 1.4 per 1000 urinary catheter days (52). In Korea, incidence was 1.9 per 1000 urinary catheter days (53). In china, incidence was 2.1 per 1000 urinary catheter days (54). In Cyprus, incidence was 2.7 per 1000 urinary catheter days (55). In Korea, incidence was 3.9 per 1000 urinary catheter days (56). In Spain, incidence was 4.2 per 1000 urinary catheter days (57). In USA,
incidence was 4.3 per 1000 urinary catheter days (58). In Saudi Arabia, incidence was 4.4 per 1000 urinary catheter days (40). In Italy, incidence was 5.8 per 1000 urinary catheter days (59). In Taiwan, incidence was 6.5 (27) & 3.9 (22) per 1000 urinary catheter days. Almost all of previously mentioned studies included patients with shorter length of stay in ICU. Prolonged length of stay in ICU is an important risk factor for CAUTI. It can be also a complication of HAIs. Also, their samples included both medical and surgical ICUs. Medical ICUs have less CAUTI risk than surgical ICUs (11).

The most frequent isolated pathogens were Klebsiella spp., followed by Candida albicans, Pseudomonas spp. and E. coli. There was statistical significant increase in distribution of Candida albicans associated with reported CAUTI in the studied intensive care units after intervention. Candida albicans became the most frequent isolated pathogens associated with reported CAUTI because the intervention decreased all types of pathogens associated with CAUTI except for Candida albicans. Candida albicans infections are tied with misuse of antibiotics and immunosuppression of patients (60). To control this type of infections, more interventions are required e.g. antibiotic stewardship.

In Egypt, at Alexandria university hospitals (20), the most frequent isolated organisms were Candida (51.0%), gram negative bacteria (33.5%) and gram positive bacteria (15.4%).

In Turkey (43), the most frequent isolated organisms were Candida (44.9%), Enterobacteriaceae (24.9%) and Pseudomonas spp. (12.5%).

In Spain (57), the most frequent isolated organisms were Escherichia coli (26%), Candida (25%) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (10%).

In Korea (56), the most frequent isolated organisms were Candida albicans (40%), Escherichia coli (38%) and Enterococcus faecalis (15%).

In Philippines (52), the most frequent isolated organisms were Candida albicans (30%), Escherichia coli (12%), Acinetobacter spp. (12%) and Klebsiella spp. (12%).

In Brazil (25), Monomicrobial infection was 96% while Polymicrobial infection was 4%. The most frequent isolated organisms were Pseudomonas aeruginosa (20.7%), Klebsiella pneumoniae (13.2%), Escherichia coli (11.6%), Enterococcus faecalis (8.3%) and Candida albicans (7.4%).

**Conclusion**

At Zagazig university hospitals’ ICUs, implementation of infection control program on CAUTI was noticed to be associated with improvement in healthcare providers knowledge and practice and decrease in CAUTI incidence. Sustainability is required to maintain that improvement. So, it is recommended to keep continuous training of ICU staff and upgrade ICU protocols according to recent guidelines.
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