EFFECT OF VARIOUS TYPES AND RATES OF ORGANIC MANURES ON VEGETATIVE GROWTH, PIGMENTS AND CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF LEAVES, YIELD AND SPEAR PIGMENTS OF BROCCOLI.

Hossein, H. A.

Hort. Dept., Fac. of Agric., Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt

ABSTRACT

Two Field experiments were conducted at the Experimental Farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal university during the winter seasons of 2004-2005 and 2005-2006 to study the effect of three types of organic manures, i.e poultry manure, cattle manure and sheep manure in comparison with conventional fertilization (cattle manure + mineral NPK) on broccoli vegetative growth, leaves chemical composition, leaf pigments, yield and its components and spear pigments. The organic manures and conventional fertilization (cattle manure + mineral nitrogen fertilizer) were applied according to their content of nitrogen at three rates: 100, 140 and 180kg N/feddan.

The obtained results indicated that conventional fertilization gave the highest values of stem length; stem diameter; stem weight; number of leaves per plant; both fresh and dry weights of leaves; chlorophyll a and b, total chlorophyll and carotenoids contents of leaves, N, P and K contents of leaves; main spear diameter; main spear weight; main spear yield (Ton/Fed); average side spear diameter; number of side spear per plant; side spears yield per plant; side spears yield (Ton/Fed); total yield; chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll and carotenoids contents of main spear. The second fertilizer with positive increase in the previous parameters was poultry manure followed by cattle manure then sheep manure. The previous parameters except number of leaves per plant were increased with increasing the rate of manure application providing N-level from 100 to 180Kg/Fed. The conventional fertilization containing 180kg N/Fed recorded the highest values of stem length; stem diameter; stem weight; number of leaves per plant; both fresh and dry weights of leaves; chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll and carotenoid, contents of leaves; N, P, and K contents of leaves and chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll and carotenoids of main spear, while conventional fertilization containing 140kg N/Fed gave the highest values of yield and its components.

INTRODUCTION

Broccoli (*Brassica olerace* var. *italica*) is popular vegetable around the world especially in Europe and USA. It is grown in Egypt recently as a new vegetable crop in winter season, but in very limited areas. Broccoli spears is rich in vitamin c. vitamin a, calcium, iron, riboflavin, niacin and protein. Addition of organic manures in sandy soils can increase nutrients availability, cation exchange capacity and micro nutrients. They contain high organic matter, improve drought tolerance (Hotlink *et al.*, 1991) and increases soil microbial activities (Meissner-Smejkal, 2000). Fisher and Richter (1984), Borin *et al.* (1987) and Browaldh (1992) reported that organic manure usage lead to produce clean plant product. Also, organic manures do not cause environmental pollution compared with chemical fertilizers. Moreover, the increased demand for organic products has also been an incentive for producers in sub-tropical countries to start organic production for export. Some studies were conducted to compare effect of mineral or conventional fertilization with effect of organic manure on other vegetable crops. The results of Gliesman *et al.* (1996) on strawberry and Hossein (2002) on onion, showed that vegetative growth and yield was decreased in organic production as compared with under conventional system.

With concern to the effect of organic manure rate on growth and yield of vegetable crops EI-Sheikh and Salam (1997) showed that increasing rate of chicken manure significantly increased vegetative growth, fruit number per plant, number of trusses per plant yield and fruit components of tomato. Furthermore Ali *et al.* (2001) reported that increasing the rate of chicken manure recorded an increase in plant height, number and area of leaves, fresh dry weight of whole plant, and its leaves and yield of garlic.

Previous investigations on the effects of organic manures in comparison with conventional fertilization on growth and yield of broccoli plants are limited. Therefore, this study was conducted to test the effects of different manures and conventional fertilization at three N levels on the growth and yield of broccoli.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out during the winter seasons of 2004–2005 and 2005–2006 at the Experimental Research Farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University, Ismailia Governorate to study the effect of various types of organic manures i.e. poultry manure, cattle manure and sheep manure, and conventional Fertilization at three N levels (100, 140 and 180kg N/Fed) on vegetative growth, leaves chemical composition, leaf pigments, yield and its components and spear pigments of broccoli cv. Southern Star. The fertilizers (organic manures or conventional Fertilization) were applied according to their contents of nitrogen. Seeds were sown in seedling trays containing peat moss and vermiculite (1: 1) (by volume) on 20 and 23 September in the first and second season, respectively. The transplants were raised in the trays for one month, then transplanted in the field.

The physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil were: 96.1 and 95.82 sand, 2.85 and 2.71 silt, 1.10 and 1.48 clay, 8.11 and 8.24 PH, 1.67 and 1.89 mm hose/cm, 0.73 and 0.73 OC, 3.87 and 3.99 available N, 3.00 and 3.11 P and 10.51 and 15.58 K. The experimental design was spilt- plot with three blocks. The main plots were presented as type of fertilizer, while N level, were distributed as sub-plots. The sub-plot area was 14m³ which contained 4 rows each 5m long and 0.7m wide. The distance between plants was 0.5m. The seedlings were transplanted on one side of row.

Chemical analysis of the used organic manure is shown in Table (1).

| manures used during 2004-2005 and 2005-2006 seasons. | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---------|----------|---------|--------|--------|--|--|
| Organic manuro | Poultry r | nanure | Cattle r | nanure | Sheep | manure | | |
| Organic manure | 2004- | 2005- | 2004- | 2005- | 2004- | 2005- | | |
| character | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | | |
| Weight of m ³ (kg) | 432 | 453 | 530 | 541 | 561 | 570 | | |
| Moisture percentage | 55 | 53 | 42 | 46 | 42 | 40 | | |
| PH | 6.2 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.6 | | |
| Ec (mm hmose/cm) | 4.8 | 4.6 | 13.9 | 13.8 | 7.1 | 7.1 | | |
| Organic carbon % | 35.5 | 29.1 | 27.3 | 29.2 | 14.4 | 15.6 | | |
| Organic matter | 70.2 | 64.9 | 26.4 | 28.2 | 65.4 | 61.9 | | |
| Total nitrogen % | 2.94 | 2.85 | 1.13 | 1.20 | 2.08 | 1.99 | | |
| C/N ratio (%) | 10.37: 1 | 10.21:1 | 24.15:1 | 24.33:1 | 6.29:1 | 7.84:1 | | |
| Total phosphorus % | 0.68 | 0.75 | 0.55 | 0.51 | 0.69 | 0.73 | | |
| Total potassium % | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.74 | 1.64 | | |
| Ferrous mg/kg | 180 | 193 | 799 | 780 | 805 | 811 | | |
| Manganese mg/kg | 215 | 190 | 133 | 142 | 93 | 95 | | |
| Copper mg/kg | 40 | 43 | 20 | 24 | 50 | 52 | | |
| Zinc mg/kg | 105 | 111 | 80 | 79 | 100 | 104 | | |
| Application rate | 5535 | 5636 | 13194 | 12774 | 7168 | 7386 | | |
| (kg) =100kg N/Fed | 0000 | 0000 | 10104 | 12114 | 7100 | 1000 | | |
| Application rate | 7750 | 7891 | 17947 | 17133 | 10835 | 10341 | | |
| (kg) =140kg N/Fed | | | | | | | | |
| Application rate | 8657 | 9018 | 21111 | 19466 | 11469 | 11819 | | |
| (kg) =180kg N/Fed | | | | | | | | |

Table (1): Chemical analysis and the application rate of the organic manures used during 2004-2005 and 2005-2006 seasons.

In both seasons, the experiment included 12 treatments as follows:

N level 100 kg/Fed 140 kg/Fed 180 kg/Fed 140 kg/Fed 140 kg/Fed 180 kg/Fed 140 kg/Fed 180 kg/Fed 140 kg/Fed 140 kg/Fed 180 kg/Fed

| Fertilizer |
|--|
| Mineral N.P and K Fertilizers + cattle manure (15m ³ /Fed) |
| Mineral N.P and K Fertilizers + cattle manure (15m ³ / Fed) |
| Mineral N.P and K Fertilizers+ cattle manure (15m ³ / Fed) |
| Poultry manure |
| Poultry manure |
| Poultry manure |
| cattle manure |
| cattle manure |
| cattle manure |
| Sheep manure |
| Sheep manure |
| Sheep manure |
| |

Ammonium sulphate (20.5% N) was used as a source of mineral nitrogen and potassium sulphate was applied at the rate of 75kg/Feddan. Both ammonium sulphate and potassium sulphate were added after 2, 4, 6 and 8 weeks from transplanting. Phosphorus was added in the form of calcium superphosphate (15.5 P_2O_5) at the rate of 200 kg/Feddan. Calcium superphosphate and organic manures were added at the time of soil preparation. Furrow irrigation was applied every three days. The other normal agricultural treatments for broccoli plants were practiced.

Data Recorded:

The following data were recorded during the plant growth period and harvesting period.

1- Vegetative growth characters:

Five plants from each sub-plot were randomly taken at 100 days after transplanting and the following data were recorded. Stem length, stem diameter, stem weight, number of leaves per plant, fresh weight of leaves and dry weight of leaves after drying in an oven for 72hrs.

2- Leaf pigments

Disks sample from the third lower leaves were taken from each sub- plot at 80 days after transplanting to determine chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and total chlorophyll as well as carotenoids according to the methods described by Wettestein (1957).

3- Leaves chemical composition

The dried leaves were finely ground and digested and total nitrogen was determined according to Bremner and Mulvaney (1992). Phosphorus was estimated colorimectrically according to Olesen and Sommers (1982). Potassium was also determined flame photometrically due to the methods described by Jackson (1970).

4- Yield and its components:

a- Main Spears Characters

Five main spears were cut from each sub-plot when main spears were judged to be mature then the following characters were determined:

a-1- Main spear diameter (cm).

a-2- Main spear weight.

b- Side spears characters

b-1- Average side spear diameter.

b-2- Number of side spear plant.

b-3- Side spears yield per plant.

c- Yield

c-1- Main spears yield.

c-2- Side spears yield.

c-3- Total yield.

5- Main spears pigments

Spears samples from each sub plot were taken during maturity stage to determine chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and total chlorophyll as well as carotenoids according the methods described by Wettestein (1957).

Data Statistical Analysis:

All obtained data were subjected to analysis of variance according to Sendecor and Cochran (1980) and the least significant difference (LSD) was calculated as mentioned by Gomez and Gomez (1984) at 5% level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1- Vegetative Growth Characters:

a) Main effect of fertilizer type

Data in Tables (2 and 4) show that conventional fertilization significantly recorded the highest values of stem length, stem diameter, stem

weight, number of leaves per plant and both fresh and dry weight of leaves in both growing seasons. The second fertilizer with positive increase in the previous parameters was poultry manure, followed by cattle manure then sheep manure. The obtained results are in harmony with those of Gliesman *et al.* (1996) on strawberry, and Hossein (2002) on onion, where they reported that plant vegetative growth was decreases in the organic production compared with under conventional system. Moreover, the obtained results are in harmony with those of Abde El-Mouty *et al.* (2000) on squash and El-Mansi *et al.* (2004) on pea, where they found that chicken manure gave better plant growth expressed as length of plant and number of leaves per plant than cattle manure.

The observed enhancement effect on plant growth with conventional fertilization compared with organic manures may be due to rapid availability of nutrients from mineral fertilizers, for broccoli plant growth. The improvement of vegetative growth with poultry manure as compared with the other organic manure may be inputed to rapid decomposition of poultry manure throughout broccoli growth period.

b- Main effect of N level

Data in Tables (2 and 4) indicated that all vegetative growth parameters except number of leaves per plant were significantly increased with increasing N level from 100 to 180 kg/Feddan in both seasons.

| Table (2): Main effect of fertilizer type and N level on broccoli vegetative |
|--|
| growth during 2004 – 2005 season. |

| Character | Stem length (cm) | Stem diameter (cm) | Stem weight (g) | No. of leaves per plant | Fresh weight of leaves (g) | Dry weight of leaves (g) |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Fertilizer | | | | | (9/ | (9/ |
| Mineral NPK + cattle manure | 13.03 | 3.55 | 119.15 | 17.05 | 488.28 | 76.317 |
| Poultry manure | 12.01 | 2.86 | 96.14 | 13.92 | 245.50 | 45.353 |
| Cattle manure | 8.71 | 2.58 | 55.10 | 11.73 | 160.24 | 29.29 |
| Sheep manure | 6.94 | 1.69 | 46.64 | 9.77 | 97.03 | 16.81 |
| LSD 5% | 0.77 | 0.19 | 6.78 | 1.64 | 23.27 | 4.22 |
| N level (kg/Fed) | | | | | | |
| 100 | 8.913 | 2.23 | 69.77 | 12.18 | 213.91 | 37.27 |
| 140 | 10.21 | 2.65 | 81.53 | 13.20 | 243.00 | 40.42 |
| 180 | 11.39 | 3.13 | 86.47 | 13.95 | 286.38 | 48.14 |
| LSD 5% | 0.99 | 0.29 | 10.08 | NS | 31.43 | 5.60 |

The obtained results are in harmony with those of Thakur *et al.* (1999) on cauliflower and Brahmo *et al.* (2000) on Broccoli. Moreover, similar results were obtained by Singh and Akhilesh-Singh (2002) on broccoli, Jana and Mukhopadhyay (2007) on cauliflower and Sharma *et al.* (2002) on broccoli concerning plant height.

The increasing in all vegetative growth parameters of broccoli plants with increasing N level may be due to nitrogen element being required to form amino acids, amides, peptide and high molecular-weight peptides such as

protein (Marschner, 1988). An increase in protein content of vegetables is often positively correlated with increase in content of vitamins such as riboflavin, thiamin and nicotine acid (Dressel and Jung, 1979). Also, nitrogen is necessary for formation of treptophan, a precursor for indole acetic acid (Skoog, 1979).

c- Effect of interaction between fertilizer type and N level

Data in Tables (3 and 5) illustrate that conventional fertilization containing 180kg N/Feddan gave significantly the highest values of stem length, stem diameter, stem weight, number of leaves per plant and both fresh and dry weights of leaves in both seasons.

| Table (3): Effect | of interaction | between fer | tilizer type | and N level on |
|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|
| brocc | coli vegetative | growth during | g 2004-2005 | season. |

| Cha | racter | Stem | Stem | Stem | No. of | Fresh weight of | Dry weight |
|-------------|----------|--------|----------|--------|-----------|-----------------|------------|
| | | length | diameter | weight | leaves | leaves | of leaves |
| Treatment | <u> </u> | (cm) | (cm) | (g) | per plant | (g) | (g) |
| Mineral | 100 | 11.17 | 3.09 | 109.49 | 15.37 | 399.10 | 68.62 |
| NPK +cattle | 140 | 12.55 | 3.75 | 119.31 | 17.62 | 480.79 | 78.77 |
| manure | 180 | 15.38 | 4.17 | 128.64 | 18.15 | 578.28 | 81.56 |
| Poultry | 100 | 10.00 | 2.15 | 72.14 | 13.07 | 214.40 | 41.13 |
| manure | 140 | 12.62 | 2.85 | 105.07 | 14.11 | 236.11 | 37.82 |
| | 180 | 13.40 | 3.57 | 110.53 | 14.58 | 286.00 | 57.11 |
| Cattle | 100 | 8.13 | 2.11 | 51.18 | 11.31 | 150.83 | 25.83 |
| manure | 140 | 8.77 | 2.66 | 55.63 | 11.82 | 159.74 | 28.62 |
| | 180 | 9.23 | 2.95 | 58.48 | 12.06 | 170.15 | 33.42 |
| Sheep | 100 | 6.35 | 1.58 | 45.60 | 8.97 | 84.66 | 13.50 |
| manure | 140 | 6.91 | 1.66 | 46.11 | 9.56 | 95.34 | 16.46 |
| | 180 | 7.55 | 1.84 | 48.22 | 11.00 | 111.08 | 20.47 |
| LSD 5% |) | 1.20 | 0.57 | 20.16 | 3.88 | 62.84 | 11.20 |

 Table (4): Main effect of fertilizer type and N level on broccoli vegetative growth during 2005 – 2006 season

| | • • • • • • | | | | | |
|------------------|-------------|----------|--------|------------|------------|------------|
| Character | | Stem | Stem | No. of | Fresh | Dry weight |
| | length | diameter | weight | leaves per | weight of | of leaves |
| Treatment | (cm) | (cm) | (g) | plant | leaves (g) | (g) |
| Fertilizer | | | | | | |
| Mineral | | | | | | |
| NPK + cattle | 11.08 | 3.93 | 92.69 | 13.39 | 378.95 | 58.83 |
| manure | | | | | | |
| Poultry manure | 10.82 | 2.67 | 76.63 | 12.55 | 290.68 | 56.67 |
| Cattle manure | 8.51 | 2.41 | 57.87 | 11.75 | 165.39 | 30.31 |
| Sheep manure | 6.49 | 1.81 | 37.83 | 10.20 | 20.31 | 12.18 |
| LSD 5% | 0.77 | 0.15 | 6.50 | 1.54 | 27.75 | 2.60 |
| N level (kg/Fed) | | | | | | |
| 100 | 8.25 | 3.25 | 58.93 | 10.76 | 197.69 | 35.82 |
| 140 | 9.18 | 2.84 | 65.43 | 12.08 | 216.81 | 38.89 |
| 180 | 10.25 | 2.80 | 74.41 | 13.08 | 264.50 | 44.59 |
| LSD 5% | 0.99 | 2.00 | 7.71 | NS | 32.07 | 5.35 |
| LSD 5% | 0.99 | 2.00 | 7.71 | NS | 32.07 | 5.3 |

| | broccon vegetative growth during 2005-2000 season | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|---|--------|----------|--------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Character | Stem | Stem | Stem | No. of | Fresh | Dry weight | | | | |
| | | length | diameter | weight | leaves per | weight of | of leaves | | | | |
| Treatment | | (cm) | (cm) | (g) | plant | leaves (g) | (g) | | | | |
| Mineral | 100 | 9.41 | 2.89 | 76.65 | 12.21 | 324.05 | 55.08 | | | | |
| NPK + catt | le 140 | 10.53 | 3.2 | 89.17 | 13.46 | 350.12 | 56.84 | | | | |
| manure | 180 | 13.30 | 3.6 | 112.25 | 14.49 | 462.67 | 64.91 | | | | |
| Poultry | 100 | 9.45 | 2.44 | 69.33 | 11.16 | 257.88 | 49.42 | | | | |
| manure | 140 | 11.23 | 2.61 | 77.06 | 12.82 | 291.50 | 58.20 | | | | |
| | 180 | 11.78 | 2.95 | 83.51 | 13.66 | 319.34 | 62.39 | | | | |
| Cattle | 100 | 8.14 | 2.00 | 54.78 | 1017 | 143.12 | 24.78 | | | | |
| manure | 140 | 8.52 | 2.51 | 58.73 | 12.23 | 154.49 | 28.41 | | | | |
| | 180 | 8.88 | 2.73 | 60.11 | 12.86 | 198.55 | 37.74 | | | | |
| Sheep | 100 | 6.01 | 1.65 | 35.03 | 9.48 | 65.69 | 10.81 | | | | |
| manure | 140 | 6.42 | 1.84 | 36.75 | 9.81 | 71.14 | 12.09 | | | | |
| | 180 | 7.03 | 1.93 | 42.10 | 11.30 | 74.09 | 13.64 | | | | |
| LSD | 5% | 1.99 | 0.39 | 15.41 | 4.90 | 64.12 | 10.69 | | | | |

| Table (5 | b): Effect | of | interaction | between | fertilizer | type | and | N leve | lon |
|----------|------------|----|---------------|------------|------------|-------|-------|--------|-----|
| | broo | co | li vegetative | e arowth a | durina 20 | 05-20 | 06 se | ason | |

2- Leaf pigments

a- Main effect of fertilizer type

Obtained data in Table (6) reveal that conventional fertilization gave significantly the highest values of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll and carotenoids contents. The second fertilizer recorded positive increase in the previous characters was poultry manure followed by cattle manure then sheep manure in both seasons.

| Table (6): | Main effect | ct of fertilize | r type and N | level (| on broccoli | leaf |
|------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|---------|-------------|------|
| | pigments | (mg/100mg | dry matter) | during | 2004-2005 | and |
| | 2005-2006 | seasons. | | | | |

| Character | Chl | Chl | Total | Carote- | Chl. | Chl. | Total | Carote- |
|---------------------|------|------|--------|---------|------|-------|--------|---------|
| Onaracter | a a | b | Chl. | noids | a | b | Chl. | noids |
| Transforment | a | | | | a | | | noius |
| Treatment | | 20 | 04-200 | 5 | | 200 | 5-2006 | |
| Fertilizer | | | | | | | | |
| Mineral | 2.71 | 1.35 | 4.07 | 0.616 | 2.75 | 1.45 | 4.43 | 0.64 |
| NPK + cattle manure | 2.71 | 1.55 | 4.07 | 0.010 | 2.75 | 1.45 | 4.43 | 0.04 |
| Poultry manure | 1.83 | 1.08 | 2.92 | 0.493 | 2.29 | 1.15 | 3.44 | 0.52 |
| Cattle Manure | 1.63 | 0.83 | 2.46 | 0.43 | 1.68 | 0.89 | 2.63 | 0.43 |
| Sheep manure | 1.5 | 0.67 | 2.28 | 0.3 | 1.59 | 0.71 | 2.57 | 0.31 |
| LSD 5% | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.39 | 0.07 | 0.53 | 0.079 | 0.22 | 0.08 |
| N level (kg/Fed) | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | 1.92 | 0.82 | 2.24 | 0.37 | 1.57 | 0.87 | 2.85 | 0.39 |
| 140 | 2.03 | 1.01 | 3.12 | 0.48 | 2.19 | 1.08 | 3.27 | 0.50 |
| 180 | 2.30 | 1.13 | 3.43 | 0.51 | 2.47 | 1.22 | 3.66 | 0.54 |
| LSD 5% | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.30 | 0.09 | 0.45 | 0.09 | 0.29 | 0.09 |

b- Main effect of N level

Data recorded in Table (6) show that chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll and carotenoids contents of leaves were significantly increased with increasing the level of nitrogen from 100 to 180kg/Feddan in both growing seasons.

The obtained results are in harmony with those of Arjona and Greig (1984) on broccoli, Tarata (1995) on cauliflower and Ampressh–Sharma and Atul-Chandral (2006) on cauliflower.

The favourable effect of nitrogen on leaf pigments formation may be due to nitrogen element being necessary for formation of lipids and chloroplast constituents such as chlorophyll and carotene (Schulze, 1957).

c- Effect of interaction between fertilizer type and N level

Data in Table (7) show that traditional fertilization containing 180kg N/Feddan gave significantly the highest leaf contents of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll and carotenoids in both seasons.

Table (7): Effect of interaction between fertilizer type and N level on broccoli leaf pigments (mg/100mg dry matter) during 2004-2005 and 2005-2006 seasons.

| Character Chl. Chl. Total Carote- Chl. Chl. | | | | | | | | Total | Carote- |
|---|-----|------|------|---------|-------|------|------|---------|---------|
| | _ | а | b | Chl. | noids | а | b | Chl. | noids |
| Treatment | | | 200 | 04-2005 | | | 200 |)5-2006 | |
| Mineral | 100 | 2.31 | 1.14 | 3.45 | 0.49 | 2.56 | 1.23 | 3.79 | 0.51 |
| NPK + | 140 | 2.75 | 1.39 | 4.14 | 0.67 | 3.02 | 1.49 | 4.51 | 0.69 |
| cattle manure | 180 | 3.09 | 1.52 | 4.61 | 0.69 | 3.35 | 1.64 | 4.99 | 0.73 |
| Poultry | 100 | 0.94 | 0.96 | 1.91 | 0.40 | 1.93 | 1.01 | 2.94 | 0.42 |
| Manure | 140 | 2.15 | 1.08 | 3.23 | 0.52 | 2.30 | 1.14 | 3.44 | 0.55 |
| | 180 | 2.42 | 1.22 | 3.64 | 0.56 | 2.64 | 1.31 | 3.95 | 0.59 |
| Cattle | 100 | 1.31 | 0.67 | 1.98 | 0.39 | 1.41 | 0.71 | 2.12 | 0.40 |
| manure | 140 | 1.69 | 0.88 | 2.57 | 0.41 | 1.81 | 0.94 | 2.75 | 0.43 |
| | 180 | 1.89 | 0.94 | 2.83 | 0.44 | 2.01 | 1.00 | 3.01 | 0.47 |
| Sheep | 100 | 1.15 | 0.49 | 1.64 | 0.22 | 1.23 | 0.51 | 2.52 | 0.23 |
| manure | 140 | 1.54 | 0.68 | 2.22 | 0.32 | 1.64 | 0.73 | 2.37 | 0.34 |
| | 180 | 1.81 | 0.83 | 2.64 | 0.36 | 1.91 | 0.88 | 2.79 | 0.37 |
| LSD 5% | | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.60 | 0.19 | 0.90 | 0.19 | 0.59 | 0.19 |

3- Leaves mineral composition

a- Main effect of fertilizer type

In general, conventional fertilization recorded significantly higher N, P and K contents of leaves than organic manures. Poultry manure gave significantly the highest values of N, P and K contents. The second organic manure with positive increase in the previous parameters was cattle manure followed by sheep manure in both seasons (Table 8). Similar results were obtained on onion by Lallangoud *et al.* (1999) and Mahmoud (2006), where they reported that plant from plots treated with the recommended rates of N, P and K exhibited higher uptake of N, P and K than those grown under organic production. Furthermore, El-Mansi *et al.* (2004) indicated that application of chicken manure increased pea leaves N, P and K contents compared with application of cattle manure.

b- Main effect of N level

Data in Table (8) reveal that N, P and K contents were significantly increased with increasing N level from 100 to 180kg/Feddan except P content in both seasons and K content in the second season.

c- Effect of interaction between fertilizer type and N level

Traditional fertilization containing 180kg N/Feddan gave significantly the highest N, P and K contents of leaves in both seasons (Table 9).

Table (8): Main effect of fertilizer type and N level on chemical composition of broccoli leaves during 2004-2005 and 2005-2006 seasons.

| Character | N (%) | P (%) | K (%) | N (%) | P (%) | K (%) | | | |
|---------------------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|--|--|--|
| Treatment | | 2044-2005 | 5 | | 2005-2006 | ; | | | |
| Fertilizer | | | | | | | | | |
| Mineral | 2.61 | 0.508 | 1.791 | 2.75 | 0.544 | 1.81 | | | |
| NPK + cattle manure | 2.01 | 0.506 | 1.791 | 2.75 | 0.544 | 1.01 | | | |
| Poultry manure | 2.08 | 0.367 | 1.613 | 2.21 | 0.39 | 1.71 | | | |
| Cattle Manure | 1.94 | 0.229 | 1.57 | 1.84 | 0.307 | 1.65 | | | |
| Sheep manure | 1.20 | 0.267 | 1.34 | 1.27 | 0.262 | 1.44 | | | |
| LSD 5% | 0.154 | 0.076 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.078 | 0.15 | | | |
| N level (kg/Fed.) | | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | 1.76 | 0.319 | 1.46 | 1.84 | 0.342 | 1.56 | | | |
| 140 | 2.03 | 0.353 | 1.62 | 2.01 | 0.362 | 1.67 | | | |
| 180 | 2.89 | 0.396 | 1.66 | 2.21 | 0.424 | 1.73 | | | |
| LSD 5% | 0.19 | NS | 0.06 | 0.19 | NS | NS | | | |

Table (9): Effect of interaction between fertilizer type and N level on chemical composition of broccoli leaves during 2004-2005 and 2005-2006 seasons.

| and 2005-2000 seasons. | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------|-------|----------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|--|
| Cha | racter | N (%) | P (%) | K (%) | N (%) | P (%) | K (%) | |
| Treatment | <u> </u> | | 2044-200 | 5 | | 2005-2006 | | |
| Mineral | 100 | 2.41 | 0.444 | 1.78 | 2.53 | 0.484 | 1.71 | |
| NPK + cattle | 140 | 2.68 | 0.512 | 1.90 | 2.85 | 0.544 | 1.84 | |
| manure | 180 | 2.75 | 0.569 | 1.96 | 2.85 | 0.604 | 1.88 | |
| Poultry | 100 | 1.81 | 0.309 | 1.66 | 1.89 | 0.339 | 1.61 | |
| manure | 140 | 2.18 | 0.357 | 1.75 | 2.34 | 0.376 | 1.72 | |
| manure | 180 | 2.25 | 0.438 | 1.83 | 2.39 | 0.455 | 1.79 | |
| Cattle | 100 | 1.72 | 0.289 | 1.63 | 1.78 | 0.289 | 1.61 | |
| Manure | 140 | 2.01 | 0.274 | 1.70 | 1.55 | 0.292 | 1.65 | |
| Walture | 180 | 2.09 | 0.299 | 1.79 | 2.19 | 0.327 | 1.69 | |
| Sheep | 100 | 1.08 | 0.254 | 1.33 | 1.14 | 0.274 | 1.31 | |
| - | 140 | 1.23 | 0.268 | 1.51 | 1.31 | 0.234 | 1.48 | |
| manure | 180 | 1.30 | 0.281 | 1.58 | 1.36 | 0.296 | 1.54 | |
| LSD 5% | | 0.39 | 0.197 | 0.11 | 0.40 | 0.197 | 0.40 | |

4- Yield and its components

a- Main effect of fertilizer type

Data in table (10 and 12) show that conventional fertilization gave the highest values of main spear yield, average side spear diameter, number of side spear per plant, side spear yield and total yield. The second treatment with positive increase in the previous parameters was poultry manure, followed by cattle manure then sheep manure, in both seasons. Application of 180kg N/Fed increased total yield by 17.07% and 65.53%, while 140kg N/Fed increased total yield by 14.38% and 58.77% over 100kg N/Fed in the

first and second seasons, respectively. Concerning total yield, similar results were obtained by Mallanagouda *et al.* (1995) on garlic, where they reported that plants from plots treated with mineral N, P and K fertilizers exhibited higher total yield than farmyard manure. Furthermore, the obtained results agree with those of Arenfalk and Hogelskjoor (1995) on leek, Mohamed and Gamie (1999) and Hossein (2000) and Mahmoud (2006) on onion. Respecting the effect of different organic manures on total yield, the obtained results agree with those of Abd El-Mouty *et al.* (2000) on squash where they reported that poultry manure gave higher yield than cattle manure.

The observed enhancement on broccoli yield with application of conventional fertilization as compared with organic manure may be attributed to the increase in vegetative growth (Tables 2, 4), in leaf pigments (Table 6) and in leaves chemical composition (Table 8).

| Character Treatment | Main spear | Main spear weight (g) | Main spears yield (Ton/ Fed) | Average side spear diameter (cm) | No. of side spear per plant | Side spears yield per plant (g) | Side spears yield (Ton/ Fed) | Total yield (Ton/ Fed) |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Fertilizer | | | | | | | | |
| Mineral NPK + cattle manure | 11.70 | 468.24 | 4.265 | 5.132 | 6.69 | 130.53 | 1.083 | 5.352 |
| Poultry manure | 11.30 | 440.54 | 4.057 | 4.746 | 5.08 | 91.28 | 0.861 | 4.907 |
| Cattle Manure | 10.34 | 289.04 | 2.801 | 3.864 | 4.36 | 77.29 | 0.679 | 3.183 |
| Sheep manure | 9.08 | 240.23 | 2.225 | 2.554 | 3.12 | 45.99 | 0.411 | 2.635 |
| LSD 5% | 0.77 | 41.63 | 0.654 | 0.335 | 0.32 | 7.39 | 0.08 | 0.38 |
| N level | | | | | | | | |
| (kg/Fed.) | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | 9.58 | 334.95 | 2.975 | 3.712 | 4.33 | 76.06 | 0.667 | 3.638 |
| 140 | 10.86 | 365.04 | 3.420 | 4.132 | 4.87 | 88.34 | 0.791 | 4.161 |
| 180 | 11.38 | 378.55 | 3.616 | 4.378 | 5.24 | 100.43 | 0.819 | 4.259 |
| LSD 5% | 0.99 | NS | 0.413 | 0.368 | 0.43 | 12.33 | 0.09 | 0.499 |

 Table (10): Main effect of fertilizer type and N level on broccoli yield and its components during 2004-2005 season.

b- Main effect of N level

Data in Tables (10 and 12) reveal that the parameters of yield and its components were significantly increased with increasing N level from 100-180kg/Feddan, except main spear weight in both seasons and main spear yield and side spears weight per plant in the second season. Also, data showed that respecting total yield, the obtained results are in accordance with those of Arjana and Greig (1984) on broccoli, Yadav and Paliwal (1990) on cauliflower, Zebarth *et al.* (1995) on broccoli, Balyan *et al.* (1994) on cauliflower, Abdul Baki *et al.* (1997) on broccoli, Kaniszewsk and Willigen (1998) on cauliflower, Fueraarts and Willigen (1999) on broccoli, Babik and El-Kner (2002) on broccoli, Jana and Mukheredhyay (2002) on cauliflower, Brahama *et al.* (2002) on broccoli and Kuldeep-Singh *et al.* (2004) on cauliflower. Increasing total yield with increasing N level may be explained through the significant role of N element in enhancing plant growth (Tables 2 and 4). In addition, it has an indispensable role in photosynthetic pigments

formation (Table 6). This in turn, stimulate the net assimilation rate and increased total yield.

c- Effect of interaction between fertilize type and N level

Obtained data in Tables (11 and 13) show that conventional fertilization containing 140kg N/Feddan gave significantly the highest values of main spear diameter, main spear weight, main spears yield, average side spear diameter, side spears yield per plant, weight of side spears per plant, side spear yield and total yield in both seasons.

| Table (11): Effect of | interaction | between | fertilizer | type | and N | level on |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------|------------|-------|--------|----------|
| broccoli | vield and its | compone | ents durin | q 200 | 4-2005 | season. |

| Cha | aracter | spear diameter | Main spear weight | Main spears yield (Ton/ | Average side spear diameter | No. of side spear Per | Side spears yield Per plant | Side spears yield (Ton/ | Total yield (Ton/ |
|------------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Treatment | | (cm) | (g) | Fed) | (cm) | plant | (g) | Fed) | Fed) |
| Mineral | 100 | 11.09 | 459.80 | 4.418 | 4.720 | 5.88 | 112.85 | 0.984 | 5.702 |
| NPK + | 140 | 14.32 | 516.45 | 4.598 | 5.369 | 7.42 | 185.71 | 1.236 | 5.834 |
| cattle manure | 180 | 9.703 | 428.46 | 3.791 | 5.317 | 6.78 | 117.21 | 1.030 | 4.821 |
| Poultry | 100 | 10.07 | 418.90 | 3.478 | 3.808 | 4.81 | 86.36 | 0.751 | 4.229 |
| manure | 140 | 11.19 | 443.03 | 4.272 | 4.594 | 4.59 | 94.82 | 0.840 | 5.112 |
| manure | 180 | 12.63 | 459.70 | 4.388 | 5.170 | 5.84 | 92.66 | 0.991 | 5.379 |
| Cattle | 100 | 9.16 | 258.77 | 2.127 | 3.441 | 3.98 | 68.31 | 0.596 | 2.723 |
| Manure | 140 | 10.58 | 269.01 | 2.374 | 4.005 | 4.38 | 74.92 | 0.672 | 3.046 |
| Martare | 180 | 11.29 | 339.34 | 3.009 | 4.148 | 4.72 | 88.64 | 0.770 | 3.779 |
| Sheep | 100 | 7.98 | 212.35 | 1.864 | 2.215 | 2.66 | 36.71 | 0.335 | 2.199 |
| manure | 140 | 9.44 | 231.67 | 2.236 | 2.570 | 3.09 | 46.44 | 0.414 | 2.650 |
| | 180 | 9.83 | 286.69 | 2.574 | 2.878 | 3.62 | 54.83 | 0.483 | 3.057 |
| LSD 5 | % | 1.99 | 102.16 | 0.827 | 0.737 | 0.85 | 24.66 | 0.193 | 0.99 |

unVao day nghe bai nay di ban http://nhatquanglan1.0catch.com

Table (12): Main effect of fertilizer type and N level on broccoli yield and its components during 2005-2006 season.

| Character | Main spear diameter (cm) | Main spear weight (g) | Main spears yield (Ton/ Fed) | Average side spear diameter (cm) | No. of side spear per plant | Side spears yield per plant (g) | Side spears yield (Ton/ Fed) | Total yield (Ton/ Fed) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Fertilizer | | | | | | (9/ | | |
| Mineral NPK + cattle manure | 10.97 | 412.84 | 3.666 | 4.51 | 5.83 | 113.17 | 1.002 | 4.657 |
| Poultry manure | 9.99 | 391.31 | 3.472 | 3.96 | 4.56 | 8.646 | 0.797 | 4.269 |
| Cattle Manure | 9.96 | 241.29 | 2.157 | 3.39 | 8.84 | 70.73 | 0.633 | 2.789 |
| Sheep manure | 8.26 | 210.59 | 1.867 | 2.43 | 2.78 | 44.01 | 0.391 | 2.258 |
| LSD % | 0.99 | 37.53 | 0.231 | 0.23 | 0.38 | 8.08 | 0.767 | 0.281 |
| N level | | | | | | | | |
| (kg/Fed.) | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | 8.70 | 291.52 | 2.594 | 3.16 | 3.79 | 72.27 | 0.641 | 2.234 |
| 140 | 9.89 | 317.57 | 2.824 | 3.68 | 4.38 | 81.49 | 0.724 | 3.547 |
| 180 | 10.77 | 332.93 | 2.954 | 3.88 | 4.59 | 82.01 | 0.753 | 3.698 |
| LSD 5% | 1.13 | NS | NS | 0.29 | 0.43 | NS | 0.099 | 0.356 |

Table (13): Effect of interaction between fertilizer type and N level on broccoli yield and its components during 2005-2006 season.

| Char | acter | Main spear diameter (cm) | Main spear weight (g) | Main spears yield (Ton/ Fed) | Average side spear diameter (cm) | No. of side spear per plant | Side spears yield per plant (g) | Side spears yield (Ton/ Fed) | Total yield (Ton/ Fed) |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Mineral | 100 | 10.08 | 418.05 | 3.714 | 4.14 | 5.15 | 108.57 | 0.950 | 4.664 |
| NPK + | 140 | 13.02 | 434.35 | 3.856 | 4.75 | 6.47 | 119.73 | 1.060 | 4.916 |
| cattle manure | 180 | 9.73 | 386.12 | 3.429 | 4.63 | 5.87 | 111.96 | 0.995 | 4.424 |
| Poultry manure | 100 140 180 | 9.15 9.26 11.48 | 355.77 402.75 415.42 | 3.150 3.578 3.688 | 3.38 4.03 4.48 | 4.18 4.44 5.07 | 81.92 90.22 87.25 | 0.720 0.80 0.870 | 3.870 4.378 4.558 |
| Cattle Manure | 100 140 180 | 8.33 8.71 10.25 | 217.50 225.63 287.06 | 1.930 1.999 2.550 | 3.00 3.53 3.65 | 3.52 3.89 4.09 | 64.87 71.83 76.81 | 0.576 0.640 0.683 | 2.506 2.63 3.233 |
| Sheep manure | 100 140 180 | 7.25 8.58 8.94 | 178.11 210.54 243.13 | 1.582 1.874 2.157 | 2.11 2.42 2.76 | 2.30 2.76 3.14 | 35.65 44.36 52.02 | 0.317 0.394 0.462 | 1.897 8.264 2.612 |
| LSD 5 | 5% | 2.25 | 99.34 | 0.599 | 0.599 | 0.85 | 21.99 | 0.199 | 0.712 |

5- Spear pigments

a- Main effect of fertilizer type

Data in Table (14) illustrate that conventional fertilizers gave significantly the highest chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll and carotenoids contents of spear followed by poultry manure then cattle manure, while sheep manure recorded the lowest values of the previous parameters in both seasons.

Table (14): Main effect of fertilizer type and N level on spear pigments (mg/g fresh matter) of broccoli during 2004-2005 and 2005-2006 seasons.

| 200 | 0 3043 | uns. | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| Character | Chl. | Chl. | Total | Carot- | Chl. | Chl. | Total | Carot- |
| | а | b | Chl. | enoids | а | b | Chl. | enoids |
| Treatment | | 2004 | -2005 | | | 200 | 5-2006 | |
| Fertilizer | | | | | | | | |
| Mineral NPK + cattle manure | 0.494 | 0.313 | 0.806 | 0.293 | 0.545 | 0.339 | 0.885 | 0.319 |
| Poultry manure | 0.466 | 0.274 | 0.738 | 0.233 | 0.512 | 0.300 | 0.812 | 0.250 |
| Cattle Manure | 0.414 | 0.261 | 0.694 | 0.210 | 0.461 | 0.285 | 0.246 | 0.222 |
| Sheep manure | 0.387 | 0.234 | 0.615 | 0.159 | 0.415 | 0.257 | 0.678 | 0.174 |
| LSD % | 0.063 | 0.022 | 0.069 | 0.027 | 0.052 | 0.019 | 0.073 | 0.019 |
| N level (kg/Fed) | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | 0.384 | 0.211 | 0.594 | 0.184 | 0.422 | 0.229 | 0.651 | 0.198 |
| 140 | 0.449 | 0.273 | 0.718 | 0.231 | 0.495 | 0.299 | 0.795 | 0.247 |
| 180 | 0.488 | 0.328 | 0.827 | 0.257 | 0.533 | 0.358 | 0.896 | 0.279 |
| LSD 5% | 0.488 | 0.030 | 0.088 | 0.099 | 0.067 | 0.026 | 0.094 | 0.026 |
| | | | | | | | | |

b- Main effect of N level

Data in Table (14) show that chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll and carotenoids contents were significantly increased with increasing N level in the fertilizer from 100 to 180kg/Feddan.

c- Effect of interaction between fertilizer type and N level

Data in Table (15) show that the conventional fertilization containing 180kg/Feddan gave significantly the highest values of the previous characters in both seasons.

In conclusion, the present investigation demonstrated that conventional fertilization containing 140kg N/Feddan gave the highest growth and yield and that poultry manure containing 180kg N/Feddan gave higher growth and yield than the other organic manures.

Table (15): Effect of interaction between fertilizer type and N level on spear pigments (mg/g fresh matter) of broccoli during 2004-2005 and 2005-2006 seasons.

| Charac | lei | | | Total | Carat | Chl. | Chl. | Total | Carot- |
|-------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| | | - | Chl. | Total | Carot- | - | | | |
| | | а | b | Chl. | enoids | а | b | Chl. | enoids |
| Treatment | | | 2004 | 4-2005 | | | 2005 | 5-2006 | |
| Mineral 10 | 0 | 0.450 | 0.251 | 0.701 | 0.241 | 0.497 | 0.271 | 0.768 | 0.259 |
| NPK + 14 | 0 | 0.495 | 0.311 | 0.806 | 0.293 | 0.551 | 0.342 | 0.893 | 0.319 |
| cattle manure ¹ | 80 | 0.537 | 0.375 | 0.912 | 0.344 | 0.587 | 0.406 | 0.993 | 0.378 |
| Poultry 10 | 00 | 0.424 | 0.224 | 0.648 | 0.201 | 0.461 | 0.245 | 0.706 | 0.216 |
| Manure 14 | 0 | 0.468 | 0.271 | 0.739 | 0.228 | 0.517 | 0.299 | 0.816 | 0.246 |
| 18 | 80 | 0.500 | 0.328 | 0.828 | 0.271 | 0.557 | 0.357 | 0.914 | 0.289 |
| Cattle 10 | 00 | 0.346 | 0.205 | 0.551 | 0.194 | 0.384 | 0.221 | 0.605 | 0.210 |
| manure 14 | 0 | 0.427 | 0.263 | 0.690 | 0.207 | 0.464 | 0.287 | 0.751 | 0.225 |
| 18 | 80 | 0.491 | 0.319 | 0.810 | 0.211 | 0.535 | 0.348 | 0.883 | 0.232 |
| Sheep 10 | 00 | 0.313 | 0.165 | 0.478 | 0.098 | 0.344 | 0.179 | 0.523 | 0.108 |
| manure 14 | 0 | 0.407 | 0.248 | 0.655 | 0.178 | 0.448 | 0.271 | 0.719 | 0.196 |
| 18 | 80 | 0.439 | 0.290 | 0.729 | 0.200 | 0.471 | 0.322 | 0.793 | 0.217 |
| LSD 5% | | 0.088 | 0.059 | 0.173 | 0.139 | 0.134 | 0.052 | 0.189 | 0.052 |

REFERENCES

- Abdel El-Mouty, M.M.A; A.H. Ali and Fatma, A. Rizk (2000). The organic production of squash (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) as effected by different sources of organic fertilizers, Egypt, J. Appl. Sci.. 15 (8): 159-177.
- Abdul Baki, A.A.; R.D. Morse; J.R. Teasdale and T.E. Devine (1997). Nitrogen requirements of broccoli in cover crop mulches and clean cultivation. Journal of vegetable crop production. 3 (2): 85-100.
- Ali, A.H.; M.M. Abdel-Mouty and A.M. Shaheen (2001). Effect of Bio-Nitrogen, organic and in-organic fertilizer on the productivity of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) plants.

Amreesh-Sharma and Atul-Chandra (2006). Effect of plant density and nitrogen levels on physico-chemical parameters of cauliflower. Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences. 33 (1/2): 148-149.

Arjona, D.H. and J. Greig (1984). Study of the effect of four planting distances and four levels of nitrogen fertilization on yields, chlorophyll

content and nitrate reductase activity in broccoli. Agronomia. Colombiana. 2 (1/2): 71-81.

- Babik, I. And K. Elkner (2002). The effect of nitrogen fertilization and irrigation on yield and quality of broccoli. Acta-Horti. (571): 33-43.
- Balyan, D.S.; Joginder-Singh and V.K. Srivastara (1994). Nitrogen and zinc interactions in Cauliflower. Crop Research Hisar. 8(3): 537-542.
- Borin, M.C.; Giupponi and Osele (1987). The effect of organic and mineral fertilizer and soil type on potato tuber formation. Information Agrario, 43: 82-87.
- Brahma, S.D.B. Phookan and B.P. Gautam (2002). Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on growth and yield of broccoli (*Brassica Oleracea* L. Var. *italica*) cv. Pusa broccoli K Ts.1. Journal of the Agricultural Science Society of North East India. 15(1): 104-106.
- Bremner, J.M. and C.S. Mulvaney (1992). Total nitrogen in page (A.L. R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeney (Eds). Methods of Soils Analysis, Part 2 Amer. Soc. Agron. Madson WI.W.S.A. pp. 595-624).
- Browaldh, M. (1992). Influence of organic and inorganic fertilizer on common bean, (*Phaeolus vulgaris* L.) grown in a-p-fixing moilic adosol. Biol. Agric. And hort., 9:87.
- El Mansi, A.A.; H.M. Arisha and A.I. El-Kassas (2004). Effect of organic manure sources on growth, chemical contents and yield of pea plants under sandy soil conditions. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., Vol. 31, No. (5): 2097-2121.
- El-Sheikh, T.M. and G.M. Salama (1997). Influence of chicken manure on growth, quality and storageability of tomato. Annals of Agric. Sc. Moshtohor, Vol. 35(4): 2391-2413.
- Everaarts, A.P. and P. de-Willigen (1999). The effect of nitrogen and the method of application on yield and quality of broccoli. Netherlands Journal of Agricultural Science. 47 (2): 123-133.
- Fisher, A. and C. Richter (1984). Influence of organic and mineral fertilizers on yield and quality of potatoes. The fifth Ifo international Scientific Conference at the University of KA Germany. P.37
- Gliessman, S.R.; M.R. Werner; J. Allison and J. Cohran (1996). A comparison of strawberry plant development and yield under organic and conventional management on the central California Coast. Biological Agric. And Hort., 12, pp. 327-338.
- Gomez, K.E.A. and A.A. Gomez (1984). Statistical Procedures for the Agricultural Researches, John Wiley and Son, Inc., New York.
- Hossein, H.E.A (2002). Effect of some agricultural treatments on productivity and quality of onion grown in Sandy Soils. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Agric., Suez Canal
- Horlink, H.A.J.; Y. Inhar; M.J. Bolham (1991). States of compost amended Potting mixes naturally suppressive to soil born diseases of horticulture crops. Plant diseases, 23: 869-873.
- Kaniszewski, S. and J. Rumpel (1998). Effects of irrigation, nitrogen fertilization and soil type on yield and quality of cauliflower. Journal of vegetable Crop production. 4(1): 67-75.

- Mahmoud M.R. (2006). Effect of some organic and inorganic nitrogen fertilization on onion plant, grown on sandy calcareous soil, Assiut J. Agric. Sci., Vol. 37, No.1: 147-159.
- Mallanagouda, B.S.G.S.; N.C. Hulamani; B.C. Murthy and B.B. Madalgere (1995). Effect of NPK and FYM on growth parameters on onion, garlic and coriander. Current research Univ., of Agric. Sciences, Bangalore, 24 (11): 212-213.
- Marschner, H. (1988). Mineral Nutrition of High plants 2nd ed. Institute of Plant Nutrition Univ. of Hohenheim Germany.
- Meissner-Smejkal, G. (2000). Soil microbial activities in organic and conventional vegetable gardening. A sensitive instrument of horticultural research, Acta. Hort., 513: 145-152.
- Mohamed, E.L. and A.A. Gamie (1999). Evaluation of some organic fertilizers as substitutions of chemical fertilizers in fertilizing onion. Egypt J. Appl. Sci., 14(7): 664-678.
- Olsen, S.R. and L.E. Sommers (1982). Phosphorus. In: Page A.L.R. Miller and D.R. Keeney (Eds) Methods of Soil Analysis, Partz Amer. Soc. Agron Madison WI. USA. Pp. 403-430.
- Schulze, W. (1957). Unburden Einfluss der Dungung auf die Bildung der Chloroplasten, Pigment Pflanzenernahr. Dung Bodenkd. 76, 1-19.
- Sharma, S.K.; Rajender-Sharma and B.N. Korla (2002). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on the growth and seed yield of sprouting broccoli cv. Green Head. Horticultural Journal. 15(2): 87 – 90.
- Singh, N.K. and Akhilesh-Singh (2000). Influence of nitrogen and potassium on growth and head yield of broccoli (*Btassica Oleracea* L. var. *italica*) under low hills subtropical condition of H.P. Vegetable Science. 27(1): 99-100.
- Skoog, F. (1979). Plant growth substances. Proceeding of the 10th international conference on plant growth substances, Madison, Wisconsin. July, 22-26.
- Sendecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1980). Statistical methods. 7thed. IOWA State Univ. press, Amer. IOWA. USA.
- Jockon, M.I.A (1990). Soil chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall. Englewood cliffs, N.J.
- Jana, J.C. and T.P mukhopadhyay (2007). Effect of nitrogen and phosphprus on growth and curd yield of vegetables. Science. 28 (2): 133-136.
- Tarata, G; N. Popandren; M. Podoleanm; M. Gavriliuc and J. munteau (1995). Studies on effect of nitrogen Fertilizers on cabbage and cauliflower. Anale institutual de cercetari pentrm legumicultura Si floriculture, Vidra 13: 472- 484.
- Wettestein, D.V. (1957). Chlorophyll. Lethal and under submikrosskopische from wechsel der plastid. Expt cell Res. 12: 427-206.
- Yadav, B.R. and K.V. Paliwal (1990). Response of cauliflower to nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization on irrigation with saline waters. Vegetable-Science 17 (1): 1-6.
- Zebrth, B.J.; P.A. Bowen and P.M.A. Toivnen (1995). Influence of nitrogen fertilization on broccoli yield, nitrogen accumulation and apparent

fertilizer- nitrogen recovery. Canadian Journal of Plant Science. 75 (3): 717-725.

تأثير معدلات مختلفة من الأسمدة العضوية والتسميد التقليدى على النمو الخضرى ومحتوى الأوراق من الصبغات ومحتواها الكيماوى والمحصول ومحتوى الأقراص من الصبغات في البروكلي حسام الدين عبد الهادى حسين قسم البساتين – كلية الزراعة بالاسماعيلية – جامعة قناة السويس

أجريت هذه التجربة على نباتات البروكلى خلال موسمى 2004 – 2005, 2005 – 2006 بالمزرعة البحثية لكلية الزراعة بجامعة قناة السويس – الإسماعيلية لدراسة تأثير ثلاثة أنواع من المخلفات العضوية (سماد الطيور - السماد البلدى- سماد الأغنام) بالإضافة إلى التسميد التقليدى (سماد نيتروجين معدنى بالإضافة إلى السماد البلدى) كلاً عند ثلاث مستويات من النيتروجين (100 – 140 – 180 كيلو جرام للفدان) على النمو الخضرى والتركيب الكيماوى للأوراق وصبغات الأوراق والمحصول ومكوناته وصبغات الأقراص الرئيسية. وتم إضافة الأسمدة العصوية وكذلك السماد النيتروجينى المعدنى على أساس كمية النيتروجين الموجودة بالسماد.

أوضحت الدراسة أن التسميد التقليدى أعطى أعلى القيم من طول الساق وقطر الساق ووزن الساق والوزن الجاف والطازج للأوراق وكذلك محتوى الأوراق من كلورفيل أ وكلورفيل ب والكاروتينات ونسبة النيتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم بالأوراق وقطر القرص الرئيسى ووزن القطر الرئيسى ومحصول الفدان من الأقراص الرئيسية ومتوسط قطر القرص الجانبى وعدد الأقراص الجانبية للنبات ومحصول النبات من الأقراص الجانبية والمحصول الكلى (أقراص رئيسية وأقراص جانبية) ومحتوى الأقراص الرئيسية من كلورفيل أ وكلورفيل ب والكاوتينات. يأتى سماد وأقراص جانبية) ومحتوى الأقراص الرئيسية من كلورفيل أ وكلورفيل ب والكاوتينات. يأتى سماد الطيور فى المرتبة الثانية لكل الصفات السابقة. السماد الثالث هو السماد البلدى ثم سماد الأعنام كما أوضحت الدراسة انه بزيادة مستوى النيتروجين بالسماد ذادت كل الصفات السابقة ماعدا عدد الأوراق / نبات. وكان تأثير التفاعل بين نوع السماد ومحتواه من النيتروجين معنوى لكل الصفات السابقة. كما أوضحت الدراسة أن الأسمدة التقليدية المحتوية على 180 كجم نيتروجين/ فدان قد أعطت أعلى القيم لصفات النمو الخصرى ومحتوى الأوراق من النيتروجين معنوى لكل الصفات وكلورفيل أ وكلورفيل والكاوتينات ومحتوى الأوراق من النيتروجين موزين فال المالا وكلورفيل أ وكلورفيل والكاوتينات ومحتوى الأوراق من النيتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم وكلورفيل أ وكلورفيل والكاوتينات ومحتوى الأقراص الرئيسية من كلورفيل أ وكلورفيل ب أعطت أعلى القيم لصفات النمو الخضرى ومحتوى الأوراق من النيتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم وكلورفيل أ وكلورفيل والكاوتينات ومحتوى الأقراص الرئيسية من كلورفيل أ وكلورفيل ب ولكاورفيل أ وكلورفيل والكاوتينات ومحتوى الأقراص الرئيسية من كلورفيل أ وكلورفيل ب المحصول ومكوناته.

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 33 (3), March, 2008

Hossein, H. A.

| ABSTRACT | الملخص |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| INTRODUCTION | المقدمة |
| MATERIALS AND METHODS | الأسلوب البحثي |
| RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | النتائج ومناقشتها |
| REFERENCES | المراجع |
| ABSTRACT | الملخص |
| INTRODUCTION | المقدمة |
| MATERIALS AND METHODS | الأسلوب البحثى |
| RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | النتائج ومناقشتها |
| REFERENCES | المراجع |
| ABSTRACT | الملخص |
| INTRODUCTION | المقدمة |
| MATERIALS AND METHODS | الأسلوب البحثي |
| RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | النتائج ومناقشتها |
| REFERENCES | المراجع |
| | |