The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine (July 2017) Vol.68 (3), Page 1411-1417

Relationship between Ceramic Restorations

Rami Amin Gashgary[2], Tariq Mohammed Alshehri [1], Ibraheem Abdulrahman Aljomai[1], Hani Hussain Alghamdi [1], Mohammed Ali A Alasmri [1], Roya Aali Alshamrani [1], Amal kamal jumaymi [1], Alaa jafar alsharif [1], Roaa Saeed AlHakami [1], Sara talal Musallam [1], Waad ahmed Almazrouie [1], Reema Abdulkader Azzeem[1], Maha Salem Baaboud [2], Sawsan Badr Sehaqi [2]

Ibn sina national college for medical studies[1], Batterjy Medical college for science & technology[2]

ABSTRACT

Sintered ceramics and glass-ceramics are broadly utilized as biomaterials for dental restoration, particularly as dental onlays, inlays, veneers, crowns or bridges. Biomaterials were advanced whichever to veneer metal frameworks or to create metal-free dental restorations. Diverse sorts of glass-ceramics and ceramics are obtainable and important today to satisfy customers' needs (patients, dentists and dental technicians) with respect to the properties of the biomaterials and the processing of the products. All of these different types of biomaterials already cover the entire range of indications of dental restorations. Today, patients are increasingly interested in metal-free restoration. Glass-ceramics are particularly suitable for fabricating inlays, crowns and small bridges, as these materials attain extremely solid, aesthetic outcomes. High-strength ceramics are favoured in conditions where the material is exposed to high masticatory forces.

Keywords: Dental Restoration, Ceramics, Computer-Aided Design, Computer-Aided Manufacturing, Zirconia.

INTRODUCTION

The history of restorative dentistry can be followed back similar to ancient Egyptian periods. Cases of tooth replacement prostheses made from gold wire, ox bone or wood have been established. Later restoratives had a revitalisation about two hundred years ago when air fired porcelains and cast gold restorations were made to restore and replace teeth. It appears that in ancient times the key requirement was to supplant teeth lost as a because of gum ailment, though lately it is to restore teeth damaged by decay. Dental ceramics are materials that are a piece of frameworks planned for delivering dental prostheses that thusly are utilized to supplant lost or damaged dental structures. The literature on this subject characterizes ceramics as inorganic, non-metallic materials made by man by warming raw minerals at high temperatures [1]. Ceramics and glasses are brittle, which implies that they show a high compressive strength however low elasticity and might be broken under low strain (0.1%, 0.2%). By way of restorative materials, dental ceramics have impediments generally because of their powerlessness to withstand useful forces that are present in the oral cavity. Consequently, at first, they discovered constrained application in the premolar and molar areas, even though additional improvement in these materials has allowed their use as a posterior long-span fixed partial prosthetic restorations and structures over dental implants [2]. All dental ceramics show low fracture durability when contrasted with other dental materials, for example, metals [3]. Metal ceramic systems combine both the exceptional esthetic properties of ceramics and the amazing mechanical properties of metals [4].

Some metals which are utilized as restorative materials in dentistry can constitute an issue for a few patients. These issues may uncover themselves as allergies [5], gum staining [6] and release of metallic ions into the gingival tissue and the gingival fluid [7]. These downsides, and also the look for more esthetic materials by patients and dentists, have motivated innovative and improvement of metal-free ceramic systems.

There has been a fast diversification of equipment and materials accessible for computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing (CAD-CAM) of ceramic prostheses. The accessibility of CAD-CAM processing allowed the use of polycrystalline zirconia coping and framework materials. The comparatively high stiffness and good mechanical dependability of partially stabilized zirconia permits thinner core layers, longer bridge spans, and the use of all-ceramic fixed partial dentures (FPDs) in posterior locations [8]. Ceramics can be categorized by their microstructure (amount and type of crystalline phase and glass composition). They can also be classified by the processing technique (power-liquid, pressed or machined) [9].

Methods of Ceramic Fabrication

A review of the literature involved taxonomy of dental ceramics, wherein materials were categorized according to their composition and indications [10]. The following section is categorized by method of fabrication. This complements the previous review and reflects the recent diversification of CAD-CAM systems (Table 1). Ceramics, having similar composition might be fabricated by different laboratory methods, and every method of forming outcomes in a different distribution of flaws,

Received: 21 / 4 /2017
DOI: 10.12816/0039683
Accepted: 29 / 4/2017

opportunity for depth of translucency, and accuracy of fit. These differences must be important to the clinician as they persist beyond the walls of the dental laboratory and affect clinical performance.

Table 1. Methods of forming ceramics for all-ceramic prostheses.

Fabrication Method	Commercial Examples	Composition
Powder condensation	Duceram LFC[a] Finesse Low Fusing[a] IPS e.max Ceram[b] IPS Eris[b] LAVA Ceram[c] Vita D[d] Vitadur Alpha[d] Vitadur N[d]	glass leucite-glass fluoroapatite-glass fluoroapatite-glass leucite-glass leucite-glass leucite-glass alumina-glass
Slip casting	In-Ceram Alumina[d] In-Ceram Spinell[d] In-Ceram Zirconia[d]	glass-alumina glass-alumina-spinel glass-alumina-PS zirconia
Hot pressing	Finesse All-Ceramic[a] Fortress Pressable[e] IPS Empress[b] IPS Empress 2[b] IPS e.max Press[b] IPS e.max ZirPress[b] OPC[f]	leucite-glass leucite-glass leucite-glass lithium disilicate-glass lithium disilicate-glass fluoroapatite-glass leucite-glass
CAD-CAM Presintered	Cercon[a] DC-Zirkon[g] Everest ZS-Blanks[h] IPS e.max ZirCAD[b] LAVA Frame[c] Procera AllCeram[i] Procera AllZirkon[i] Vita YZ[d]	partially stabilized zirconia partially stabilized zirconia partially stabilized zirconia partially stabilized zirconia partially stabilized zirconia alumina partially stabilized zirconia partially stabilized zirconia
Densely sintered	Denzir[j] Digiceram L[k] Digizon[k] Everest G-Blanks[h] Everest ZH-Blanks[h] IPS e.max CAD[b] ProCAD[b] Vitablocs Mark II[d] Vitablocs TriLuxe[d] ZirKon[l]	partially stabilized zirconia leucite-glass partially stabilized zirconia leucite-glass partially stabilized zirconia lithium disilicate-glass leucite-glass leucite-glass leucite-glass partially stabilized zirconia
Glass infiltrated	In-Ceram Alumina[d] In-Ceram Spinell[d] In-Ceram Zirconia[d]	glass-alumina glass-alumina-spinel glass-alumina-PS zirconia

[a]Dentsply-Ceramco, York, PA, USA;
[b]Ivoclar-Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein;
[c]3M ESPE, St. Paul, MN, USA;
[d]Vita Zahnfabrik, Bad Säckingen, Germany;
[e]Mirage Dental Systems, Kansas City, KS, USA;
[f]Pentron Clinical Technologies, Wallingford, CT, USA;
[g]DCS Dentalsysteme, Kelkheim, Germany;
[h]KaVo, Lake Zurich, IL, USA;
[i]Nobel Biocare, Kloten, Switzerland;
[j]Cad.esthetics, Skellefteå, Sweden;
[k]Digident, Pforzheim, Germany; [l]Cynovad, Saint-Laurent, Canada

Glass-Based Systems

Glass-based systems are made from materials that contain mostly silicon dioxide (likewise acknowledged as silica or quartz), which contains several amounts of alumina. Aluminosilicates found in nature, which contain several amounts of potassium and sodium, are acknowledged as feldspars. Feldspars are amended in various ways to make the glass used in dentistry. Synthetic forms of aluminasilicate glasses are likewise manufactured for dental ceramics.

Glass-based systems with fillers

This classification of materials has an extensive range of glass– crystalline ratios and crystal sorts, to such an extent that this classification can be subdivided into three groups. The glass synthesis is fundamentally the same as the pure glass class. The distinction is that shifting amounts of various sorts of crystals have either been included or developed in the glassy matrix. The essential crystal sorts today are leucite, lithium disilicate or fluoroapatite.

- Low-to-moderate leucite-containing feldspathic glass – these materials have been titled "feldspathic porcelains" as a matter of course. Despite the fact that other categories have a feldspathic-like glass; this category is what most people mean when they say "feldspathic porcelain."
- High-leucite-containing (around half) glass. Once more, the glassy stage depends on an aluminosilicate glass. These materials have been produced in both powder/fluid, machinable and pressable structures.
- Lithium-disilicate glass ceramic is another sort of glass ceramic presented by Ivoclar as IPS Empress II (now called IPS e.max), where the aluminosilicate glass has lithium oxide included.

Crystalline-based systems with glass fillers

Glass- infiltrated, mostly sintered alumina was presented in 1988 and advertised under the name In-Ceram. The framework was produced as a contrasting option to ordinary metal ceramics and has met with extraordinary clinical achievement.

Polycrystalline solids

Solid-sintered, monophase ceramics are materials that are framed by specifically sintering crystals together with no interceding matrix to from a solid, air-free, glass-free, polycrystalline structure. There are a few diverse preparing strategies that permit the fabrication of either solid-sintered aluminous-oxide or zirconia-oxide frameworks.

Processing technique classification

An easier to use and oversimplified approach to characterize the ceramics utilized as a part of dentistry is by how they are handled. Note that all materials can be prepared by fluctuated methods. Yet, by and large, for dentistry, they can be named:

- Manufactured blocks, with or without crystalline fillers, Vitabloc Mark II for the CEREC and pressable and machinable adaptations of IPS Empress are the essential materials accessible in this characterization. These materials are preferably suited for inlay and onlay restorations, anterior crowns and veneers, and perhaps bicuspid crowns. They must be reinforced and can be utilized full shape as there are polychromatic machinable forms.
- Powder/liquid, with or without crystalline fillers, These are the porcelains that are made for veneering centers produced using either metal, alumina or zirconia, however can be utilized for porcelain veneers on either a refractory dye or platinum foil method.
- CAD/CAM or slurry/dye-generated mostly or all-crystalline alumina- or zirconia-based systems, Alumina materials in this grouping are Procera, which is strong sintered alumina, and In-Ceram, which is glass penetrated. These materials function admirably for cores for single crowns that are veneered with a powder/fluid glass-based material (porcelain).

Glass Ceramics

Glass ceramics were first established by Corning Glass Works in the late 1950s. According to McLean [10], the main deals with glass ceramics were performed by Mac Culloch, however his work did not get much consideration. Additional research by Grossman and Adair [11, 12] concluded with the improvement of a tetra silicic fluormica-containing ceramic system. On a fundamental level, an article is shaped while fluid and a metastable glass derives on cooling. Amid an ensuing heat treatment, controlled crystallization happens, with the nucleation and development of internal crystals. This change procedure from a glass to an incompletely crystalline glass is called ceraming. Along these lines, a glass ceramic is a multiphase solid containing a lingering glass stage with a finely scattered crystalline stage. The controlled crystallization of the glass brings about the development of small crystals that are uniformly dispersed all through the glass. The quantity of crystals, their development rate and subsequently their size are directed when and temperature of the creaming heat treatment. Its composition is as per the following: 45-70% SiO2, 8-20% MgO, 8-15% MgF2, 5-35% R2O + RO, where R2O has a range between 5-25% and is made out of no less than one of the accompanying oxides: 0-20% K2O, 0-23% Rb2O and 0-25% Cs2O to enhance translucency and RO, which has a range between 0-20%, and is made out of no less than one of the accompanying oxides: SrO, BaO and CdO. Extra parts may represent up to 10% of Sb2O5 as well as up to 5% of conventional polished colorants. There are two critical perspectives to the development of the crystalline stage: precious stone nucleation and gem development. The warm treatment known as creaming [13] is made out of two procedures: glass is warmed up to a temperature where cores shape (750°– 850°C), and this temperature is kept for a timeframe extending from 1 to 6 h with the goal that crystalline cores frame in the glass (process known as nucleation). At that point, the temperature is expanded to the crystallization point (1000°– 1150°C) and this temperature is kept up for a period running from 1 to 6 h until the point whenthe coveted level of coating is acquired (process known as crystallization) [12, 14].

Glass-based systems with fillers

Leucite-reinforced feldspar glass ceramics, glass-based systems are made from materials that have mainly silicon dioxide (correspondingly identified as silica or quartz), which contains various amounts of alumina. Aluminosilicates found in nature, which contain different measures of potassium and sodium, are known as feldspars. Feldspars are changed in different approaches to make the glass utilized as a part of dentistry. Engineered types of aluminosilicate glasses are likewise fabricated for dental ceramics [15]. Pressed glass ceramics are materials containing high amounts of leucite crystals (35% by volume) [13]. The basic component of this ceramic is feldspathic porcelain, consisting of 63% SiO2, 19% Al2O3, 11% K2O, 4% Na2O and traces of other oxides. Leucite crystals are added to the aluminum oxide [16]. This material is made utilizing a procedure known as warmth squeezing, which is performed in a venture form. This shape is loaded with the plasticized clay along these lines maintaining a strategic distance from the sintering procedure and the consequent pore formation [20]. This fired experiences scattering reinforcing through the guided crystallization of leucite. Dispersion strengthening is a procedure by which the dispersed phase of a different material (such as alumina, leucite, zirconia, etc.) is used to stop crack propagation as these crystalline phases are more difficult to penetrate by cracks [13]. Leucite crystals are combined during ceraming and therefore performing this procedure again is pointless when inducing crystal growth. The development of ceramic restorations utilizing leucite-reinforced feldspars should be possible either by sintering, utilizing a changed variant of the sintering procedure depicted before to build the porcelain jacket crown or by a procedure recognized as hot pressing.

Lithium Disilicate and Apatite Glass Ceramics

With a specific end goal to have the capacity to broaden the utilization resin-bonded ceramic restorations and conceivably utilize them for bridge construction, a glass ceramic based on a SiO_2– Li_2O system has been created (Empress II, Ivoclar-Vivadent). To increase the strength, thermal expansion and contraction behavior of ceramics, manufacturers have added crystalline filler particles [18]. Other sorts of filler options incorporate particles of high- melting glasses that are steady at the firing temperature of the ceramic [19].

Kelly [18] alludes to a ceramic as a glass-ceramic when the filler particles are included mechanically amid assembling accelerate within the beginning glass by exceptional nucleation and growth-heating medications. The crystalline stage that its structures is a lithium disilicate ($Li_2Si_2O_5$) and makes up around 70% of the volume of the glass fired. Lithium disilicate has a surprising microstructure, in that it comprises of numerous little interlocking plate-like precious stones that are haphazardly situated. This is perfect from the perspective of quality in light of the fact that the needle-like precious stones make splits divert, branch or limit; in this manner, the spread of breaks through this material is captured by the lithium disilicate crystals, giving a generous increment in the flexural strength. A second crystalline stage, comprising of a lithium orthophosphate (Li_3PO_4) of a much lower volume is likewise present. The mechanical properties of this glass earthenware are far better than that of the leucite glass clay, with a flexural quality in the area of 350– 450 MPa and crack strength roughly three-times that of the leucite glass ceramic. The glass clay is guaranteed to be very translucent because of the optical similarity between the glassy matrix and the crystalline stage, which limits inner scattering of the light as it goes through the material. The processing route is the same as the hot-pressing route defined above, except that the processing temperature, at 920°C, is lower than for the leucite glass ceramic. The grain sizes of lithium metasilicate crystals vary from 0.2 µm to 1 µm, rendering a flexural strength of 130 MPa to this material. This is comparable to the other mill-ready leucite-reinforced CAD/CAM (ProCAD, Ivoclar Vivadent) blocks and the feldspathic CAD/CAM blocks (Vitabloc Mark II) [20]. Throughout the crystallization cycle, there is a controlled growth of the grain size (0.5–5 µm). This transformation leads to a glass ceramic that is made up of prismatic lithium disilicate detached in a glassy matrix [21]. This modification increases the flexural strength of the restoration to 360 MPa [22], an increase of 170%. A random orientation of small interlocking plate-like crystals makes up the lithium-disilicate restoration. The orientation and size of the crystals may account for crack deflection and blunting, which, in turn, accounts for the increase in fracture durability over the leucite-reinforced ceramics [23] (Figures 1, 2&3).

Figure 1. Metal ceramic crowns with lack of translucency,

Figure 2. Tooth preparation for glass–ceramic crowns

Figure 3. Final IPS Empress 2 crowns showing better translucency

In-Ceram Zirconia, Spinell, Alumina

Penetrated ceramics are made through a procedure named slip-casting, which includes the concentration of an aqueous porcelain slip on a refractory dye. This fired porous core is later glass infiltrated, a procedure by which molten glass is drawn into the pores by capillary action at high temperatures. Materials managed in this way show less porosity, less deficiencies from dispensation, better strength and greater durability than conventional feldspathic porcelains [24]. This glass-penetrated center is later veneered with a feldspathic earthenware for definite feel. These have brilliant translucency and tasteful qualities, however it have poor physical properties and require the high-quality center that of now the as said invaded earthenware production can give. The Vita In-Ceram slip-throwing framework makes utilization of three unique materials to pick up a decent bargain amongst quality and esthics.

CAD/CAM Technologies and Materials

The CEREC system gives an in-office substitute for porcelain restorations. The preparing starts with a smooth, rounded, well-tapered restoration. This preparation is sprayed and bonded to titanium dioxide contrast powder in the patient's mouth. An infrared camera records the powder and creates a 3-D optical impression on the computer. This image can be manipulated by the dentist to create ideal anatomy and contacts before processing. The shadow of porcelain is selected by the dentist, and this shadow selection is placed into the computer. The computer then states the dentist what block of porcelain or composite is to be utilized. This block is then milled in-office rendering to the computer design. The restoration comes out of the milling machine with a ceramic sprue that needs to be removed. The restoration is then attempted in the patient's mouth [25]. Proximal contacts may need to be balanced and flash may need to be removed. If the restoration is suitable and esthetic, it can be cemented in using composite. An integrated chairside–laboratory technique requires two visits. The clinician either can scan the preparation straightforwardly and afterward send the output to the laboratory or can take a conventional impression, after which a stone model is poured and the laboratory scans the stone model. In the main case, the patient still does not require an impression, eliminating a source of uneasiness for the patient and a potential source of incorrectness for the clinician [26].

Advances in dental ceramic materials and processing methods, especially CAD/CAM and milling technology, have assisted the improvement and application of superior dental ceramics. CAD/CAM permits the use of materials that cannot be used by conventional dental processing methods. Strongly controlled industrial ceramic processing can produce increased microstructural consistency, higher density, and lower porosity and reduced residual stresses. Such developments have the potential to develop clinical predictability. CAD/CAM has become to some extent synonymous with zirconia, but systems are available that can machine any type of ceramics, to be exact glass ceramics, interpenetrating (infiltration ceramics) materials and solid-sintered monophase ceramics such as zirconia. The material utilized relies upon useful and esthetic requests and on whether a chairside or lab CAD/CAM restoration is fabricated. For chairside CAD/CAM restorations, an esthetic, solid material requiring insignificant post-processing tasteful acclimation to limit the chairside time is required. Leucite-fortified glass earthenware production (IPS Empress CAD, Ivoclar Vivadent;) and lithium disilicate glass ceramics (IPS e.max, Ivoclar Vivadent) can be utilized for chairside and research center CAD/CAM single restorations. Leucite-fortified material is intended to coordinate the dentition for quality and surface smoothness and to offer esthetic outcomes by disseminating light in a way like enamel. For chairside cases where strength is a consideration, lithium disilicate CAD restorations offer strength of 400 MPa as compared with the leucite-reinforced ceramic, with an MPa ranging from 120 to 160, and still provide good esthetics. Lithium disilicate is used as a monolithic (single layer) material, providing strength [26, 27].

CONCLUSION

Technological developments in dental ceramics are a quick and developing region in dental innovative work. The esthetic appearance of ceramic restorations is attributable to surface texture of the restoration, which is determined by the surface finish. It is critical that clinicians know about the recent advancements and that they ought to dependably consider the type of ceramic restorative materials used to keep a stable occlusal relation. Additional, the ceramic restorations ought to be effectively finished and cleaned after chair-side adjustment procedure of occlusal surfaces. Alterations of ceramic materials are suggested to deliver stronger ceramic in terms of wear resistance and to minimize the undesired effects.

REFERENCES

1. **Rosenblum MA and Schulman A A (1997):** review of all ceramic restorations J. Am. Dent. Assoc.,128:297–307.
2. **Rizkalla AS and Jones DW (2004):** Mechanical properties of commercial high strength ceramic core materials. Dent. Mater., 20:207–12.
3. **Rizkalla AS, Jones DW(2004):** Indentation fracture toughness and dynamic elastic moduli for commercial feldspathic dental porcelain materials. Dent Mater,20:198–206.
4. **Arango SS, Vargas AP, Escobar JS, Monteiro FJ, Restrepo LF (2010):** Ceramics for dental restorations -An Introduction, www.zapmeta.ws/Ceramic+Tooth+Crown
5. **Stejskal VD, Danersund A, Lindvall A, Hudecek R, Nordman V, Yaqob A *et al*.(1999):** Metal specific lymphocytes: Biomarkers of sensitivity in man, Neuro Endocrinol Lett. ,20:289–98.
6. **Venclíkova Z, Benada O, Bártova J, Joska L, Mrklas L (2007):** Metallic pigmentation of human teeth and gingiva: Morphological and immunological aspects. Dent Mater J. ,26:96–104.
7. **Mehulic K, Prlic A, Komar D, Prskalo K(2005):** The release of metal ions in the gingival fluid of prosthodontic patients Acta Stomatol Croat. ,39:47–51.
8. **Raigrodski AJ(2004):** Contemporary all-ceramic fixed partial dentures: a review. Dent Clin North Am. ,48(2): 531–544.
9. **Edward A, McLaren DS, Tran CP(2017):** DDS Ceramics in Dentistry-Part I: Classes of Materials. Inside dentistry. Available from: http://www.insidedentistry.net
10. **McLean JW(2001):** Evolution of dental ceramics in the twentieth century. J Prosthet Dent. ,85(1):61–66.
11. **Grossman D(1973):** Tetrasilicic mica glassceramic method. US Patent., https://www.google.com/patents/US3732087
12. **Adair PJ(1982):** Glass ceramic dental products. US Patent 4,431,420, 1984 - Google Patents. www.google.com/patents/US4431420
13. **Anusavice KJ(2004):** Phillips Science of Dental materials. Amsterdam: Elsevier, https://www.elsevier.com/.../phillips-science-of-dental-materials/.../978-1-4377-2418-.
14. **Stookey SD(1960):** Method of making ceramics and products thereof, 1956. US Patent 2,920,971, https://www.google.com/patents/US2920971
15. **Berg NG, Derand T (1997):**A 5-year evaluation of ceramic inlays (CEREC) Swed Dent J. ,21:121–7.
16. El-Mowafy O, Brochu JF. Longevity and clinical performance of IPSEmpress ceramic restorations a literature review, J Can Dent Assoc. 2002;68:233–3077.
17. **Sorensen JA, Choi C, Fanuscu MI, Mito WT(1998):** IPS Empress crown system: Three year clinical trial results. J Calif Dent Assoc. ,26:130–6.
18. **Kelly JR(2008):** Dental ceramics: What is this stuff anyway? J Am Dent Assoc. ,139:4S–7.
19. **Denry IL (1996):** Recent advances in ceramics for dentistry. Crit Rev Oral Bio Med. ,7:134–43.
20. **Seghi RR, Sorensen JA (1995):** Relative flexural strength of six new ceramic materials. Int J Prosthodont. ,8:239–46.
21. Van Noort R. Introduction to dental materials. Philadelphia, Pa: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2002. p. 244.
22. Helvey GA. Retro-fitting an existing crown adjacent to a removable partial denture in a single visit. Inside Dent. 2009;5:34–41.
23. Mansour YF, Al-Omiri MK, Khader YS, Al-Wahadni AM. Clinical performace of IPS-Empress 2 ceramic crowns inserted by general dental practitioners. J Comp Dent Pract. 2008;9:1–11.
24. Datla SR, Alla RK, Alluri VR, Babu J, Konakanchi A. Dental ceramics: Part II – Recent advances in dental ceramics. Am J Mater Eng Technolog. 2015;3:19–26.
25. Mörmann WH. The evolution of the CEREC system. J Am Dent Assoc. 2006;137:7S–13S.
26. Alghazzawi TF, Lemons J, Liu PR, Essig ME, Janowski GM. Evaluation of the optical properties of CAD-CAM generated yttria-stabilized zirconia and glass-ceramic laminate veneers. J Prosthet Dent. 2012;107:300–8.
27. Passos SP, de Freitas AP, Iorgovan G, Rizkalla AS, Santos MJ, Santos Júnior GC. Enamel wear opposing different surface conditions of different CAD/CAM ceramics. Quintessence Int. 2013;44:743–51.