Conceptual Metaphor Analysis of the Concept of Teacher in the Egyptian Strategic Plans Fatma Aboelsoud Abdelhafez Abdelreheem Nasr Abstract

The present study aims at studying the conceptual metaphors identified in the three strategic plans which are under investigation and which are issued by the Egyptian Ministry of Education. Conceptual metaphors are pervasive in everyday language. Hence by analyzing the CMS that people use in depicting their experiences and beliefs, individuals can start to reveal meanings underneath straightforwardly. Accordingly, the aim of the study is to discover how policy planners think about abstract concepts in terms of concrete ones when writing these plans. More specifically, the study aims at investigating how the concept of teachers is conceptualized by the policy planners. Concerning the concept of teachers, the findings of the study reveal that CMS are helpful in terms of understanding the way the ministry views this concept. In addition, regarding the role of the teacher, a great emphasis was put on the role of teacher as a professional.

Keywords: strategic plans - conceptual metaphor - linguistic expressionsteachers

الملخص العربي

تحليل الاستعارة المفهومية لمفهوم المعلم في الخطط الإستراتيجية المصرية تستند الدراسة الحالية إلى نظرية الاستعارة المفهومية للاكوف وجونسون (١٩٨٠) وجميع الأدبيات ذات الصلة. تهدف الدراسة إلى التحقق من الاستعارات المفهومية المتعلقة بدور المعلمين في الخطط الإستراتيجية لوزارة التربية والتعليم المصرية قيد البحث، يتم استخدام التحليل الوصفي والعددي في الدراسة الحالية ، أظهر تحليل مفهوم المعلم أو المدرس أن تنوع التصورات المتعلقة بدور المعلمين تشير إلى أن مهنة التدريس متعددة الأوجه، بدءًا من كون المعلم مربيا إلى كونه محترفًا يشير إلى التعقيد في تصور أدوار المعلم

1. Introduction

Over the last few decades, examining educational policies started to gain attention (Maalej, 2008). Examining educational policies allows policy makers to focus more on the development of the educational system in a way that maximizes the benefits that learners receive. In addition, it allows them to avoid possible problems and challenges that may face learners. Given the usefulness of such investigation is what inclined the researcher to study the metaphors in the Egyptian educational strategic plans.

The current study is based on the conceptual metaphor theory of Lakoff and Johnson (1980) and all the related literature. According to them, "within the cognitive paradigm, metaphor is a constitutive of thought, and pervades our conceptual system" (Lakoff & Johnson, 1980, p.3) by which we think, reason, and act. Lakoff and Johnson (1980) establish the prominence of conceptual metaphor over metaphoric expressions. Granting this preeminence of conceptual metaphor, the linguistic expressions that have been placed in the strategic plans issued by the Egyptian Ministry of Education are part of the conceptual metaphors in the thoughts of the policy planners.

1.2 The Aim and Significance of the Study

The study aims at investigating the conceptual metaphors that are related to *the role of teachers* in the strategic plans of the Egyptian Ministry of Education namely, (2007/08-2011/12) referred to later as ESP.1, (2014-2017) referred to as ESP.2, and (2014-2030) referred to as ESP.3. The purpose is to examine the existence of conceptual metaphors in the documents under investigation in order to comprehend how *the role of teacher* is metaphorically conceptualized as a way of grasping the way the ministry views and thinks about it.

The reason why the current investigation focuses on the educational discourse is the fact that only very few studies have investigated this context. To my knowledge, none of the previous studies have examined the strategic plans of the Egyptian Ministry of Education. Furthermore, comprehending the way the ministry views *the role of the teacher* in the education process adds insights on how the education context in Egypt functions.

1.3 Research Question

The study aims at answering the following research question:

How is the role of teachers conceptualized metaphorically in the Egyptian Strategic Plans for Pre-university Education?

2. Theoretical Background

Metaphor analysis, a strategy for discourse analysis, stems to a great extent from the work of Lakoff and Johnson (1980) in which they investigated the role that metaphor plays in human cognition. The hypothesize behind this methodology is that by analyzing the metaphors that people use in depicting their experiences and beliefs, individuals can start to reveal meanings underneath those straightforwardly and intentionally. The conceptual metaphor theory (CMT) was established by the cognitive linguists Lakoff and Johnson in (1980). The theory was published in their famous book *Metaphor we live by*. Due to the significant development (Kövecses, 2002) that has taken place on it, it became one of the most influential theories.

The essence of the CMT is that metaphors are pervasive in everyday thought and action. Lakoff and Johnson (1980) argued that, "our ordinary conceptual system, in terms of what we both think and act, is fundamentally metaphorical in nature" (p.3). This theory raised interest in studying metaphors not as poetic expressions but rather as a conceptual phenomenon existing in the human cognition and reflected in everyday language. According to Lakoff (2003) "[t]he locus of metaphor is not in language at all, but in the way we conceptualize one mental domain in terms of another" (p. 203).

According to CMT, the perception of metaphors is associated with people's conceptual system. Metaphor is the mechanism by which we understand abstract concepts. In other words, it is the conceptual system that allows abstract reasoning to be understood in a concrete way.

(i) 2.1 Conceptual Metaphor

A distinction between conceptual metaphors and linguistic expressions is essential to be drawn. Linguistic expressions can be described as the surface manifestation of conceptual metaphors. For instance, one conceptual metaphor can be reflected in a number of linguistic expressions. For example, in Lakoff's article (2003) "The Contemporary Theory of Metaphor", examples of the different linguistic expressions that reflected the conceptual metaphor LOVE IS A JOURNEY are the following:

- Look how far we've come.
- It's been a long bumpy road.

These two linguistic expressions are not two conceptual metaphors. They all reflect the way love is perceived in terms of a journey. A typical conceptual metaphor involves "a source domain, a target domain and a

source-to-target mapping" (Lakoff, 1987, p. 206). It is the source domain from which the metaphorical mapping is drawn to the target domain.

2.2 Metaphor Analysis in Educational contexts

(b) 2.2.1 The Role of Teachers

Metaphors are significant in terms of shaping teachers' understanding of their roles as teachers (Pajak, 1986). For instance, metaphors can be a method for teachers to verbalize their professional identity.

In English language teaching, various depictions of metaphors have been proposed (e.g. Oxford, , Tomlinson, & Barcelos, 1998). Block (1999), for instance, utilized the term "macro-metaphors" that includes general depictions of the role of the teacher. He said the generally known macro-metaphors utilized are the "teacher as contracted professional" and "teacher as a providing parent".

The prior research conducted by Marchant (1992) elicited similes for the words "teacher", "student" and "classroom" from 102 undergrad in a teacher preparation program at an unnamed university of the Midwestern in the U.S. and 104 experienced teachers following masters programs. The analysis revealed that the similes produced can be grouped into 8 factors (e.g., authority, caregiving, production, captives) and that there was some internal coherence (e.g., similes within the authority construct included "animal trainer" for teacher, "wild animal" for student and "jungle" for classroom).

Oxford, et al. (1998) explored teachers' metaphors in L2 teaching. Their outcomes (as a typology of metaphor use) and analysis of metaphor utilization were produced from narrative case studies. Oxford, et al's. (1998) typology involves 4 points of view of teaching: (1) Social request: for instance, teacher as manufacturer, teacher as competitor; teacher as conduit, teacher as repeater; (2) Learner-centered growth: for example, teacher as nurturer, teacher as lover, teacher as scaffolder, teacher as entertainer; and (3) Social reform: for example, teacher as acceptor, teacher as learning partner.

Other instances of the metaphors of the teacher that are mentioned in the literature reviews are teacher as coach, teacher as consultant, teacher as performer, teacher as orchestra conductor. The most cited study is the one conducted by Cortazzi and Jin (1999). They investigated teachers' and students' metaphors of teaching, learning and language, and found that "metaphors are a bridge to learn more about teachers and students" (p. 173).

3 Methodology

Both qualitative and quantitative methods of analysis are utilized in the current study. The data is based on the strategic plans of the Egyptian Ministry of education, namely (2007/08-2011/12) which can be accessed via ncerd.org/pdf/alnashra/alnashrha9.pdf, (2014-2017) which is accessible through http://moe.gov.eg/ccimd/pdf/Executive_Programs.pdf, and (2014-2030) which can be accessed via http://moe.gov.eg/ccimd/pdf/strategic_plan.pdf.

The current investigation focusses on the role of teachers as conceptualized by the policy planners in a decontextualized manner. All expressions related to Musallim, Mu\allimi:n, Mu\allimah, Mu\alima:t, Mudarris, Mudarrisi:n, Mudarrisah, and Mudarrisa:t etc are examined. are extracted from the three strategic plans under investigation, translated from Arabic to English, and written using the IPA (International Phonetic Alphabet). At the stage of coding and analysis, all the metaphors produced by the policy planners were separated as units of analysis clarification. The stage that follows is entitled the naming stage. In such a stage, all the metaphors are put in a list. Then, all the collected data regarding the teachers' roles are carefully examined in order to determine the salient and common ones. 64 metaphors and explanations are organized into certain conceptual themes. Hence similar metaphors were grouped together and put into the suitable role category adopted from De Guerrero and Villamil (2000) and Farrell (2011). The categorization provided by De Guerrero and Villamil (2000) includes 9 role categories, namely; cooperative leader, knowledge provider, challenger/agent of change, nurturer, innovator, provider of tools, artist, repairer, and gym instructor. Likewise, Farrell (2011) provided 3 major role categories which are manager, professional and acculturator. However, the collected data in the current study matched only 4 categories from the categorization of De Guerrero and Villamil (2000) namely nurture, innovator, knowledge provider, and cooperative leader and one category from those of Farrell (2011) which is professional. Thus the researcher disregarded all the role categories that do not match the collected data. Regarding the metaphors that could not match any of the categories provided by De Guerrero and Villamil, a new role category is provided which is *professional* and which is adopted from Farrell (2011). Hence the collected data realized 5 role categories.

4 Analysis

This section primilary examines the conceptualization of the teachers' roles in the three strategic plans under investigation through

metaphor analysis. The analysis focuses specifically on the adjectives used to describe the role of the teachers as illustrated in the following table:

Table 1. Adjectives Describing the Role of Teachers

Arabic context	Linguistic expression	Page	Paragraph	Version
مدرسون متخصصون	1. Mudarrisu:n	14	Second point	ESP.1
	mutaxas ^ç s ^ç is ^ç u:n		under °A	
	Teachers specialist			
	Lit: Specialist teachers			
معلم متخصص	2. Musallim mutaxas sis s			ESP.1
	Teacher specialist			
	Lit: A specialist teacher			
المعلم هو خبير	3. l-mu\$allim huwa: xabi:r	44	First point	ESP.1
	A teacher is an expert		under point no.	
	Lit: An expert teacher		6	
مصدر تقديمالمعلم	4. l-mu\allim mas \alpha dar	44	First point	ESP.1
للمعلومات	Teachersource		under point no.	
	taqdi:m llima\$lu:ma:t		6	
	of knowledge			
	Lit: A teacher is the source			
	of knowledge			
المعلم مدرب	5. l-mu\$allim mudarrab	44	Ninth line from	ESP.1
	Teacher trained		the bottom	
	Lit: A trained teacher	Lit: A trained teacher		
ممارسالمعلم	6. l-mu\$alim	44	Ninth line from	ESP.1
	muma:ris		the bottom	
	Teacher			
	practitioner			
	Lit: A practitioner teacher			
تأمليالمعلم	7. l-mu\$allim	44	Ninth line from	ESP.1
	ta?a:mmuliyy		the bottom	
	Teacher reflective			
	Lit: A reflective teacher			
مفكرالمعلم	8. l-mu\allim mufakkir	44	Ninth line from	ESP.1
	Teacher Thoughtful		the bottom	
1.1 1.2 4 5 4	Lit: A thoughtful teacher			
<i>ومعلم فعال</i> لكل طفل	9. Mu\$allim fa\$\$a:l likull	2	Second red	ESP.2
	t ^c ifl		bullet point	
	Teacher effective for each			
	child			
		Lit: An effective teacher for		
	each child	1.0	16 8 7	
مطمتین مدربتین	10. Mu\$allimatayn	16	Point no. 7	ESP. 2
	mudarrabatayn			
	Two female teachers			

Arabic context	Linguistic expression	Page	Paragraph	Version
	trained			
	Lit: Two trained female			
	teachers			
معلمة مدربة	11. Mu\$allima mudarraba	16	Point no. 8	ESP. 2
	female teacher trained			
	Lit: A female trained teacher			
	trained			
المعلمين ممارسين للمهنة	12. Mu\$allimi:n	19	Point no. 4, 1st	ESP. 2
للمهنة	muma:risi:n lilmihnah		line	
	Teachers practitioners			
	Lit: Practitioner teachers			
المعلم الممارس	13. l-mu\$alim	19	Point no. 4, 2nd	ESP. 2
	muma:ris		line	
	Teacher			
	practitioner			
1 1	Lit: A practitioner teacher			
مفكرالمعلم	14. l-mu\$allim	19	Point no. 4, 2nd	ESP. 2
	mufakkir		line	
	Teacher Thoughtful			
1 2 2 2 2	Lit: A thoughtful teacher	2.5	7	Tigh 4
معلمى التعليم الإعدادي	15. Mu\$allimi atta\$li:m l-	35	Point no. 1	ESP. 2
الخاص غير <i>مؤهلين</i> تسبيًا	Pi\$da:di			
تربويًا	Teachers education			
	preparatory			
	l-xa:s ^ç yayr muʔa:hhal			
	tarbawiyan			
	private not <i>qualified</i>			
	educationally Lit: Private preparatory			
	education teachers are not			
	educationally qualified			
معلمين غير المؤهلين	16. Mu\$allimi:n yayr	45	Point 2.1.3	ESP. 2
أكادبميًا	mu?a:hhali:n	15	1 0111 2.1.5	LSI . 2
	Teachers are not qualified			
	aka:di:miyyan			
	academically			
	Lit: Teachers are not			
	academically qualified			
معلم كفء	17. Mu\allim kuf?	٥٣	Sixth column,	ESP.2
,	Teacher competent		fourth row	
	Lit: A competent teacher			
معلم متأمل	18. Mu\$allim muta?a:mmil	٥٣	Sixth column,	ESP. 2
·	Teacher reflective		fourth row	
	Lit: A reflective teacher			
معلم مدرب على المناهج	19. Mu\$allim mudarrab \$ala:	71 &	Point no. seven	ESP. 2
			1	

(114)

Occasional Papers Vol. 70: April (2020)

Arabic context	Linguistic expression	Page	Paragraph	Version
الجديدة	Teacher trained on	248	& six	
	1-mana:hidʒ 1-dʒadi:dah			
	curricula new			
	Lit: A trained teacher on			
	new curricula			
مدرس لغة إنجليزية	20. Mudarris luyah	1.7	Third line from	ESP.2
متخصص	indʒiliziyyah		the bottom	
	Teachers language			
	English			
	mutaxas ssis			
	specialist			
	Lit: A specialist English			
	language teacher			
معلمات مؤهلات	21. Mu\$allima:t	105 &	Point no. 2.2	ESP. 2
ومدربات	mu?ahhala:t	108	& Point no. 2.2	
	Female Teachers qualified			
	wa <i>mudarrba:t</i>			
	and trained			
	Lit: Female qualified and			
	trained teachers			
معلمـــــين مســـاندين	22.Mu\allimi:n musa:nidi:n	136&	Line no. 5 -6&	ESP. 2
لمنظومة الدمج	Teachers supporter	139&	Point no.1.3 &	
	limanz ^s u:mat ʔadamʤ	146	Point no.1.3	
	Integration system			
	Lit: Teachers who are			
	supporters for the integration			
	system			
المعلم المساند	23. l-mu\allim musa:nid	139 &	Point 1.3.1&	ESP. 2
	teacher supporter	146	second column	
	Lit: A supporter teacher	&146	& third column	
		1.50		
المعلم المساعد		139	Point no. 1.3.2	ESP. 2
	Teacher helper			
	Lit: A teacher who is a			
	helper			
المعلمين المساندين	25. l-mu\allimi:n	157	Fifth column,	ESP. 2
	musa:nidi:n		third row	
	Teachers supporters			
	Lit: Supporter teachers	100	mi i i	non :
المعلمين المدربين	26. Musallimi:n	193	Third column,	ESP.2
	mudarrabi:n		second row	
	Teachers trained			
	Lit: Trained teachers	<u> </u>		

Arabic context	Linguistic expression	Page	Paragraph	Version
معلم القرن	27. Musallim l-qarn	228	Last line	ESP. 2
	teacher century			
	Lit: Teacher of the century			
معلهم القسرن الحسادي	28. Musallim l-qarn l-	237	Point no. 5.2.1	ESP. 2
والعشرين	ħaddyy wa			
	teacher century first			
	l-Sifri:n			
	twenty			
	Lit: Teacher of the twenty-			
	first century			
معلم مواكب للتطور	29. Mu\$allim muwa:kib	241	Last column,	ESP. 2
	teacher is an		last row	
	littat ^s wwu:r			
	evolutionary one			
	Lit: A teacher is an			
	evolutionary one			
المعلمين المدربين	30. l-mu\$allimi:n	260	Point no. 1.3.2	ESP. 2
	mudarrabi:n			
	Teachers trained			
	Lit: Trained teachers			
المعلمين الركيزة	31. <i>l-mu\allimi:n</i>	270	Eighth line	ESP. 2
الأساسية التعليم	?arraki:zah			
	Teachers arepillar			
	<i>l-?asa:siyyah</i> liltta\$li:m			
	main of education			
	Lit: Teachers are the			
	main pillar of education			
معلم فعال	32. Musallim fassa:l	2	Second red	ESP. ٣
	Teacher effective		bulleted point	
	Lit: An effective teacher			
المعلمين المستوفين	33. <i>l-mu\$allimi:n</i> 1-	18	Fifth line from	ESP. ٣
للعبء التدريسي			the bottom	
	Teachers meet			
	lili\$ib} 1-ttadrisyy			
	load teaching			
	Lit: Teachers who meet the			
***	teaching load	27	2.1	EGD 3
المعلم المربي	34. <i>l-musallim</i> 1-murabi	27	3rd paragraph	ESP.3
	Teacher caretaker			
	Lit: A teacher who is			
, sse .ti t- ti	caretaker	27	2	EGD 2
المعلم المثقف	35. l-mu\$allim l-muθaqqaf	27	3rd paragraph	ESP.3
	Teacher educated			
tourth to the	Lit: An educated teacher		Ath paragraph	EGD 2
المعلم الرسول	36. l-mu\$allim ?arrasu:l		4th paragraph	ESP.3

(116)

Occasional Papers Vol. 70: April (2020)

Arabic context	Linguistic expression	Page	Paragraph	Version
THUSIC CONTEST	Teacher messenger	ruge	Turugrupn	
	Lit: A teacher is a messenger			
مطم تربوي	37. Mu\$allim tarbawiyy	٤٨	First row	ESP.3
المام الربوي	Teacher educator	27.	1 HSt 10W	Loi .5
	Lit: A teacher who is an			
	educator			
معلم غير تربوي	38. Muşallim yayr tarbawiyy	٤٨	First row	ESP.3
معتم غير عربوي	Teacher is not educator	2 //	THSCIOW	Lor.5
	Lit: A teacher who is not an			
	educator	50	Einet line	ECD 2
معلم خبیر	39. Musallim xabi:r	59	First line	ESP.3
	teacher expert			
	Lit: An expert teacher			FGP 0
كمصدر وحيدالمعلم للمعرفة	40. <i>l-muʕallim</i> kamasˤdar	٦٠	Third line from	ESP.3
للمعرفه	wahi:d		the top of the	
	Teacher as a source sole		page	
	lil-ma\$rifah			
	for knowledge			
	Lit: The teacheras the sole			
	source of knowledge			
كمصدر وحيدالمعلم للسلطة العلمية	41. <i>l-muʕallim</i> kamasˤdar	٦.	Third line from	ESP.3
للسلطة العلمية	waħi:d		the top of the	
	Teacher as a source sole		page	
	lil-ssult ^ç ah l- ç ilmiyyah			
	authority scientific			
	Lit: The teacher as the sole			
	source of scientific authority			
المعلم محور العملية	42. <i>l-muՉallim</i> miħwar l-	57	Under the title	ESP. 3
التعليمية ومركزها	\$amaliyyah		"Ta:ʔhhi:l	
الرئيسي	Teacherfocus process		mu\$allimi:n"	
	atta\$limiyyah wa markaziha		"qualifying	
	educational and center		teachers"	
	1-rra?isiyy			
	main			
	Lit: The teacher the			
	focus of the educational			
	process and its main center			
المعلم المتجدد	43. l-mu\$allim l-	76	third point 3	ESP.3
	mutadzaddid			
	Teacher renewed			
	Lit: A renewed teacher			
المعلم المرشد	44. l-mu\$allim murfid	76	76 third point 3	
	Teacher guide		_	
	Lit: The Teacher is a guide			
الميسر للتعلم	45. l-mu\alliml-muyassir	76	third point 3	ESP.3
,	ı		1	ı

A lain 4 4	T : : : :	D	D	Version
Arabic context	Linguistic expression	Page	Paragraph	version
	liltta\$allum			
	Teacherfacilitator			
	Lit: The teacher is a			
4. 24.	facilitator			
العنصر الفعال	46. l-mu\allim l-\suns\underur l-	٨٢		ESP.3
	fassa:l			
	ingredient effective			
	Lit: The teacher is an			
	effective ingredients			
المعلمات المدربات	47. l-mu\$allima:t l-	٨٩	Point no. 7	ESP.3
	mudarrba:t			
	FemaleTeachers and			
	trained			
	Lit: Female trained teachers			
المعلمين المدربين	48. Mu\$allimi:n	92 &	Point no. 5 & 7	ESP.3
	mudarrabi:n	96		
	Teachers trained			
	Lit: Trained teachers			
معلمسات مسؤهلات	49. Mu\$allima:t		Point no. 1	ESP.3
ومدربات	mu?ahhala:t			
	FemaleTeachers qualified			
	wa <i>mudarrba:t</i>			
	and trained			
	Lit: Female qualified and			
	trained teachers			
معلم بين مساندين	50. Musallimi:n	108	Point no. 2	ESP.3
معلم ين مساندين لمنظومة الدمج	musa:nidi:n		under the tilte	
	Teachers supporter		"Siya:sa:t ʔa-	
	limanz ^s u:mat ʔadamʤ		dʒawdah''''	
	Integration system		· ·	
	Lit: Teachers who are			
	supporters for the integration			
	system			
معلمین مدربین	51. Mu\$allimi:n	117	Point no. 2	ESP.3
	mudarrabi:n			
	Teachers trained			
	Lit: Trained teachers			
معمات رياض أطفال	52. Mu\$allima:t riya:d ^{\$}	130	Point no. 3	ESP.3
المدربات المدربات	Pat ^s t ^s fa:1			
	FemaleTeachers			
	kindergarten			
	l-mudarrba:t			
	trained			
	Lit: Trained kindergarten			
	female teachers			
	Torrido touchers			

(118)

Occasional Papers Vol. 70: April (2020)

Fatma Aboelsoud Abdelhafez Abdelreheem Nasr						
Arabic context	Linguistic expression	Page	Paragraph	Version		
معمي الحلقة الابتدائية	53. Mu\$allimiyy l-ħalaqa	130 Point no. 7		ESP.3		
المدربين	Teachers stage					
	1-ʔibtidaʔiyyaha l-					
	mudarrabi:n					
	elementary trained					
	Lit: Trained elementary					
	teachers					
معلمي الحلقة الإعدادية	54. <i>Mu\$allimiyy</i> l-ħalaqa	130	Point no. 9	ESP.3		
المدربين	Teachers stage					
	1-ʔi\$da:diyyah <i>l-</i>					
	mudarrabi:n					
	preparatory trained					
	Lit: Trained preparatory					
	teachers					
معلمي مرحلة التعليم	55. Mu\$allimiyy marħalat	130	Point no. 11	ESP.3		
الثانوى <i>المدربين</i>	Teachers school					
	?atta\$li:m l-θa:nawy <i>l-</i>					
	mudarrabi:n					
	secondary trained					
	Lit: Trained secondary					
	school teachers					
المعلمين الركيزة	56. Mu\$allimi:n	134	Second	ESP.3		
الأساسية للتعليم	?arraki:zah		paragraph , the			
	Teachers pillar		line before the			
	<i>l-?asa:siyyah</i> liltta\$li:m		last			
	main of education					
	Lit: Teachers are the main					
	pillar of education					
المعلمين المؤهلين	57. Mu\allimi:n 1-	168	First red bullet	ESP.3		
	mu?a:hhali:n		point			
	Teachers qualified					
	Lit: Teachers are qualified					

The results gained through the analysis regarding the policy planners' conceptualizations of the teachers' roles are given below:

Table 2. Policy Planners' Conceptualizations of the Teachers' Roles through Metaphors

Role category	Example metaphor	Metaphorical entailments					
	•	Learner is	Teaching is	Learning is	ESP. 1	ESP.	ESP. 3
						2	
Nurture	caretaker	A growing	Promoting of the	The growth	0	0	1
(N=1)		and evolving	potential	and			
		organism	development and	development;			
			capacities of learners;	the learning			
			mediating the	process is			

Role	Example	Metaphorical entailments					
category	metaphor	Learner is	Teaching is	Learning is	ESP. 1	ESP.	ESP. 3
T	P. G. di	A :	learning process via providing consistent feedback and support	influenced by nature and nurture	2	2	
Innovato-r (N=6)	Reflective, thoughtful. evolutionary, renewed	A resistant	staying up to date with new strategies and advancements in the field and putting them into action in the classroom	The resistance of the change	2	3	1
Knowledg e provider (N=8)	Source of knowledge, main pillar of education, messenger, a sole source for knowledge, a sole source of scientific authority, the ones who meet the teaching load, focus and center of the educational process	A receiver of knowledge	The dispensary of knowledge, the provision of input	The recipient of input and information processing	1	1	6
Cooperative leader (N=11)	Supporter, helper, guide, facilitator	An active participant in the learning process	The provision of guidance and support for learners; assistance in achieving goals; the establishment of an environment of trust in the classroom	The motion towards the achievement of targeted goals; the state of being guided; the continual recipient of support	0	8	3
Professio- nal (N=38)	Specialist, expert, practitioner, effective, effective ingredient, trained, qualified educationally, qualified academically, competent, qualified and trained, century, the 21 century, educated, qualified, educator	A partner in the teaching /learning process	A partnership between the teacher and the students; provision of directions; facilitation of class activities	The recipient of knowledge from knowledgeable individuals who are experts and are prestigiously informative	5	17	16

As the table shows, the least frequent conceptualization of the teachers' roles is the role of a nurture (N=1). The only metaphoric conceptualization in this category perceiving teachers as caretakers suggests how teachers view learners.

Represented with metaphors such as *reflective*, *thoughtful*, *evolutionary*, *and renewed*, the teachers were conceptualized as *innovators* (N=6). Although the metaphors are very few in number, they give an idea regarding how the policy planners view teachers.

As the table shows that one of the less frequent role is the $knowledge\ provider\ (N=8)$. For the policy planners, the teacher is conceptualized as being a $knowledge\ provider$. Although the conceptualization varied from being the main pillar of education, messenger, and the one who meets the teaching load, the main metaphors such as source of knowledge, a sole source for knowledge, and a sole source of scientific authority are the ones that derived the tendency towards defining them as $knowledge\ providers$.

In another categorization, the policy planners seem to conceptualize teachers as *cooperative leaders* (N=12). As indicated by the table, this conceptualization was popular among the policy planners. Among the metaphors that indicated leadership are *supporter*, *helper*, *guide*, and *facilitator*.

Similarly, the other metaphors produced by policy planners revealed that they conceptualize teachers' roles as *professionals* (N=38). The metaphors that realized such role category are *specialist, expert, practitioner, effective, trained, qualified educationally, qualified academically, competent, qualified and trained, century, the 21 century, educated, educator and qualified.*

5. Discussion

The analysis of the concept of *l-mufallim* or *l-mudarris* revealed that the variety of conceptualizations concerning the role of teachers indicates that the teaching profession is a multifaceted one. Ranging from being *a nurture* to being *a professional* suggests the complexity in conceptualizing teacher's roles. Additionally, the variations in metaphors that conceptualize the teachers' roles refer to the different roles that they play in their daily teaching practice which range from having the teacher as the center of the learning process to having the student as its center.

However, the analysis suggests a complete shift from teacher-centered practices to learner-centered ones. .

6. Conclusion

Various metaphorical conceptualizations about the role of teachers emerged from the analysis. Specifically, five conceptual metaphors about teachers are identified along with entailed views about learners and the learning/ teaching process. Teachers are taking the roles of *a nurture*, *innovator*, *knowledge provider*, *cooperative leader*, and *a professional*. Whereas the roles of the students ranged from the most active to the least one. Additionally, teaching seems as multifaceted activity which involves nurturing, keeping up with the new teaching techniques, providing knowledge, guiding and providing direction. Learning appears from different perspectives as well which involves growth change resistance, information processing, continual recipient of support, recipient of high quality knowledge.

The results of the analysis share similarities with the related literature. For instance, 4 of the 5 conceptual categories that are identified in the current investigation resemble the ones identified in the study conducted by De Guerrero and Villamil (2002). These categories are TEACHER AS A COOPERATIVE LEADER implying a leadership position, TEACHER AS A PROVIDER OF KNOWLEDGE entailing cognitive and knowledge processing view, TEACHER AS A NURTURER reflecting the influential role of the teacher on the students, and TEACHER AS AN INNOVATOR implying the flexibility and readiness of the teacher to develop.

References

- Block, D. (1992). Metaphors we teach and learn by. *Prospect*, 7(3), 42-55.
- Cortazzi, M., & Jin, L. (1999). Bridges to learning: Metaphors of teaching, learning and language. Researching and applying metaphor, 149, 176.
- De Guerrero, M. C. M., & Villamil, O. S. (2000). Exploring ESL teachers' roles through metaphor analysis. *Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages, Inc. (TESOL), 34* (2), 341-351.
- De Guerrero, M., & Villamil, O. (2002). Metaphorical conceptualization of ESL teaching and learning, Language Teaching Research, 6 (2), 95-120.
- Farrell, T. S. (2011). Exploring the professional role identities of experienced ESL teachers through reflective practice. System, 39(1), 54-62.
- Gadalla, H. (2000). Comparative Morphology of Standard and Egyptian Arabic. Muenchen, Germany: Lincom Europa.
- Kövesces, Z. (2002). Metaphor: A practical Introduction. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lakoff, R. (2003). Language, gender, and politics: Putting "women" and "power" in the same sentence. *The handbook of language and gender*, *161*, 78.
- Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). Metaphors We Live By. Chicago: University of Chicago press.
- Maalej, Z., 2008. Metaphors of learning and knowledge in the Tunisian context: a case of re-categorization. In: Berendt, E. (Ed.), Metaphors of Learning. John Benjamins Publishing Company, Amsterdam and Philadelphia, pp. 205–223.
- Marchant, G. (1992). A teacher is like a ... using simile lists to explore personal metaphors. Language and Education, 6(1), 33-45.
- Oxford, R. L., Tomlinson, S., Barcelos, A., et al. (1998). Clashing metaphors about classroom teachers: Toward a systematic typology for the language teaching field. System, 26, 3-50.
- Pajak, E. F., (1986). Psychoanalysis, teaching, and supervision. Journal of Curriculum and Supervision, 1, 122-131.

Appendix

List of the Phonemic Symbols Used in the Study ¹

I. CONSONANTS

- b voiced bilabial stop
- t voiceless dento-alveolar non- emphatic stop t^c voiceless (emphatic) dental stop
- d voiced dento-alveolar non- emphatic stop
- d^s voiced (emphatic) dental stop
- k voiceless velar stop
- q voiceless uvular stop
- ? voiceless glottal stop
- dy voiced palatal affricate
- \$ voiced pharyngeal fricative
- y voiced velar fricative
- f voiceless labio-dental fricative
- θ voiceless inter-dental fricative
- ð voiced inter-dental fricative
- z^s voiced (emphatic) inter-dental fricative
- s voiceless dento-alveolar non- emphatic

fricative

- s^c voiceless (emphatic) dental fricative
- z voiced dento-alveolar non- emphatic fricative
- f voiceless palatal fricative
- x voiceless uvular fricative
- ħ voiceless pharyngeal fricative
- h voiceless laryngeal fricative
- r voiced dento-alveolar non- emphatic flap

- l voiced dento-alveolar non-
- emphatic lateral
- m voiced bilabial nasal
- n voiced dento-alveolar non-
- emphatic nasal
- w voiced bilabial glide
- y voiced palatal glide

II. VOWELS

- i short high front unrounded vowel
- i: long high front unrounded vowel
- a short low central unrounded
- a: long low central unrounded vowel
- u short high back rounded vowel
- u: long high back rounded vowel

The above mentioned Arabic sound description is adopted from Gadalla (2000). The specific phonemic script is the not the same one used in the current investigation. The symbols are adopted from the following page: https://www.lexilogos.com/keyboard/ipa.htm