RELATIVELY SAFE TREATMENTS (PARTIAL / COMPLETE COVERAGE SPRAY) FOR THE CONTROL OF SCOLYTUS AMYGDALI (COLEOPTERA: SCOLYTIDAE) IN APRICOT ORCHARDS IN EGYPT

HASHIM, S. M., A. W. TADROS and R. M. ABDEL-MOATY

Plant Protection Research Institute, Agric. Res. Center, MOA, Giza, Egypt.

(Manuscript received 22 February 2018)

Abstract

new relatively safe and commercial partial / complete coverage spray to control Scolytus amygdali (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) in apricot orchard (applied only twice instead of 6 current MOA recommended chemical treatments / season) at El-Kattatba district, (Menofia Governorate). Percentages reduction of infestation for the following 7 applications for one year treatment (2015), two successive years (2015 and 2016), and three successive years (2015, 2016 and 2017) were, respectively, as follow: A: Horticultural treatments: 1) dormant winter pruning (27.73 increased to 34.87 then 38.88%), 2) summer pruning (15.06 increased to 17.65 then 19.24%), 3) dormant and summer pruning (34.06 increased to 39.50 then 45.69%). B: Chemical treatment: 4) MOA recommended chemical treatments (3 treatments during spring and 3 treatments during autumn) (80.57 increased to 85.08 then 89.58%), 5) new commercial partial / complete coverage chemical treatments (1 treatment during spring and 1 treatment during autumn) (61.35 increased to 71.01 then 79.16%). Third: Horticultural (winter and summer pruning as an additive control) together with chemical treatments: 6) pruning together with MOA recommended treatments resulted in 86.03% increased to 88.24% then 96.39%, and 7) pruning together with new partial / complete coverage treatment resulted in 68.78% increased to 78.15% then 91.78%. The new commercial and relatively safe partial / complete coverage chemical control eliminates the pesticide use (2 treatments insteat of 6) and reduces residues, prevent the outbreaks of secondary species, decrease the environmental pollution, encourage the role of the biological control agents and obtain better production of decontamination of fruits through using limited insecticides.

INTRODUCTION

Scolytus amygdali (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) is a serious and destructive insect boring pest in apricot orchards of Egypt. Beetles are active from February to December and bore oviposition entrance and exit holes. Larvae are active all the year round and bore their feeding tunnels under the bark of the stem and branches, causing weakness and quick death of tree branches. It has 5-6 annual generations each year. This continuous expanded period of beetles activity (10 months), needs very expensive chemical control with at least 6 complete coverage spray treatments (3 in spring and 3 in autumn, as MOA recommendation). Recommendations for the control of *S. amygdali* infestation in apricot orchards are still mainly directed towards the chemical control treatments. Excessive use of chemical insecticides is very expensive, has adverse effect on the biological control agents (parasites, predators, and pathogens), and increase environmental pollution.

Apricot is a profitable crop, and spread allover the new reclaimed lands in addition to old Delta lands. This study is a pioneer attempt to control the bark beetle *S. amygdali* with low coast and relatively more save complete coverage spray treatment in addition to horticultural control factors.

S. amygdali was studied biologically (Tadros, 1994), and ecologically (Tadros and Abd-Allah, 1987; and Tadros, *et al.*, 2006) to determine of the proper timing of control treatments. Chemical control trials for *S. amygdali* were carried out by Ben-Yehuda and Mendel (1997) in Israel. Ben Yehuda (2002) also found that application of non-selective insecticides; burning of dead trees and pruning slash were environmentally unsafe and were often ineffective control.

The aim of the present investigation was to prevent the yield losses due to *S. amygdali* borer, eliminate the pesticide use and residues, prevent the outbreaks of secondary species, decrease the environmental pollution, magnify the role of the biological control agents and obtain better production of decontamination of fruits through using limited insecticides by partially complete coverage spray treatments.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experiments were carried out at El-Kattatba district, Cairo / Alexandria High Way (Menofia Governorate), in an apricot orchard (10 feddans and 20 years old) highly infested with *S. amygdali*. Trials were extended during 3 successive years from January 2015 to December 2017. The following 8 treatments were evaluated using completely randomized design (one feddan each treatment and each treatment was replicated 3 times).

- **1. Dormant pruning treatment (Horticultural treatments):** During January of each year, the regular horticultural winter pruning was carried out including the infested branches (characterized with exit holes).
- 2. Summer pruning treatment (Horticultural treatments): During July, the newly infested branches were pruned.
- **3.** Dormant and summer pruning treatments (Horticultural treatments): Treatments numbers 1 and 2 were applied together.

- **4. spraying treatment (recommended chemical treatments):** The MOA recommended insecticides, Phenthoate 50% EC (Cidial L) each at the rate of 200 cc / 100 l. w. was sprayed alternatively 3 times in spring season at 2 week interval on the 2nd week of March after flower set, 4th week of March and 2nd week of April, then spraying was stopped at least one month before harvesting. During autumn season 3 sprays were also applied at monthly intervals (1st week of September, 3rd week of September and 1st week of October). Spraying was practiced by a motor tank (600 liters of water) with high volume sprayer (boom nozzle) and mainly directed towards the stem and branches.
- 5. Spraying with Dormant and summer pruning treatments (Horticultural and recommended chemical treatments): Treatments numbers 3 and 4 were applied together.
- 6. New Partial / complete coverage spray treatments (chemical treatments): The MOA recommended insecticides, Phenthoate 50% EC (Cidial L, PHI is 21 days), and Chloropyriphos (Dorsban, PHI is 21 days) at the rate of 200 cc/100 l. w., and Labda-Cyhalothrin 2.5% EC (Dolf, PHI is 14 days) at the rate of 100 cc/100 l. w. were sprayed alternatively in spring and autumn seasons in the same number, dates and spraying equipment mentioned in item 4. The new technique is to spray one row of trees with one of the 3 and leave the adjacent two rows, thus spraying 1/3 of the orchard, i.e., one treatment in spring and one treatment in autumn (two treatments each year instead of six).
- Partial / complete coverage spray with Dormant and summer pruning treatments (Horticultural and new chemical treatments): Treatments numbers 4 and 6 were applied together.
- 8. Untreated Check treatment: Check trees were left untreated as control treatment.

The previous 8 treatments were conducted from January 2014 to December 2015 season. During the 2nd season (January 2015 to December 2016), the same previous 8 treatments were carried out on the same past year trees to evaluate the cumulative effect of the two successive years (from January 2014 to December 2016). During the 3rd season (January 2016 to December 2017), the same previous 8 treatments were carried out on the same past year trees to evaluate the cumulative effect of the three successive years (from January 2014 to December 2017). Treatments were evaluated by counting the newly emerged beetles indicated by the newly exit holes on one meter long branches scattered on 10 trees "replicates" during the following season. New exit holes were continuously counted and canceled by painting after each year treatment.

The efficiency of treatments was based on the percentage reduction of infestation (Henderson and Tilton, 1955), as follow:

% reduction of infestation = [(C - T) / C] 100

Where, C: the mean number of new exit holes in untreated trees.

T: the mean number of new exit holes in treated trees.

Grouping of treatments was based on ANOVA test and "Least Significant Difference" (Snedecor and Cochran, 1990).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A new commercial and more safe mean of chemical control of *Scolytus amygdali* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) by partial / complete coverage spray applied in an apricot orchard at El-Kattatba district (Menofia Governorate) during three successive years (2015, 2016 and 2017). Horticultural, MOA recommended chemical treatments and new partial / complete spraying treatment. The respective rates reductions of infestation with 7 control treatments applied for one year, and two and three successive years.

The direct effects of the 7 treatments (infestation reductions rates) were evaluated when applied for only one single year (2015). The cumulative effects (rates reductions of infestation) were also evaluated as well for two successive years (2015 and 2016) and three successive years (2015, 2016 and 2017).

A. Effect of one single year treatment (Direct effect): (Table, 1)

- **1. Effect of dormant pruning (horticultural treatments alone):** Winter pruning treatment during January 2014 was of considerable value since *S. amygdali* severely attack the branches, which mainly included in the dormant pruning as well as the stem of trees. The reduction of infestation with a mean of 27.73%.
- **2. Effect of summer pruning (horticultural treatments alone):** Because infestation with *S. amygdali* expanded almost all the year round, summer pruning of newly infested branches was of some value as the percentage reduction in the borer infestation with a mean of 15.06%.
- **3. Effect of chemical spraying treatment (according to MOA recommendation):** Alternative treatments with the MOA recommended insecticide, Phenthoate 50% EC (Cidial L) at the rate of 200 cc/100 l. w. (2 weeks interval) 3 times in spring season and 3 times in autumn season reduced *S. amygdali* infestation with a mean of 80.57%.
- 4. New Partial / complete coverage chemical spray treatments (new chemical treatments): Sequence treatments with MOA recommended insecticides, Diazinon 60% EC (Basudin), Phenthoate 50% EC (Cidial L), and Labda-Cyhalothrin 2.5% EC (Dolf) each at the rate of 300 cc/100 l. w. (3 week interval) 3 times in spring season and 3 times in autumn season with Diazinon,

946

Phenthoate, and Chloropyriphos (Dorsban) reduced *S. amygdali* infestation with a mean of 61.35%.

- 5. Effect of combined dormant and summer pruning treatments (horticultural treatments alone): Remarkable degree of *S. amygdali* infestation was achieved when dormant and summer pruning treatments were applied together. The increased percentages reduction of the borer infestation with a mean of 34.06%.
- 6. Effect of combined MOA spraying with Dormant and summer pruning treatments (horticultural and recommended chemical treatments): Excellent results were achieved when these combined treatments were applied together showing a mean of **86.03**% reductions of infestation. The effect was due to all the three treatments rather than one main treatment. This treatment hindered the beetle settings, the beetle oviposition, hatching and larval entry inside the apricot wood.
- 7. Effect of combined New spraying with Dormant and summer pruning treatments (horticultural and recommended chemical treatments): Excellent results were achieved when these combined treatments were applied together showing a mean of 68.78% reductions of infestation. The effect was due to all the three treatments rather than one main treatment. This treatment hindered the beetle settings, the beetle oviposition, hatching and larval entry inside the apricot wood.

Table 1.	. Effect of one single year, two and three successive treatments on the						
	percentage reduction in S. amygdali infestation in apricot orchards at						
Menofia governorate during 2015, 2016 and 2017 seasons.							

		% reduction of infestation						
Treatments		1 year treatment (2015)		2 years treatments (2015 & 2016)		3 years treatments (2015 - 2017)		
								Mean No. of exit holes
			A: Horticultural Treatments:					
1.	Dormant pruning	331	27.73	310	34.87	305	38.88	
2.	Summer pruning	389	15.06	392	17.65	403	19.24	
3.	Dormant & summer pruning	302	34.06	288	39.50	271	45.69	
B: Che	B: Chemical Treatments:							
4.	MOA treatment	89	80.57	71	85.08	52	89.58	
5.	NEW treatment	177	61.35	138	71.01	104	79.16	
	mbined Treatments:							
6.	Treatments, $3 + 4$	64	86.03	56	88.24	18	96.39	
7.	Treatments, 3 + 5	143	68.78	104	78.15	41	91.78	
-	treated Treatments:							
8.	Check	458		476		499		

B. Effect of two and three successive year treatments (Cumulative effect):

1. Effect of successive horticultural treatments: As shown in Table (1), dormant pruning treatment alone in winter somewhat reduced *S. amygdali* infestation when applied for two successive years (34.87%). However, continuous winter pruning effectively shared in reducing the borer infestation resulting in 38.88% after three successive years. Summer pruning had less effect (17.65% and 19.24%) on the reduction of infestation although it was repeated for two and three successive years, respectively. However, applying dormant and summer pruning treatments together for two and three successive years effectively reduced infestation (39.50% and 45.69%, respectively). Dormant and summer pruning somewhat shared in the reduction of infestation and should be included in the integrated control program.

2. Effect of successive chemical treatments: Table (1) showed that repeated chemical spraying treatments (according to MOA recommendation) for two and three successive year's highly reduced *S. amygdali* infestation (85.08% and 89.58%, respectively). However, applying new partial / complete coverage chemical spray treatments for two and three successive years effectively reduced infestation with 71.01% and 79.16%, respectively.

3. Effect of successive horticultural together combined with chemical treatments: Table (1) finally concluded that repeating horticultural together with MOA recommended chemical spraying treatments for two and three successive years highly reduced *S. amygdali* infestation (88.24% and 96.39%, respectively). On the other hand, repeating horticultural together with new partial / complete coverage chemical spray treatments for two and three successive years also effectively reduced infestation showing 78.15% and 91.78%, respectively in addition to commercial and safe factors.

Treatments			% reduction of infestation							
		1 year treatment (2015)	2 years treatments (2015 & 2016)	% differen ces	3 years treatments (2015 - 2017)	% differenc es				
A: Ho	rticultural Treatments:									
9.	Dormant pruning	27.73	34.87	7.14	38.88	11.15				
10.	Summer pruning	15.06	17.65	2.59	19.24	4.18				
11.	Dormant & summer pruning	34.06	39.50	5.44	45.69	11.63				
B: Che	B: Chemical Treatments:									
12.	MOA treatment	80.57	85.08	4.51	89.58	9.01				
13.	New treatment	61.35	71.01	9.66	79.16	17.81				
C: Cor	C: Combined Treatments:									
14.	Treatments, 3 + 4	86.03	88.24	2.21	96.39	10.36				
15.	Treatments, 3 + 5	68.78	78.15	9.37	91.78	23.00				

Table 2. Differences in the percentage reduction of *S. amygdali* infestation between one, two and three year's successive treatments in apricot orchards at Menofia governorate during 2015, 2016 and 2017 seasons.

C. Cumulative differences due the effect of two and three successive year treatments:

1. Differences due the effect of successive horticultural treatments: As shown in Table (2), repeating winter pruning treatment alone for two successive years increased reduction of *S. amygdali* infestation with 7.14%, and 11.15% after three successive years, respectively. Very low reduction of *S. amygdali* infestation (2.59% and 4.18%) were achieved when summer pruning was repeated for two and three successive years, respectively. Repeating winter and summer pruning treatments together for two and three successive years increased reduction of *S. amygdali* infestation with 5.44%, and 11.63% after three successive years, respectively. Repeating dormant and summer pruning somewhat shared in the reduction of infestation and should be included in the integrated control program.

2. Differences due the effect of successive chemical treatments: Table (1) showed that repeated chemical spraying treatments (according to MOA recommendation) for two and three successive year's reduced *S. amygdali* infestation only with 4.51% and 9.01%, respectively. However, repeating new partial / complete coverage chemical spray treatments for two and three successive years effectively reduced infestation with considerable percent (9.66% and 17.81%, respectively).

c. Differences due the effect of successive horticultural and chemical treatments: As shown in Table (2), repeating winter, summer pruning with chemical treatments according to MOA recommendation together for two successive years increased reduction of *S. amygdali* infestation with 2.21%, and 10.36%, respectively. Very high differences increase in the reduction of *S. amygdali* infestation (9.37% and 23.00%) were achieved when winter and summer pruning with new partial / complete coverage chemical spray treatments were repeated for two and three successive years, respectively. This Very high difference increase in the reduction of *S. amygdali* infestation (23.00%) was due to preservation of biological control agents.

D. Statistical analysis: Statistical analysis and grouping of the 7 treatments applied for one, two and three years concluded that there were significant differences between treatments and classified as: {insignificant differences between the same letters of grouping}

a. Superior group (more than 80 - 100%):

- 1. Pruning and MOA treatments for three years (96.39%) A
- 2. Pruning and new treatments for three years (91.78%) A
- 3. MOA treatments for three years (89.58%) A
- 4. Pruning and MOA treatments for two years (88.24%) A
- 5. Pruning and MOA treatments for one year (86.03%) A

- 6. MOA treatments for two years (85.08%) A
- 7. MOA treatments for one year (80.57%) AB

b. Sufficient group (60 – less than 80%):

- 1. NEW treatments for three years (79.16%) B
- 2. Pruning and new treatments for two years (78.15%) B
- 3. New treatments for two years (71.01%) B
- 4. Pruning and new treatments for one year (68.78%) B
- 5- New treatments for one year (61.35%) BC

c. Moderate group (30 - less than 60%):

- 1. Pruning (winter and summer) for three years (45.69%) D
- 2. Pruning (winter and summer) for two years (39.50%) D
- 3. Winter pruning for three years (38.88%) D
- 5. Winter pruning for two years (34.87%) DE
- 5. Winter pruning for one year (34.06%) DE

d. Less group (less than 30%):

- 1. Winter pruning for one year (27.73%) E
- 2. Summer pruning for three years (19.24%) F
- 3. Summer pruning for two years (17.65%) F
- 5. Summer pruning for one year (15.06%) F

E. Discussion:

From the foregoing results in Tables (1) and (2), it could be concluded that the direct effect of one single year treatments on *S. amygdali* infestation varied from one treatment to another. The cumulative effect of two successive year treatments concluded that the infestation could be highly reduced if these treatments repeated year after another. The effect of horticultural treatments alone (winter and summer pruning together) approximated 34.1, 39.5 and 45.7% reduction of infestation when applied for 1, 2 and 3 years, respectively. However, the majority of the effect was due to dormant winter pruning (27.7, 34.9 and 38.9%, respectively). Summer pruning was negligible (15.1, 17.7 and 19.2%, respectively).

Chemical treatments according to MOA recommendations (6 treatments / year) were effective in the reduction of the borers' infestation (80.6, 85.1 and 89.6%) when applied for one, two and three successive years, respectively.

New chemical partial / complete coverage treatments (2 treatments / year) were also effective in the reduction of the borers' infestation (61.4, 71 and 79.2%) when applied for one, two and three successive years, respectively.

Pruning in winter and summer pruning, together with recommended MOA chemical treatments magnified the reduction of infestation (86%) and greatly

950

magnified the reduction of infestation when applied for two and three successive years (88.2 and 96.4%), respectively.

Pruning in winter and summer pruning, together with new chemical treatments magnified the reduction of infestation (68.8%) and greatly magnified the reduction of infestation when applied for two and three successive years (78.2 and 91.8%), respectively.

Combining horticultural and chemical treatments and repeating these treatments from one year to another were of great value in effective control.

Repeating winter and summer pruning together with recommended MOA chemical treatments increased the reduction of infestation with 10.4% after three successive years, while this increase was 23% after three successive years when the new chemical treatments were applied.

It could be concluded that the regular practices and environmentally safe treatments (pruning) in addition to commercial and low contaminated chemical treatment (new partial / complete coverage spray) year after another very highly increased the reduction of *S. amygdali* infestation and in the meantime environmentally safe (less chemical contamination, preserve the biological control agents), save money and crop production.

The previous new chemical treatments on the control of *S. amygdali* are applied for the first time in apricot orchards in Egypt. However, Ben-Yehuda and Mendel (1997) and Ben Yehuda (2002) in Israel found that applications of non-selective insecticides, burning of dead trees and pruning slash were environmentally unsafe and were often ineffective for *S. amygdali* control.

In China, Li *et al.* (1995) and Wang *et al.* (1998) stated that *S. seulensis* was a pest of stone fruits, causing 15-30% damage to apricot trees. They proposed enforcing quarantine and improving orchard management as control measures, while spraying insecticides resulted in >85% control.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ben-Yehuda, S. and Z. Mendel. 1997. Control of wood boring beetles (*Capnodis* spp. and *Scolytus* spp.) in deciduous orchards in Israel. Alon-Hanotea, 51(4): 170-172.
- Ben Yehuda, S.; T. Tolasch; W. Francke; R. Gries; G. Gries; D. Dunkelblum; Z. Mendel; P. Witzgall; B. Mazomenos and M. Konstantopoulou. 2002. Aggregation pheromone of the almond bark beetle *Scolytus amygdali* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). IOBC-WPRS Working Group 'Use of Pheromones and Other Semiochemicals in Integrated Control'. Pheromones and other boil. tech. for

952

insect control in orchards and vineyards. Proc. of working group meeting, Samos, Greece, Sep. 25-29, 2000. Bull. OILB-SROP, 25(9): 259-269.

- 3. Henderson, C.F. and E.W. Tilton. 1955. Test with acaricides against the brown wheat mite. J. Econ. Entomol., 48: 157-161.
- 4. Snedecor, W and A. Cochran. 1990. Statistical Methods. The Iowa State Univ. press, Ames, Iowa, USA.
- Tadros, A. W. 1994. The life-cycle of the shot-hole bark beetle, *Scolytus amygdali* Guer. (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) on peach in Egypt. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 72 (3): 699-705. [5th Professional Fruit Workers Conf., Ashville, North Carolina, USA, Oct. 17-18, 1990, 5 (15)].
- Tadros, A.W., A. M. Abdel-Rahman and I. A. Abdel-Hamid. 2006. Stone Fruit Tree Pests: (5) Monitoring the major apricot tree borers (*Ptosima undecimmaculata, Chlorophorus varius, Macrotoma palmata,* and *Scolytus amygdali*) in Egypt. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., Egypt, accepted, 19th February 2006.
- Tadros, A. W.; and F. F. Abd-Allah. 1987. The seasonal fluctuation in the population density of the shot-hole bark beetle, *Scolytus amygdale* Guer. (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) on apricot in Egypt. 12th Interna. Cong. for Statistics, Computer Sci., Social and Demo. Res., Ain-Shams Univ. (28th March-2nd April 1987), Cairo, Egypt: pp 391-400.

HASHIM, S. M., et al.,

معاملات آمنة لمكافحة خنافس قلف الحلويات Scolytus amygdali (COL.: SCOLYTIDAE) بالرش الجزئي / الكلي في حدائق المشمش في مصر

صلاح محروس هاشم ، انطون ولسن تادرس و راضي محمدي عبد المعطي

معهد بحوث وقاية النباتات– مركز البحوث الزراعية– وزارة الزراعة– الدقي – الجيزة- مصر

تم تقييم فعالية طريقة جديدة آمنة نسبيا وإقتصادية إلى حد كبير لمكافحة خنافس قلف الحلويات COLEOPTERA: SCOLYTIDAE) S. amygdali) بالرش الجزئي / الكلى (مرتان فقط خلال الموسم بدلا من ٦ مرات) في حدائق المشمش في مصر في منطقة الخطاطبة، محافظة المنوفية. بلغت معدلات تقليل الإصابة عند تطبيق المعاملات السبعة الأتية لمدة عام واحد (٢٠١٥)، وعامين متتاليين (٢٠١٥ و ٢٠١٦)، وثلاث أعوام متتالية (٢٠١٥، ٢٠١٦،و ٢٠١٧) ما يلي، على الترتيب: أو لا: معاملات النقليم الشتوي ٢٧,٧٣% ازدادت إلى ٣٤,٨٧% ثم ٣٨,٨٨، ثانيا: معاملات التقليم الصيفي ١٥,٠٦% ازدادت إلى ١٧,٦٥% ثم ١٩,٢٤، ثالثًا: معاملات الرش الكلي حسب توصيات وزارة الزراعة (٣ رشات خلال الربيع و ٣ رشات خلال الخريف) ٨٠,٥٧% ازدادت إلى ٨٥,٠٨% ثم ٨٩,٥٨%، رابعا: معاملات الرش الجزئي / الكلي (رشة واحدة خلال الربيع ورشة واحدة خلال الخريف، برش صف وترك صفين للرشة التالية) ٦١,٣٥% ازدادت إلى ٧١,٠١% ثم ٧٩,١٦%، خامسا: معاملات النقليم الشتوي والصيفي معا ٣٤,٠٦% ازدادت إلي ٣٩,٥٠% ثم ٤٥,٦٩%، سادسا: معاملات الرش الكلي حسب توصيات وزارة الزراعة بالإضافة إلى معاملات التقليم الشتوي والصيفي ٨٦,٠٣% ازدادت إلى ٨٨,٢٤% ثم ٩٦,٣٩%، سابعا: معاملات الرش الجزئي / الكلي بالإضافة إلى معاملات التقليم الشتوي والصيفي ٦٨,٧٨% از دادت إلى ٧٨,١٥% ثم ٩١,٧٨%. تميزت معاملات الرش الجزئي / الكلي بتقليل عدد الرشات (رشتان بدلا من ٦)، وبالتالي تقليل التكلفة وتقليل متبقيات المبيدات، وتقليل تلوث المحصول، وتقليل فرص ظهور سلالات مقاومة. وتقليل فرص حدوث فورانات لأفات أخري مثل المن والأكاروس، وتقليل تلوث البيئة، وتعظيم دور الأعداء الحيوية (وهو ما إتضح من تزايد تقليل معدلات الإصابة بالوصول إلى معدلات الرش التقليدي عند تكرار المعاملة لعدة سنوات.

953