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ABSTRACT

Background: Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) is one among different bariatric surgeries, which became an effective treatment method for morbid obesity. CT may be performed after laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy to assess acute complications as staple line leakage, abscesses, hematomas, portal vein thrombosis (PVT), splenic injury or infarction.

Aim of the study: was to assess the value of multi-detector CT in the evaluation of the suspected early complications of LSG.

Patients and Methods: This study was an observational study, included 25 patients who underwent LSG and CT was done for them to detect any suspected complication even in the presence of negative upper gastrointestinal (UGI) series.

Results: MDCT detected complication in 15 patients out of the 25 patients (60%), with no mortality. Leakage occurred in 16%, abscess in 8%, hematoma in 8%, splenic injury, splenic infarction and PVT in 4% each.

Conclusions: CT is an important imaging tool to diagnose suspected complications of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy procedure and should be used to ensure accurate diagnosis or in the case of mismatch between symptoms and routine upper GIT studies.
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INTRODUCTION

Morbid obesity is expanding worldwide, and increasing levels of obesity are associated with increasing risk of co-morbidities and death[1].

Obesity is a universal disease of growing prevalence that has been acquiring alarmingly epidemic proportions, affecting more than one billion adults and being one of the main public health problems of modern society. Obesity is a disease classified according to WHO (World Health Organization) through the body mass index (BMI). BMI over 40kg/m2 is pronounced as morbid obesity[2].

Excess weight is associated with the raise of morbidity and mortality, and this risk rises progressively according to the weight gain. Obese individuals die more from diseases related to the cardiovascular system, especially stroke and acute myocardial infarction, when compared to individuals with normal weight[2].

The negative impact of obesity is related not only to morbidity and mortality, but also to quality of life, (the person’s physical
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health, and psychological state and social relationships)

Surgical treatment for obesity began in the middle of the 20th century. Operations for obesity are classified into three types: restrictive, malabsorptive, and mixed. The purpose of these operations was to achieve early satiety by reducing stomach capacity and appetite. Recently, Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) has gained popularity as a primary operation for the treatment of morbid obesity.

The laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) is a restrictive bariatric procedure which involves subtotal gastric restriction of the body and the fundus to create along tubular gastric conduit. The purpose of this operation was to achieve early satiety by reducing stomach capacity and appetite.

Radiological assessment is important in the first post-operative period to exclude early complications and is currently based on upper gastrointestinal gastrografin wallow studies and computed tomography scan.

Complications are classified as early postoperative complications (<30 days) and late post-operative complications (>30 days). Examples of early post-operative complications are staple line leakage, gastric pouch stenosis, abscess formation, wound infection, gastric fistula, and delayed gastric emptying. Examples of late post-operative complications includes dilatation of the gastric pouch and weight gain.

The most important post-operative complications are leaks. It classified into early, occurring on the first-third post-operative day (POD), intermediate, noted on the fourth-seventh POD, and late, occurring later than the eighth POD. Leaks are also classified according to their etiology into staple line failure leaks and ischemic leaks.

CT examinations are ideally performed with both oral and intravenous contrast agent. Because of the size and girth of bariatric patients, it may be necessary to adjust technical factors such as Kilo-voltage, mill-ampere, field of view, and collimation thickness. CT of the abdomen is considered as a part of diagnostic work up of patients with suspected leak and presence of abdominal collection or free fluid, extravasations of contrast into the abdominal cavity or the drain tube or presence of pneumo-peritonum are diagnostic findings of leakage or fistula.

AIM OF THE WORK:

The aim of this study was to assess the role of MDCT in assessment of early post-operative complications of sleeve gastrectomy which has an essential diagnostic role in determining appropriate patient management.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This study is a prospective cohort study to evaluate the value MDCT in evaluation of early post-operative complications of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy.

Patients:

Twenty five patients post-sleeve gastrectomy referred by their surgeons with clinically or radiologically suspected complications were included in this study. Symptoms of clinically suspected complications included sudden tachycardia, hypotension, abdominal distension and severe persistent abdominal pain.

The examinations were done at the Radiology Department of Ain Shams University Hospital from December 2016 to December 2018.

Patients were selected on the basis of the following criteria: Post-sleeve gastrectomy obese patient of both sexes who were vitally stable and had clinically or radiologically suspected complications.
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Patient age between 18-50 years at the time of the survey.

Patients were excluded from this study on the basis of the following criteria: patients who had contra indications to contrast media, Patients who were vitally unstable, patients younger than 18 years or older than 50 years, patients who refused to participate in this study.

Methods

The imaging protocol that was done for the patients was as follows:

Patient preparation:

Patient fasted for six hours before the time of examinations. Patient arrived 15-30 minutes before CT scan. An informed consent form was given directly by the main researcher to the patient or his 1st degree relative who read the form or it was read to him/her by the main researcher who explained the whole details of the procedure. Assessment of patient's body weight and checking serum creatinine level were done. The patient was given a gown to wear and metallic items that produce artifact were removed. The patient was given detailed explanation of the procedure including the instructions to keep calm and how to hold his breath when needed. Intravenous wide bore cannula was installed. Patient swallowed 20 ml of water-soluble contrast media diluted in 400 ml water as tolerated, just before examinations. The patient was introduced to the MDCT machine, lying in supine position with head fixed and arm elevated up.

CT Technique:

Imaging was performed using a Toshiba CT 80 slice machine (Toshiba health care, Japan) or GE CT 64-slice scanning machine (GE health care USA). A tube voltage and a tube current were adjusted according to the size and girth of the patients. Unenhanced CT was performed to detect hemorrhage. A bolus of 100ml contrast media [omnipaque and 300 mg Iodine/ml (Iohexol, GE health care Ireland, Cork, Ireland)] was injected followed by 30 ml of normal saline injected at a rate of 3.5 ml/sec and delayed time 1-3 sec and then CT including arterial and venous phases was performed to detect other complications. The patient was asked to hold his breath. CT study of abdomen and pelvis started from the level of diaphragm and extended caudally to the level of symphysis pubis with reconstruction section and interval thickness of 5mm. The whole study took approximately 5-10 minutes. All image data were sent electronically to picture archiving and communication system workstation and images were reconstructed to coronal and sagittal images.

After examinations images were checked before patient leave. Patient was instructed to drink plenty of water to get rid of any remaining contrast media. All patients were monitored for any adverse effects. IV access was maintained for 30 minutes after examination. Emergency drugs were available and used in case of emergency (such as corticosteroids, anti-histaminic).

Outcome measures

Data were gathered in an electronic database and analyzed. Parameters of interest were patient characteristics and postoperative complications.

Early surgical complications were defined as complications occurring during the first 30 days after LSG. The primary endpoint was the efficacy of CT scan examination in diagnosing surgical complications, as determined by sensitivity, specificity, and negative and positive predictive values. Secondary endpoints were the global rate of postoperative early surgical complications, including gastric staple line leak, bleeding or hematoma, abscess, splenic injury, Porto-mesenteric vein thrombosis and fistula.
Approval to conduct this study was obtained from the Ethical and research committee of the Ain Shams university. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient.

Statistical analysis:
Data obtained from the present study were computed using Data were statistically described in terms of range, mean, frequencies and relative frequencies (percentages) when appropriate. All statistical calculations were done using computer programs Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation, NY, and USA) and SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Science; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) statistical program.

RESULTS:
This study was conducted on 25 patient's post-sleeve gastrectomy referred by their surgeons. The examinations were done when complications were clinically or radiologically suspected. The majority of cases in this study were females (68%) while males were 32%. The age of patients ranged from 26 years to 46 years with mean age of 36 years (Table-1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>17 (68 %)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>8 (32 %)</th>
<th>Total no. = 25</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>36±12.3</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>26 - 46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (2): Post-operative complications associated with LSG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leak</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abscess</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hematoma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural effusion</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porto-mesenteric vein thrombosis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenic infarction</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenic injury</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound infection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of patient with complications</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total patient number</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this study, Proximal third of the stomach was the commonest site for leaks and was found in 4 cases (fig. 1), and no cases of leaks were found at distal third of the stomach. (Table 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>% of total leaks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>upper staple line</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lower staple line</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within 48 h</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 days postoperative</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this study, Gastric leak was the most common acute post-operative complication (in the end of first week) followed by internal hemorrhage. Abscess formation was the commonest complication in the second week followed by Porto-mesenteric vein thrombosis in the third week. (Table 4).
Table (4): Time of occurrence of complication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leak</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abscess</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hematoma</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural effusion</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porto-mesenteric vein thrombosis</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenic infarction</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenic injury</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound infection</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clinical presentations of common complications are mentioned in Table 5. The most common clinical presentation associated with leak was Tachycardia and fever. (Table-5).

Table (5): Clinical presentation of complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Symptoms &amp; signs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leak</td>
<td>Tachycardia &amp; fever</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porto-mesenteric thrombosis</td>
<td>Vomiting &amp; abdominal pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abscess</td>
<td>Fever</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal hemorrhage</td>
<td>Tachycardia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenic injury</td>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural effusion</td>
<td>Dyspnea and tachypnea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound infection</td>
<td>pain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION:

LSG is one among different bariatric surgeries, which became an effective treatment method for morbid obesity. Early detection of complications after LSG is critical in the postoperative period, as it may allow prompt and potentially less invasive management\(^{[1]}\).

This study included 25 patients. CT was done for patients with clinically or radiologically suspected complication, even in the presence of negative UGI series. Complications were detected in 15 patients out of the 25 patients (60%), with no mortality.

In the current study, post-operative leak was the most common complication(16%) followed by hematoma(8%), abscess formation(8%) and Porto-mesenteric vein thrombosis(8%). Reports of gastric leak after LSG have been within the range of 0.7–5.3\(^{[10]}\).

In the current study post-operative leaks occurred in early post-operative period within the 1st week, which is in agreement with Brockmeyer, et al. who stated that the etiology of post-operative leak has been divided into mechanical or technical errors occurring within 48 h and ischemic for leaks occurring 5–7 days after surgery\(^{[11]}\).

In addition, Chivot et al. explained the incidence of leaks in the 1st 48 h due to mechanical or technical errors while for late onset (within 5–7 days) due to ischemia caused by tension and poor wound healing, in both scenarios, the intraluminal pressure exceeds the potency of the tissues and staple lines resulting in a leak\(^{[12]}\). Sakran et al. demonstrated that the median postoperative day for clinical diagnosis of a leak after LSG was day 7, with early (0–2 days), inter-
mediate (3–14 days), and late (4-14 days) presentation in 20%, 73%, and 7% of patients, respectively\textsuperscript{[13]}. Csendes et al. mentioned three categories of gastric leak, the first early leaks appear in 1–4 days after surgery, intermediate leaks appear 5–9 days after surgery and late leaks appears 10 days or later after surgery\textsuperscript{[14]}.

Sethi et al. reported 20 gastric leaks after 1762 LSG procedures, with 11% sensitivity for the UGI series. They concluded that contrast extravasation on routine postoperative radiological UGI series may detect early staple line leaks after LSG, but the vast majority of leaks show normal results on postoperative UGI series and present 2 to 3 weeks after discharge\textsuperscript{[15]}.

In this study, pneumo-peritoneum and intra-peritoneal extravasation of oral contrast were found in all cases of leaks which agreed with the results of Kim et al. who mentioned that localized fluid collection or abscess may be the only sign of leak and must be distinguished from transient postoperative serum collection\textsuperscript{[16]}.

This study found the most common site of leak was proximal part of stomach. Deitel et al. stated that post-operative leak has been observed in the upper part of the sleeve in 1.3% of cases and in the lower part in 0.5% of cases\textsuperscript{[17]}.

Contrast leak occurred along the staple line and in the sub-phrenic area in agreement with Baker et al. who explained this preferential site by mobilization of the greater curvature of the stomach after transection of gastro-colic and gastro-splenic ligaments, establishing a communication between the lesser sac and the left sub-phrenic space\textsuperscript{[18]}.

\textbf{Fig (1):} Post LSG female patient with upper staple line leakage: axial images (A-C images) and Coronal images (D images) showing staple line (small arrow in A), a large collection with air-fluid level near upper staple line (thick arrow in B and C), contrast collection at the perisplenic region (arrow head in D) and air within peritoneum (star shape in A).
Abdominal pain, tachycardia and fever were the most common clinical presentation associated with leak in the current study. Previous studies have shown that clinical symptoms such as tachycardia, abdominal pain, and respiratory distress are highly suggestive of gastric staple line leak after bariatric surgery. Of our 4 patients diagnosed with a staple line leak, 2 presented with abdominal pain uncontrolled by analgesic treatment, 1 with increased body temperature, and 1 with tachycardia. In the current study, abscess occurred in 2 cases (8%) among the study group and was located in the sub-phrenic space in agreement with Deitel et al. who reported similar incidence. It was treated by CT-guided percutaneous drainage with suitable antibiotic coverage[17].

![Fig (2): Post LSG peritonitis with abscess formation and Moderate left sided pleural effusion. Axial CT images (A-D) demonstrating intra-peritoneal abscess (thick arrow in D), left sided pleural effusion (white arrow in A), hepatic sub-capsular hematoma (arrow head in B) and staple line (thin arrow in A).]

In the current study, hematoma was detected by CT in 2 cases (8%). Melissas et al. reported the risk of postoperative bleeding to be between 1% and 6% after LSG. The source of bleeding can be extra- or intra-luminal. Intra-luminal bleeding from the staple line usually presents with an upper gastrointestinal bleed[19].

Hematoma occurred within 24 h in 1 case while the other case occurred within 7 days postoperatively and CT reveals fluid collection encircling the stable line. The majority of hematomas remain asymptomatic, because in reality they contain only a small quantity of blood. Hemodynamic symptoms occur in large hematomas, which in the majority of cases occur after discharge. Early detection of hematoma is paramount for favorable outcome since under certain circumstances they can render the staple line more fragile and promote abscess and late staple line leak formation[20].
Splenic infarction was detected by CT in one case (4%) and was discovered 12 days postoperatively. Splenic injury also occurred in one case (4%) in agreement with Chivot et al. who reported similar incidence. Splenic infarction may be explained by injury or occlusion of peripheral splenic arterial branches when the surgeon exposes the greater curvature and separately coagulates the short gastric vessels close to the spleen [12].

Portal vein thrombosis was detected in 2 cases (8%) and these 2 cases were discovered within 2-3 weeks postoperatively. These 2 patients were treated by long term anticoagulant therapy. Bradbury et al. stated that portal vein thrombosis is an uncommon complication following LGS and diagnosis can be established with contrast-enhanced CT or color Doppler ultrasonography [21]. Jacob et al. stated that as the prevalence of bariatric surgery continues to increase, PVT might become an increasingly identified diagnosis [22].

**Fig (3):** Post LSG portal, mesenteric and splenic veins thrombosis. Axial contrast enhanced CT images (images A-C) and Coronal CT reconstructed image (Image D). Staple line (thin arrow in A) Distended PV by non-enhancing thrombus extending to superior mesenteric vein and splenic veins (thick arrow in B and D). Thickened and edematous bowel loops (arrow head).

The current study showed great feasibility of MDCT in detecting certain complications that give a good opportunity to surgeon for proper management, as portomesenteric venous thrombosis, splenic injury and post-operative hemorrhage, where UGI series were negative and these results are in agreement with Chivot et al. who stated that UGI series does not detect abscesses, hematomas and small leak as well as systemic complications [12].

In this study, CT was very helpful in detecting abscess, infection and effusion which cannot be detected by UGI series.
Moreover, CT allows to diagnose complications and to adapt the therapeutic strategy. Taking into account the complication severity of gastric staple line leak in LSG patients, CT scan was the most efficient pathway to prompt treatment for these patients.

The current study agree with Chivot et al. who reported that in the presence of a high clinical doubt of complications, and even when postoperative UGI images are normal, CT has to be performed to search for other associated complications such as abscess and fistula. CT can also provide other important data by showing pneumoperitoneum or extra-luminal accumulation of oral contrast material; however, pneumoperitoneum alone is an extremely common postoperative finding. CT is considered the examination of choice in those patients with post-operative vague abdominal symptoms.[12]

In conclusion:

As LSG is performed increasingly and frequently, it is essential for radiologists to understand the normal postoperative anatomy and recognize the complications of this procedure. In most of the centers UGI studies remain the first-line imaging modality for follow-up. CT is an important imaging tool to diagnose suspected complications of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy procedure and should be used to ensure accurate diagnosis or in the case of mismatch between symptoms and routine upper GIT studies.
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