vol.3 No.1 ISSN:18235-2016

ASSESSMENT OF INSULIN INJECTION COMPLICATIONS AMONG PATIENTS WITH TYPE 1 DIABETES AND THE SUGGESTED GUIDELINES

¹Rasha Attia Hafez Al shabrawy, ² Kamelia Fouad Abd Allah, ³ Fawzy Megahed Khliel, ⁴ Abeer yahia Mahdy

¹B.Sc. Nursing 2004, Faculty of Nursing, Mansoura University

²Professor of Medical Surgical Nursing, Faculty of nursing, Ain shams university

³Professor. of General Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Benha University

⁴Lecturer of Medical Surgical Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Benha University

E-mail of corresponding author: rasha iu@yahoo.com

Abstract:

Increasing the prevalence of DM has emergence of diabetes complications as a cause of early morbidity and mortality. The aim of present study was to assess the insulin injection complications among patients with T₁DM, and suggest the nursing intervention guidelines for patients with T₁DM. Research design used was descriptive exploratory study. A purposive sample included 100 patients diagnosed with T₁DM from both genders. The study was carried out in outpatient clinics at Benha university hospital. Two tools were used to collect data;1) Interview questionnaire sheet; it consisted of three parts A)Patients' demographic data, B)Patients' medical history, C) Patients' lifestyle assessment sheet, 2) Patients' assessment sheet; it consisted of two parts A) Assessment of patients' level of knowledge, B) Assessment of insulin injection complications. The study results revealed that more than two third of the studied patients (68%) had mild insulin injection complications and nearly one third (32%) had moderate insulin injection complications, most of patients (75%) had unsatisfied level of knowledge about the disease, complications, and management, and more than half of patients (59%)had unhealthy lifestyle pattern. It can be concluded that there was a statistical significant difference between patients' total systematic insulin injection complications in relation to their personal data including age, residency, and level of educations, also there was a statistical significant difference between patients' total systematic insulin injection complications in relation to onset of insulin injection treatment (p<0.05). The studied patients' total local and systematic insulin injection complications correlated negatively with their total lifestyle pattern and total level of knowledge with statistical insignificant differences (P> 0.05). The study recommended application of guiding educational and cultural programs for patients with DM to improve their knowledge about treatment regimen, correct insulin injection technique, complications, and it preventive and curative management.

Key words: Benha, nursing, diabetes mellitus, insulin injection complications, lifestyle.

Introduction:

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a complex, chronic illness requiring continuous medical care with multifactorial risk reduction strategies beyond glycemic control. Ongoing patient self-management education and support are critical to preventing acute

complications and reducing the risk of long-term complications (American Diabetes Association (ADA), 2014).

The estimated worldwide prevalence of diabetes of all types was 285 million adults in the year 2010, and is projected to rise to 439 million

in 2030. Specifically, in the United States, the American Diabetes Association estimated the prevalence of diabetes to be 25.8 million (8.3% of the country's population) during 2007(Shaw, Sicree, and Zimmet, 2010).

According to **David**, (2011)DM is four broad into classified categories: T₁DM, T₂DM, gestational diabetes, and other specific types. The T_1DM (Immune-mediated diabetes);this form of diabetes, previously encompassed by the terms insulin-dependent diabetes. juvenile-onset diabetes, results from a cellular-mediated autoimmune destruction of the β-cells of the pancreas, age of onset usually younger than 30 years and can occur at any age, , and onest is usually abrupt with rapid onest of hyperglycemia, patients often present with acute symptoms of diabetes and markedly elevated blood glucose levels, and some cases are with diagnosed life-threatening ketoacidosis (Redondo, Jeffrey, Fain, Eisenbarth, and Orban, 2008).

 T_1DM is caused destruction of the insulin-producing pancreatic islet cells, over time, as more and more pancreatic islet cells become damaged, the body's insulin levels begin to drop. Eventually, the body no longer is capable of producing enough insulin to properly manage blood glucose regulation, resulting in hyperglycemia, or elevated levels of glucose in the blood. In some cases, however, patients' experience what is referred to as a "honeymoon period" shortly after T₁DM is diagnosed, during which the patient's diabetic

symptoms seem to go away for a period of a few months to a year, insulin needs can become minimal during this time, and some patients may indeed find they can maintain normal or near-normal blood glucose levels with little or no insulin administration (Donna&Linda, 2013).

The hallmark symptom of T₁DM is hyperglycemia, which is defined as blood glucose levels >130 mg/dL in the fasting state or >180 mg/dL after meals. However, hyperglycemia is a symptom of both T₁DM and T₂DMand therefore cannot be used to aid in the differentiation of these conditions. In addition to hyperglycemia, the most common symptoms of T₁DM are Polyuria (increased urination). polydipsia (increased thirst) and polyphagia (increased appetite)(Smith&Cockrell, 2013).

There is presently no cure for T₁DM; instead, it is a chronic and condition that lifelong requires patients to adhere to a prescribed diet and therapeutic regimen. With faithful adherence, patients with T₁DM may live a long life and experience less frequent and less severe diabetesrelated complications. This adherence include lifelong commitment patients to frequent monitoring of blood glucose levels, taking regular doses of insulin, following a diet designed to manage blood sugar levels and participating in an active exercise routine and maintaining hygienic care (Donna& Linda, 2013).

According to current ADA recommendations, most people with

 T_1DM should be treated with multidose insulin injections (3 to 4 injections per day of basal and preprandial insulin) or continuous subcutaneous insulin infusions (via insulin pump) to manage blood glucose levels. In addition, people with T₁DM should be taught how to match preprandial insulin doses to planned carbohydrate intake, premeal blood glucose, and anticipated activity levels to help maintain target blood glucose levels (Khardori& Griffing, 2013and ADA, 2013).

Despite the benefits of insulin therapy, many people with diabetes don't adhere to treatment. Some avoid insulin therapy or refuse to start it. A recent study found more than a third of the roughly 25 million Americans with diabetes don't take insulin prescribed and 20% intentionally skip some doses, which can lead to serious health risks. Incorrect injection technique can lead to unpredictable absorption, causing hypoglycemia or Other hyperglycemia. potential problems include lipohypertrophy or lipoatrophy. It is recommended that as much attention is given to injection technique as to the agent being injected (Pledger, Hicks, Kirkland, and Down, 2012).

Significance of the study:

The DM has long been considered a disease of minor significance to world health, but is now developing into one of the main public health challenges for the 21st century (Masur, Thévenod, and Znker, 2008). The DM remains an incurable disorder which is associated with poor

quality of life, cardiovascular complications, increased mortality and morbidity. The recent statistics shows that the global prevalence of this disorder continues to rise unabated and thus becoming an epidemic (Diabetes Control and Complications Trial, 2009)

patients present with uncontrolled hyperglycemia which can be managed only with insulin therapy, besides the existing challenges in the management of uncontrolled diabetes and its related complications, another difficulty which is commonly faced by the nursing staff and the physicians, is the lack of awareness regarding insulin injection techniques; in appropriate insulin injection practices can lead to various clinical complications such as poor glycemic control, pain, bleeding and bruising, breaking and lodging of needle tip beneath the skin, contamination leading to infection, and accurate dose administration (Bajwa, Kalra, Baruah, and Bajwa, 2013).

Aim of the study:

The present study was conducted to fulfill the following aim:

- Assess the insulin injection complications among patients with type 1 diabetes.
- ➤ Suggest the nursing intervention guidelines for patients with type 1 diabetes.

Research Ouestion:

• Is there insulin injection complications among patients with type 1 diabetes?

- Is there a relation between insulin injection complications and patients' demographic data?
- Is there a relation between insulin injection complications and patients' lifestyle?
- Is there a relation between insulin injection complications and patients' knowledge?
- Is there a relation between insulin injection complications and period & doses of insulin injection?

Subjects and methods:

Research design:

A descriptive exploratory design was utilized in this study.

Research Setting:

The study was conducted in outpatient clinics at Benha University Hospital in medical clinic which concerned with caring of medical and diabetic patients.

Subjects:

purposive sample of 100 patients diagnosed with T_1DM . Subjects were recruited for the study with the following inclusion criteria, adult patients (T₁DM) treated with insulin therapy (subcutaneous insulin injection) for more than one year, both gender, able to communicate and agree to participate in the study. The exclusion criteria were Patients with diabetes under 18 years old, patients with any skin problem, mentally retarded patients and obese patients.

Tools for Data Collection:

Three tools were piloted and used by the researcher to collect data including:

Tools for data collection:

Two tools were piloted and used by the researcher to collect data including:

Tool I: Interview Questionnaire sheet; which consisted of 3 parts:

Part 1: Personal Data:

It was composed of (8) closed ended questions including (age, sex, marital status, residence, level of education, occupation, income, received training programs).

Part 2: Patients' Medical History: it consisted of three parts:

(A)Past Medical History:

it was composed of (2) questions including (duration of the disease, onset of insulin injection treatment).

(B)Family history:

it was composed of (2) questions including (family history of diabetes, degree of relatively).

(C)Present history:

it was composed of (10) questions including (complications of diabetes, complications, type of other medication taken with insulin injection, allergy to any drug, number of follow up in outpatient clinic, rate of testing blood glucose level, way to test blood glucose level, insulin self injection, who teach correct technique, who inject insulin if not self injection).

Part 3: Patients' lifestyle assessment sheet:

it was composed of six domains including (27) questions about patients' lifestyle pattern including; diet (4questions), exercise (5 questions), medication (6 questions),

smoking (3 questions), stress (4 questions), and hygiene (5 questions).

Tool II: Patients' assessment sheet; it consisted of two parts:

Part 1: Patients' knowledge questionnaire sheet:

It consisted of (32) questions about patients' knowledge about insulin injection complications, and the nursing intervention assessment. It was formed of multiple choice questions.

Part 2: Assessment the insulin injection complications:

It developed by the researcher, constructed and reviewed utilizing the most recent and relevant literature Which includes complications of insulin injection that the patients suffering from. It includes two main domains; local complications (10 items) and systematic complications (8 items).

Pilot study:

A pilot study was conducted on group 10 patients with DM in order to test the clarity and applicability of the study tools. Required modifications were done in the form of adding or omission of some questions.

Administrative design:

An official permission to carry out the study was obtained by submission of official letters from the dean of faculty of nursing Benha University to the director of Benha University Hospital, head of nursing, and head nurse of outpatient clinics.

Ethical consideration:

Ethical approval was obtained from the Scientific Ethical Committee of Benha University. The purpose of the study was explained to the patients and oral consent was obtained from them to participate in this study. They were given an opportunity to withdraw from the study without given a reason and they were assured that anonymity and confidentiality of information was protected. Ethics, values, culture, and beliefs were respected.

Statistical analysis:

The obtained data were organized, categorized, analyzed through a personal computer using the statistics package for social sciences(SPSS). Data were presented using descriptive statistics in the form of frequencies and percentages. Statistical significance was considered at $P \le 0.05$, highly significance P < 0.001 and insignificant was considered at P > 0.05. Also cronbach's alpha test was used to test the reliability of the tool.

Result:

Table (1): Frequency and percentage distribution of personal characteristics of the studied patients (n=100).

Studied patients (n=100).	Ewagyanay	%
Sociodemographic Characteristics Age groups (in years)	Frequency	70
Age groups (in years)	11	11.0
30- <40		11.0
	11	11.0
40-<50	16	16.0
≥50	62	62.0
11.69±SD	49.75 <u>±</u> Mean	
Gender		
Male	41	41.0
Female	59	59.0
Residence		
Urban	14	14.0
Rural	86	86.0
Marital status		
Single	5	5.0
Married	82	82.0
Divorced	3	3.0
Widowed	10	10.0
Level of education		
Illiterate	42	42.0
Read & write	14	14.0
Secondary education	35	35.0
University education	9	9.0
Occupation		
Not working	17	17.0
Retired	9	9.0
Housewife	40	40.0
Written work	18	18.0
Professional work	16	16.0
Monthly Income	•	
Enough	45	45.0
Not enough	55	55.0
Received training programs of insulin inje	ction	•
Yes	4	4.0
No	96	96.0
Type of received training programs		n=4
Lecture of protection from diabetes complications	2	50.0
Lecture on diabetes	2	50.0
l .		t

The characteristics of the study sample are described in table (1): illustrates that more than two third (47%) of studied subjects were in age

group from 55 years to 65 years, with mean 56.6±10.5, and (41.0%) of them were illiterate, respectively.

Table (2): Frequency and percentage distribution of studied patients in relation to their past and family history (n=100).

Past and family history	Frequency	%			
Duration of diabetes mellitus					
1<3Y	6	6.0			
3<5Y	7	7.0			
>5Y	87	87.0			
Onset of insulin injection treatment	·				
1<3y	6	6.0			
3<5y	7	7.0			
≥5y	87	87.0			
Family history of diabetes					
Yes	76	76.0			
No	24	24.0			
Degree of relatively	n	n=76			
First degree	42	55.3			
Second degree	34	44.7			

This table showed that, most of diabetic patients (87%) had diabetes and treated with insulin injection for more than five years, and also(76%)

of them had family history of diabetes with more than one third of them(55.3%) had first degree of relatively.

Table (3): Frequency and percentage distribution of studied patients according to their level of knowledge (n=100).

Total knowledge Score		Unsatisfactory		Satisfactory	
1 out monteage seere	No	%	No	%	
Total level of knowledge of the studied patients	75	75.0	25	25.0	

Table (3): shows the levels of knowledge of the studied subjects. This table showed that three quarters of the studied patients (75%) had

unsatisfactory level of knowledge, and only one quarter of them (25%) had satisfactory level of knowledge.

Table (4): Frequency and percentage distribution of diabetic patients according to their total lifestyle items (n= 100).

Total lifestyle	Healthy lifestyle		Unhealthy lifestyle	
Items	N	%	N	%
Diet	84	84.0	16	16.0
Exercise	9	9.0	91	91.0
Medication	51	51.0	49	49.0
Smoking	97	97.0	3	3.0
Stress	46	46.0	54	54.0
Hygiene	81	81.0	19	19.0
Total lifestyle	41	41.0	59	59.0

This table showed that more than one third of the studied patients (41%) had healthy lifestyle pattern.

Table (5): Frequency and percentage distribution of the studied patients according to their insulin injection complications (n=100).

insulin injection complications (n=100	/	1		
Items	Occurred		Not occurred	
Complications	N	%	N	%
Local insulin injections complications				
Lipoatrophy	45	45.0	55	55.0
Lipohypertrophy	92	92.0	8	8.0
Bleeding and bruising	15	15.0	85	85.0
Leakage of insulin	19	19.0	81	81.0
Inflammation of injection site	32	32.0	68	68.0
Infection of injection site	4	4.0	96	96.0
Low sensitivity to injection pain	35	35.0	65	65.0
Pain of injection rotated site	77	77.0	23	23.0
Peripheral edema	31	31.0	69	69.0
Local allergic reaction	28	28.0	72	72.0
Systematic insulin injections complications				•
Hypoglycemia	63	63.0	37	37.0
Somogyi effect	36	36.0	64	64.0
Dawen phenomenon	22	22.0	78	78.0
Hyperglycemia	94	94.0	6	6.0
DKA	45	45.0	55	55.0
HHNK syndrome	0	0	100	100.0
Drug interaction	2	2.0	98	98.0
Insulin resistant	17	17.0	83	83.0
Total insulin injection complications			ications	
	N % Mean ± SD		n ± SD	
No insulin injection complications	0	0.0		
Mild insulin injection complications	68	68.0	30.6 ± 1.3	
Moderate insulin injection complications	32	32.0	26.9 ± 1.5	
Sever insulin injection complications	0	0.0		

This table showed that more than two third of the studied patients (68%) had mild insulin injection complications and nearly one third (32%) had moderate insulin injection complications.

Table (6): Association between the studied patients' total local and systematic insulin injection complications and their personal characteristics (n=100).

Total insulin injection	Total local insulin	1	Total systematic	ĺ	
complications	injection	Test of	insulin injection	Test of	
Sociodemographic	complications	significance	complications	significance	
Characteristics	Mean ± SD		Mean ± SD		
Age groups (in years)					
18 - <30	16.4±0.9	One Way Anova	12.8±1.5	One Way Anova	
30- <40	16.4±1.2	F= 0.50	14±1.3	F= 3	
40-<50	16.3±1.2	P= 0.68	13.5±1.1	P= 0.033*	
≥50	16±1.3		13±1.2		
Gender	161:10		12.1.1.2	. 0.40	
Male	16.1±1.2	t= -0.03	13.1±1.3	t=-0.49	
Female Residence	16.2±1.2	P= 0.97	13.3±1.4	P= 0.620	
Urban	16.1±1.1	t= -0.17	12.6±1.6	t= 1.74	
Rural	16.1±1.1 16.2±1.3	t= -0.17 P= 0.85	13.2±1.2	P= 0.054*	
Marital status	10.2±1.5	1 - 0.63	13.2±1.2	1 - 0.034	
Single	16.8±1.09		13.6±2	One Way Anova	
Married	16.1±1.2	One Way Anova	13.2±1.2	F= 0.77	
Divorced	17±1	F= 0.87	13.6±0.5	P= 0.50	
Widowed	16.3±1.8	P= 0.45	12.7±1.7		
Level of education	1			•	
Illiterate	16.1±1.3	One Way	12.9±1.1	One Way	
Read & write	16±1.5	Anova	13.4 ± 1.3	Anova	
Secondary education	16.1±1	F=0.97	13.1±1.2	F = 2.6	
University education	16.8±1.1	P=0.406	14.2±1.4	P= 0.054*	
Occupation					
Not working	16.2±1.2	One Way	13.1±1.1	One Way	
Retired	16±1.4	Anova	12.4±1.2	Anova	
Housewife	16.2±1.3	F=0.16	13.1±1.2	F= 1.9	
Written work	16±1.2	P=0.95	13.8±1.2	P = 0.10	
Professional work	16.3±1.1		13±1.4		
Monthly Income					
Enough	16±1.4	t= -0.78	13±1.5	t= -1.2	
Not enough	16.2±1.1	P=0.43	13.3±1.1	P=0.21	
Received training progr	ams				
Yes	16±0.81	P= 0.75	12.2±1.5	t= -1.4	
No	16.2±1.2	t = -0.31	13.2±1.2	P = 0.13	

^{*} Significant (S) $p \le 0.05$

Insignificant (S) p > 0.05

This table showed that there was statistical significant difference between the studied patients' total systematic insulin injection complications in relation to their age , residency, and level of education ($p \le 0.05$).

Table (7) Association between the studied patients' total local and systematic insulin injection complications and onset of insulin injection treatment, and number of insulin injections per day (n=100).

Total insulin injection complications	Total local insulin injection complications	Test of significance	Total systematic insulin injection complications	Test of significance
Items	Mean ± SD		Mean ± SD	
Onset of insulin injec	tion treatment		•	JI.
1<3y	16.3±1.5	One Way Anova F= 1.3	13.7±1.4	One Way Anova
3<5y	16.6±1.1	P= 0.27	13.8±1	F= 3.4
≥5y	16.1±1.2		13±1.2	P= 0.037*
Number of insulin inj	ections per day			
One dose	16.5±1.4	One Way Anova F= 1.1	13.2±1.4	One Way Anova
Two doses	16.1±1.2	P = 0.33	13.2±1.2	F= 0.55
Three doses	15.8±1.1		12.6±1.8	P= 0.57

^{*} Significant (S) $p \le 0.05$

Insignificant (S) p > 0.05

This table showed that there was statistical significant difference between the patients' total systematic insulin injection complications in relation to onset of insulin injection treatment (p<0.05).

Disscussion:-

The findings of the present study revealed that, more than half of the studied patient ages were more than 50 years old with mean (standard deviation) was 49.75 (11.69) this is in accordance with Clark et al (2011) who reported that mean age of his studied subjects was 39 years (range 20-55 years), also **Hirsch(2012)**who reported that age of his studied subjects ranged from 19 to 74 years (mean 55.6 years), also this is in accordance with (Butalia, et al, 2013) who reported that mean (standard deviation) age in his studies was 40.0 (15.8) years,

As regard to gender, the results of the present study showed that, female patients represent more than half of the study sample; this finding was in agreement with many studies in which the higher incidence of T₁DM was among females Selim (2000), Abu-Samara (2005), Abd El-Aziz (2007), Hassan (2007), and Nafee and Awad (2008). Also Munib,(2009),Moustafa, (2011), Abd El-monem, (2012) and Hirsch (2012) all reported that more than half of their studies patients were female, also Riaz, (2014)reported that the majority of his studied patients were female.

Regarding residence, the results of this study revealed that more than three quarters of the study sample were from rural area, this finding in agreement with findings of Abdel Hamid, (2005), Abu-Samara, (2005), Abd El-Aziz, (2007), and Galal, (2012)who reported that, more than half of their subjects were from rural areas.

In relation to marital status, the study findings showed that, more than

three quarters of patients were married, this finding is agreement with **Hassan** (2007) and **Galal** (2012) who mentioned that the majority of their studied patients were married, and this finding might be due to same age groups of the studied sample.

Concerning educational level of the studied sample, the result of current study showed that the minority of patients had completed university degree and more than one third of them were illiterate. This accordance with Abdel Hamid (2005) who reported that most of his studied sample were illiterate, and Galal (2012) who reported that one half of his studied sample could just read and write while the minority of them had completed university degree. Also this finding in accordance with Riaz. (2014) who reported that about half of his studied subjects had primary education as the highest level of education attained.

In relation to patients' occupation, the study finding showed that nearly two third of the studied patient were not working (not work& retired& housewife) this finding in accordance with Galal (2012) who reported that more than one half of his studied patients were not working .These may be related to gender or complications occurred

Regarding income, the study finding showed that more than half of study sample had not enough monthly income. This finding is in agreement with **Wong et al (2003)** who found adolescents who lived in shared home more exposed to disease because they

have not enough money for treatment and care and Galal (2009) who reported that more than half of his patients had not enough monthly income.

Regarding receiving training programs, the study finding showed that the majority of the studied patient hadn't receiving any training programs, this finding in agreement with **Attia**, (2012) who reported that more than half of caregivers of school children with T_1DM haven't receiving any training programs.

As regard to duration of diabetes, the present study revealed that most of studied patients had diabetes and treated with insulin injection for more than five years, this finding in agreement with Munib(2009), Moustafa (2011), Attia (2012) and Galal (2012) who reported that their studied subjects had disease for duration ranged from one up to ten years, this finding supported by Abd El-Ghaffar(2003) and Abd El-Aziz (2007) who reported that duration of diabetes among diabetic adolescents ranged from 1 to 12 years.

As regard to family history of diabetes, the present study revealed that three quarters of studied patients were having positive family history of diabetes, and more than half of them having first degree relativity, this finding is in agreement with Ratzan (2000), Cho (2003), and Abdel Hamid (2005) who reported that diabetes should be suspected with a strong family history of diabetes. Also this finding is in agreement with Abd El-Aziz (2007) who reported that

diabetic adolescents had a positive family history of the disease, and Rewers et al(2010) who reported that, diabetes mellitus should be suspected in children with a strong family history of diabetes.

The current study revealed that more than one third of the studied patients had followed healthy lifestyle pattern, this finding in accordance with Shobana, (1999) who reported that only one third of his study respondents were following dietary prescriptions regularly. Additionally this finding in agreement with several studied done Hammed (2001),Moustafa (2011), Attia (2012), and Galal (2012) all reported that there was significantly improvement in the reported dietary management among the studied subjects after implementing the self care programs.

Regarding the studied patients level of knowledge the current study revealed that three quarters of studied patients had unsatisfactory level of knowledge, and only one quarters had satisfactory level of knowledge, this finding in agreement with Attia (2012) who reported that more than half of his studied subjects had and minority average knowledge, revealed good knowledge this finding in agreement with Galal (2012)who reported that there was a highly statistically significant difference in total knowledge scores of diabetic patients with an extremely significant difference in mean knowledge scores after applying the education program.

Concerning insulin injection complications; more than two third of

the studied patients had mild insulin injection complications and nearly one third had moderate insulin injection complications; this finding is in accordance with several reported that hypertrophy was the most common cutaneous complications of insulin therapy; Chowdhury and Escudier (2003), Richardson and Kerr (2003), Villiers(2006), Vardar and Kizilci (2007), Munib(2009), Cunningham (2013). reported that over time, areas of lipohypertrophy and lipoatrophy, bruising, bleeding can develop as complications for insulin injection.

Concerning relation patients' total local and systematic insulin injection complications in relation to their personal characteristics present study the revealed that there was a statistical significant difference between patients' systematic insulin total injection complications in relation to their age, residency, and level of education this finding was supported by Torrance, (2008) who reported that international guidelines identified the following points as important factors when considering insulin injection technique: injection sites, needle length, age of patient, gender of patient, BMI and pinch up, Dunning, (1998) who reported that number of factors complicated the diagnosis and management of possible allergy: were patient's psychological history, and the long duration of insulin treatment before any localized reaction was reported. Also Riaz, (2014) who reported that some of the sociodemographic and service related factors are influencing the patient compliance, factors found to be significantly associated with non adherence of diabetic patients were cost of insulin, occupation of respondent's mother, family history of diabetes, poor understanding of prescription, irregularity of follow up, and fear of insulin.

A statistical significant difference was observed between patients' total systematic insulin injection complications in relation to onset of insulin injection treatment, this finding was supported by Conrad and Ferry (2014) who reported that short term overdosing of insulin causes short term insulin resistance, it has hypothesized that chronic high dosing contributes to more permanent insulin resistance, if insulin resistance exists. more insulin are needs. If this compensatory increase does not occur, blood glucose concentrations increase. Also (AACE)2013 reported that the A₁c target must be individualized based on numerous factors such as duration of diabetes,

Conclusion

The current study concluded that, more than two third of the studied patients had mild insulin injection complications and nearly one third had moderate insulin injection complications. There was statistical significant difference between patients' total systematic insulin injection complications in relation to their personal characteristics including age, residency, and level of education, onset of insulin injection treatment (P<0.05).

Recommendations:

According to results of the current study, the following suggestions are recommended:

- (1) Periodic health teaching programs for diabetic patients and family diabetes including management, education of correct insulin injection technique and insulin injection complications and preventive and curative and management, clinical management to improve their management of the disease.
- (2) Prospective follow up studies are needed to develop and refine intervention to improve compliance of diabetic patients and prevention of short and long term complications.
- (3) Emphasizing the importance of more prospective studies to shed light on the factors that hinder the glycemic control of diabetic patients and their adherence with insulin therapy and how to overcome them to ensure their compliance.
- (4) Further studies on insulin injection complications to evaluate the important of including the suggested nursing management guidelines on the patient's care including complications.

References

Abd El-Aziz, M.A., (2007): Quality of life for adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus, unpublished

- master thesis, faculty of nursing, Ain Shams University, pp.72-90.
- Abd El-Ghafar, A., (2003): Factors Affecting Self Care Practices of Diabetic School Students in Alexandria, Master Thesis, Faculty of Nursing, Alexandria University,pp.67-120.
- Abd El-Mohmen, H., (2012): Home care for adolescent with type 1 diabetes in rural areas at Kolyobiagovernerate, master thesis, faculty of nursing, faculty of nursing, Benha university, pp.67-70.
- Abdel Hamid, S.H., (2005): Self Care Practice Among Home Based Diabetic Elderly in Dakahlia Governorate, Master Thesis, Faculty of Nursing, Alexandria University.
- Abu-Samra, O.M.,(2005): Factors affecting diabetes control in children with type 1 diabetes, Master thesis, Faculty of nursing, Ain Shams university, pp. 26-48.
- American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE), (2013): Comprehensive Diabetes Management Algorithm, Endocrine Practice, v19 (2), p.336.
- American Association of Diabetes Educators(AADE), (2011):
 Strategies for Insulin Injection Therapy in Diabetes Self-Management, Available at: http://www.diabeteseducator.org/DiabetesEducation/position/, accessed on: 7/1/2015.

- American Diabetes Association (ADA), (2014): Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes, Position statement, Diabetes Care, diabetesjournals.org V37 (1), pp. 14-75.
- Amiel, S., Beveridge, S., Bradley, C., Gianfrancesco, C., Heller,S., James, PMcKeown, N., Newton,O., Newton, L., Oliver,L .,Reid,H.,Roberts,S., Robson, S., Rollingson, J., Scott, Speight, J., Taylor, C., Thompson, G., Turner, E., andWright,F., **(2002):**Training in flexible, intensive insulin management to enable dietary freedom in people with type 1 diabetes: adjustment for normal eating (DAFNE) randomized controlled trial, DAFNE Study Group BMJ., v 325, PP.1-6.
- Antinori-Lent, K., (2013): Improving insulin adherence in diabetes care, American Nurse Today, v8 (9),PP.13-15, availableat: http://www.AmericanNurseToday.com.
- Atkinson, M., (2012): The pathogenesis and natural history of type 1 diabetes, Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine, v 2(11),pp.1-16,Retrieved March 30, 2013, from perspectives in medicine, available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/p mc/articles/PMC3543105.
- Attia, W., (2012): Assess knowledge and practice of caregivers for school age children with type 1 diabetes mellitus, unpublished

- master thesis, faculty of nursing, Benha University, pp.113-122.
- Bajwa, S., Kalra, S., Baruah, M., and Bajwa, K., (2013): An acute need for awareness of insulin injection guidelines in operative and intensive care units, Anesthesia: Essays and Researches, v7(1), P.1.
- Blanco, M., Hernández, M.T., Strauss, K.W., and Amaya, M., (2013): Prevalence and risk factors of lipohypertrophy in insulin-injecting patients, 39(5), pp.445-453.
- Butalia, S., Johnson, J., Ghali, A. W., and Rabi1, D. M., (2013): Complications Clinical and sociodemographic factors associated with diabetic ketoacidosis hospitalization in adults with Type 1diabetes, Diabetic Medicine, v30, pp.567–573.
- Cho, Y.M., Kim, M., and Park, K.S. (2003): "S20G Mutation of the Amylin Gene is Associated with A lower Body Mass Index in Korean Type 2 Diabetic Patients", Diabetes Res., ClinPract., v60 (2), p.p.125–29.
- Chowdhury, T., Escudier, V., (2003): Poor glycaemic control caused by insulin induced lipohypertrophy, BMJ., v327, pp.383–384.
- Clark, LF., Bilbie, JC., and Abraham. P., (2011): What do patients prefer: insulin pumps or multiple daily injections and

- structured education?PractDiab Int., v28 (2), pp.73-75.
- Conrad .M., and Ferry .R., (2014):Insulin Resistance, available at: http://www.medicinenet.com/insulin_resistance/article.htm, accessed on: 21/10/2014.
- Cunningham, MT., and McKenna, MJ., (2013): Lipohypertrophy in insulin-treated diabetes: Prevalence and associated risk factors, Journal of Diabetes Nursing, v17, pp.340–343
- David, G.,(2011): Dolores
 Greenspan's basic & clinical
 endocrinology, New
 York:McGraw-Hill Medical, 9th
 Ed, Chapter 17.
- Diabetes Control and Complications
 Trial / Epidemiology of
 Diabetes,(2009): Interventions
 and Complications and Pittsburgh
 Epidemiology of Diabetes
 Complications experience, DCCT
 / EDIC Research Group, Modernday clinical course of type1
 diabetes mellitus after 30 years'
 duration, Arch Intern Med.,
 v169, pp.1307-1316.
- **Donna, D., Linda, M.,(2013):**care of patients with diabetes, patient centered collaborative care, medical surgical nursing text book, 7th ed, John Wiley and sons, Inc, chapter 67, pp.1413-1463.
- **Dunning, T., Rosen, S., and Alford, F., (1998):** Insulin allergy: a rare diagnostic and management dilemma, Journal of Diabetes Nursing, v2 (6),pp. 188-190.

- Galal, B., (2009): Factors Affecting Compliance of Diabetic Patients toward Therapeutic Management, unpublished master thesis, faculty of nursing, Zazig University, pp.78-87.
- Galal, B., (2012): Effect of educational program on self efficacy for patient with type2 diabetes mellitus, Doctorate thesis, faculty of nursing, Benha University, pp.119-129.
- Hamed, M., (2001)The effect of self care program on self care cognition and quality of life among outpatient diabetics at Benha university hospital, Doctorate thesis, faculty of nursing, Benha university, pp.81-92
- Hansen, B., Kirketerp, G., Ehlers, G., Nordentoft, E., and Hansen, (2007): Evidence-based clinical guidelines for injection of insulin for adults with diabetes 2nd mellitus, ed. Dansk Sygeplejerad (Danish Nurses Organization), Kopenhagen, available at:http://www.dsr.dk/artikler/docu ments/english/evidencebased clin ical ,accessed on 12/1/2014.
- Hirsch, IB., Bode, BW.,and Childs, BP., et al., (2008): Self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG) in insulin- and non-insulin-using adults with diabetes: consensus recommendations for improving SMBG accuracy, utilizationand research, Diabetes TechnolTher., v 10, pp.419-39.

- Hirsch, L., Gibney, M., Berube, J., Manocchio, J., (2012): Impact of a Modified Needle Tip Geometry on Penetration Force as well as Acceptability, Preference, and Perceived Pain in Subjects with Diabetes, Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology, v 6(2), PP.328-335.
- Ismail, N., Kasem, O., and Abou-El-Asrar, M.,(2008): Epidemiology and Management of Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus at the Ain Shams University Pediatric Hospital, J Egypt Public Health Assoc., v 83 (1 & 2), P 108.
- Jones, S., and Smithys, L., (2005): The changing proportions of the growing body, Recognizable patterns of human malformation, 6thed Philadelphia: Saunders, SD., pp.234-235.
- Kamel, R., (2012): Assessment of patients complications under anesthesia and general surgery, unpuplisded master thesis, faculty of nursing, Benha university.pp.46-49.
- Khardori, R., and Griffing, G., (2013): Type 1 Diabetes
 Mellitus Treatment & Management, Medscape Medical
 News, Availableat: http://www.emedicine.medscape.com/article/117739treatment, accessed on: 13/1/2014
- King, H., Aubert, R.E., and Herman, W.H., (1998): Global burden of diabetes, 1995-2025: prevalence, numerical estimates

- and projections, Diabetes Care, v21 (1), pp. 1414-1431.
- Kitabchi, A.E., Umpierrez, G.E., Fisher, J.N., Murphy, M.B., and Stentz, F.B., (2008): Thirty years of personal experience in hyperglycemic crises: diabetic ketoacidosis and hyperglycemic hyperosmolar state, Journal of clinical Endocrinology and metabolism, v93 (5), pp.1541-1552.
- **Knip, M., (2011):** Pathogenesis of type 1 diabetes: implications for incidence trends, Hormone Research in Pediatrics, v76 (1), PP.57–64.
- Kohlaway, E., (2008): New Alamance Confronts, The high Costs of Chronic Disease Electronic Publication Retrieved June 25, 2010, Available at: Url:www.fightchronicdiseases.or g/resources.
- Mccane, K.L., Huether., S.E., Brasher s, V., and Rote, N., (2010): pathophy siol-ogy, the biological basis for disease in adults & children, 6th ed, Missouri: Mosby Elsevier, chapter 2.
- McGibbon, A., Richardson, C., Hernandez, C., and Dornan, J., (2013): Pharmacotherapy in Type 1 Diabetes, Canadian Diabetes Association Clinical Practice Guidelines Expert Committee, Can J Diabetes, v37 (1) S.556.
- Mohammed, H.S., (2003): The effect of health education program on psychological and adjustment of children with insulin dependent

- diabetes mellitus, unpublished Doctorate thesis, faculty of nursing, Assiut University, pp.88-96.
- Mohammed, W.A., (2008): A study on the pattern of growth of diabetic preschool and school children, unpublished master thesis, faculty of nursing, Assuit University, pp.86-95.
- Moustafa, S., (2011): Effect of educational program on improving quality of life of diabetic children and adolescents, Doctorate thesis, faculty of nursing, Benha University, pp.133-146.
- Munib, A., (2009): Cutaneous complications of insulin therapy in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus, J Fac Med Baghdad, v 51(4), PP 353-356.
- Nafee, H.M., and Awad, L., (2008):

 Knowledge of parents, teacher, and school nurse about insulin dependant diabetes mellitus, Alexandria scientific Nursing Journal, v7 (2), pp.147-167.
- Oski, F., De Angelis, C., Fegigin, R., and Mashow, J., (2005):

 Principles and practices of pediatrics, Lippincolt company, London, pp.1811-1815.
- Pledger, J, Hicks, D, Kirkland, F, and Down, S., (2012): Importance ofinjection technique in diabetes Journal of Diabetes Nursing, v16 (4) PP.162-163.
- Ratzan, D.G., (2000): Immunological characterization and therapeutic

- activity of altered peptide, Diabetes care, v51, pp.2126-2134.
- Redondo, MJ., Jeffrey, J., Fain, PR., Eisenbarth, GS., and Orban, T., (2008): Concordance for islet autoimmunity among monozygotic twins, N Engl J Med., v 359(26), pp.2849-50.
- Rewers, A., Chase, P., Mackenzie, T., Walravens, P., and Roback, M., (2010): Predictors of acute complications in children with type 1 diabetes, v1 (12), pp.358-366.
- Riaz, M, Basit, A., and Ali Rizvi, z., (2014): Factors associated with non- adherence in patients with type 1 diabetes, Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences Pak JMed Sci., v30(2), pp. 233-239.
- Richardson, T., and Kerr, D., (2003): Skinrelated complications of insulin therapy: Epidemiology and emerging management strategies, Am J ClinDermatol., v4 (10), p.p.661-667.
- Salem, M., El-Samahy, M., and Sohi, A., (1991): Evolution of nutritional status and dietary pattern in Egyptian diabetec children, Journal of pediatric, v8 (1&2), pp.59-65.
- Selim, M., (2000): Adherence to Treatment Regimen among Selected Sample of Egyptian Insulin Dependent Diabetic Patients, The New Egyptian Journal of Medicine, v1 (3): 128-35.

- Shaw, JE., Sicree, RA., and Zimmet, PZ., (2010): Global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2010 and 2030, Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract., v87(1), p.p.4-14.
- Shobana, R., Begum, R., Snehalatha, C., Vijay, V., and Ramchandran, A., (1999): Patients' Adherence to Diabetes Treatment, J Assoc Physicians India., v47, pp.1173-1175.
- Smith, N., and Cockrell, L.M., (2013): Diabetes mellitus, type1, available at: http://www.nursingceu.com/courses/423/index_nceu.html, accessed on: 6/1/2015.
- **Torrance,T.,(2008):** Effect of insulin needle reuse, size and site of injection on the risk of bending and breaking ,Journal of Diabetes Nursing, v12 (1), p.30.
- Vardar, B., and Kizilci, S., (2007): Incidence of lipohypertrophy in diabetic patients and a study of influencing factors, Diabetes Res ClinPract., v77 (2), pp.231-236.
- Villiers, F.,(2006):Lipohypertrophy a complication of insulin injections JEMDSA, Department of Pediatrics and Child Health, Medunsa Campus, University of Limpopo, PO Box 221, Medunsa, 0204, V 11(2).
- Wilkin, TJ., (2009): The accelerator hypothesis: a review of the evidence for insulin resistance as the basis for type 1 as well as type II diabetes, Int J Obes., v33, pp.716-72